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Abstract

The second-kind Chebyshev wavelets collocation method is applied for solving a class of time-fractional diffusion-wave equation. Fractional integral formula of a single Chebyshev wavelet in the Riemann-Liouville sense is derived by means of shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. Moreover, convergence and accuracy estimation of the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets expansion of two dimensions are given. During the process of establishing the expression of the solution, all the initial and boundary conditions are taken into account automatically, which is very convenient for solving the problem under consideration. Based on the collocation technique, the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets are used to reduce the problem to the solution of a system of linear algebraic equations. Several examples are provided to confirm the reliability and effectiveness of the proposed method.

1. Introduction

Many phenomena in various fields of the science and engineering can be modeled by fractional differential equations, in which time-fractional diffusion-wave equation is a mathematical model of a wide class of important physical phenomena. It is obtained from the diffusion-wave equation by replacing the second-order time derivative term by a fractional derivative order $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. In this paper, our study focuses on the following time-fractional diffusion-wave ([1]) with the Caputo fractional derivative

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha \mu (x, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} + \lambda \frac{\partial \mu (x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \mu (x, t)}{\partial x^2} + q (x, t), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\mu (0, t) = g_0 (t), \quad \mu (1, t) = g_1 (t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

where $f_0 (x), f_1 (x), g_0 (t), g_1 (t)$ are given functions with second-order continuous derivatives and $\lambda > 0$ is a constant and $q(x, t)$ is a given known function.

It is noted that most fractional diffusion-wave equations do not have closed form solutions. Many researchers have proposed various methods to solve the time-fractional diffusion-wave equations from the perspective of analytical solution and numerical solution. The method of separation of variables in [1], Sumudu transform method in [2], and decomposition method in [3] were used to construct analytical approximate solutions of fractional diffusion-wave equations, respectively. Finite difference schemes in [4–7] were widely used to solve the numerical solutions of the fractional diffusion-wave equations. The authors of [8] employed radial point interpolation method for solving the fractional diffusion-wave equations. B-spline collocation method was proposed to solve the fractional diffusion-wave...
equations in [9]. In [10, 11], Sinc-finite difference method and Sinc-Chebyshev method were employed for solving the fractional diffusion-wave equations respectively. Recently methods based on operational matrix of Jacobi and Chebyshev polynomials were proposed to deal with the fractional diffusion-wave equations ([12–14]). In [15], the authors applied fractional order Legendre functions method depending on the choices of two parameters to solve the fractional diffusion-wave equations. Two-dimensional Bernoulli wavelets with satisfier function in the Ritz-Galerkin method were proposed for the time-fractional diffusion-wave equation in [16]. The author of [17] proposed a numerical method based on the Legendre wavelets with their operational matrix of fractional integral to solve the time-fractional diffusion-wave equations.

Since fractional derivative is a nonlocal operator, it is natural to consider a global scheme such as the collocation method for its numerical solution. Spectral methods are widely used in seeking numerical solutions of fractional order differential equations, due to their excellent error properties and exponential rates of convergence for smooth problems. Collocation methods, one of the three most common spectral schemes, have been applied successfully to numerical simulations of many problems in science and engineering.

Wavelets, as another basis set and very well-localized functions, are considerably useful for solving differential and integral equations. Particularly, orthogonal wavelets are widely used in approximating numerical solutions of various types of fractional order differential equations in the relevant literatures; see [18–22]. Among them, the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets have gained much attention due to their useful properties ([23–26]) and can handle different types of differential problems. It is observed that most papers using these wavelets methods to approximate numerical solutions of fractional order differential equations are based on the operational matrix of fractional integral or fractional derivatives. It is inevitable to produce approximation error during the process of constructing the operational matrix. Regarding this point, analysis in [27] shows some disadvantages of using the operational matrix of Legendre and Chebyshev wavelets.

Inspired and motivated by the work mentioned above, our main purpose of this paper is to extend the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets for solving time-fractional diffusion-wave equations (1)–(3) and to show that it is not necessary to establish the operational matrix of fractional integrals and fractional derivatives when applying wavelets to solve various types of fractional partial differential equations. To reduce the approximation error at most during the calculation process, fractional integral formula of a single Chebyshev wavelet in the Riemann-Liouville sense is derived by means of the shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. By utilizing the collocation method and some properties of the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets, the problem under consideration is reduced to the solution to a system of linear algebraic equations. The proposed method is very convenient for solving such problems, since the initial and boundary conditions are taken into account automatically.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes some necessary definitions and preliminaries of calculus. Section 3 gives the convergence and accuracy estimation of the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets expansion of two-dimension. Section 4 is devoted to deriving the fractional integral formula of a single Chebyshev wavelet in the Riemann-Liouville sense by means of shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. The proposed method is described for solving time-fractional diffusion-wave equations in Section 5. In Section 6, numerical results of some test problems are presented. Finally, a brief conclusion is given in Section 7.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

In this section, we present some necessary definitions and preliminaries of the fractional calculus theory which will be used later. The widely used definitions of fractional integral and fractional derivative are the Riemann-Liouville definition and the Caputo definition, respectively.

Definition 1. A real function \( f(x), x > 0 \), is said to be in the space \( C_\alpha, \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \), if there is a real number \( \rho \) with \( \rho > \sigma \) such that \( f(x) = x^{\rho} f_\sigma(x) \), where \( f_\sigma(x) \in C[0, \infty) \), and \( f(x) \in C_\alpha \) if \( f^{(n)}(x) \in C_\alpha, n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Definition 2 (see [28]). The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral \( I^\alpha \) of order \( \alpha (\alpha \geq 0) \) for a function \( f(x) \in C_\alpha (\sigma \geq -1) \) is defined as

\[
I^\alpha f(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
f(x), & \alpha = 0, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, & \alpha > 0.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Definition 3 (see [28]). The Caputo fractional derivative operator \( D^\alpha \) of order \( \alpha (\alpha \geq 0) \) for a function \( f(x) \in C_1^n \) is defined as

\[
D^\alpha f(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
f^{(n)}(x), & \alpha = n \in \mathbb{N}, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f^{(n)}(t)}{(x-t)^{\alpha+n-1}} dt, & n-1 < \alpha < n.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Some important properties of the operators \( I^\alpha \) and \( D^\alpha \) are needed in this paper; we only mention the following properties:

1. \( I^{\alpha_1} I^{\alpha_2} f(x) = I^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} f(x) \) for \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0 \).
2. \( D^{\alpha_1} I^{\alpha_2} f(x) = f(x), \ D^{\beta} I^\alpha f(x) = I^{\alpha-\beta} f(x), \alpha > \beta \).
3. \( I^\alpha D^\alpha f(x) = f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor-1} f^{(k)}(0^+) (x^{k}/k!), x > 0 \),

where the ceiling function \([\alpha]\) denotes the integer smaller than or equal to \( \alpha \) and \( \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \). One can see more details about fractional calculus in [28].
3. The Second-Kind Chebyshev Wavelets and Their Properties

The second-kind Chebyshev wavelets $\psi_{n,m}(t) = \psi(k, n, m, t)$ have four arguments: $k$ can assume any positive integer, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2^{k-1}$, $m$ is the degree of the second-kind Chebyshev polynomials and $t$ is the normalized time. They are defined on the interval $[0, 1)$ as

$$
\psi_{n,m}(t) = \begin{cases} 2^{k/2}U_m(2^k t - 2n + 1), & \frac{n - 1}{2^{k-1}} \leq t < \frac{n}{2^{k-1}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
$$

where

$$
U_m(t) = \sqrt{2} U_m(t),
$$

$m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Here $U_n(t)$ are the second-kind Chebyshev polynomials of degree $m$ which are orthogonal with respect to the weight function $\omega(t) = \sqrt{1 - t^2}$ on the interval $[-1, 1]$ and satisfy the following recursive formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
U_0(t) & = 1, \\
U_1(t) & = 2t, \\
U_{m+1}(t) & = 2tU_m(t) - U_{m-1}(t), \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that $\{\psi_{n,m}(x), \psi_{n',m'}(y) : n, n' = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2^{k-1}, \ m, m' = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is an orthonormal set over $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$. A function $f(x) \in L^2([0, 1])$ defined over $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ may be expanded as

$$
\psi_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} c_{n,m} \psi_{n,m}(x), \quad n, m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
$$

where $c_{n,m} = \langle f(x), \psi_{n,m}(x) \rangle_{L^2([0,1])}$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi(x) & = (\psi_{1,0}, \psi_{1,1}, \psi_{2,0}, \psi_{2,1}, \ldots, \psi_{2^{k-1}-1,0}, \psi_{2^{k-1}-1,1}, \ldots, \psi_{2^{k-1}-1,2^{k-1}-1})^T, \\
\mathbf{C} & = (c_{1,0}, c_{1,1}, \ldots, c_{1,2^{k-1}-1}, c_{2,0}, c_{2,1}, \ldots, c_{2,2^{k-1}-1}, \ldots, c_{2^{k-1}-1,0}, \ldots, c_{2^{k-1}-1,2^{k-1}-1})^T.
\end{align*}
$$

Note that when dealing with the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets the weight function has to be dilated and translated as

$$
\omega_n(t) = \omega\left(2^k t - 2n + 1\right).
$$

A function $f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined over $[0, 1)$ may be expanded by the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets as

$$
f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{n,m} \psi_{n,m}(x),
$$

where

$$
c_{n,m} = \langle f(x), \psi_{n,m}(x) \rangle_{L^2([0,1])} = \int_0^1 f(x) \psi_{n,m}(x) \omega_n(x) \, dx,
$$

in which $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2([0,1])}$ denotes the inner product in $L^2([0,1])$. If the infinite series in (10) is truncated, then it can be written as

$$
f(x) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} c_{n,m} \psi_{n,m}(x) = \mathbf{C}^T \mathbf{\Psi}(x),
$$

where $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{\Psi}(x)$ are $2^{k-1} \times 1$ matrices given by

$$
\mathbf{C} = (c_{1,0}, c_{1,1}, \ldots, c_{1,2^{k-1}-1}, c_{2,0}, c_{2,1}, \ldots, c_{2,2^{k-1}-1}, \ldots, c_{2^{k-1}-1,0}, \ldots, c_{2^{k-1}-1,2^{k-1}-1})^T.
$$

If the infinite series in (14) is truncated, then (14) can be written as

$$
\mu(x, y) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} d_{n,n',m,m'} \psi_{n,m}(x) \psi_{n',m'}(y),
$$

where

$$
d_{n,n',m,m'} = \langle \mu(x, y), \psi_{n,m}(x) \psi_{n',m'}(y) \rangle_{L^2([0,1]\times[0,1])} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mu(x, y) \psi_{n,m}(x) \psi_{n',m'}(y) \omega_n(x) \omega_{n'}(y) \, dx \, dy,
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{D}_{nm} = (d_{k,1,m,0}, d_{k,1,m,1}, \ldots, d_{k,1,m,M-1}, \ldots, d_{k,2^{k-1},m,0}, d_{k,2^{k-1},m,1}, \ldots, d_{k,2^{k-1},m,M-1})^T,
$$

$$
\mathbf{\Psi}(y) = (\psi_{1,0}(y), \psi_{1,1}(y), \ldots, \psi_{1,M-1}(y), \ldots, \psi_{2^{k-1}-1,0}(y), \psi_{2^{k-1}-1,1}(y), \ldots, \psi_{2^{k-1}-1,M-1}(y))^T.
$$

\begin{align*}
\mu(x, y) & = \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{n'=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{m'=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} d_{n,n',m,m'} \psi_{n,m}(x) \psi_{n',m'}(y), \\
& = \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \psi_{n,m}(x) \left( \sum_{n'=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{m'=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} d_{n,n',m,m'} \psi_{n',m'}(y) \right), \\
& = \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \psi_{n,m}(x) \mathbf{D}_{nm} \mathbf{\Psi}(y),
\end{align*}

where $\mathbf{D}_{nm}$ and $\mathbf{\Psi}(y)$ are $2^{k-1} \times 1$ matrices given by

$$
\mathbf{D}_{nm} = (d_{k,1,m,0}, d_{k,1,m,1}, \ldots, d_{k,1,m,M-1}, \ldots, d_{k,2^{k-1},m,0}, d_{k,2^{k-1},m,1}, \ldots, d_{k,2^{k-1},m,M-1})^T,
$$

$$
\mathbf{\Psi}(y) = (\psi_{1,0}(y), \psi_{1,1}(y), \ldots, \psi_{1,M-1}(y), \ldots, \psi_{2^{k-1}-1,0}(y), \psi_{2^{k-1}-1,1}(y), \ldots, \psi_{2^{k-1}-1,M-1}(y))^T.
$$
Write $\Psi_n(x) = (\psi_{n0}(x) \psi_{n1}(x) \cdots \psi_{nM-1}(x))$ and $D_n = (D_{n0} \ D_{n1} \cdots D_{nM-1})^T$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2^k-1$; then $\mu(x, y)$ can be written as

$$\mu(x, y) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \psi_{nm}(x) D_{nm} \Psi(y)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \psi_{n}(x) D_n \Psi(y)$$

$$= (\Psi_1(x) \cdots \Psi_{2^{k-1}}(x)) \begin{pmatrix} D_1 \\ D_2 \\ \vdots \\ D_{2^{k-1}-1} \end{pmatrix} \Psi(y)$$

(18)

where $D$ is a $2^{k-1} \times 2^{k-1} M$ matrix given by $D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 \\ D_2 \\ \vdots \\ D_{2^{k-1}-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

The following theorems give the convergence and accuracy estimation of the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets expansion.

**Theorem 4** (see [29]). Let $f(x)$ be a second-order derivative square-integrable function defined on $[0, 1]$ with bounded second-order derivative; say $|f''(x)| \leq B$ for some constant $B$; then

(i) $f(x)$ can be expanded as an infinite sum of the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets and the series converges to $f(x)$ uniformly; that is,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{nm} \psi_{nm}(x),$$

where $c_{nm} = \langle f(x), \psi_{nm}(x) \rangle_{L^2[0,1]}$.

(ii)

$$\sigma_{f,k,M} < \frac{\sqrt{2}B}{2^5} \left( \sum_{n=2^{k-1}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-1)^2} \right)^{1/2},$$

(20)

where

$$\sigma_{f,k,M} = \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 |\mu(x, y) - \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m'=0}^{\infty} d_{n,m,m'} \psi_{nm}(x) \psi_{n'm'}(y) \omega_n(x) \omega_{n'}(y) dxdy \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

(21)

**Theorem 5**. Suppose that $\mu(x, y) \in L^2[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ is a continuous function defined on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, $\partial^2 \mu(x, y)/\partial x^2$, $\partial^2 \mu(x, y)/\partial y^2$, and $\partial^2 \mu(x, y)/\partial x \partial y$ are bounded with some positive constant $B$. Then, for any positive integer $k$,

(i) the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mu(x, y) \psi_{nm}(x) \psi_{n'm'}(y) \omega_n(x) \omega_{n'}(y) dxdy$$

converges to $\mu(x, y)$ uniformly in $L^2[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$; that is,

$$\mu(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mu(x, y) \psi_{nm}(x) \psi_{n'm'}(y) \omega_n(x) \omega_{n'}(y) dxdy,$$

(22)

where

$$d_{n,m,m'} = \langle \mu(x, y), \psi_{nm}(x) \psi_{n'm'}(y) \rangle_{L^2[0,1] \times [0,1]},$$

(23)

(24)

$$A_{nm}(y) = \int_0^1 \psi_{n'm'}(y) \omega_{n'}(y) A_{nm}(y) dy,$$

(25)

where $A_{nm}(y) = \int_0^1 \mu(x, y) \psi_{nm}(x) \omega(x) dx$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1 in [29], we get, for $m > 1$,

$$A_{nm}(y) = \frac{2^{-5k/2}}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi} m (m-1) (m+1)} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 \mu((\cos \theta + 2n - 1)/2^k, y)}{\partial \theta^2} \tau_m(\theta) d\theta,$$

(26)
where \( \tau_m(\theta) = (m + 1) \sin(m - 1)\theta \sin \theta - (m - 1) \sin(m + 1)\theta \sin \theta \). So, for \( m > 1 \) and \( m' > 1 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{n,m,n'}(y) \omega_{n'}(y) A_{n,m}(y) dy &= \frac{2^{-5k/2}}{2 \sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{m(m-1)(m+1)} \\
\cdot \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \tau_m(\theta) \frac{\partial^2 \mu((\cos \theta + 2n - 1)/2^k, y)}{\partial \theta^2} \psi_{n,m'}(y) \omega_{n'}(y) dy \ d\theta = \frac{2^{-5k/2}}{2 \sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{m(m-1)(m+1)} \\
\cdot \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \tau_m(\theta) \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2\pi} m' (m'-1)(m'+1)} \\
\cdot \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \tau_m(\theta) \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2\pi} m' (m'-1)(m'+1)} \\
\cdot \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \tau_m(\theta) \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2\pi} m' (m'-1)(m'+1)} \end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^\pi |\tau_m(\theta)| d\theta &= \pi \int_0^\pi |\tau_m(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\
&\leq \pi \int_0^\pi |(m+1)\sin(m-1)\theta \\
&- (m-1)\sin(m+1)\theta \|^2 d\theta \\
&\leq \pi \left( \int_0^\pi (m+1)^2 \sin^2(m-1)\theta \ d\theta \\
&+ \int_0^\pi (m-1)^2 \sin^2(m+1)\theta \ d\theta \right) = \frac{\pi^2}{2} (m+1)^2 \\
&+ (m-1)^2 \leq \pi^2 (m+1)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, for \( m > 1 \) and \( m' > 1 \),

\[
|d_{n,m,n',m'}| \leq \frac{B\pi}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{n'^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{m'(m'-1)}
\]

(29)

since \( n \leq 2^{k-1} \) and \( n' \leq 2^{k-1} \).

For \( m > 1 \) and \( m' = 0,1 \),

\[
|d_{n,m,n',m'}| \leq \frac{B\pi}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{n'^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{m(m-1)}
\]

(30)

by \( n \leq 2^{k-1} \) and \( n' \leq 2^{k-1} \). Similarly, for \( m' > 1 \) and \( m = 0,1 \), we also have

\[
|d_{n,m,n',m'}| \leq \frac{B\pi}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{n'^{3/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{m'(m'-1)}
\]

(31)

Relations (29), (30), and (31) show that the series

\[
\sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{n'=1}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{m'=1}^\infty d_{n,m,n',m'} \psi_{n,m}(x) \psi_{n',m'}(y)
\]

(32)

converges to \( \mu(x, y) \) uniformly in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \).
According to the orthonormality of \( \psi_{nm}(x) \psi'_{n'm'}(y) \), we have

\[
\sigma^2_{\mu,k,M} = \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{n'=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m'=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{n''=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m''=0}^{M-1} \right) d_{n,n',m,m'} \psi_{nm}(x) \psi'_{n'm'}(y) \right)^2 \omega_n(x) \omega_{n'}(y) dx \, dy
\]

By relations (29), (31), and (32), it gives

\[
\sigma^2_{\mu,k,M} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{n'=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m'=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{n''=0}^{M-1} \sum_{m''=0}^{M-1} \right) \right) \cdot |d_{n,n',m,m'}|^2.
\]

The proof is completed. \( \square \)

4. The Fractional Integral of a Single Second-Kind Chebyshev Wavelet

In this section, fractional integral formula of a single Chebyshev wavelet in the Riemann-Liouville sense is derived by means of the shifted second-kind Chebyshev polynomials \( U^*_m \), which plays an important role in dealing with the time-fractional diffusion-wave equations.

**Theorem 6.** The fractional integral of a Chebyshev wavelet defined on the interval \([0, 1]\) with compact support \([n-1)/2^{k-1}, n/2^{k-1}]\) is given by

\[
\Gamma^\alpha \psi_{nm}(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x < \frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}}, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} 2^{k/2} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{r} T^{m,n,k}_{j-\alpha} \left( \frac{x - \frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}}}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{j-\alpha} C_j \psi_{nm}(x), & n - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \leq x \leq \frac{n}{2^{k-1}}, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} 2^{k/2} \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{r} T^{m,n,k}_{j-\alpha} \left( \frac{x - \frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}}}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{j-\alpha} C_j \psi_{nm}(x) \left[ \left( x - \frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{j-\alpha} - \left( x - \frac{n}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{j-\alpha} \right], & x > \frac{n}{2^{k-1}}, \end{cases}
\]
where \( T_{m,n,k}^{i,j,r} = (-1)^{m-r}2^i 2^r (k+1) (n-1)^i r (\Gamma(m+i+2)/\Gamma(m-i+1)\Gamma(2i+2))(i!/(i-r)!r!))\), \( C_{i,r}^j = r/j! (r-j)! \).

**Proof.** The analytical form of the shifted Chebyshev polynomials [30] of the second-kind is given by

\[
U_m^*(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^i 2^{m-2i} \frac{\Gamma(2m-i+2)}{\Gamma(i+1) \Gamma(2m-2i+2)} x^{m-i}
\]

(38)

According to the relation between \( U_m^*(x) \) and \( U_m(x) \), it gives that

\[
U_m \left( 2^k x - 2n + 1 \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-i} 2^{2i} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m+i+2)}{\Gamma(m+i+1) \Gamma(2i+2)} \Gamma(2i+1) (2k-1)^i (x-2n+1)^i
\]

(39)

By interchanging the summation and substituting \( i - r \) with \( r \), \( U_m^*(2^k x - 2n + 1) \) can be written as

\[
U_m \left( 2^k x - 2n + 1 \right) = \sum_{i=r}^{m} (-1)^{m-r} 2^{2i} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m+i+2)}{\Gamma(m+i+1) \Gamma(2i+2)} \Gamma(2i+1) (2k-1)^i (x-2n+1)^i
\]

(40)

Let \( T_{m,n,k}^{i,j,r} = (-1)^{m-r}2^i 2^r (k+1) (n-1)^i r (\Gamma(m+i+2)/\Gamma(m-i+1)\Gamma(2i+2))(i!/(i-r)!r!)\); then

\[
U_m \left( 2^k x - 2n + 1 \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{r=0}^{i} T_{m,n,k}^{i,j,r} x^j.
\]

(41)

Therefore

\[
\psi_{n,m} (x) = \left\{
\begin{align*}
2^{k/2} \sqrt{\sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m} T_{m,n,k}^{i,j,r} x^j}, & \quad n-1/2k-1 \leq x < n/2k-1, \\
0, & \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{align*}
\right.
\]

(42)

So, when \((n-1)/2k-1 \leq x \leq n/2k-1\), let \( u = x - t \); then

\[
I^a x^j = \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_{(n-1)/2k-1}^x (x-t)^{a-1} t^\alpha dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_0^{x-(n-1)/2k-1} u^{a-1} (x-u)^{\alpha-1} du
\]

(43)

\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_0^{x-(n-1)/2k-1} \Gamma \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{r} \left( \frac{(-1)^j C_j x^{-j} (x-n-(1/2k-1))^{j+\alpha} }{\Gamma (\alpha)} \right) \right]
\]

Similarly, when \( x > n/2k-1 \), we have

\[
I^a x^j = \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_{n/2k-1}^x (x-t)^{a-1} t^\alpha dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_{x-n/2k-1}^{x-1/2k-1} u^{a-1} (x-u)^{\alpha-1} du = \frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_0^{x-(n-1)/2k-1} \Gamma \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{r} \left( \frac{(-1)^j C_j x^{-j} (x-n-1/2k-1))^{j+\alpha} }{\Gamma (\alpha)} \right) \right]
\]

(44)

Applying the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order \( \alpha \) with respect to \( x \) on \( \psi_{n,m}(x) \), we obtain

\[
I^a \psi_{n,m} (x) = \left\{
\begin{align*}
0, & \quad x < n-1/2k-1, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_{(n-1)/2k-1}^x (x-t)^{a-1} \psi_{n,m} (t) dt, & \quad n-1/2k-1 \leq x \leq n/2k-1, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma (\alpha)} \int_{(n-1)/2k-1}^x (x-t)^{a-1} \psi_{n,m} (t) dt, & \quad x > n/2k-1.
\end{align*}
\right.
\]
\[\begin{align*}
&= \begin{cases} 
0, & x < \frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}}, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \sqrt{\pi} m} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{i=r}^{m} T_{m,n,k(i),i-r} \int_{n/2^{k-1}}^{\frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}}/2} (x-t)^{\alpha-1} t^r dt, & \frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}} \leq x \leq \frac{n}{2^{k-1}}, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \sqrt{\pi} m} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{i=r}^{m} T_{m,n,k(i),i-r} \int_{\frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}}/2}^{n/2^{k-1}} (x-t)^{\alpha-1} t^r dt, & x > \frac{n}{2^{k-1}},
\end{cases}
\end{align*}\]

Thus, we have

\[\begin{align*}
&= \begin{cases} 
0, & x < \frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}}, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \sqrt{\pi} m} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{i=r}^{m} T_{m,n,k(i),i-r} \int_{n/2^{k-1}}^{\frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}}/2} (x-t)^{\alpha-1} t^r dt, & \frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}} \leq x \leq \frac{n}{2^{k-1}}, \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \sqrt{\pi} m} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{i=r}^{m} T_{m,n,k(i),i-r} \int_{\frac{n-1}{2^{k-1}}/2}^{n/2^{k-1}} (x-t)^{\alpha-1} t^r dt, & x > \frac{n}{2^{k-1}},
\end{cases}
\end{align*}\]

The proof is completed.

For example, in the case of \(k = 2, M = 3, x = 0.65, \alpha = 1.7\), we obtain

\[\begin{align*}
F^\alpha \Psi_{6\times1}(0.65) &= \begin{pmatrix}
0.455620526461909 \\
-0.13717577587602 \\
0.144459099033891 \\
0.041066248466599 \\
-0.0638808309840429 \\
0.0620309999360338
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}\]

where

\[\Psi_{6\times1}(x) = \begin{pmatrix}
\psi_{1,0}(x) \\
\psi_{1,1}(x) \\
\psi_{1,2}(x) \\
\psi_{2,0}(x) \\
\psi_{2,1}(x) \\
\psi_{2,2}(x)
\end{pmatrix}^T.\]

5. Description of the Proposed Method

Consider the time-fractional diffusion-wave equation with the following form:

\[\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^\alpha \mu(x,t)}{\partial t^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mu(x,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \mu(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + q(x,t),
\end{align*}\]

with initial condition

\[\mu(x,0) = f_0(x),\]

\[\mu_t(x,0) = f_1(x),\]

and boundary conditions

\[\mu(0,t) = g_0(t),\]

\[\mu(1,t) = g_1(t),\]

where \(f_0(\cdot), f_1(\cdot), g_0(\cdot), g_1(\cdot)\) are given functions with second-order continuous derivatives in \(L^2([0,1])\) and \(q(\cdot, \cdot)\) is a given function in \(L^2([0,1] \times [0,1]).\)

To solve this problem, we assume

\[\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^\alpha \mu(x,t)}{\partial x^2 \partial t^\alpha} &= \Psi^T(x) \cdot U \cdot \Psi(t),
\end{align*}\]

where \(U = \left(u_{i,j}\right)_{2^{k-1}M \times 2^{k-1}M}\) is an unknown matrix which should be determined and \(\Psi(\cdot)\) is as in (13). By integrating
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two times with respect to \( t \) on both sides of (52) and together with (2), we have

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mu(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = f'_0(x) + f''_0(x) + \Psi^T(x) \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t) \right).
\]  

(53)

Also by integrating (53) two times with respect to \( x \), we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial \mu(x, t)}{\partial x} = \mu(0, t) + x \frac{\partial \mu(x, t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} + f'_0(x) - f'_0(0) + t \left( f'_1(x) - f'_1(0) \right) + \left( I \Psi(x) \right)^T \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t) \right).
\]  

(54)

Putting \( x = 1 \) in (55) and considering the boundary conditions (51), we get

\[
\mu(1, t) = \mu(0, t) + x \frac{\partial \mu(x, t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} + \left( f'_0(1) - f'_0(0) - f'_0(0) \right) + t \left( f'_1(1) - f'_1(0) - f'_1(0) \right) + \left( I^2 \Psi(x) \right)^T \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t) \right).
\]  

(55)

Thus, we have

\[
\frac{\partial \mu(x, t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} = \mu_1(t) - \mu_0(t) - \left( f'_0(1) - f'_0(0) - f'_0(0) \right) - t \left( f'_1(1) - f'_1(0) - f'_1(0) \right) - \left( I^2 \Psi(x) \right)^T \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t) \right).
\]  

(56)

Write

\[
H(t) = \mu_1(t) - \mu_0(t) - \left( f'_0(1) - f'_0(0) - f'_0(0) \right) - t \left( f'_1(1) - f'_1(0) - f'_1(0) \right) - \left( I^2 \Psi(x) \right)^T \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t) \right).
\]  

(57)

So

\[
\mu(x, t) = \mu_0(t) + xH(t) + \left( f'_0(0) - f'_0(0) - f'_0(0) \right) + t \left( f'_1(0) - f'_1(0) - f'_1(0) \right) + \left( I^2 \Psi(x) \right)^T \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t) \right).
\]  

(58)

Applying fractional differentiation of order \( \alpha \) and order one on both sides of (59) with respect to \( t \), we get

\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha \mu(x, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mu(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \mu(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + q(x, t),
\]  

(60)

where

\[
D^\alpha H(t) = D^\alpha g_1(t) - D^\alpha g_0(t) - \left( I^2 \Psi(1) \right)^T \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t) \right).
\]  

(61)

Now by substituting (53), (59), and (60) into (49), replacing \( = \) by \( \approx \), and taking collocation points \( x_i = (2i - 1)/2^k M, t_j = (2j - 1)/2^k M \), \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^{k-1} M \), we obtain the following linear system of algebraic equations:

\[
D^\alpha g_0(t_j) + x_i D^\alpha H(t_j) + \left( I^2 \Psi(x_i) \right)^T \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t_j) \right) + g_0(t_j) + x_i H'(t_j) + f_1(x_i) - f_1(0) - f_1(0) + \left( I^2 \Psi(x_i) \right)^T \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t_j) \right) + \Psi(t_j) \right) - f_0'(x_i) + t f_1'(x_i) + \Psi(t_i) \cdot U \cdot \left( I^2 \Psi(t_j) \right) = q(x_i, t_j).
\]  

\( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^{k-1} M \). By solving this system to determine the unknown matrix \( U \), we can achieve an approximate solution for the problem by substituting \( U \) into (59).

6. Numerical Examples

In this section, we give some numerical examples to demonstrate the efficiency and reliability of the proposed method. In all the examples, the package of Matlab 2016a has been used.

Example 1. Consider the following time-fractional diffusion-wave equation ([17]) of order \( \alpha \) \((1 < \alpha \leq 2)\)

\[
\frac{\partial^\alpha \mu(x, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mu(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \mu(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + q(x, t),
\]  

(62)

\[\begin{align*}
0 & < x < 1, \quad 0 < t \leq 1,
\end{align*}\]
Figure 1: Approximate solution (a) and absolute error (b) for Example 1 with $\alpha = 1.1$, $k = 2$, and $M = 3$.

Table 1: The absolute error for Example 1 for some different values $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ at some different points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(x, t)$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.1$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.3$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.5$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.7$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.1, 0.1)</td>
<td>$3.25261e-19$</td>
<td>$4.33681e-19$</td>
<td>$3.25261e-19$</td>
<td>$8.67362e-19$</td>
<td>$1.19262e-18$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.2, 0.2)</td>
<td>$5.20417e-18$</td>
<td>$3.6945e-18$</td>
<td>$6.07153e-18$</td>
<td>$2.60209e-18$</td>
<td>$7.80626e-18$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.3, 0.3)</td>
<td>$1.38778e-17$</td>
<td>$6.93889e-18$</td>
<td>$2.77556e-17$</td>
<td>$6.93889e-18$</td>
<td>$1.38778e-17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.4, 0.4)</td>
<td>$2.77556e-17$</td>
<td>$1.38778e-17$</td>
<td>$5.55112e-17$</td>
<td>$3.46945e-17$</td>
<td>$2.77556e-17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.5, 0.5)</td>
<td>$2.77556e-17$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$8.32667e-17$</td>
<td>$3.46945e-17$</td>
<td>$4.16334e-17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.6, 0.6)</td>
<td>$6.93889e-17$</td>
<td>$4.16334e-17$</td>
<td>$2.35922e-16$</td>
<td>$1.38778e-17$</td>
<td>$6.93889e-17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.7, 0.7)</td>
<td>$1.66533e-16$</td>
<td>$1.38778e-16$</td>
<td>$3.60822e-16$</td>
<td>$5.55112e-17$</td>
<td>$2.77556e-17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.8, 0.8)</td>
<td>$1.38778e-17$</td>
<td>$1.94289e-16$</td>
<td>$4.16334e-16$</td>
<td>$2.77556e-17$</td>
<td>$1.38778e-16$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.9, 0.9)</td>
<td>$1.38778e-17$</td>
<td>$1.24900e-16$</td>
<td>$3.19189e-16$</td>
<td>$1.24900e-16$</td>
<td>$1.24900e-16$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The absolute error for Example 1 for some different values $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ at some different points in [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(x, t)$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.1$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.3$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.5$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.7$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.1, 0.1)</td>
<td>$4.3939e-6$</td>
<td>$1.3694e-5$</td>
<td>$2.2112e-5$</td>
<td>$2.3491e-5$</td>
<td>$1.2825e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.2, 0.2)</td>
<td>$7.5711e-6$</td>
<td>$2.2302e-5$</td>
<td>$3.7623e-5$</td>
<td>$4.6694e-5$</td>
<td>$3.1949e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.3, 0.3)</td>
<td>$8.553e-6$</td>
<td>$2.4508e-5$</td>
<td>$4.9748e-5$</td>
<td>$7.7937e-5$</td>
<td>$6.7115e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.4, 0.4)</td>
<td>$7.553e-6$</td>
<td>$2.4508e-5$</td>
<td>$4.9748e-5$</td>
<td>$7.7937e-5$</td>
<td>$6.7115e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.5, 0.5)</td>
<td>$5.9012e-6$</td>
<td>$1.8821e-5$</td>
<td>$3.9629e-5$</td>
<td>$6.8327e-5$</td>
<td>$5.3169e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.6, 0.6)</td>
<td>$4.4231e-6$</td>
<td>$1.3440e-5$</td>
<td>$2.7908e-5$</td>
<td>$5.1193e-5$</td>
<td>$5.5604e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.7, 0.7)</td>
<td>$2.9153e-6$</td>
<td>$8.1172e-6$</td>
<td>$1.5667e-5$</td>
<td>$2.9601e-5$</td>
<td>$3.6814e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.8, 0.8)</td>
<td>$1.6695e-6$</td>
<td>$4.1365e-6$</td>
<td>$5.1427e-6$</td>
<td>$8.8490e-6$</td>
<td>$1.7915e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.9, 0.9)</td>
<td>$6.9982e-7$</td>
<td>$3.1435e-6$</td>
<td>$2.0508e-4$</td>
<td>$2.8695e-4$</td>
<td>$1.2030e-5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with the initial conditions
$$
\mu(x, 0) = 0,
$$
$$
\mu_t(x, 0) = 0,
$$
$$
0 \leq x \leq 1,
$$
and the boundary conditions
$$
\mu(0, t) = 0,
$$
$$
\mu(1, t) = 0,
$$
$$
0 < t \leq 1,
$$
where $q(x, t) = (2t^{(2-\alpha)/\Gamma(3-\alpha)} + \alpha)(x - x^2) + 2x^2$. The exact solution of this problem is $\mu(x, t) = t^2x(1 - x)$.

The problem is solved by the proposed method for $k = 2$, $M = 3$ and the CPU time is 3.818 seconds. Figure 1 shows the approximate solution and the absolute error of this problem for $\alpha = 1.1$. Table 1 gives the absolute errors for different values of $\alpha$ at different points. To make a comparison, in Table 2, we list the absolute error obtained by the Legendre wavelets method in [17] based on fractional operational matrix of integral with $k = 3$, $M = 3$. From Figure 1 and Table 1, it can be seen that the presented method is very efficient and accurate in solving this problem.
Consider the following time-fractional diffusion-wave equation ([17]) of order $\alpha (1 < \alpha \leq 2)$

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha \mu (x, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mu (x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \mu (x, t)}{\partial x^2} + q (x, t),$$

(66)

with the initial conditions

$$\mu (x, 0) = 0,$$

$$\mu_t (x, 0) = 0,$$

(67)

$$0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\mu (0, t) = t^3,$$

$$\mu (1, t) = et^3,$$

(68)

$$0 < t \leq 1,$$
Table 4: The absolute errors for Example 2 for some different values $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ at some different points in [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(x, t)$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.1$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.3$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.5$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.7$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.1, 0.1)</td>
<td>$6.7028e-5$</td>
<td>$6.2270e-5$</td>
<td>$6.0407e-5$</td>
<td>$4.9516e-5$</td>
<td>$2.0243e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.2, 0.2)</td>
<td>$1.8718e-4$</td>
<td>$1.6817e-5$</td>
<td>$1.5683e-4$</td>
<td>$1.3074e-4$</td>
<td>$5.9155e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.3, 0.3)</td>
<td>$3.0913e-4$</td>
<td>$2.8256e-4$</td>
<td>$2.7985e-4$</td>
<td>$2.3269e-4$</td>
<td>$1.0947e-4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.4, 0.4)</td>
<td>$4.0221e-4$</td>
<td>$3.6211e-4$</td>
<td>$3.7045e-4$</td>
<td>$3.4739e-4$</td>
<td>$1.6790e-4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.5, 0.5)</td>
<td>$4.5801e-4$</td>
<td>$3.8782e-4$</td>
<td>$3.8089e-4$</td>
<td>$3.2553e-4$</td>
<td>$1.6277e-4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.6, 0.6)</td>
<td>$4.5260e-4$</td>
<td>$3.7198e-4$</td>
<td>$3.6309e-4$</td>
<td>$3.1089e-4$</td>
<td>$1.9284e-4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.7, 0.7)</td>
<td>$4.0597e-4$</td>
<td>$3.0859e-4$</td>
<td>$3.2603e-4$</td>
<td>$4.6656e-5$</td>
<td>$6.2825e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.8, 0.8)</td>
<td>$3.1039e-4$</td>
<td>$7.9174e-4$</td>
<td>$6.5594e-4$</td>
<td>$1.2388e-4$</td>
<td>$1.0181e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.9, 0.9)</td>
<td>$1.7283e-4$</td>
<td>$2.1787e-4$</td>
<td>$7.1269e-3$</td>
<td>$1.6600e-3$</td>
<td>$2.0918e-5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Maximum absolute error for Example 2 with various choices of $M$ and $\alpha$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.1$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.3$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.5$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.7$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$4.06786e-6$</td>
<td>$3.90708e-6$</td>
<td>$3.71627e-6$</td>
<td>$3.48835e-6$</td>
<td>$3.22168e-6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$6.03876e-7$</td>
<td>$5.76233e-7$</td>
<td>$5.43586e-7$</td>
<td>$5.04721e-7$</td>
<td>$4.59432e-7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$4.17452e-9$</td>
<td>$3.98846e-9$</td>
<td>$3.76854e-9$</td>
<td>$3.50696e-9$</td>
<td>$3.20222e-9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$4.74514e-10$</td>
<td>$4.51960e-10$</td>
<td>$4.25304e-10$</td>
<td>$3.93658e-10$</td>
<td>$3.56805e-10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$2.38765e-12$</td>
<td>$2.22533e-12$</td>
<td>$2.14806e-12$</td>
<td>$1.98641e-12$</td>
<td>$1.77769e-12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$2.42473e-13$</td>
<td>$2.90434e-13$</td>
<td>$3.26850e-13$</td>
<td>$1.74305e-13$</td>
<td>$1.15907e-13$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Approximate solution (a) and absolute error (b) for Example 3 with $\alpha = 1.7$, $k = 2$, and $M = 6$.

with the initial conditions

$$
\mu (x, 0) = 0, \\
\mu_t (x, 0) = 0,
$$

(70)

and the boundary conditions

$$
\mu (0, t) = 0, \\
\mu (1, t) = t^3 \sin^2 1,
$$

(71)

where $q(x, t) = (\Gamma(4)/\Gamma(4 - \alpha))t^{3-\alpha} \sin^2 x + 3t^2 \sin^2 x - 2t^3 \cos(2x)$. The exact solution of this problem is $\mu(x, t) = t^3 \sin^2 x$.

The problem is solved by the proposed method for $k = 2$, $M = 6$ and the CPU time is 28.42 seconds. Figure 3 shows the approximate solution and the absolute error of this problem in the case of $\alpha = 1.7$, $k = 2$, and $M = 6$. Table 6 gives the absolute error of the approximate solutions for different values of $\alpha$ at different points with $k = 2$, $M = 6$. Table 7 lists the maximum absolute error obtained by the proposed method for different choices of $M$ and $\alpha$ at the points $(x_i, t_j)$, where $x_i = l/40$, $t_j = j/40$, $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 40$. 
Table 6: The absolute error for Example 3 for some different values $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ at some different points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$(0.1, 0.1)$</th>
<th>$(0.2, 0.2)$</th>
<th>$(0.3, 0.3)$</th>
<th>$(0.4, 0.4)$</th>
<th>$(0.5, 0.5)$</th>
<th>$(0.6, 0.6)$</th>
<th>$(0.7, 0.7)$</th>
<th>$(0.8, 0.8)$</th>
<th>$(0.9, 0.9)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1.1$</td>
<td>$5.49562e-13$</td>
<td>$5.77200e-12$</td>
<td>$8.08760e-11$</td>
<td>$4.20186e-10$</td>
<td>$1.64121e-9$</td>
<td>$2.05951e-9$</td>
<td>$2.38806e-9$</td>
<td>$2.39668e-9$</td>
<td>$1.75917e-9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.3$</td>
<td>$5.4621e-13$</td>
<td>$2.35711e-12$</td>
<td>$5.48063e-11$</td>
<td>$3.35630e-10$</td>
<td>$1.46671e-9$</td>
<td>$1.79826e-9$</td>
<td>$2.07520e-9$</td>
<td>$2.09691e-9$</td>
<td>$1.56064e-9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.5$</td>
<td>$4.98133e-13$</td>
<td>$4.05830e-13$</td>
<td>$3.10533e-11$</td>
<td>$2.47962e-10$</td>
<td>$1.27599e-9$</td>
<td>$1.50447e-9$</td>
<td>$1.71522e-9$</td>
<td>$1.74583e-9$</td>
<td>$1.32547e-9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.7$</td>
<td>$4.68255e-13$</td>
<td>$8.65065e-14$</td>
<td>$1.33666e-11$</td>
<td>$1.65984e-10$</td>
<td>$1.08434e-9$</td>
<td>$1.34653e-9$</td>
<td>$1.32122e-9$</td>
<td>$1.34653e-9$</td>
<td>$1.04978e-9$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Maximum absolute error for Example 3 with various choices of $M$ and $\alpha$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.1$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.3$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.5$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.7$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$2.40504e-5$</td>
<td>$2.26983e-5$</td>
<td>$2.11041e-5$</td>
<td>$1.92403e-5$</td>
<td>$1.71638e-5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$3.99132e-6$</td>
<td>$3.77465e-6$</td>
<td>$3.51924e-6$</td>
<td>$3.22175e-6$</td>
<td>$2.89080e-6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1.08639e-7$</td>
<td>$1.02446e-7$</td>
<td>$9.51434e-8$</td>
<td>$8.66286e-8$</td>
<td>$7.71522e-8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$1.23281e-8$</td>
<td>$1.16368e-8$</td>
<td>$1.08219e-8$</td>
<td>$9.87176e-9$</td>
<td>$8.81455e-9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$2.64246e-10$</td>
<td>$2.49148e-10$</td>
<td>$2.31377e-10$</td>
<td>$2.10629e-10$</td>
<td>$1.87484e-10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$3.21829e-11$</td>
<td>$3.06864e-11$</td>
<td>$2.88753e-11$</td>
<td>$2.66064e-11$</td>
<td>$2.40237e-11$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 4. Consider the following time-fractional diffusion-wave equation of order $\alpha (1 < \alpha \leq 2)$

$$
\frac{\partial^\alpha \mu(x, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mu(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^3 \mu(x, t)}{\partial x^3} + f(x, t),
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\mu(0,t) = t^{\alpha+3} + t,
$$

$$
\mu(1,t) = \left(t^{\alpha+3} + t\right)e,
$$

and the initial conditions

$$
\mu(x,0) = 0,
$$

$$
\mu_t(x,0) = e^x
$$

with $0 < x < 1$, $0 < t \leq 1$.

The exact solution of this problem is

$$
\mu(x,t) = (t^{\alpha+3} + t)e^x.
$$

The space-time graph of the approximate solution and the absolute error for $\alpha = 1.9$, $k = 2$, and $M = 6$ is presented in Figure 4. The absolute error for different values of $\alpha$ at different points with $k = 2$ and $M = 6$ is shown in Table 6.
Table 8: The absolute errors for Example 4 for some different values $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ at some different points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(x, t)$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.1$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.3$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.5$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.7$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.1, 0.1)</td>
<td>1.06076e−9</td>
<td>2.8683e−9</td>
<td>1.3810e−8</td>
<td>2.42944e−8</td>
<td>1.75130e−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.2, 0.2)</td>
<td>1.40209e−9</td>
<td>6.00404e−9</td>
<td>2.27258e−8</td>
<td>5.24253e−8</td>
<td>4.98649e−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.3, 0.3)</td>
<td>3.37278e−9</td>
<td>1.98379e−9</td>
<td>2.09526e−8</td>
<td>8.15413e−8</td>
<td>1.06968e−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.4, 0.4)</td>
<td>2.36185e−9</td>
<td>9.2681e−9</td>
<td>2.79830e−8</td>
<td>8.15413e−8</td>
<td>1.06968e−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.5, 0.5)</td>
<td>8.93198e−8</td>
<td>9.49760e−8</td>
<td>4.89761e−8</td>
<td>6.62521e−8</td>
<td>1.02985e−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.6, 0.6)</td>
<td>1.44456e−7</td>
<td>2.91469e−7</td>
<td>2.53681e−7</td>
<td>1.67284e−7</td>
<td>1.10555e−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.7, 0.7)</td>
<td>8.49482e−8</td>
<td>1.98030e−7</td>
<td>2.18826e−7</td>
<td>9.46295e−8</td>
<td>1.11109e−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.8, 0.8)</td>
<td>3.27487e−8</td>
<td>9.88976e−8</td>
<td>1.30364e−7</td>
<td>1.11109e−7</td>
<td>6.11390e−8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Maximum absolute error for Example 4 with various choices of $M$ and $\alpha$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.1$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.3$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.5$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.7$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 1.9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.27899e−5</td>
<td>6.43156e−5</td>
<td>9.16715e−5</td>
<td>1.32018e−4</td>
<td>1.02247e−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.34797e−6</td>
<td>5.42695e−6</td>
<td>5.49280e−6</td>
<td>4.91082e−6</td>
<td>3.00590e−6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.92849e−7</td>
<td>1.03746e−6</td>
<td>9.65101e−7</td>
<td>7.05607e−7</td>
<td>3.62955e−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.49081e−7</td>
<td>2.97338e−7</td>
<td>2.65557e−7</td>
<td>1.97476e−7</td>
<td>4.37784e−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.83218e−8</td>
<td>1.08640e−7</td>
<td>8.96853e−8</td>
<td>5.56267e−8</td>
<td>4.37784e−8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.69626e−8</td>
<td>4.77286e−8</td>
<td>3.86373e−8</td>
<td>2.74826e−8</td>
<td>2.01824e−8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: The absolute errors for Example 5 at some different points with $k = 2$ and $M = 3$ to 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(x, t)$</th>
<th>$M = 3$</th>
<th>$M = 4$</th>
<th>$M = 5$</th>
<th>$M = 6$</th>
<th>$M = 7$</th>
<th>$M = 8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0.1, 0.1)</td>
<td>6.48090e−8</td>
<td>9.39323e−9</td>
<td>6.41101e−10</td>
<td>6.04636e−11</td>
<td>2.16316e−12</td>
<td>1.01696e−13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.2, 0.2)</td>
<td>2.27341e−8</td>
<td>5.69677e−8</td>
<td>7.56717e−9</td>
<td>1.05616e−9</td>
<td>9.40182e−10</td>
<td>3.00590e−6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.3, 0.3)</td>
<td>7.34805e−6</td>
<td>1.29090e−6</td>
<td>1.27698e−7</td>
<td>2.06609e−8</td>
<td>1.80493e−9</td>
<td>2.37917e−10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.4, 0.4)</td>
<td>3.45200e−5</td>
<td>6.82472e−6</td>
<td>7.01216e−7</td>
<td>1.09420e−7</td>
<td>9.84536e−9</td>
<td>1.27289e−9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.5, 0.5)</td>
<td>1.03114e−4</td>
<td>2.27987e−5</td>
<td>2.20253e−6</td>
<td>3.58064e−7</td>
<td>3.15850e−8</td>
<td>4.12741e−9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.6, 0.6)</td>
<td>8.61804e−5</td>
<td>1.96315e−5</td>
<td>2.03271e−6</td>
<td>3.59814e−7</td>
<td>3.28933e−8</td>
<td>4.39188e−9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.7, 0.7)</td>
<td>6.20577e−5</td>
<td>1.58635e−5</td>
<td>2.61296e−6</td>
<td>3.78036e−7</td>
<td>3.54996e−8</td>
<td>4.53937e−9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.8, 0.8)</td>
<td>2.11165e−4</td>
<td>1.04491e−6</td>
<td>2.34163e−6</td>
<td>3.37207e−7</td>
<td>3.52399e−8</td>
<td>4.14875e−9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.9, 0.9)</td>
<td>1.36820e−4</td>
<td>1.04491e−6</td>
<td>2.87015e−6</td>
<td>1.50481e−7</td>
<td>2.93362e−8</td>
<td>2.69187e−9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is tabulated in Table 8. Table 9 lists the maximum absolute error obtained by the proposed method for different choices of $M$ and $\alpha$ at the points $(x_i, t_j)$, where $x_i = i/40$, $t_j = j/40$, $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 40$. The CPU time for this problem is 28.32 seconds.

Example 5. Consider the following time-fractional diffusion-wave equation:

$$\frac{\partial^{1.5} \mu(x, t)}{\partial t^{1.5}} + \frac{\partial \mu(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \mu(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + q(x, t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (75)

$$0 < x < 1, \quad 0 < t \leq 1,$$

with the initial conditions

$$\mu(x, 0) = e^{x^2},$$
$$\mu_t(x, 0) = e^{x^2},$$

$$0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\mu(0, t) = e^{t},$$
$$\mu(1, t) = e^{t+1},$$ \hspace{1cm} (77)

$$0 < t \leq 1,$$

where $q(x, t) = (\text{erf}(\sqrt{t}) - 4x^2 - 1)e^{x^2 + t}$. The exact solution of this problem is $\mu(x, t) = e^{t+x^2}$.

This problem is solved by the proposed method with $k = 2, M = 3$ to 8. The absolute error of the approximate solutions for some different points are shown in Table 10. Figure 5 shows the approximate solution and the absolute error of this problem in the case of $k = 2$ and $M = 6$. The CPU time for this problem is 28.82 seconds.

From Figures 1–5 and Tables 1–10, it can be seen that the proposed method is very efficient and accurate in solving this problem and the obtained approximate solutions are very
close to the exact ones for all chosen $\alpha$ with $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. It is worth noting that more accurate result can be obtained by increasing basis functions.

Remark 6. It should be noted that the presented method can also be applied to the calculation of long time $T$. We only need to extend the second-kind Chebyshev wavelet basis functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ to the general interval $[a, b]$. We choose Example 2 to illustrate the feasibility of this method. For example, Figure 6 shows the behavior of exact and approximation solution and corresponding error at $T = 10$.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, the collocation method based on the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets has been applied to the time-fractional diffusion-wave equations. We derived the fractional integral formula of a single Chebyshev wavelet in the Riemann-Liouville sense via the shifted Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. Convergence and accuracy estimation of the second-kind Chebyshev wavelets expansion of two-dimension were given. The second-kind Chebyshev wavelets and their properties have been used to convert the problems under consideration into some corresponding
linear systems of algebraic equations. The proposed method is very convenient for solving time-fractional diffusion-wave equations, since the boundary conditions are taken into account automatically during the process of establishing the expression of the approximate solution. Applicability and accuracy have been tested on some numerical examples. We also compared our results with the results obtained by Legendre wavelet, which showed that the proposed approach was more accurate. Furthermore, the proposed method can be expected to solve other types of fractional partial differential equations numerically.
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