We are concerned with the extension of a Legendre spectral method to the numerical solution of nonlinear systems of Volterra integral equations of the second kind. It is proved theoretically that the proposed method converges exponentially provided that the solution is sufficiently smooth. Also, three biological systems which are known as the systems of Lotka-Volterra equations are approximately solved by the presented method. Numerical results confirm the theoretical prediction of the exponential rate of convergence.

1. Introduction

Volterra-type integral equations (VIEs) are the mathematical model of many evolutionary problems with memory arising from biology, chemistry, physics, and engineering. For instance, in several heat transfer problems in physics, the equations are usually replaced by systems of Volterra integral equations (SVIEs). Since just few of these equations (i.e., VIEs and SVIEs) can be solved analytically, it is often necessary to apply appropriate numerical techniques.

Among numerical approaches, spectral methods are very powerful tools for approximating the solutions of many kinds of differential equations arising in various fields of science and engineering [1–5]. Spectral (exponential) accuracy and ease of applying these methods are two effective properties which have encouraged many authors to use them for integral equations (IEs) too. Spectral methods have been widely used by many authors in numerical analysis [6–13] for different kinds of IEs. In [12], Tang et al. proposed a Legendre spectral method (LSM) and its error analysis for the linear VIEs of the second kind. In this paper, we extend the LSM [12] to the numerical solution of the SVIEs of the second kind, including giving a convergence analysis for the nonlinear case. Thus, we consider the following nonlinear SVIEs:

\[ U(x) = \int_{-1}^{x} K(x,s,U(s)) \, ds + g(x) , \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1 , \quad (1) \]

where \( K(x,s,U(s)) = [K_1(x,s,U(s)), K_2(x,s,U(s))]^T \) and \( g(x) = [g_1(x), g_2(x)]^T \) are given, whereas \( U(x) = [u(x), v(x)]^T \) is the unknown function. We will consider the case that the solution of (1) is sufficiently smooth.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The LSM is introduced in Section 2. Convergence analysis of the proposed method is discussed in Section 3. Section 4 states three applications of the desired equation in the biological systems. In Section 5, four types of biological models that are known as Lotka-Volterra system of equations are solved by the LSM to show the efficiency of the presented method and to verify the theoretical results obtained in Section 3. Also some comparisons are made with the existing results. Finally, Section 6 includes some concluding remarks.
2. Implementation of the Legendre Spectral Method

In this section, we apply the basic idea of Tang et al. [11], which was previously used by the authors in [10, 12], for discretizing the nonlinear SVIEs (1). In the procedure of approximation, Legendre Gauss quadrature rule together with Lagrange interpolation is used.

In order to use the spectral method, we consider the collocation points \( \{x_{i}\}_{i=0}^{N} \) as the set of \((N+1)\) Legendre-Gauss points (i.e., the roots of \(L_{N+1}(x) = 0\), where \(L_{N+1}(x)\) is the \((N+1)\)th Legendre polynomial). Assume that the system (1) holds at \(x_{i}\):

\[
u(x_i) = \int_{-1}^{1} K_1(x_i, s) u(s) \, ds + g_1(x_i),\]
\[
u(x_i) = \int_{-1}^{1} K_2(x_i, s) v(s) \, ds + g_2(x_i),
\]
\[
0 \leq i \leq N.
\]

Gauss quadrature rules can be used to compute approximately the integral terms in (2). To this end, we make the change of variable

\[
s = s(x_i, \theta) = \frac{x_i + 1}{2} \theta + \frac{x_i - 1}{2}, \quad -1 \leq \theta \leq 1,
\]

and obtain

\[
u(x_i) = \frac{x_i + 1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} K_1(x_i, s(x_i, \theta), u(s(x_i, \theta))) \, d\theta + g_1(x_i),\]
\[
u(x_i) = \frac{x_i + 1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} K_2(x_i, s(x_i, \theta), v(s(x_i, \theta))) \, d\theta + g_2(x_i),
\]
\[
0 \leq i \leq N.
\]

By applying the \((N+1)\)-point Gauss quadrature formula associated with the Legendre weights \(\{w_j\}\), we get

\[
u(x_i) = \frac{x_i + 1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} K_1(x_i, s_j, u(s_j)) w_j + g_1(x_i),\]
\[
u(x_i) = \frac{x_i + 1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} K_2(x_i, s_j, v(s_j)) w_j + g_2(x_i),
\]
\[
0 \leq i \leq N,
\]

where \(s_j = s(x_j, \theta_j)\) and the points \(\{\theta_j\}_{j=0}^{N}\) coincide with the collocation points \(\{x_j\}_{j=0}^{N}\).

Let \(u(x_i) = u_i\) and \(v(x_i) = v_i\), for \(i = 0, 1, \ldots, N\), and

\[
u(x_i) = \nu_i := \sum_{k=0}^{N} u_k F_k(\sigma),\]
\[
u(x_i) = \nu_i := \sum_{k=0}^{N} v_k F_k(\sigma)
\]

represent the Lagrange interpolation polynomials of \(u\) and \(v\), in which \(F_k\) is the \(k\)th Lagrange basis function. The use of these interpolation polynomials for representing \(u(s_j)\) and \(v(s_j)\) in terms of \(u_i\) and \(v_i\) implies that

\[
u_i = \frac{x_i + 1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} K_1(x_i, s_j, \sum_{k=0}^{N} u_k F_k(s_j)) w_j + g_1(x_i),
\]
\[
u_i = \frac{x_i + 1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} K_2(x_i, s_j, \sum_{k=0}^{N} v_k F_k(s_j)) w_j + g_2(x_i),
\]
\[
0 \leq i \leq N.
\]

Let \(U_i\) and \(V_i\) denote the approximation of \(u_i\) and \(v_i\), respectively. Then, from (7), we obtain the discrete problem

\[
u_i = \frac{x_i + 1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} K_1(x_i, s_j, \sum_{k=0}^{N} U_k F_k(s_j)) w_j + g_1(x_i),
\]
\[
u_i = \frac{x_i + 1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} K_2(x_i, s_j, \sum_{k=0}^{N} V_k F_k(s_j)) w_j + g_2(x_i),
\]
\[
0 \leq i \leq N,
\]

which is a system of \(2(N + 1)\) nonlinear algebraic equations and \(2(N + 1)\) unknown coefficients \(\{U_{ij}\}_{j=0}^{N}\) and \(\{V_{ij}\}_{j=0}^{N}\). The nonlinear system (8) can be solved by an appropriate numerical method and the Lagrange interpolation of the solutions can be then obtained from (6). The numerical experiments show that the nonlinear system (8) can be solved easily by \texttt{fsolve} command in the Maple software.

3. Convergence Analysis

In this section, convergence analysis of the proposed method for the system of Volterra integral equations (1) will be provided. We show that the rate of convergence is exponential.

For convenience, we need some definitions and lemmas for providing the proof of the main Theorem. These lemmas
include integral error of the Gauss quadrature rules, estimates of the interpolation error, Lebesgue constant of the Legendre series, and finally the Gronwall inequality.

**Definition 1.** Let \( I \) be a bounded interval of \( \mathbb{R} \), and let \( 1 \leq p < +\infty \). One denotes by \( L^p(I) \) the space of the measurable functions \( u : I \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \int_I |u(x)|^p dx < +\infty \). Endowed with the norm

\[
\|u\|_{L^p(I)} = \left( \int_I |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},
\]

it is a Banach space.

**Definition 2.** Let \( I \) be a bounded interval of \( \mathbb{R} \), and let \( m \geq 0 \) be an integer. One defines \( H^m(I) \) to be the vector space of the functions \( v \in L^2(I) \) such that all the distributional derivatives of \( u \) of order up to \( m \) can be represented by functions in \( L^2(I) \).

In short,

\[
H^m(I) = \left\{ u \in L^2(I) : \frac{d^k u}{dx^k} \in L^2(I), \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq m \right\}.
\]

Then, the following estimates hold:

\[
\|u - I_N(u)\|_{L^p(I)} \leq C N^{-m} \|u\|_{H^m(I)}, \quad m \geq 1.
\]

**Lemma 5** (Lebesgue constant for the Legendre series [15]). Assume that \( F_i(x) \) is the \( N \)th Lagrange interpolation polynomials associated with the Gauss or Gauss-Radau or Gauss-Lobatto points. Then,

\[
\max_{x \in (-1,1)} \left| \sum_{j=0}^N F_j(x) \right| = 1 + \frac{2^{N/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} N^{1/2} + B_0 + \mathcal{O}(N^{-1/2}),
\]

where \( B_0 \) is a bounded constant.

**Lemma 6** (Gronwall inequality). If a nonnegative integrable function \( E(t) \) satisfies

\[
E(t) \leq C_1 \int_1^t E(s) ds + G(t), \quad -1 < t \leq 1,
\]

where \( G(t) \) is an integrable function, then

\[
\|E\|_{L^p(I)} \leq C \|G\|_{L^p(I)}, \quad p \geq 1.
\]

Here, we assume that the kernel \( K(x, s, U(s)) \) has the two following properties which are required for the proof of the convergence analysis:

(i) the Lipschitz property; in other words,

\[
\left| K \left( x, s, \tilde{U}(s) \right) - K \left( x, s, U(s) \right) \right| \leq L_K \left| \tilde{U}(s) - U(s) \right|,
\]

\( \forall \tilde{U}, U \in C [-1, 1] \),

(ii) \( K(x, s, 0) = 0_{2 \times 1} \).

In the following, we will provide the main theorem of this section in \( L^2 \). A similar technique could be designed in \( L^\infty \) by using an extrapolation between \( L^2 \) and \( H^1 \) [11].

**Theorem 7.** Let \( U \) be the exact solution of Volterra equation (1) and assume that

\[
U^N(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N \tilde{U}_j F_j(x),
\]

where \( \tilde{U}_j = [U_j, V_j]^T \) (\( j = 0, 1, \ldots, N \)) is given by (8) and \( F_j(x) \) is the \( j \)th Lagrange basis function associated with the Gauss points \( \{x_j\}_{j=0}^N \). If \( U \in H^m(I) \), then, for \( m \geq 1 \), we have

\[
\|U - U^N\|_{L^2(I)} \leq CN^{-m+1/2} \max_{x \in I} |K(x, s(x, \cdot), U(s(x, \cdot)))|_{H^m(I)},
\]

provided that \( N \) is sufficiently large, where \( C \) is a constant independent of \( N \).
Proof. According to notation (15), if
\[
\left( K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) \right)_{N,s} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} K \left( x, s \left( x, \theta_j \right), U_N^i \left( s \left( x, \theta_j \right) \right) \right) w_j,
\]
then the numerical schemes (5) can be written as
\[
\tilde{U}_i - \frac{1 + x_i}{2} \int_{x_i}^{1} K \left( x, s (x, \theta), U_N^i (s, \theta) \right) d\theta = g \left( x_i \right) + J_1 \left( x_i \right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N,
\]
which gives
\[
\tilde{U}_i - \frac{1 + x_i}{2} \int_{x_i}^{1} K \left( x, s (x, \theta), U_N^i (s, \theta) \right) d\theta = g \left( x_i \right) + J_1 \left( x_i \right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N,
\]
where
\[
J_1 \left( x \right) = -\frac{1 + x}{2} \int_{x}^{1} K \left( x, s (x, \theta), U_N^i (s, \theta) \right) d\theta
\]
\[
+ \frac{1 + x}{2} \left( K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) \right)_{N,s}.
\]
From Lemma 3 and the assumption \( x \leq 1 \) (or \( (1 + x)/2 \leq 1 \)), we have
\[
|J_1 \left( x \right)| \leq \frac{1 + x}{2} C N^{-m} ||K \left( x, s (x, \cdot), U_N^i (s, \cdot) \right)||_{\tilde{H}_{m,2}(I)}
\]
\[
\leq C N^{-m} ||K \left( x, s (x, \cdot), U_N^i (s, \cdot) \right)||_{\tilde{H}_{m,2}(I)}.
\]
On the other hand, (27) can be rewritten as follows:
\[
\tilde{U}_i - \int_{x_i}^{1} K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) ds = g \left( x_i \right) + J_1 \left( x_i \right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.
\]
Multiplying \( F_j (x) \) on both sides of (30) and summing up from 0 to \( N \) yield
\[
U_N^i (x) - I_N \left( \int_{x}^{1} K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) ds \right) = I_N (g) + I_N (J_1),
\]
which can be restated in the following form:
\[
U_N^i (x) - I_N \left( \int_{x}^{1} K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) ds \right)
- I_N \left( \int_{x}^{1} \left[ K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) - K \left( x, s, U \left( s \right) \right) \right] ds \right)
= I_N (g) + I_N (J_1),
\]
where \( U_N \) is defined by (23) and the interpolation operator \( I_N \) is defined by (16). It follows from (32) and (1) that
\[
U_N^i (x) + I_N \left( g - U \right)
- I_N \left( \int_{x}^{1} \left[ K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) - K \left( x, s, U \left( s \right) \right) \right] ds \right)
= I_N \left( g + I_N \left( J_1 \right) \right).
\]
Let \( e(x) = U_N^i (x) - U(x), x \in [-1,1] \), denote the error function. Then, we have
\[
e \left( x \right) + \left( U - I_N U \right) \left( x \right)
- I_N \left( \int_{x}^{1} \left[ K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) - K \left( x, s, U \left( s \right) \right) \right] ds \right)
= I_N \left( J_1 \right).
\]
Consequently,
\[
e \left( x \right) = \int_{x}^{1} \left[ K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) - K \left( x, s, U \left( s \right) \right) \right] ds
+ I_N \left( J_1 \right) + J_2 \left( x \right) + J_3 \left( x \right),
\]
where
\[
J_2 \left( x \right) = I_N U \left( x \right) - U \left( x \right);
J_3 \left( x \right) = -\int_{x}^{1} \left[ K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) - K \left( x, s, U \left( s \right) \right) \right] ds
+ I_N \left( \int_{x}^{1} \left[ K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) - K \left( x, s, U \left( s \right) \right) \right] ds \right).
\]
According to the Lipschitz property of the kernel \( K \), we have
\[
|e \left( x \right)| \leq \left\| \int_{x}^{1} \left[ K \left( x, s, U_N^i (s) \right) - K \left( x, s, U \left( s \right) \right) \right] ds \right\|
+ |I_N \left( J_1 \right) + J_2 \left( x \right) + J_3 \left( x \right)|
\leq L_K \left\| \int_{x}^{1} \left[ U_N^i (s) - U \left( s \right) \right] ds \right\|
+ |I_N \left( J_1 \right) + J_2 \left( x \right) + J_3 \left( x \right)|.
\]
The use of the Gronwall inequality with \( p = 2 \) yields
\[
\|e\|_{L_2(I)} \leq C \left\{ \|I_N \left( J_1 \right)\|_{L_2(I)} + \|J_2\|_{L_2(I)} + \|J_3\|_{L_2(I)} \right\}.
\]
From (29) and Lemma 5, we have
\[
\|I_N(I_1)\|_{L^2(I)} \\
\leq C N^{-m} \max_{x \in I} |K(x, s(x, \cdot), U(s(x, \cdot)))|_{\tilde{H}_{m,N}(I)} \\
\times \max_{j=0}^{N} |f_j(x)| \\
\leq C N^{-m + 1/2} \max_{x \in I} |K(x, s(x, \cdot), U(s(x, \cdot)))|_{\tilde{H}_{m,N}(I)} \\
\leq C N^{-m + 1/2}
\]

According to the Lipschitz property of \(K\)
\[
\times \left( \max_{x \in I} |K(x, s(x, \cdot), U(s(x, \cdot)))|_{\tilde{H}_{m,N}(I)} + L_K \|e\|_{L^2(I)} \right). 
\]

Using \(L^2\)-error bounds for the interpolation polynomials (i.e., Lemma 4) gives
\[
\|I_2\|_{L^2(I)} = \|I_N U - U\|_{L^2(I)} \leq C N^{-m} |U|_{\tilde{H}_{m,N}(I)}. 
\]

By letting \(m = 1\) in (17), we have
\[
\|I_3\|_{L^2(I)} \leq L_K \int_{-1}^{x} e(s) ds - I_N \left( \int_{-1}^{x} e(s) ds \right) \\
\leq C L_K N^{-1} \|e\|_{L^2(I)}.
\]

The above estimates, together with (38), yield
\[
\|e\|_{L^2(I)} \\
\leq C N^{-m + 1/2} \left( \max_{x \in I} |K(x, s(x, \cdot), U(s(x, \cdot)))|_{\tilde{H}_{m,N}(I)} \\
+ L_K \|e\|_{L^2(I)} \right) \\
+ C N^{-m} |U|_{\tilde{H}_{m,N}(I)} + C L_K N^{-1} \|e\|_{L^2(I)}
\]
which leads to (44) provided that \(N\) is sufficiently large. This completes the proof. \(\square\)

4. Applications

The Lotka-Volterra equations model the dynamic behavior of an arbitrary number of competitors [16]. Though originally formulated to describe the time history of a biological system, these equations find their application in a number of engineering fields such as nonlinear control. The accurate solutions of the Lotka-Volterra equations may become a difficult task either if the equations are stiff (even with a small number of species) or when the number of species is large [17]. Therefore, it is necessary to apply the robust numerical techniques to achieve the best approximations. We refer the interested reader to [18–22] for more information on the biological models and the Lotka-Volterra equations.

First, we consider the prey-predator model: Lotka-Volterra system as an interacting species model to be governed by [23, 24]
\[
\frac{dP_1}{dt} = P_1 (a - b P_2), \\
\frac{dP_2}{dt} = P_2 (c P_1 - d), \\
P_1(0) = \lambda_1, \\
P_2(0) = \lambda_2, \\
0 \leq t \leq 1,
\]
where \(a, b, c, d, \lambda_1, \) and \(\lambda_2\) are appropriate constants. Here, \(P_1 = P_1(t)\) is the prey (e.g., rabbits) population and \(P_2 = P_2(t)\) is the predator (e.g., foxes) population at time \(t\).

As the second system, we consider the simple 2-species Lotka-Volterra competition model with each species \(K_1\) and \(K_2\) having logistic growth in the absence of the other [23, 24]:
\[
\frac{dK_1}{dr} = r_1 K_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{K_1}{M_1} - \zeta_{12} \frac{K_2}{M_2} \right], \\
\frac{dK_2}{dr} = r_2 K_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{K_2}{M_2} - \zeta_{21} \frac{K_1}{M_1} \right], \\
K_1(0) = \gamma_1, \quad K_2(0) = \gamma_2,
\]
where \(r_1, r_2, M_1, M_2, \zeta_{12}, \zeta_{21}, \gamma_1, \) and \(\gamma_2\) are all positive constants and the \(r\)’s are the linear birth rates and the \(M\)’s are the carrying capacities. The \(\zeta_{12}\) and \(\zeta_{21}\) measure the competitive effect of \(K_2\) on \(K_1\) and \(K_1\) on \(K_2\), respectively, and they are generally not equal. If we nondimensionalize this system by writing
\[
P_1 = \frac{K_1}{M_1}, \quad P_2 = \frac{K_2}{M_2}, \quad t = r_1 r, \\
\rho = \frac{r_2}{r_1}, \quad a = \zeta_{12} \frac{M_2}{M_1}, \quad b = \zeta_{21} \frac{M_1}{M_2},
\]
the system (44) would be changed into the following system:
\[
\frac{dP_1}{dt} = P_1 (1 - P_1 - a P_2), \\
\frac{dP_2}{dt} = \rho P_2 (1 - P_2 - b P_1), \\
P_1(0) = \lambda_1, \\
P_2(0) = \lambda_2, \\
0 \leq t \leq 1,
\]
where \(\lambda_1 = \gamma_1 / M_1\) and \(\lambda_2 = \gamma_2 / M_2\) are the new initial values.
As the final system, we consider the following version of the Lotka-Volterra equations [23]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dP_1}{dt} &= P_1 \left(1 - P_1 - \alpha P_2 - \beta P_3\right), \\
\frac{dP_2}{dt} &= P_2 \left(1 - \beta P_1 - P_2 - \alpha P_3\right), \\
\frac{dP_3}{dt} &= P_3 \left(1 - \alpha P_1 - \beta P_2 - P_3\right),
\end{align*}
\]

\begin{align*}
P_1(0) &= \lambda_1, \\
P_2(0) &= \lambda_2, \\
P_3(0) &= \lambda_3,
\end{align*}

(47)

where \(\alpha, \beta, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \) and \(\lambda_3\) are constants.

These models can be easily transformed into their associated systems of Volterra integral equations. This idea (i.e., changing the IVPs into their associated Volterra integral forms) has been done by many authors like [25, 26]. This approach of transforming the IVPs into their Volterra form has many interesting advantages such as imposing the initial conditions to the new equations and ease of applying high order Gauss quadrature rules for getting highly accurate approximations.

For instance, the first model (43) can be transformed into a system of Volterra integral equations as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_1(t) &= \int_0^t P_1(\tau) (a - b P_2(\tau)) d\tau + \lambda_1, \\
P_2(t) &= \int_0^t P_2(\tau) (c P_1(\tau) - d) d\tau + \lambda_2, \\
0 &\leq t \leq b.
\end{align*}
\]

(48)

For the ease of applying the spectral method, as [11], we make the change of variable \(\tau = b((x + 1)/2)\) and observe the problem

\[
\begin{align*}
u(x) &= \int_{-1}^{x} u(s) \left(\bar{a} - \bar{b} v(s)\right) ds + \lambda_1, \\
\nu(x) &= \int_{-1}^{x} v(s) \left(c u(s) - \bar{d}\right) ds + \lambda_2, \\
-1 &\leq x \leq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

(49)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
u(x) &= P_1 \left(\frac{b(1 + x)}{2}\right), \\
\nu(x) &= P_2 \left(\frac{b(1 + x)}{2}\right),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\bar{a} = \frac{ab}{2}, \quad \bar{b} = \frac{b^2}{2}, \quad \bar{c} = \frac{bc}{2}, \quad \bar{d} = \frac{bd}{2}.
\]

Similar procedures can be applied to restate the other models (46)-(47) as Volterra integral equations system in \([-1, 1]\).

5. Numerical Examples

In this section, some numerical examples are considered to illustrate the efficiency and accuracy of the proposed method. In all examples, we consider \(\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{N}\) as the Legendre-Gauss points with the corresponding weights

\[
\begin{align*}
w_i = \frac{2}{(1 - x_i^2) \left(L_{N+1}'(x_i)\right)^2}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, N,
\end{align*}
\]

(51)

where \(L_{N+1}(x)\) is the \((N+1)\)th Legendre polynomial. Also, the nonlinear algebraic systems are solved directly by using \texttt{fsolve} command in Maple 13 software with the Digits environment variable assigned to be 30. All calculations are run on a Pentium 4 PC with 2.70 GHz CPU and 4 GB RAM. In order to show the efficiency of the LSM, we compare our results with those of the other methods that were proposed recently in the literature like Bessel collocation method [24] (BCM), HPM [27], and VIM [28]. It should be noted that, in all tables, the absolute values of residuals are provided in the uniform nodes \(t = 0, \ t = 0.2, \ t = 0.4, \ t = 0.6, \ t = 0.8, \) and \(t = 1\).

Example 1. Let us first consider the following problem for model (43):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dP_1}{dt} &= P_1 \left(1 - P_2\right), \\
\frac{dP_2}{dt} &= P_2 \left(P_1 - 1\right), \\
P_1(0) &= 1.3, \\
P_2(0) &= 0.6, \\
0 &\leq t \leq b,
\end{align*}
\]

(52)

where \(a = b = c = d = 1, \lambda_1 = 1.3, \) and \(\lambda_2 = 0.6\). We transfer this model to the system of Volterra integral equations as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
u(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{x} u(s) \left(1 - v(s)\right) ds + 1.3, \\
v(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{x} v(s) \left(u(s) - 1\right) ds + 0.6, \\
-1 &\leq x \leq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

(53)

where \(u(x) = P_1((x + 1)/2)\) and \(v(x) = P_2((x + 1)/2)\).

For comparing the LSM and BCM [24], for \(N = 3, 6, 9\), the absolute values of residual functions for the approximate solutions obtained by the LSM and BCM are provided in Table 5. These comparisons are also depicted in Figure 1. Moreover, Figure 2 displays the residual functions \(E_{i,N}(t) = E_{i,N}(2t - 1) \ (i = 1, 2)\) which are obtained by our method for \(N = 15\). From Table 1 and Figure 1, we observe that the presented method is very effective and the obtained results are better than those of the BCM. In [24], one can see that the BCM is better than the ADM [29] and HPM [27]; thus, the current method is more effective than these methods too. From Figure 2, one can conclude that numerical solution with high accuracy is furnished by the presented method.
Example 2. We now consider the following problem for model (46):

\[ \frac{dP_1}{dt} = P_1 (1 - P_1 - P_2), \]
\[ \frac{dP_2}{dt} = P_2 (1 - P_2 - 0.8P_1), \]
\[ P_1(0) = 1, \]
\[ P_2(0) = 1, \]
\[ 0 \leq t \leq 1, \]  

where \( a = \rho = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1 \) and \( b = 0.8 \).

We apply the presented method to find the approximate solutions of the equivalent system of Volterra integral equations. Table 2 shows the numerical results of the residual
functions $E_{i,N}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) obtained by LSM for $N = 5$, 10, 15. In order to compare the results of our method for $N = 5$ with the five-term HPM solutions [27], the residual functions $E_{i,N}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) of these methods are depicted in Figures 3 and 4. From Table 2, one can conclude that the LSM provides the numerical solutions with high accuracy. Also, from Figures 3 and 4, we see that the results obtained by the presented method are better than those obtained by the HPM.

**Example 3.** At this stage, we solve a typical system in model (47) using the LSM with $\alpha = \beta = 0.1$, $\lambda_1 = 0.2$, $\lambda_2 = 0.3$, and $\lambda_3 = 0.5$:

$$\frac{dP_1}{dt} = P_1 (1 - P_1 - 0.1P_2 - 0.1P_3),$$

$$\frac{dP_2}{dt} = P_2 (1 - 0.1P_1 - P_2 - 0.1P_3),$$

$$\frac{dP_3}{dt} = P_3 (1 - 0.1P_1 - P_2 - 0.1P_3).$$
\[
\frac{dP_3}{dt} = P_3 \left(1 - 0.1P_1 - 0.1P_2 - P_3\right),
\]
\[
P_1(0) = 0.2, \quad P_2(0) = 0.3, \quad P_3(0) = 0.5.
\]

Example 4. As the final example, we consider the following problem that is selected from [30]:

\[
\frac{dP_1}{dt} = P_1 \left(4 + \tan(t)\right) - \exp(2t)P_2(t),
\]
\[
\frac{dP_2}{dt} = P_2 \left(2 + \cos(t)P_1(t)\right),
\]
\[
P_1(0) = -4, \quad P_2(0) = 4, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.
\]
Table 1: Comparison of the residual functions of (53) for the \( t_i \) values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t_i )</th>
<th>( E_{13}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{16}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{19}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{23}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{26}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{29}(t_i) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSM 0</td>
<td>9.0e-03</td>
<td>7.4e-05</td>
<td>4.6e-07</td>
<td>7.0e-03</td>
<td>6.1e-06</td>
<td>1.9e-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.7e-04</td>
<td>3.0e-07</td>
<td>1.2e-08</td>
<td>4.8e-04</td>
<td>3.7e-07</td>
<td>3.5e-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.8e-04</td>
<td>6.1e-07</td>
<td>1.7e-08</td>
<td>1.4e-03</td>
<td>1.5e-07</td>
<td>4.4e-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.4e-04</td>
<td>1.0e-07</td>
<td>1.4e-08</td>
<td>1.4e-03</td>
<td>5.6e-07</td>
<td>1.7e-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.9e-05</td>
<td>7.1e-07</td>
<td>8.7e-09</td>
<td>1.1e-03</td>
<td>3.6e-08</td>
<td>3.2e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.4e-04</td>
<td>9.9e-06</td>
<td>1.9e-07</td>
<td>1.5e-02</td>
<td>7.0e-05</td>
<td>1.0e-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCM 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.2e-03</td>
<td>3.2e-06</td>
<td>7.5e-09</td>
<td>5.4e-04</td>
<td>5.9e-07</td>
<td>3.7e-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2e-03</td>
<td>2.7e-06</td>
<td>4.0e-09</td>
<td>4.1e-04</td>
<td>3.3e-07</td>
<td>1.9e-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6e-03</td>
<td>3.6e-06</td>
<td>5.6e-09</td>
<td>8.1e-04</td>
<td>5.7e-08</td>
<td>2.4e-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.1e-03</td>
<td>8.8e-06</td>
<td>2.4e-08</td>
<td>4.9e-04</td>
<td>3.5e-08</td>
<td>8.9e-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1e-02</td>
<td>3.3e-04</td>
<td>5.6e-06</td>
<td>2.8e-02</td>
<td>1.8e-04</td>
<td>1.4e-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The residual functions \( E_{i,10}(t_i) \) for the selected nodes of Example 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t_i )</th>
<th>( E_{13}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{110}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{1,15}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{23}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{2,10}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{2,15}(t_i) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.1e-02</td>
<td>2.0e-05</td>
<td>2.1e-08</td>
<td>8.2e-03</td>
<td>1.4e-05</td>
<td>1.4e-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0e-03</td>
<td>4.6e-07</td>
<td>5.1e-10</td>
<td>7.8e-04</td>
<td>3.2e-07</td>
<td>3.5e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.8e-04</td>
<td>3.9e-07</td>
<td>5.3e-11</td>
<td>5.9e-04</td>
<td>2.7e-07</td>
<td>3.6e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.1e-04</td>
<td>3.6e-07</td>
<td>5.9e-11</td>
<td>5.4e-04</td>
<td>2.6e-07</td>
<td>4.0e-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.6e-04</td>
<td>2.8e-07</td>
<td>3.4e-10</td>
<td>5.9e-04</td>
<td>2.0e-07</td>
<td>2.3e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.9e-03</td>
<td>9.8e-06</td>
<td>9.7e-09</td>
<td>4.6e-03</td>
<td>7.1e-06</td>
<td>6.7e-09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The residual functions \( E_{i,13}(t_i) \) of Example 3 for the selected nodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t_i )</th>
<th>( E_{13}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{1,15}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{1,23}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{1,26}(t_i) )</th>
<th>( E_{1,29}(t_i) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.7e-04</td>
<td>1.4e-07</td>
<td>4.0e-04</td>
<td>2.1e-06</td>
<td>1.3e-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.3e-05</td>
<td>3.7e-09</td>
<td>3.0e-05</td>
<td>1.8e-08</td>
<td>9.5e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0e-04</td>
<td>2.1e-10</td>
<td>2.4e-05</td>
<td>1.1e-08</td>
<td>2.0e-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.0e-04</td>
<td>3.8e-09</td>
<td>2.2e-05</td>
<td>1.3e-08</td>
<td>2.0e-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.6e-05</td>
<td>1.5e-09</td>
<td>5.6e-06</td>
<td>1.8e-08</td>
<td>1.2e-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.5e-04</td>
<td>4.9e-07</td>
<td>6.3e-05</td>
<td>2.2e-06</td>
<td>1.6e-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Comparisons of the numerical solutions for Example 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t_i )</th>
<th>4-iteration VIM</th>
<th>RK4, ( h = 0.001 )</th>
<th>LSM, ( N = 3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20000</td>
<td>0.30000</td>
<td>0.30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.23010</td>
<td>0.33873</td>
<td>0.33873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.26265</td>
<td>0.37889</td>
<td>0.37889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.29734</td>
<td>0.41987</td>
<td>0.41987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.33393</td>
<td>0.46138</td>
<td>0.46138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.37256</td>
<td>0.50438</td>
<td>0.50438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with the exact solutions $P_1(t) = -4\cos(t)$ and $P_2(t) = 4\exp(-2t)$. Again, for solving this problem, we use several values of $N$ such as 10, 11, 12, and 13 and obtain

$$e_N = \max \left\{ \frac{\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |dP_1(t)/dt - P_1(t)|}{\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |dP_2(t)/dt - P_2(t)(2 + \cos(t)P_1(t))|} \right\}$$

for the mentioned values of $N$ and report them in Table 5.

6. Conclusions

This paper deals with the LSM for computing the approximate solution of the systems of nonlinear Volterra integral equations by using the Lagrange interpolations and Gauss quadrature rules. We demonstrated that the errors of the spectral approximations decay exponentially in the nonlinear case. The numerical results obtained for the solutions of the systems of the Lotka-Volterra equations confirm the spectral accuracy of the LSM. In addition, the comparisons of the residual functions obtained by our scheme with those obtained by other methods show that the LSM is more effective than the other methods.
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