Experimental and Theoretical Studies on the Effect of Die Temperature on the Quality of the Products in High-Pressure Die-Casting Process
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1. Introduction

High-pressure die-casting (HPDC) process has been widely used to manufacture a large variety of products with high dimensional accuracy and productivities. It has a much faster production rate in comparison to other methods and it is an economical and efficient method for producing components with low surface roughness and high-dimensional accuracy. All major aluminum automotive components can be processed with this technology [1–7]. In this process, the metal is injected into the die at high speeds (30–100 m/s and typically 40–60 m/s for aluminum alloys [2]) and under high pressure through complex gate and runner systems [3].

Although HPDC has a considerably higher speed than other metal forming processes, due to complexity of the process and the number of variables, optimization of the process is essential. In particular, there are issues related to control of die temperature, solidification of the components, quality control of the castings, and more important, development or use of a coherent and integrated system. The mechanical properties of a die-cast product are principally related to the die temperature, the metal velocity at the gate, and the applied casting pressure [4].

Combination of die temperature, fluidity of the molten metal, geometrical complexity of the parts, and cooling rate during die casting affect the integrity of a cast component. If these parameters are not adequately controlled, various defects within the finished component will be expected [6, 7]. Thermal profile of the die during operation is another important factor in the production of high-quality components. Too high temperature of the die will lead to longer solidification which consequently prolongs the cycle time, while a cold die will contribute to a number of surface defects [3, 8, 9].

Kermanpur et al. [10] used FLOW-3D software to simulate the filling and solidification sequences of two automotive components. They process the appropriateness of the running and feeding systems. Schneiderbauer et al. [11] investigated the flow of molten metal in the die cavity threefoldly: (a) analytically, (b) experimentally, and (c) numerically. They studied the effect of flow condition on casting defects. Pereira et al. [12] used ProCAST software to simulate HPDC process. They studied the effect of the die temperature and melt temperature die life. Rai et al. [13] worked on optimization of main process parameters in HPDC, namely, die temperature, melt temperature, and plunger velocity.
In this equation, $Q_{\text{mech}}(J)$ is a volumetric heat source that accounts for mechanically induced dissipation effects; $T$ is the temperature, $k$ is the thermal conductivity of the medium, and $h$ is its specific enthalpy. Please note that the entire enthalpy is transported with the velocity of the fluid.

In order to account for solidification, $\rho h$ is written as follows:

$$\rho h = \int_0^T \rho c_p(\theta) d\theta + \rho L(1 - f_s),$$

where $c_p$ and $L$ are the specific heat and latent heat of fusion, respectively, and $f_s$ is the volume fraction of the solid.

Free surface modeling is achieved with a scalar variable, $\Phi$, describing the local volume fraction of the fluid (i.e., $\Phi = 0/1$ if the “point” is empty/full of SSM). Previous balance equations are averaged with this variable, which is advertised according to:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi + \nu \cdot \text{grad} \Phi = 0.$$

These equations need to be explained in the frame work of the paper.

3. Modeling Procedure

A 3-dimensional model of the cast product is an important input for design and analysis functions in ProCAST and can be imported through a data exchange interface using the industry standard Parasolid format (Figure 1). The material properties of the alloy were extracted from the software database and are shown in Table 1.

In order to evaluate the effect of process parameters on the filling pattern and quality of the final product, three main process parameters were varied during simulation and their effects on the results were studied. Initial and boundary conditions used in the simulation are given in Table 2. To ensure mesh independency of the results, two different mesh sizes were used and simulation results were compared at these two mesh sizes.

4. Experimental Procedures

The material used in this study was A380 material. Die temperatures were 150°C, 200°C, and 250°C. Initial melt temperature of 680°C, shot sleeve speed of 3 m/s, and speed melt in gate of 55 m/s for the ladder frame were assumed. Measurement of the melt temperature was carried out by thermocouple and Laser pyrometer (model chy 110) at the die surface.

Melt temperature was measured at the die entrance at the start of injection and at the end of filling. This test was done at various die temperatures. The IDRA1600 die-cast machine was used for injection. Test results are illustrated in Figure 3 and Figures 2–4.

The variations of melt temperature versus die temperature in different cases are shown in Figure 2. The results show that the die temperature varies from 150 to 250°C, while the melt temperature varies between 660°C and 680°C.
Figure 1: Geometry of ladder frame product.
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Figure 2: Melt temperatures at die entrance and start injection versus die temperatures.
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**Table 3**: Melt temperature at outset injection in shot sleeve and at end injection final filling position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Die temperature °C</th>
<th>Melt temperature °C at outset injection</th>
<th>Melt temperature °C at end injection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>670, 669, 671</td>
<td>650, 649, 652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>675, 676, 674</td>
<td>664, 666, 663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>679, 680, 679</td>
<td>671, 670, 668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Melt temperatures at the end of the die and end injection versus die temperatures.
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**Figure 4:** Reduction of melt temperature at various die temperatures at the initial and the end of injection.
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**Figure 5:** Cold flow surface defects at final filling positions.

![Images showing cold flow defects](image)

**Figure 6:** Positions of added overflows to the mold.

![Diagram showing positions of overflows](image)
Figure 5 shows typical examples of cold flow surface defects in pieces produced in a die with temperature of 150°C (Figures 1–3). As can be seen from this figure, cold shot defects occur at final filling positions predicted by the software.

This kind of defect occurs because the melt has to move a long way in the die cavity and finally it reaches its liquidus temperature. If the metal is partially solidified when two flows come together, the laps are formed and laminations, as the characteristic of surface defects, appear. This defect is often apparent at the end of the flow pattern especially when the die is colder. Overflows were added in these positions to eliminate these kinds of defects (Figure 6).

Gas porosities caused by entrapped air during metal injection are illustrated in Figure 7. Porosity caused by turbulent flow, low die temperature, and long flow path in combination with a thin wall section. An example of turbulent flow pattern of the melt at three holes in front of the gate is shown in Figure 8(a). These holes cause agitation in the flow pattern. This agitation can result in air entrapment and oxidation and also they can change the flow pattern of the molten metal to a more turbulent one and cause branch-like flow.
By eliminating these three holes in the model (Figure 8(b)), more stable flow pattern was observed. Some other possible sites of air entrapment are shown in Figure 9(d). These sites have been generated as a result of complex and branch-like flow pattern in the mold.

Simulations Results. The boundary conditions implemented in the software are shown in Table 2. Then, the model was run for die temperatures of 150°C, 200°C, and 250°C while other parameters kept constant. The flow pattern of the melt in the die at 200°C is shown in Figure 9.

Temperature distribution of the melt in two different die temperatures at equal time is compared in Figure 10. In the case of 150°C die temperature, melt temperature falls down near the liquidus temperature of the material and there is danger of cold shot flow in this case.

Figure 11 shows the final solidification positions at the end of casting. Shrinkage defects occurred at the final solidification positions are shown in Figure 12. In this figure, one can see a comparison between simulation results and experimental observation at those areas. The outer side of the part has shrinkage defects which were predicted by the software. The verified model interestingly represented the correct location of the porosity defects in the castings.

5. Discussion

The difference of two melt temperature curves at the initial and end of the process at 250°C, 200°C, and 150°C are 9.5°C, 10°C, and 19.5°C, respectively (Figure 3). It is seen that there is a break point in the curve of end injection at die temperature of 200°C. Therefore, defects are more probable at die temperatures less than 200°C. These results are supported by experimental observations. With regard to this break point, it can be seen that the normal die temperature for this alloy is 200°C. Maximum temperature depends on the die cooling system and optimized cycle time for production of a specific part.

Filling pattern of the melt is shown in Figure 6. Final filling positions in the die are illustrated in this figure. Same
thing was observed at the die temperature of 150°C (Figures 1–3). Filled Percentages of mold at two die temperatures of 150°C and 250°C are shown in Figure 5. By comparing result at equal time steps, filled percentage of die at the die temperature of 250°C is more than that of 150°C.

Figures 11 and 12 show the results of solidification simulation. In this figure, it is seen that the peripheral area of the part is at the liquid phase while other areas are solidified. Therefore, these areas are susceptible to formation of shrinkage porosities. In order to reduce the cold flow
defects and air porosities, overflows can be placed near these areas in the die design.

6. Conclusions

(i) Comparison of the experimental and simulation results indicates that defects in the pieces are placed at the predicted places by simulation.

(ii) Optimum die temperature for A380 alloy for H13 die material is around 200°C.

(iii) If the die temperature is reduced from the optimum temperature range, probability of cold flow defects and air porosities increase.

(iv) Determination of optimized places of overflows by simulation led to decrease of some casting defects such as cold shots and air porosities.
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