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Climate Warming in Response to Emission Reductions Consistent with the Paris Agreement
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To limit global warming to well below 2°C in accord with the Paris Agreement, countries throughout the world have submitted their Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs) outlining their greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation actions in the next few decades. However, it remains unclear what the resulting climate change is in response to the proposed INDCs and subsequent emission reductions. In this study, the global and regional warming under the updated INDC scenarios was estimated from a range of comprehensive Earth system models (CMIP5) and a simpler carbon-climate model (MAGICC), based on the relationship of climate response to cumulative emissions. The global GHG emissions under the updated INDC pledges are estimated to reach 14.2–15.0 GtC/year in 2030, resulting in a global mean temperature increase of 1.29–1.55°C (median of 1.41°C) above the preindustrial level. By extending the INDC scenarios to 2100, global GHG emissions are estimated to be around 6.4–9.0 GtC/year in 2100, resulting in a global mean temperature increase by 2.67–3.74°C (median of 3.17°C). The Arctic warming is projected to be most profound, exceeding the global average by a factor of three by the end of this century. Thus, climate warming under INDC scenarios is projected to greatly exceed the long-term Paris Agreement goal of stabilizing the global mean temperature at a low level of 1.5–2.0°C above the pre-industrial. Our study suggests that the INDC emission commitments need to be adjusted and strengthened to bridge this warming gap.

1. Introduction

To limit global mean warming to well below 2°C, in accordance with the Paris Agreement [1], 190 countries submitted their Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs), which outline the intended post-2020 emission plans of each country [2]. INDCs became the first target of greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation reached through a bottom-up approach by nationally intended efforts, so it is easier to monitor a level of commitment than before through a top-down system. However, the impacts of these emission-reduction efforts on climate warming are poorly understood and their adequacy to meet the long-term goal of stabilizing the global mean temperature to 1.5°C or 2°C above the preindustrial level is still unknown.

To simulate climate response under INDC scenarios, running a full suite of comprehensive Earth system models (ESMs), such as the CMIP5 models (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project), is unrealistic due to the high computational cost, while running only one certain model is not representative of climate response of the Earth system due to potential model biases. Recent studies have shown a near linear relationship between cumulative carbon emissions and temperature change [3–10]; thereby providing a way to...
evaluate climate response under INDC scenarios without the need of running additional simulations by comprehensive Earth system models.

In the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) [11], future climate change was projected under a set of Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) scenarios, using a model ensemble of comprehensive Earth system models (CMIP5) [12] and a reduced-complexity carbon-cycle and climate model (Model for the Assessment of Greenhouse Gas Induced Climate Change (MAGICC)) [13, 14]. The ratio of temperature increase to cumulative carbon emissions, also referred to as the transient climate response to cumulative carbon emissions (TCRE), is relatively constant over time and is independent of the CO₂ emissions pathway [4, 15, 16]. Expert judgements [4, 5, 7, 10] based on multiple lines of evidence estimate TCRE to be likely between 0.8°C and 2.5°C per 1000 GtC (5 to 95%), of cumulative CO₂ emissions. Most of the above studies presented results only for CO₂ emissions, without considering the effects of non-CO₂ forcing. When non-CO₂ GHG forcing is considered, the additional net warming from non-CO₂ forcings contributes to lower levels of emissions allowed to reach the given temperature target [16–18].

Therefore, estimates of the climate response to cumulative carbon emissions provide a useful benchmark for assessing the climate response under different emission scenarios. In this study, we make use of the available data of climate responses to cumulative emissions based on CMIP5 and MAGICC simulations, to estimate the global mean warming in response to the INDC scenarios. This study is structured as follows: Section 2 describes the methods and data sources, Section 3.1 presents the conditional and unconditional INDC committed emissions, Section 3.2 examines climate responses to cumulative emissions in CMIP5 and MAGICC models and presents an estimate of warming under INDC emission scenarios, while Section 4 provides the conclusions and further discussion.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. INDC Data. The INDC dataset is continuously updated, and includes 192 countries (165 INDCs) that submitted their pledges up to 2017 (July). Most countries have reported their composite targets, such as emission targets, energy targets, forest targets, and adaptation targets [2]. The emission targets reported by countries vary from absolute emission target (e.g., reducing emissions by a given amount of GtC/year) to emission target relative to the base year level (e.g., reducing emissions back to 2010 or 2000 levels), or emission-reduction target relative to the baseline emission scenario (e.g., reducing emissions compared with the business-as-usual scenario (BAU, 2030 levels)). The base years of emission data of each country were obtained from the UNFCCC national inventories [19]. The baseline scenario data of each country were calculated according to the predicted emissions from the Stockholm Environment Institute [20]. The reported emission targets of each country were extracted and, subsequently, total cumulative emissions were calculated (see Section 2.2). The 165 INDCs cover 192 countries, which together account for more than 99% of global GHG emissions. In addition, three countries (Libya, Nicaragua, and Syria) still had not submitted INDC reports at the time of this analysis. The INDC emission targets consist of both the unconditional targets (voluntary emission reductions, irrespective of the actions of other countries) and conditional targets (more aggressive mitigation actions if certain conditions are met regarding the provision of finance or technological assistance from developed countries). Supplementary Table S1 shows the INDCs of the 165 countries (up to July 2017) analyzed in this study.

2.2. Calculating Cumulative Global INDC Emissions. Global cumulative INDC emissions were estimated by summing national INDC emissions for each year. National INDC commitments provide the emission level of the pre-2030 period (Figure 1). For the post-2030 period, annual emission pathways were obtained by linear interpolation based on the expected level of development. The global emissions are assumed to peak in 2030 and then decline steadily (Figure 2). We assume that the continued action of national emission reduction was adopted in the scenario over the 21st century, and the relatively constant decarbonisation rates were followed for the period after 2030.

2.3. Temperature Response to INDC Emissions. The temperature response to cumulative INDC emissions was estimated based on a well-established framework of climate response to cumulative carbon emissions [3, 4, 6, 8–10, 16]. Cumulative carbon emissions and temperature responses from RCP experiments were used to construct a function of climate responses to cumulative emissions referred to as TCREₐₐ₃ (Figure 3):

\[
TCREₐₐ₃ = \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta I},
\]

where TCREₐₐ₃ represents the climate response for all GHGs, both for CO₂ effect and for non-CO₂ GHG effect. ΔI represents the cumulative anthropogenic GHG emissions above the current level in 2012, including CO₂ and other non-CO₂ GHG emissions. All non-CO₂ emissions were converted into a unified unit of CO₂ equivalent emissions, according to the global warming potential of each gas [21]. ΔT is the corresponding change of global temperature, subject to decadal smoothing. These data were obtained from the RCP simulation experiments for CMIP5 and MAGICC models.

The warming above the current level (ΔTᵢₐ₃) under the INDC scenarios was estimated by the following equation:

\[
ΔTᵢₐ₃ = TCREₐₐ₃ × ΔIᵢ₃,
\]

where ΔIᵢ₃ represents cumulative emissions (from year 2012) under the INDC scenarios, which was calculated by summing national INDC emissions for each year.

Then, the warming level above the preindustrial level was estimated based on the sum of the INDC warming above the current level (ΔTᵢ₃) and the current warming above the preindustrial level. The current warming in 2012 was estimated to be about 0.85 ± 0.14°C [22].
The spatial pattern of global warming under the INDC scenario was estimated based on the time-slice approach [23–26], where the spatial state at a specific warming point related to $\Delta T_{\text{INDC}}$ is taken from the decadal time slices with the respective mean warming for each model separately. This study uses the spatial output from 12 comprehensive Earth system models from the CMIP5 project [27]. These models include BCC_CSM 1.1 (China), CanESM2 (Canada), CESM1 (BGC) (USA), GFDL-ESM2G (USA), GFDL-ESM2M (USA), INM-CM4 (Russia), IPSL-CM5A-LR (France), IPSL-CM5A-MR (France), MIROC-ESM (Japan), and MPI-ESM-LR and MPI-ESM-MR (Germany). We make use of RCP 4.5, 6.0, and 8.5 scenarios and identify the respective warming patterns corresponding to INDC warming for each model, followed by computing a multimodel average state of the spatial warming pattern based on all model simulations. The simulations are regridded into a common $144 \times 72$ grid $(2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ)$. The CMIP5 models considered in this study are comprehensive Earth system models (ESMs) with coupled carbon-climate system responses, where terrestrial and ocean carbon-cycle processes are coupled with atmosphere-ocean general circulation models [27, 28]. In addition to CMIP5 ESMs, we also make use of the MAGICC scenario database. The MAGICC model consists of reduced-complexity carbon-cycle and climate models and emulates the global and annual mean behavior of significantly more complex CMIP5 models [13, 14, 29].

3. Results

3.1. INDC Emissions Rate and Cumulative INDC Emissions. Figure 1 shows the emission level of each country in 2030 for unconditional INDC pledges, where emission levels are expressed as a percentage of total global emissions. The countries including China, India, United States, and European Union-28 account for the largest proportions of annual global emissions in 2030 and most underdeveloped countries from Africa, Latin America, and southwest Asia account for a small proportion.

On a global scale, for the unconditional and conditional pledges (Figure 2, red and pink lines), the total INDC...
3.2. Global Mean Temperature Estimates in Response to INDC Emission Reductions. Global mean temperature is proportional to cumulative carbon emissions for a range of emission scenarios considered here (Figure 3(a)). The black line in Figure 3(a) shows the historical values and the colored lines are the results for different RCP scenarios. It was found that the relationship between cumulative carbon emissions and temperature increase does not differ much for different RCP scenarios for low warming targets (such as 1.5°C or 2.0°C) and was nearly constant for each RCP pathway, with only a small and stable change when cumulative emissions approached 2000 GtC. The results from MAGICC were well aligned with the CMIP5 results for the RCP pathways. Therefore, for lower temperature targets such as 1.5°C and 2.0°C, this relationship could be used as an approximation of the projection of the climate response to INDC scenarios. We estimated the ratio of a median TCRE (1) of 2.12°C per 1000 GtC using CMIP5 results (blue cross in Figure 3(b)). The value was about 2.06°C per 1000 GtC using MAGICC results (pink cross in Figure 3(b)). The uncertainty range is likely 1.63−2.59°C per 1000 GtC (5 to 95%). Note that these values apply to cumulative emissions of up to 600 GtC (about 600 GtC have been emitted at the present time). If only CO₂-induced temperature response is considered to estimate climate warming (gray line in Figure 3(a), based on the 1PCT simulations, where atmospheric CO₂ concentration increases at a rate of 1%·yr⁻¹ using CMIP5 models), TCRE is lower, due to lack of the net warming from non-CO₂ forcings that are present in the RCP scenarios.

Estimates of global mean warming under INDC emission scenarios are based on the above definition of the climate response to cumulative emissions (TCRE_all). For the unconditional INDC pledges (Figure 4(a)), the global mean...
Temperature change in 2030 is projected to be 0.57 °C (median) above the 2012 baseline for the CMIP5 simulations and 0.56 °C (median) for the MAGICC simulations. The likely range is 0.44–0.70 °C (5 to 95%) above the 2012 baseline. Relative to the preindustrial levels, the increase is projected to be 1.42 °C and 1.41 °C for the CMIP5 and MAGICC models, respectively (likely range, 1.29–1.55 °C) (Figure 4(c)). By the end of this century, the global temperature is projected to be 2.36 °C (CMIP5) and 2.30 °C (MAGICC) (range, 1.82–2.89 °C) above the 2012 baseline and 3.21 °C (CMIP5) and 3.15 °C (MAGICC) (range, 2.67–3.74 °C) above the preindustrial level.

Correspondingly, for the conditional INDC pledges (Figure 4(b)), the global temperature increase in 2030 is projected to be 0.56 °C above the 2012 level for the CMIP5 simulations and 0.54 °C for the MAGICC simulations (range, 0.43–0.68 °C). In 2100, the global temperature is projected to be 1.98 °C (CMIP5) and 1.93 °C (MAGICC) above the 2012 level (range, 1.53–2.42 °C) and 2.83 °C (CMIP5) and 2.78 °C (MAGICC) above the preindustrial level (Figure 4(c)).

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show multimodel mean regional patterns of surface temperature changes for unconditional INDC scenario in 2030 and 2100, respectively. The Arctic...
warming is projected to be most profound, exceeding the
global average by a factor of three, with about 3–5°C Arctic
warming in 2030 and 8–10°C in 2100 relative to the pre-
industrial level. The warming in midlatitude is nearly a factor
of two greater than the global average in both 2030 and 2100.
The south oceans and parts of North Atlantic exhibit lowest
warming (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)).

4. Discussion and Conclusions
Our study estimated the global mean temperature increase
under the INDC commitments in 2030 to range from 1.29 to
1.55°C (median of 1.41°C) above the preindustrial level,
reaching 2.67–3.74°C (median of 3.17°C) in 2100. Our best
estimates were within the reported ranges from other studies
(e.g., UNEP [31], CI [32], and CAT [33]). Our best estimate
for global mean warming is a little higher than that of Rogelj
et al.[30] (the median of 2.6–3.1°C). We also compared the
results of this study with the temperature increase resulting
from the RCP scenarios, reported in IPCC AR5 [16, 34].
Table 1 gives the warming estimates for each scenario in
2030 and 2100. In 2030, the INDC level of warming will be
higher than that estimated from RCP 2.6 and 6.0, but lower
than that estimated in RCP 8.5. However, the temperature
differences between the INDC scenarios and other scenarios
are very small (in the order of 0.01°C). In 2100, the INDC
warming will be higher than that estimated form RCP 4.5
and 2.6 scenarios and lower than that estimated from RCP
6.0 and 8.5, but closer to the warming estimated in RCP 4.5
and RCP 6.0 (Table 1). Sanderson et al. [35] proposed a set
of idealized emission pathways consistent with reaching the
2°C temperature target, which showed that if the INDCs for
2030 remain the same as committed, only net zero GHG
emissions by 2085 and negative emissions implemented later

\[\text{Figure 5: Simulated model mean temperature changes in response to Intended Nationally Determinated Contribution (INDC) emissions for}
\[\text{unconditional pledges in (a) 2030 and (b) 2100. The temperature anomalies are relative to preindustrial levels. The simulation data from 12}
\[\text{CMIP5 models were used to produce an average state of warming pattern for INDC (see Section 2.3). The 2030 spatial pattern for INDC was}
\[\text{estimated based on RCP 4.5 scenario experiment due to the similar emission levels of RCP 4.5 and INDC for 2030. The 2100 spatial pattern}
\[\text{for INDC was estimated based on RCP 6.0 and 8.5 scenarios experiments due to the available simulations of the two scenarios for larger}
\[\text{temperature increases.} \]
Table 1: Temperature projections for Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) and representative concentration pathway (RCP) scenarios (relative to preindustrial levels).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Temperature increase (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2030¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconditional</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.29–1.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.28–1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCP 2.6</td>
<td>430–480 ppm (CO₂ eq. concentration in 2100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCP 4.5</td>
<td>580–650 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCP 6.0</td>
<td>650–720 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCP 8.5</td>
<td>720–1000 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1000 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹“1” represents the best estimate (median). “~” refers to the approximate estimate based on RCP scenario data from the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). “2” refers to RCP data directly from Table 6.3 in the IPCC Workgroup 3 report.

INDC emission pledges are nonbinding and will be evaluated every five years, with the pre-evaluation by UNFCCC in 2018, and the first formal evaluation in 2023. The outcome will be used as the input for new INDCs. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the climate impacts of the new INDCs in a timely manner. Our study shows that climate warming under current INDC scenarios is projected to greatly exceed the long-term Paris Agreement goal of stabilizing the global mean temperature at 2°C or 1.5°C above the preindustrial level, suggesting that rapid emission reductions in conjunction with negative emissions [30] may be necessary to ensure temperature stabilization consistent with the Paris Agreement.
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