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Discrepancies in Different Precipitation Data Products in the Bay of Bengal during Summer Monsoon Season
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1. Introduction

Precipitation is one of the most important climate variables in the study of climate variability and change and also a major component of the earth’s water and energy cycles. Reliable precipitation dataset with high spatial and temporal resolution is the key to the understanding of climate and climate variability and the verification of numerical weather prediction and climate simulations. Precipitation is also an important input variable for ocean circulation models as freshwater flux and an input variable for many other application models, such as land surface models and hydrometeorological models. Numerous global precipitation datasets have been developed in the last two decades or so using different data sources, such as in situ observations, satellite estimates, climate model simulations, and/or their combinations.

Because of the lack of sufficient in situ observations, current precipitation estimates over the open oceans are heavily dependent on satellite retrievals, such as the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) satellite. Because of the uncertainties in different retrieval algorithms and the lack of true observations to calibrate the estimates, precipitation products from different data sources show a certain degree of discrepancies, which sometimes are quite large over the tropical and subtropical oceans (e.g., [1–5]). Earlier evaluation of precipitation products has focused mainly on large scale, such as a basin scale over the ocean or continental scales [6]. Rainfall estimates as well as their validations at different time and spatial scales remain a major challenge due to sparse rain gauges in many tropical and subtropical regions.

The TRMM is a joint US-Japan satellite mission to monitor the tropical and subtropical precipitation and to estimate its associated latent heating. The TRMM is the first satellite from which its observations are able to provide detailed and comprehensive datasets on the four-dimensional distribution of rainfall and latent heating over vast tropical and subtropical oceans and continents. It has been widely used in many earth science applications, such as global drought and flood monitoring [7–9], hurricanes and typhoons [10–12], tropical
climatology [1, 13–18], and verification of climate model simulations (e.g., [19]). Moreover, other satellite precipitation datasets are also broadly applied to the study of tropical climatology, such as the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) products and Climate Prediction Center (CPC) Merged Analysis of Precipitation (CMAP).

It is our interest to compare the different precipitation products in the east Bay of Bengal (BoB) in the Asian summer monsoon season. This is motivated by the importance and the special feature of precipitation in this region. The east BoB is one of the sites with the highest precipitation during summer in the Asian monsoon domain. As the southwesterly monsoon flow impinges on the narrow mountain range of Araka Yoma in Myanmar coast, moist air is forced to rise by the orographic lifting [14]. It gives rise to convection center of monsoon rain along the eastern coast of the BoB, which may be modified by diurnal cycle [20]. Convective heating associated with these mesoscale mountains in South Asia has been demonstrated to contribute largely to the large-scale summer monsoon circulation [14, 21]. Despite the importance of the BoB to both weather and climate in the Asian monsoon domain, the region is poorly sampled and investigated because of the lack of sufficient in situ observations [22]. Convection over the east BoB is always poorly simulated in most atmospheric models [23] and this in turn further hampers effort at model validation and improvements. It may also affect the understanding of temporal and spatial variations of precipitation in the BoB if the precipitation products are not reliable enough or the features might differ among different datasets.

In this study, different precipitation products are used to document the variation of precipitation in the eastern coastal region in the BoB. The offshore feature of precipitation on the windward side of the mesoscale mountain range in Myanmar is the main focus. We will discuss the discrepancies among different precipitation products in reproducing those features. Because of the lack of in situ observations, we will evaluate the precipitation distribution based on physical reasoning with the large-scale circulation difference that is dynamically responsible for the precipitation features. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The datasets are described in Section 2. Climatology and interannual variability from different precipitation products are compared in Section 3. Besides TRMM 3B43, GPCP products, and CMAP, TRMM products of 3B40RT, 3B41RT, and 3B42RT are also analyzed in order to identify discrepancies resulting from different types of sensors used. Major conclusions and discussions are given in the last section.

2. Datasets

The precipitation products evaluated in this study include three major products, namely, TRMM products (3B40RT, 3B41RT, 3B42RT, and 3B43), GPCP products (versions 1.2 and 2.2), and CMAP. The precipitation datasets and several other data used in our analysis are described below briefly.

2.1. TRMM Products. The TRMM satellite measures rainfall in tropical and subtropical regions by use of the following instruments: precipitation radar (PR), TRMM microwave imager (TMI), and the visible and infrared scanner (VIRS) [24, 25]. TRMM satellite was successfully launched on November 27, 1997, in Japan. Therefore, all TRMM products are available since 1998. In our analysis, precipitation climatology is the mean from 1998 to 2014.

2.1.1. TRMM Real-Time. The real-time TRMM multisatellite precipitation analysis [26, 27] is run quas-operationally on a best-effort basis of the precipitation processing system with ongoing scientific development. The system was developed as a testbed for producing concepts in merging quasi-global precipitation estimates and it takes advantage of the increasing availability of input datasets in near-real time. Estimates are posted on the web about 6 hours after the observation time.

(a) 3B40RT (High-Quality, HQ). The input to 3B40RT (High-Quality, HQ), on 0.25° × 0.25° grids and 3-h averaged, consists of precomputed precipitation estimates of TMI, AMSR-E, SSMI, SSMIS, AMSU-B, and microwave humidity sounder (MHS) based on single satellite passive microwave data. Versions of the Goddard profiling (GPROF) algorithm are applied to create the input estimates from conical-scan passive microwave instruments, and the national environmental satellite data and information service (NESDIS) algorithm for cross-track scan microwave sounders. In common with other physically based algorithms, GPROF employs all available bands from modern conical-scan passive microwave imagers: 7 channels on SSMI and SSMIS and 9 channels on TMI and AMSR-E. The NESDIS algorithm uses 5 “high-frequency” channels and 1 AMSU-A channel from modern cross-track-scanning sounders: AMSU-B and MHS.

(b) 3B41RT (Variable Rain Rates, or VAR). The 3B41RT infrared (IR) precipitation estimate converts 0.25° × 0.25°—averaged hourly geostationary IR brightness temperature ($T_b$) to rain rates using spatially and temporally varying calibration by the HQ (3B40RT). The algorithm is a probability-matched threshold approach that ensures that the histogram of grid box-average IR precipitation rates matches the histogram of grid box-average HQ precipitation rates locally. As such, the colder an IR pixel is than the zero-precipitation threshold $T_{th}$, the larger the rain rate it receives. It is referred to as the variable rain rate (VAR) IR algorithm.

The input to VAR consists of the TRMM real-time HQ merged passive microwave precipitation estimates and the NOAA CPC merged global geosynchronous 11-micron infrared (geo-IR) $T_b$. The latter is provided for the latitude band between 60°N and 60°S based on merging all available images from GOES-E, GOES-W, MTSAT (previously GMS, GOES-9), METEOSAT 5, and METEOSAT 7.

(c) 3B42RT (Combination of HQ and VAR). This algorithm provides a combination of the TRMM real-time merged passive microwave (HQ, 3B40RT) and microwave-calibrated IR (VAR, 3B41RT). The current scheme is a simple replacement: for each grid box the HQ value is used if available, and otherwise the VAR value is used. The precipitation estimates
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are on a 3-hourly temporal resolution and a $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ spatial resolution.

2.1.2. TRMM-3B43. The algorithm 3B43 is applied to produce the "TRMM and Other Data" best-estimate precipitation rate and root-mean-square (RMS) precipitation-error estimates by combining the 3-hourly merged HQ/IR estimates with the monthly accumulated Global Precipitation Climatology Centre (GPCC) rain gauge analysis. These gridded estimates are on a calendar month temporal resolution and a $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ spatial resolution.

The 3-hourly merged high-quality IR estimates are summed for the calendar month, and then a large-scale bias adjustment is applied to the multisatellite estimates by use of the rain gauge data, almost exclusively over land. The monthly gauge-adjusted merged satellite estimate is then combined directly with the rain gauge estimates using inverse error variance weighting [26]. This algorithm is very similar to and directly follows that developed for the GPCP’s monthly satellite-gauge (SG) product [28, 29].

2.2. GPCP Products

2.2.1. GPCP V1.2 One-Degree Daily (1DD). The GPCP (Global Precipitation Climatology Project) 1DD uses the “best” quasi-global observational estimators of underlying statistics to adjust quasi-global observational datasets that have desirable time/space coverage. Specifically, SSMI and SSMIS calculated from GPROF algorithm provide fractional occurrence of precipitation. GPCP version 2.2 SG combination provides monthly accumulation of precipitation to algorithms applied to geo-IR $T_b$ histograms, low-orbit IR GOES precipitation index, and TOVS. Microwave precipitation estimates and gauge analyses are not explicitly used due to sampling limitations; however, the calibration of the 1DD to the monthly version 2.2 SG ensures that they do have a strong influence on the overall scaling. The grid precipitation field is presented at $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ resolution. Details of the GPCP 1DD algorithm are given in Huffman et al. [30]. The GPCP V1.2 products are available from July 1997 to December 2014, so in our analysis its climatology is averaged from 1998 to 2014.

2.2.2. GPCP Version 2.2 Combined Precipitation. The GPCP version 2.2 has been developed for its long-term (1979–present) global SG dataset to take advantage of the improved GPCC gauge analysis, which is a key input. The substantive changes relative to version 2.0 are the use of the new GPCC full data reanalysis (version 4) for 1979–2014 and the new GPCC monitoring product (version 2) thereafter and recalibration of the OLR precipitation index (OPI) data to a longer (20-year) record of the new SSM/I-era GPCP data. See Huffman et al. [31] for further details on the merging techniques.

2.3. CMAP Products. CMAP (CPC Merged Analysis of Precipitation) monthly precipitation data are available on the CPC website (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.cmap.html). Two versions have been released: CMAP-1, which merges gauge observations, satellite observations, and the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis, and CMAP-2, which includes the same inputs except for reanalysis precipitation [32]. Both are in $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ horizontal resolution covering the period from January 1979 through present. The merging technique of CMAP is described in detail by Xie and Arkin [32]. In this study, CMAP-2 monthly data from January 1979 to December 2014 are utilized.

2.4. Other Datasets. The dataset used to document the associated large-scale circulation is derived from the European Center for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim) dataset (http://data-portal.ecmwf.int/data/d/interim_daily/). ERA-Interim is an “interim” reanalysis from 1979 to the present in preparation for the next-generation extended reanalysis ERA-40. The former is more extensive than that for the latter; for example, the number of pressure levels is increased from ERA-40’s 23 to 37 levels with additional cloud parameters included. ERA-Interim products are also publicly available on the ECMWF data server, at a 0.75° by 0.75° resolution, including several products that were not available for ERA-40. In our study, we use the ECWMF interim dataset covering the BoB in the 2002 and 2005 summer monsoon seasons.

In addition, the hourly MTSAT-IR (Multifunctional Transport Satellite) equivalent blackbody temperature ($T_b$) data [33] (http://weather.is.kochi-u.ac.jp/archive-e.html) at $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ resolution in the summers of 2002 and 2005 are also used as a proxy of convective cloud feature in our analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Climatology. Figure 1 shows the distributions of the summer (JJA) mean precipitation from TRMM 3B43 and GPCP V1.2 during 1998–2014, GPCP V2.2 and CMAP during 1979–2014, and the TRMM subproducts of 3B40RT, 3B41RT, and 3B42RT during 2000–2014. Different lengths of the datasets are chosen in order to obtain the climatology feature based on data as long as possible. All datasets except for CMAP show similar spatial pattern. The rain belt lies along the eastern coast in the northern BoB with the maximum precipitation center over the ocean offshore. The summer rainfall climatology of TRMM products with high spatial resolution of $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ (Figures 1(a), 1(e), 1(f), and 1(g)) shows clearly the rain shadow on the leeward side associated with the orographic effect of Araka Yoma. Moreover, the rainfall maximum is not located on the windward slope of the mountain range but instead is offshore by as much as 200 km from the eastern coast (Figures 1(a), 1(e), 1(f), and 1(g)). The GPCP products (Figures 1(b) and 1(c)) agree well with TRMM products on relatively broad scales but differ in details. The precipitation amounts in GPCP V1.2 and V2.2 are smaller on windward side while being greater on leeward side than those in the TRMM 3B43 and 3B42RT, suggesting an overall weaker lifting effect of the narrow mountain in the GPCP products. Note that overall both TRMM 3B40RT and 3B41RT (Figures 1(e) and 1(f)) show lower precipitation amounts than TRMM
3B43 and 3B42RT (Figures I(e) and I(g)). TRMM 3B41RT (Figure I(f)) seems to show too low precipitation amount compared to other TRMM precipitation products while it gives similar spatial rainfall distribution in the region.

In sharp contrast, the orographic rainfall is poorly represented in the CMAP products (Figure I(d)). An east-west orientated rain belt is found with the center located over the top of the terrain and its east. This difference between CMAP and other datasets seems to be not because of the coarse resolution since similar features can be found in GPCP V2.2 product (Figure I(c)), which has a horizontal resolution of 2.5° × 2.5°, the same as the CMAP product. Therefore, different location in the precipitation maximum in CMAP may require a different explanation as suggested by Xie et al. [14].

Consistency can also be found among all TRMM and GPCP products for the climatological precipitation seasonal variation in JJA averaged in the latitudinal band between 19°N and 21°N shown in Figure 2. The rain increases with time and reaches the peak in June and July. Note that TRMM 3B43, GPCP V2.2, and CMAP are monthly mean precipitation data while the other four products are daily data. A rainband appears in the longitude range between 90° E and 93° E, on the windward side of the terrain, while significant rain shadow appears on the leeward side. All four daily precipitation products show very similar temporal evolution. In CMAP (Figure 2(d)), the rainband shifts eastward to 93°−96° E, again suggesting its poor performance in depicting the orography effect on local scale precipitation. Therefore, the CMAP product will not be considered in the comparison of interannual variability below.

3.2. Interannual Variability. Precipitation in the Asian monsoon region displays large interannual variability. In order to compare the ability of different products in depicting the interannual variation of precipitation in this region, two cases, that is, JJA of 2002 and 2005, are chosen. As shown in Figure 3(a), in JJA of 2002, the rainband from TRMM 3B43 lies along the shore with a well-defined maximum near the eastern coast. By contrast, the center of rainfall maximum was displaced to the open ocean in JJA of 2005 (Figure 3(g)). Indeed, the distribution of precipitation in JJA averaged between 19° and 21°N (Figure 4(a)) also reveals the difference between 2002 and 2005 associated with the orographic effect of Araka Yoma. The precipitation maximum in 2002 was located east at 93° E, while in 2005 two centers were located, respectively, at 92° E and 91.5° E, about 100–200 km more offshore. Moreover, the associated maximum in rain rate in 2005 was about 920 mm month$^{-1}$, less than that in 2002 (952.5 mm month$^{-1}$). Therefore, the rain rate derived from TRMM 3B43 product exhibits significant interannual variation in both location and magnitude, with more offshore located rainfall maximum and weaker rainfall amount in 2005 than that in 2002. This is the main reason why the JJA of 2002 and the JJA of 2005 are chosen to investigate the interannual variability in this study. Similar features can...
Figure 2: Climatological mean seasonal evolution of precipitation (mm month$^{-1}$) in JJA averaged in the latitudinal band of 19$^\circ$–21$^\circ$N from various precipitation products as indicated in each panel.

Table 1: The precipitation amount (mm month$^{-1}$) over 92°–93°E, 19°–21°N in JJA of 2002 and 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precipitation products</th>
<th>2002 JJA</th>
<th>2005 JJA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B43</td>
<td>907.6</td>
<td>899.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP V1.2</td>
<td>579.7</td>
<td>561.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP V2.2</td>
<td>537.4</td>
<td>516.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B40RT</td>
<td>499.1</td>
<td>551.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B41RT</td>
<td>463.9</td>
<td>435.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B42RT</td>
<td>777.5</td>
<td>853.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rainfall amount is a little bit larger in 2005 than in 2002 in TRMM 3B42RT (Figure 4(f) and Table 1).

Both the spatial rainfall distribution and rainfall intensity (Figures 3 and 4 and Table 1) suggest that the TRMM products (except for 3B42RT) and GPCP V1.2 present significant interannual variability over the east BoB in terms of the offshore precipitation distribution forced by the mesoscale mountain (Araka Yoma) in summer monsoon season, as demonstrated for 2002 and 2005. The rainfall maximum is located more offshore in JJA in 2005 than in 2002. For precipitation intensity, only TRMM 3B40RT and 3B42RT display larger rainfall amount in JJA in 2005 than in 2002 in both oceanic and coastal regions. In sharp contrast,
Figure 3: JJA mean precipitation (mm month$^{-1}$) distribution in 2002 ((a)–(f)) and 2005 ((g)–(l)). The blue dotted contours mark the topography at 500 m, 1000 m, and 1500 m above sea level.
Figure 4: JJA mean precipitation (mm month$^{-1}$) averaged within latitudinal band of 19–21°N in 2002 and 2005.
GPCP V2.2 and TRMM 3B42RT products show little year-to-year variation. This suggests, as summarized in Table 2, that obvious discrepancy exists among different precipitation products in the east BoB, not only in the spatial precipitation distribution, but also in the rainfall intensity.

A question rises as to which precipitation product is more reliable over the east BoB in the summer monsoon season in terms of the offshore precipitation maximum feature. To answer this question, we analyzed the satellite Tbb data for a comparison. Figure 5 shows a close examination of Tbb from MTSAT, which indicates that the convection center in 2005 shifts westward to the open ocean relative to that in 2002. The lowest Tbb value is below $-36^\circ$C in 2005, suggesting more intense convection in 2005 than in 2002. The Tbb is colder in JJA 2005 than in JJA 2002 not only in the open ocean but also in the coastal regions (Figure 5(c)), suggesting that there should be more convective precipitation over the coastal region in 2005. Among different precipitation products, the rainfall distribution from TRMM 3B40RT largely follows that inferred from the Tbb activity, with the larger precipitation both near shore and offshore in 2005 than in 2002.

Furthermore, previous modeling studies based on short (~1 day) integrations indicate that the observed displacement of the rainfall maximum away from the mountain summit is sensitive to the vertical shear of the prevailing winds and latent and sensible heat fluxes from the ocean [34]. During the focused period of this study, the low-level flow was characterized by the fully developed monsoon westerlies over the BoB, and the upper levels were prevailed by tropical easterlies, giving rise to the prevailing strong easterly shear.

Figure 6 compares the vertical profiles of zonal wind and vertical motion averaged between 19° and 21°N in JJA of 2002 and 2005. General features are similar to each other, with easterly vertical shear in both 2002 and 2005 and zero zonal wind at about 500 hPa (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). However, the zonal wind in the lower levels in the westerly
Figure 6: JJA mean zonal wind (m s$^{-1}$) and vertical motion ($-1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ Pa s$^{-1}$) from the ECMWF ERA-Interim data at 0.75° resolution in 2002 ((a), (d)), 2005 ((b), (e)), and their difference ((c), (f)) averaged within latitudinal band of 19–21°N.
Table 2: Summary of the precipitation products in representing the differences in the offshore rainfall maximum and intensity in the east BoB between 2002 JJA and 2005 JJA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precipitation products</th>
<th>More offshore located rainfall maximum in 2005</th>
<th>Greater rainfall intensity in 2005 near shore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B43</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP V1.2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCP V2.2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B40RT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B41RT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRMM 3B42RT</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

phase is stronger in 2005 than in 2002 (Figure 6(c)). In the upper levels the easterly in 2005 is about 4 m s\(^{-1}\) stronger than that in 2002 (Figure 6(c)). Although the orographic lifting on the windward side did occur in both 2002 and 2005, its intensity was weaker in the former year than in the latter, consistent with the weaker low-level prevailing wind. The weaker upward motion also extended over the near shore ocean in the east BoB, showing the vertical \(p\)-velocity about \(2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Pa s}^{-1}\) weaker over the coastal ocean in 2002 than in 2005 (Figure 6(f)). Furthermore, the sea surface temperature (SST) over the east BoB was over 0.4°C warmer in 2005 than in 2002 (Figure 7(c)), implying more favorable thermodynamic conditions for convection to occur in 2005. Thus, larger rainfall would be induced near shore in 2005. Based on the simulation results of [34], deep convection tends to develop offshore when the SST is higher and the low-level winds are stronger in 2005 because both tend to enhance surface heat and moisture fluxes. Therefore, the more
westward located offshore rainfall maximum with larger amplitude is expected in the 2005 monsoon season than in 2002 because of the stronger easterly vertical shear and larger surface heat and moisture fluxes associated with the warmer ocean surface and stronger low-level (surface) winds.

4. Concluding Remarks

In this study, we have compared several commonly used precipitation products to examine their strength in representing the precipitation features during the fully developed Asian summer monsoon season in the east BoB. The outstanding features of the monsoon rainfall in this area lie not only in its large precipitation amount, but also in its geographical distribution. The rainfall maximum is northwest-southeast-oriented, along the eastern coast of the BoB and on the windward side of the mountain summit. In climatology, all precipitation products present similar distribution of rainfall distribution except for the CMAP. However, significant discrepancies are found among different precipitation products in the year-to-year variation in both precipitation distribution and intensity, as illustrated by contrasting the summer rainfall in 2002 and 2005. Based on the TRMM products (except for 3B42RT) and GPCP V1.2, the precipitation maximum was located near the coast in JJA of 2002 while being about 100–200 km offshore over the open sea in 2005. However, the rainfall distribution derived from GPCP V2.2 product and TRMM 3B42RT shows little difference between 2002 and 2005 summers, with near shore rainfall maximum. For the rainfall intensity, only TRMM 3B40RT and 3B42RT show larger rainfall amount in both the oceanic and coastal regions in JJA 2005 than that in JJA 2002.

The distribution of Tbb also suggests more active convection in 2005 not only in open ocean but also near shore than in 2002 (Figure 5(c)). Furthermore, the large-scale circulation and SST fields in 2002 and 2005 were compared to infer the dynamical and thermodynamic forcings potentially contributing to the difference in the rainfall distribution between the two summers. The results show that greater large-scale easterly vertical wind shear and higher SST over the east BoB occurred in 2005 than in 2002. This leads to more offshore rainfall maximum with larger rainfall intensity in 2005, a distinct feature that is well captured by the TRMM 3B40RT precipitation products. In sharp contrast, the relatively weaker easterly vertical wind shear and lower SST over the east BoB in 2002 were less favorable for the offshore propagation of orographically forced convection, resulting in the rainfall maximum of lower amount near the coastline. The difference between 2002 and 2005 infers the remarkable interannual variation in the offshore rain distribution and intensity in the coastal region of the east BoB. The results suggest that the TRMM 3B40RT products are more reliable among different precipitation products examined in this study in terms of the offshore precipitation distribution and intensity in the coastal region of the east BoB.

Comparing the algorithms of these precipitation products, only the TRMM 3B40RT is primarily based on the passive microwave, while others are from IR estimation (TRMM 3B41RT) or the combination of passive microwave and IR estimations (TRMM 3B42RT, TRMM 3B43, and GPCP products). The discrepancies of these precipitation products in representing the offshore precipitation features in the east BoB may imply that the passive microwave-based precipitation is more reliable in the east BoB than the IR estimated rainfall.

Note that the interannual variability of the offshore rainfall distribution in the eastern BoB is inferred from the contrasts between two summer monsoon seasons (2002 and 2005). Although a complete comparison through the whole analysis period (1998–2014) could be done, we found that 17 years are still too short for a statistical analysis for the interannual variability. Nevertheless, the two typical years we chose provide the insight into the physical processes behind the difference in the rainfall distribution in the two years.

In addition, the widely used CMAP data fails to detect the orographically forced rainfall along the eastern coast of the BoB. The rainfall center in the CMAP appears over the peak of the Araka Yoma both in the climatology and in any particular year. Its failure could not be explained by its coarse resolution since GPCP V2.2 with the same resolution of 2.5° × 2.5° gives comparable results as those from the higher resolution TRMM precipitation products. This indicates that the CMAP data have lower skill in capturing the orographically forced rainfall distribution associated with the mesoscale mountains in the studied region. Therefore, special attention should be given to the reliability and quality of the various precipitation datasets when regional and mesoscale features of precipitation in regions with orographic effects are a major concern.
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