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Hydrograph Separation in the Headwaters of the Shule River Basin: Combining Water Chemistry and Stable Isotopes
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1. Introduction

The quantification of catchment response to rainfall or snowmelt events in terms of water fluxes and chemical composition is an important issue in catchment hydrology. In particular, during flooding periods, different interacting processes occur that are spatially distributed within the catchment [1, 2]. These processes are defined by physiographic characteristics. In addition, runoff generation depends on the initial state of the various hydrological reservoirs and on the characteristics of the hydrological input (precipitation or snowmelt). Due to these factors, it is difficult to identify the dominant runoff generation processes [3].

The water shortage and low use efficiency make China thirsty, and the loss of glacier and wetland in the western plateau will exaggerate this thirst in the future [4], while the same situation happens in other places of the world [5]. Therefore, it is important to understand the runoff generation mechanism. In arid or semiarid regions, water is a key factor affecting the biomass production. A better understanding of runoff generation processes as well as catchment function is important for improved water resources management [6]. The hydrograph separation technique using natural tracers, in which different runoff components are quantified according to their chemical signature, is a widely used method for investigating runoff generation processes at
the catchment scale [7]. Isotopes were used to quantify the interaction of different end member in glaciated catchments for longer time periods (monthly) and/or larger catchment areas (>1000 km²) [8–10]. Isotope techniques can be easily and successfully used to study the origin and dynamics of surface water and groundwater, evaporation of water bodies, and mixing processes between various water sources [11–13]. To obtain both temporal and spatial origins, some investigations using stable isotopes associated with chemical tracers have been undertaken in several different basins [14, 15]. Hydrochemical tracers, such as PH, electrical conductivity, or the concentration of different anions and cations [16], have been used to determine the origin of runoff components. In recent years, geochemical methods and environmental isotope techniques have been used increasingly to determine runoff components in various catchments under different environmental conditions [17, 18]. In the arid and semiarid areas, a combination of hydrologic and environmental isotope methods (18O, D) has been proved to be a valuable tool for studying processes within the water cycle and in isotope hydrology [19–21].

One common tool to identify runoff sources and flux components and calculate their contributions to the stream discharge is end member mixing analysis (EMMA) [22]. EMMA techniques have been applied in varieties of studies, at both the plot and the catchment scales. Only few studies have applied EMMA in the arid or semiarid regions [23]. It has been applied in many studies to identify end members at small catchment scales that describe the vertical sequence of water storages to flow contribution. These vertical end members are, for example, rain, soil water, and groundwater [24] or overland flow, soil water, and hillslope water. Fewer studies have applied EMMA at larger catchment scales of hundreds or even thousands of square kilometers [25]. This approach is based on three mass conservation: one for water, one for isotopic tracer, and one for geochemical tracer. It allows separating the relative contribution of the different components, which correspond to different reservoirs or different contributive areas. The use of isotopic tracers allows separating the runoff hydrograph into pre-event water and event water while the use of geochemical tracers allows identifying the three origins of the runoff components [26, 27].

There is a clear need to develop predictive capabilities related to the identification of runoff generating sources in large ungauged basins, particularly in emergent countries such as China [28]. Runoff generation and dynamics is an important issue in watershed and water resource management. On the one hand, knowledge about runoff generation processes and flow pathways is crucial for evaluating the vulnerability of surface and groundwater system [29]. On the other hand, such knowledge helps to develop and validate hydrological models. Since arid and semiarid basins usually have more severe natural conditions and scarcities of observation data, the application of isotope techniques in catchment hydrology study seems to be a more economic and helpful tool [30]. Understanding hydrological processes will significantly add our ability to evaluate potential tradeoffs between social development and water availability. We expect that scientific results will provide an insight for water resource and watershed management in a large-area.

In this study, we applied the EMMA method to identify and quantify the major runoff generating sources in a three end members' system. The objectives of this study are (1) to identify runoff producing sources using 18O and chloride ion as tracers in the headwater area of the Shule River Basin, (2) to investigate the applicability of the EMMA method in semiarid catchments, (3) to calculate the contributions of the three components of runoff. We developed a conceptual hydrograph separation technique, namely, three components' mixing model. It is based on the steady-state mass balance equations of water and tracer fluxes in a catchment. In addition, uncertainties analyses were performed for the hydrograph separation.

2. Study Areas

The Shule River, the third largest inland rivers basin with the whole catchment area of approximately \(1.42 \times 10^4 \) km², is located in the Western Qilian Mountains. The upstream of the Shule River (Figure 1), at 96.6°E–99.0°E and 38.2°N–40.0°N, with the area of \(1.14 \times 10^4 \) km² and the mean elevation of 3885 m, is located in the Tianjin, Qinghai Province, Northeastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau. The mainstream annual runoff varying significantly during different years is 10.83 \(\times\) \(10^8\) m³. The 53% of the total annual runoff concentrated between July and September. However, the runoff distributed unevenly over time. The runoff in spring and winter only makes up for 8.5% and 10%, respectively. According to nearly 40 years' hydrological data of Changmabao gauge station, the annual runoff of dry years and wet years is 5.36 \(\times\) \(10^8\) m³ and 15.07 \(\times\) \(10^8\) m³, respectively.

In the headwater area of Shule River Basin, our study area, Gahe, at 96.49°E–98.58°E and 38.02°N–39.12°N, with the area 4096 km², there are 347 glaciers and the area of glaciers is 29.45 km², which accounts for 0.72% of the headwater area (Figure 2). Glaciers are mainly distributed above elevation 4500 m, which is located in Shule Nanshan and Tuolai Nanshan [31]. Annual sunshine time is 3033–3246 hours. The mean annual elevation is 4000~4500 m. The mean annual air temperature is approximately −5°C, the annual precipitation is 100~300 mm and mainly falling between May and September, and annual evaporation is about 1200 mm [32]. The temperatures of the hottest month (July) and the coldest month (January) are 7.5°C and −17.5°C, respectively. The study area belongs to the continental arid desert climate region which is characterized by cold, dry winters and relative warm, wet summers [33]. In the growing season of May through September, the plentiful sunshine and rainfall (80% of annual total precipitation) allows plants to grow efficiently.

The Quaternary sediments, comprising diluvial-alluvial, aeolian, and lacustrine deposits, form the main aquifers in the basin. These sediments are enriched in calcite, gypsum, and mirabilite in parts of the middle reaches, and soil salinization occurs widely in the middle and lower reaches. The depth to the water table is 5~10 m. There formed
3. Material and Methods

3.1. Field Sampling. Intensive synoptic sampling was carried out between April and September 2009 in Gahe, the headwater area of the Shule River, consisting of 95 samples. Precipitation, glacial meltwater, groundwater, and river water were sampled. The number of four kinds of samples is precipitation 15, river water 30, groundwater 31, and glacial meltwater 19, respectively. Precipitation, glacial meltwater, groundwater, and river water were sampled and analyzed for stable water isotopes ($^{18}$O and $^2$H), major ion chemistry parameters as well. Samples were collected in polyethylene bottles and filtered through 0.45 mm Millipore membrane for major element analyses. Meteorological parameters and hydrology data were measured continuously by means of an automatic weather station and gauge station. Precipitation samples were collected immediately after each precipitation event in order to minimize the alteration of heavy isotopes by evaporation with plastic basin sets. River water and groundwater samples were collected once a week. Due to the limitations of some nature conditions, we cannot get to glaciers distributed around Gahe. Hence, we collected the glacial meltwater samples in Laohugou Glacier number 12. Due to the background of the same atmospheric circulation, the moisture of the two sites comes from the same source. We considered the substitute is feasible.

3.2. Laboratory Analyses. All samples were kept in near-frozen condition and transported to the State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science, Cold and Arid Regions Environmental and Engineering Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for test.

Concentration of anions (Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$) was analyzed by Ion Chromatography (IC, DX-120, Dionex, Germany) while HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$ were analyzed by the titration method. Cations, K$^+$, Na$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Mg$^{2+}$ were analyzed by using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) method. Every sample value represents the mean of two consecutive measurements. Measurement errors were less than 1%. The detection limits of all ions were lower than 0.1 mg/L. Chloride ion and $^{18}$O were finally selected to assess the different contributing sources using mass balance equations and end member mixing diagrams.

The $\delta^D$ and $\delta^{18}$O composition of all water samples were analyzed by Liquid-Water Isotope Analyzer (DLT 100, Los Gatos, USA) based on off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy (OA-ICOS). Each sample is injected six times to avoid memory effect between samples. The isotopic ratios were expressed in per mil (‰) units relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (V-SMOW):

$$\delta = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{SMOW}}} - 1 \right) \times 10^3,$$

(1)

where $R$ is the ration $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O or $^2$H/$^1$H. Precision of $\delta^D$ and $\delta^{18}$O was $\pm 0.6$‰ and $\pm 0.2$‰, respectively.

3.3. Hydrograph Separation Method and Uncertainties Analysis. In general, hydrograph separations are based on...
the steady-state mass balance equations of water and tracer fluxes in a catchment [34]. Following are the equations:

\[ Q_s = Q_g + Q_p + Q_m, \]

\[ Q_s \delta_s = Q_g \delta_g + Q_p \delta_p + Q_m \delta_m, \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ Q_s C_s = Q_g C_g + Q_p C_p + Q_m C_m. \]

We converted the equations to vector form:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Q_g \\
Q_p \\
Q_m
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
\delta_g & \delta_p & \delta_m \\
C_g & C_p & C_m
\end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\delta_s \\
C_s
\end{bmatrix}, \hspace{1cm} (3)
\]

Then the right side equations are divided by \( Q_s \):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Q_g \\
Q_p \\
Q_m \\
Q_s
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
\delta_g & \delta_p & \delta_m \\
C_g & C_p & C_m \\
1
\end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\delta_s \\
C_s \\
Q_s
\end{bmatrix}, \hspace{1cm} (4)
\]

where \( Q \) is the discharge and \( C \) and \( \delta \) are the concentration of tracer chloride ion and \(^{18}\)O, respectively. Subscripts \( s, g, p, \) and \( m \) refer to river water, groundwater, precipitation, and glacial meltwater, respectively. The application of these equations is based on certain assumptions, which are discussed, for instance, by Hinton et al. [35], Buttle [1], or Rodhe [36]:

\[ w_f = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} w_{x_1} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2} w_{x_2} \right)^2 + \cdots + \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_n} w_{x_n} \right)^2}, \]

\[ w_{x_f} = \sqrt{\left[ \frac{C_e - C_s}{(C_e - C_p)^2} w_{C_p} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{C_s - C_p}{(C_e - C_p)^2} w_{C_s} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{1}{(C_e - C_p)} w_{C_e} \right]^2}, \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( w \) represents the uncertainty in the variable specified in the subscript, \( e \) is the concentration of corresponding tracer, \( e \) represents the event water, and \( p \) represents the preevent water. In the results the relative error is given as percentage value.

It is demonstrated that large relative uncertainties must be considered for the quantification of runoff components. Uncertainties are caused by (1) tracer analysis and discharge measurement; (2) intrastorm variability of \(^{18}\)O; (3) elevation effect of \(^{18}\)O and chloride; (4) solution of minerals during runoff formation; and (5) general spatial heterogeneity of tracer concentrations. The last source of error was the most significant. An investigation on the dominating runoff generation processes in the catchment, before a model is set up, would reduce such uncertainties.

(1) there is a significant difference between the tracer concentrations of the different components;

(2) the tracer concentrations are constant in space and time, or any variations can be accounted for;

(3) contributions of an additional component must be negligible, or the tracer concentrations must be similar to that of another component;

(4) the tracers must mix conservatively;

(5) the tracer concentrations of the components are not collinear.

Recent focus of hydrograph separation has been on uncertainty analysis. Several approaches are available for calculating uncertainty. Genereux (1998) suggested a general uncertainty propagation technique using Gaussian error estimators for two- and three-component separations [37]. However, an extensive overview of all possible causes of hydrograph separation uncertainties during different periods of a given event is still lacking. A classical Gaussian error propagation technique was applied to quantify the uncertainty of tracer-based hydrograph separations. This technique is generally used in other scientific and engineering problems. Errors of all separation equation variables are considered. Assuming that the uncertainty in each variable is independent of the uncertainty in the others, the relative error \( W_f \) of the contribution of a specific runoff component is related to the uncertainty in each of the variables by the following [37]:

4. Results

4.1. Temporal Variance of Runoff. The temporal variance of runoff is showed in Figure 3. The runoff showed a significant seasonal variation. The runoff varied in the range of 3.82–338.09 m³/s with an average of 71.57 m³/s. There is a minor peak in April since the snowmelt peak usually occurs in spring. We could see from the figure that most of peak flows were corresponding with the big rainfall events between June and September. It means the significant increase of runoff is the results of precipitation event. The average runoff is dominated by a snowmelt peak in spring followed by a decline in discharge over the growing season. From June to September, when most rainstorms occur, there is a considerable increase in discharge, followed by an again declining hydrograph until October, when the river itself freezes.
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4.2. Isotopic Composition

4.2.1. Isotopic Composition of River Water. The isotopic composition of river water during April and September in the Gahe station shows a steady variability, ranging from −9.9 to −8.5‰ in δ18O and from −68.9 to −58.2‰ in δD, respectively. The possibility of differential isotopic evaporation of samples can be analyzed by comparing the samples to the meteoric water line which is formed by plotting δD against δ18O [38]. The local meteoric water line (LMWL) is commonly used as indicators of water vapor source, source of the humidity, and kinetic conditions in a number of fields including isotope hydrology [39]. The relationship between river water and the local meteoric water line (LMWL) was displayed by bivariate plot of δ18O versus δD (Figure 4). According to the distribution of river water in the space of δ18O versus δD, most of the river water sample points were located approaching the local meteoric water line (LMWL) (δD = 8.11δ18O + 11.40, R² = 0.97, n = 30). Also, the slope of the regression line was fairly close to the multiple-year observed values in Northwest China (7.88) and in Heihe River Basin, an inland river basin neighboring the study area (7.82) [40].

4.2.2. Isotopic Signature of Precipitation, Groundwater, and Glacial Meltwater. The isotopic composition of precipitation shows a relative significant variance. The values of δ18O fluctuate in −13.0~−8.3‰ and −95.1~−54.2‰ in δD. The equation between δ18O and δD (δD = 7.68δ18O + 9.29, R² = 0.97, n = 15) (Figure 5(a)). The temporal and spatial variability of δ18O in precipitation are relatively high. This is caused by fractionation during evapotranspiration and condensation due to lower saturated vapor pressure of water molecules containing the heavier 18O isotope than that of water molecules containing the lighter 16O isotope. As a result, the δ18O in precipitation decreases with decreasing air temperature, increasing elevation, increasing latitude, increasing distance of vapor transport through the atmosphere, and increasing precipitation amounts.

The isotopic composition of groundwater ranges in −9.5~−6.7‰ (in δ18O) and −68.2~−45.3‰ (in δD). The equation between δ18O and δD (δD = 8.28δ18O + 10.74, R² = 0.96, n = 31) (Figure 5(b)). It is fairly close to that of river water. The value of δ18O ranges in −14.7~−12.3‰ and δD in −105.0~−85.1‰ in glacial meltwater. The equation between δ18O and δD (δD = 7.55δ18O + 7.34, R² = 0.96, n = 19) (Figure 5(c)). The stable isotope ratios of hydrogen and oxygen of water samples can provide essential information about water dynamics within a given watershed. In general, this is from isotope fractionation by evaporation, altitude effects, and different water sources they received [23]. The slope and the intercept of LMWL were slightly lower, showing drier and stronger local evaporation conditions. Evaporation caused a differential increase in the δD and δ18O values of the remaining water, resulting in a lower slope for the linear relationship between δD and δ18O values [41].

4.3. Temporal Variance of Cl−. It can be assumed that mixing processes in the catchment determine the isotopic concentration of total runoff. However, the hydrochemical composition of water is essentially changed as a result of interactions with organic and inorganic material during its passage through the unsaturated and saturated zones. The concentration of Cl− in river water fluctuates in 9.4~13.4 mg L−1, with an average of 11.2 mg L−1. The variance of Cl− concentration has much relationship with runoff (Figure 6). In spring, the spring flood caused by snowmelt water makes the soil chemical ions into the river, so the concentration of Cl− is relatively high. Afterwards, with the increase of snowmelt water, glacier meltwater, and precipitation, the runoff has been showing a different amplitudes increase. With the increase of runoff, the dilution effect of ions has also increased, so the concentration of Cl− decreased. Although groundwater recharged, the dilution effect outweighs the supply effect. During August and September, the runoff has a considerable decrease;
the concentration of Cl\(^-\) decreased because of the weakening of dilution effect.

4.4. Identification of End Members. Applying the method of geochemical and isotopic tracing, in this paper the runoff characteristics and hydrological law at the Gahe station are investigated at different periods in 2009. We conducted a performance of principal component analysis (PCA) on the concentration data of chloride ion. The results showed that the chemical tracer exhibits conservative behavior, whereas isotopes are geographical source tracers and only change composition due to slow fractionation processes [42]. \(^{18}\)O belongs to the group of stable environmental isotopes occurring naturally in water. It has been widely used to separate storm flow into proportions of event and preevent water [1]. As part of the water molecule, \(^{18}\)O behaves conservatively; that is, the combination of chemical and isotopic tracers allows identifying the origin of water pathways.

For the three-component hydrograph separation, the choice of a suitable tracer constellation to explain the chemical changes in discharge during a storm as well as to determine and to identify dominant sources, flow paths, and residence times in the catchment becomes increasingly important. The study suggests that hydrological tracer chlorine concentration and \(^{18}\)O can be used under certain hydrological and lithological conditions. A system of algebraic equations is introduced that enables a three-component hydrograph separation by using \(^{18}\)O and chlorine. These
Table 1: Mean, maximum, minimum, and standard deviation values for the concentration of isotope and chloride ion in river water, precipitation, groundwater, and glacial meltwater.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\delta^{18}$O (‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^D$ (‰)</th>
<th>Cl$^-$ (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River water</td>
<td>$-9.2$</td>
<td>$-8.5$</td>
<td>$-9.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>$-10.2$</td>
<td>$-8.3$</td>
<td>$-13.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground water</td>
<td>$-8.1$</td>
<td>$-6.7$</td>
<td>$-9.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glacial meltwater</td>
<td>$-13.2$</td>
<td>$-12.3$</td>
<td>$-14.7$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

alternative tracers should, however, be verified against more conventional tracers before use, as the behavior depends on specific characteristics of solutes.

The basic assumption in EMMA is that the stream water is a discrete mixture of its sources. The sources must therefore be of sufficiently different concentrations compared with the stream water. We projected the average values of tracer chloride ion and $^{18}$O of three end members in triangle to test and verify the independence (Figure 7). It shows that most of stream water observations fall into the triangle that is spanned by three end members (precipitation, groundwater, and glacial meltwater). However, there exist some stream water observations that lie outside of the triangle. In many other studies that apply EMMA such a situation has been described [14, 22–24, 35]. These outliers result from a number of factors including (1) uncertainty in field sampling and laboratory analyses, (2) lack of temporal invariance of end members, or (3) the expression of different end member in the mixture as water source areas change temporally. Overall, the result can lead to over- or underprediction of the contributions of each end member to the stream water and should be understood as a source of uncertainty.

4.5. Contribution of End Members to Runoff. Owing to the geological and geomorphological genesis of the study site there are at least three runoff sources having distinct hydrological characteristics. Results obtained by the use of the three-component mixing model are shown in Figure 8. Based on the concentration data of isotope and chloride ion (Table 1), the contributions of each end member to river water were calculated according to the steady-state mass balance equations of water and tracer fluxes (equations (2)). Isotopic hydrograph separation shows that the contribution of groundwater, precipitation, and glacial meltwater is 66.7%, 19.9%, and 13.4%, respectively. The study indicated that groundwater dominated runoff in the headwater area of Shule River Basin. And the roles of glacier meltwater should be significantly noticed in water resource management in this catchment. The glaciers are the headwaters of many rivers and they affect the water discharge of large rivers [43].

Despite the reasonable illustration of the qualitative behavior of runoff components, an exact quantification of runoff components contributing remained difficult and is strongly related to the determination of tracer concentrations.
in both runoff sources. Owing to the presence of various uncertainties, only qualitative results were achieved. Further experimental investigations are needed to define the tracer concentrations and their variability with greater accuracy. For hydrograph separations in larger scale basins, an extensive consideration of the spatial variability along with the superposition of spatially distributed runoff components is a challenging task for future research. Our results prompt us to focus future work on understanding interannual changes in end member contribution especially in semiarid regions.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

The isotopic and chemical values originate from measurement methods, field data, or the expert knowledge of the investigators. This is reasonable even if the implications of the effects are the same, since all of them cause an uncertain estimation of the end member concentrations and thus of the contribution of different runoff components. So-called end member concentrations need to be defined for every tracer of a specific runoff component for each separation time step in order to calculate the component proportions using mass balance equations for the tracers and the water. However, the determination of these concentrations is often problematic, as it has been shown that they may exhibit high temporal and spatial variability and always include errors caused by the analysis [18, 21]. Therefore, the uncertainty of hydrograph separation results must be addressed. In general, large relative uncertainties must be considered while performing hydrograph separations. Predictive uncertainty is the primary impediment to progress in this area, but continued progress is being made to more fully quantify uncertainty and more fully explore its implications. The importance of reducing errors that have the largest impact on uncertainty is clearly demonstrated. Therefore, future investigations are needed to define with greater accuracy end member tracer concentrations and their spatial and temporal variability. Moving towards an understanding of uncertainties complexity is a challenging and important task for future research in catchment hydrology.

Assumed values of the uncertainty in isotopic composition were $\omega_{C_p} = 0.2\%$, $\omega_{C_m} = 0.4\%$ [43]. We calculated the uncertainty of tracers itself to be 9%. Analyses suggested that the uncertainty in the measurement method was less important than that in the temporal and spatial variations of tracer concentrations. The uncertainty terms for precipitation were generally higher than 80% of the total uncertainty, indicating that the $\delta^{18}$O values of precipitation account for the majority of uncertainty. The uncertainty was sensitive when the difference between mixing components was small. Therefore, the variation of tracers and the difference of mixing components should be considered when hydrograph separation was applied in the basin.

Hydrograph separation shows that the contribution of groundwater, precipitation, and glacial meltwater is 66.7 ± 6.02%, 19.9 ± 1.79%, and 13.4 ± 1.20%, respectively. There are 347 glaciers and the area of glaciers is 29.45 km$^2$, which accounts for 0.72% of the headwater area. Under the background of global warming, rising temperature leads to the increase of snowmelt and accelerating the retreat of glaciers, which will have a significant impact on regional runoff. The roles of glacier meltwater should be significantly noticed in water resource management in this catchment. In addition to temporal variability, a superposition of spatial and temporal distributed runoff components needs to be considered. Moving towards an understanding of this complexity is a challenging and important task for future research in catchment hydrology.

Several studies compared the results of two- and three-component separation. Wenninger et al. (2004) showed a difference of 10% in pre-event water contributions between the two methods, because the three-component separation accounted for snow and rain inputs together while the two-component separation accounted for rain inputs only [44]. Dense temporal sampling of hydrographs is often challenging especially at remote locations. Therefore studies that investigate runoff generation in alpine catchment are rare. Pionke et al. showed that for a 7.4 km$^2$ watershed three of four monitored storms were dominated by pre-event water (55–94% in total) [45]. DeWalle showed that in a smaller catchment (0.198 km$^2$) storm runoff was also dominated by pre-event water contributions, 90% over the course of the hydrograph [46]. For a 45 km$^2$ catchment, Buda found more than 80% pre-event water contributions to the channel storm flow with 67% during the peak flow in a 6 ha catchment [47]. A similar range of pre-event water contributions (80%, 60%) was reported by Muyunza et al. (2012) for two mesoscale catchments (129.3 km$^2$, 257.4 km$^2$) in Rwanda [48]. Dong et al. have used the recursive digital filter method and smoothed minimum method to separate base flow based on daily runoff data from 1954 to 2009 in the upper reaches of the Shule River Basin, the recursive digital filter and smoothed minimum method were used for base flow separation. The base flow

![Figure 8: The conclusion of hydrograph separation.](image)
index is different between the calculation results from the two methods (0.77 and 0.66) [49].

One shortcoming of this study is that the identification of end members is limited to data collected during the vegetation period which comprises only 6 months of the year. Moreover, we only have the isotope data and chemical parameters of one hydrologic section, so we cannot analyze the spatial variability. The importance of reducing errors that have the largest impact is clearly demonstrated; therefore, a targeted sampling strategy is required. In order to fully characterize the range of climatic variability, our results emphasize the need of continued development of the long-term measurement. Our results prompt us to focus future work on understanding interannual changes in end member contribution especially in semiarid regions.
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