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Effect of Nanofillers on the Polarization and Depolarization Current Characteristics of New LLDPE-NR Compound for High Voltage Application
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Polymeric nanocomposites in which the nanosize fillers are evenly distributed in the polymer material attract attention as an insulating material due to their ability to enhance the materials performance properties of electrical and mechanical. For high voltage (HV) insulation application, one of the targets is to obtain new insulators with improved dielectric properties. This paper presents the outcome of an experimental study to determine the conductivity level of the linear low-density polyethylene-(LLDPE-)natural rubber (NR) compound, filled with different amount of SiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$ nanofiller by using the polarization and depolarization current (PDC) measurement technique. Linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) and natural rubber (NR) with the ratio composition of 80:20 are selected as a base polymer. The experiment was conducted to find PDC pattern and conductivity variations of each of the LLDPE-NR/SiO$_2$ and LLDPE-NR/TiO$_2$ samples. The results show that the addition of SiO$_2$ filler exhibited less conductivity compared to TiO$_2$ filler with certain percentage. From the study, it can be concluded that LLDPE-NR/SiO$_2$ is a better insulator compared with LLDPE-NR/TiO$_2$.

1. Introduction

Polyethylene (PE) such as linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) is produced via the copolymerization of ethylene with various alpha olefins. They have grown in importance because of the specific properties that can be obtained by varying comonomer content and polymerization condition [1]. Researchers [2] had used epoxy resin as based component. Ibrahim and Dahlan [3] have reported the combination of LLDPE and natural rubber as the most compatible mixes in comparison to other thermoplastic-NR mixes. With further comparison among the PE materials, they found that the LLDPE is most compatible to NR where the rubber particles are dispersed in the continuous phase of thermoplastic components. Researchers [4] found that the compound of 80% LLDPE and 20% NR without ATH seems to be the best compound based on the least damage and the lowest normalized degradation index during the mixing process.

With the addition of nanoscale fillers into polymers, robust materials can potentially be produced due to the synergistic effects (cooperating for enhanced effects) arising from the blending process. The fillers added to the polymer-based matrix are just in small quantity, typically less than 10 wt%. All nanocomposites show significantly enhanced thermal stability compared to virgin LLDPE due to the increases of the effective activation energy during degradation process. Furthermore, according to [5] the dynamic mechanical properties of LLDPE/nano-SiO$_2$ composites are superior to untreated LLDPE-SiO$_2$ composites. Researchers in [6] have performed morphology, electrical, and water treeing
behaviour testing on LLDPE with the surface treatment of the silica nanoparticles. The composite sample with saline-treated nanoparticles shows improved dielectric properties when compared with the sample with untreated surface nanoparticles. Inorganic nanofillers are frequently used to fabricate polymer nanocomposites.

It is known that the dispersion of a very low ratio of inorganic particles having at least one dimension smaller than 100 nm can create a network of chemical-physical interactions inside an organic matrix, leading to a dramatic change in the macroscopic properties of the material [7]. This can be translated into the enhancements of some very important characteristics of organic dielectrics, such as the thermal stability and the mechanical strength. Additionally, it exhibits many improved electrical properties, for example, breakdown strength and resistivity of the insulator.

Most previous researches performed morphology studies, breakdown strength test, space charge formation, and dielectric loss test to determine the electrical properties of polymer nanocomposite [8–10]. However, these dielectric measurements are not adequate because they do not reveal information on the polarization current, depolarization current, and the conductivity variation. Without the accurate information of these parameters, it is difficult to determine the cause for the degradation of the insulator.

Condition monitoring technique such as polarization and depolarization current (PDC) measurement has been used to predict the remaining life of the electrical apparatus [11–15]. It is also useful to reduce unscheduled outages, improve maintenance planning, and increase system reliability. PDC analysis also had been done by researcher [15–17] on nanocomposite material to determine the dielectric properties. Analysis of the fundamental dielectric processes has shown that the polarization phenomena are strongly influenced by the morphology and degradation of the polymeric insulation [7]. Therefore, research into the dielectric properties of polymer nanocomposites based on PDC measurement would provide invaluable information to further understand the electrical insulating performance of polymer nanocomposites used in high voltage applications. Hence the objective of this paper is to determine the type of nanofiller that can improve the insulator properties by determining conductivity level of the LLDPE nanocomposite sample.

2. Experiment

2.1. Material Preparation. LLDPE was used as the base matrix of the sample. The nanofiller used were silicon oxide (SiO$_2$) and titanium oxide (TiO$_2$). The LLDPE was mixed with natural rubber (NR) grade SMR CV 60 along with the nanofiller. Detailed specifications of the materials were summarized in Table I.

2.2. Compounding of Polymer Nanocomposite. The LLDPE sample used in this study is commercially manufactured by Titan Chemical, Malaysia. It has a density of 0.918 g/cm$^3$ and a melt index of 25 g/10 min. The SiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$ nanofillers were sourced from China. It has an approximately spherical shape with particle size of less than 50 nm. It has specific surface area of about 100 m$^2$/g. The filler was dried before use. Natural rubber grade SMR CV 60 supplied by Taiko Plantations is mixed and blended with the LLDPE and nanofiller. Polyethylene nanocomposites were prepared by melt mixing at 165°C using a Brabender mixer with a chamber size of 50 cm$^3$. The mixer has a high shear force; the screw speed was controlled at 35 rpm, and the mixing time was 2 min for each sample.

2.3. Compression Moulding. Test specimens for PDC tests were prepared by using Hydraulic Hot Press Genesis brand manufactured by Wabash MPI USA. The nanocomposites were finally prepared into square shape with the thickness of 3 mm by hot melt pressing at 1 tone pressure at 170°C for 10 min. The cooling process has a significant influence on the morphological features of the sample which may alter the dielectric characteristics. To maintain consistent morphology, the moulded samples were immersed into distilled cool water (quenching) after 10 min of moulding process. Four types of polyethylene nanocomposite square shape with a dimension of 10 cm × 10 cm were prepared, with concentrations of nanofiller of 1, 3, 5, and 7 wt%, respectively. Table 2 shows the details of the samples prepared.

2.4. Morphological Studies. The nanostructures of the polymer nanocomposites are investigated by using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM), model JEOL JSM-7600F. A 40 mA sputtering current with 60 seconds of coating time is used during the platinum coating process. The electron gun of FESEM is energised at 10 kV and 3000 times of magnification is used to capture the nanograph of the polymer.

2.5. PDC Measurement. By applying a dc voltage step on the dielectric material the polarization currents measurement is performed. For depolarization current, it is measured by removing the dc voltage source incorporating with a switch turn onto short circuit at the undertested objects. The dc voltage applied was 1000 V for about 10,000 seconds for polarization and depolarization time. The voltage and currents were recorded automatically by the control software that was developing in the LabVIEW environment. The PDC measurement principal is shown in Figure 1. PDC testing was done at High Voltage and High Current Laboratory (IVAT), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), by using PDC equipment setup as shown in Figure 2.

The estimation of the conductivity for HV insulation under polarization and depolarization test result can be expressed from the PDC value [11–15]. The test object can be a single dielectric material or an arrangement of several dielectric materials in series or in parallel. From the measurements of polarization and depolarization currents, it is possible to estimate the dc conductivity, $\sigma$ of the test object. If the test object is charged for a sufficiently long time, the dc conductivity of the composite dielectric can be expressed as (I) [11–15]. All the obtained data are run and plotted using Matlab. From the plotted figures, the trend and pattern of
Table 1: Detailed properties of materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>LLDPE</th>
<th>NR-SMR CV 60</th>
<th>Silicon oxide (SiO₂)</th>
<th>Titanium oxide (TiO₂)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Titan Chemical</td>
<td>Taiko Plantation</td>
<td>Hongwu Nanometer, China</td>
<td>Hongwu Nanometer, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of 0.918 g/cm³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt index of 25 g/10 min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting temperature: 160°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen: 0.36%wt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mooney viscosity: 64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirt content: 0.02%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form: hydrophilic solid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color: white</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size: 20–30 nm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form: hydrophobic solid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rutile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color: white</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH: 6–8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size: &lt;50 nm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Compound formulations and designation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test sample</th>
<th>Constituent composition wt%</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE + natural rubber</td>
<td>LLDPE 80 Natural rubber (SMR CV 60) 20</td>
<td>A0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 20</td>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 20 1</td>
<td>A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 20 3</td>
<td>A5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 20 5</td>
<td>A7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLDPE + natural rubber + SiO₂</td>
<td>LLDPE 80 Natural rubber (SMR CV 60) 20</td>
<td>B1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 20 1</td>
<td>B3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 20 3</td>
<td>B5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 20 5</td>
<td>B7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The interaction between the matrix and the fillers is related to the chemical properties of the filler surface and the interfacial area between the matrix and the fillers. The stronger the interaction and the larger the interfacial area, the greater the volume of the bound part. Because the molecules

\[
\sigma = \frac{\varepsilon_o}{C_o U_o} \left[ i_p (t) - i_d (t) \right],
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the composite conductivity, \( \varepsilon_o \) is the relative permittivity, \( C_o \) is the geometric capacitance, \( U_o \) is the step voltage, \( i_d (t) \) is the depolarization current, and \( i_p (t) \) is the polarization current.

3. Results and Analysis

3.1. Morphology Analysis. Figures 3 and 4 show result of FESEM images for each sample of LLDPE-NR/SiO₂ and LLDPE-NR/TiO₂. For the compounds filled with low and moderate content of nanofiller, the basic components in the compound are homogeneously dispersed and only small agglomeration of the fillers occurs as shown in Figures 3(a), 3(b), 4(a), and 4(b). This shows that the interaction between fillers and the polymer matrix is strong. Figures 3(d) and 4(d) have shown that more composition wt% of nanofiller tends to agglomerate each other. For higher content of nanofillers, the material compounding becomes rougher due to difficulty in dispersing nanofiller uniformly in the compound. In the LLDPE-NR/SiO₂ and LLDPE-NR/TiO₂ composites, there are some particles contacts in agglomerates that contribute to a larger number of SiO₂ and TiO₂ particles. Agglomerates in both composite samples, those observed in the sample are clearly larger size for higher wt% of nanofiller. The silanol groups at the surface of silica nanoparticles tend to form hydrogen bonds which can contribute in a strong tendency toward agglomeration in the polymer matrix.
of the bound part are restricted to the surface of the fillers, the molecular motion is greatly limited [5].

3.2. Polarization and Depolarization Current Analysis. The results for polarization and depolarization currents measured for sample in group A are shown in Figures 5 and 6. Based on the graphs that were plotted, sample A5 has the lowest value of polarization and depolarization current. This shows that sample A5 has a lower level of conductivity as compared to the other samples. Sample A5 which has 5 wt% of SiO$_2$ shows the lowest polarization current recorded and this can be related to higher resistivity. The study shows that 5 wt% of SiO$_2$ will produce the optimum composition for LLDPE-NR polymer in order to increase the resistivity of the material. The interaction between the matrix and the fillers depends on the chemical properties of the filler surface and the interfacial area between the matrix and the fillers. The empty zone in the material had been filled with nanofiller. SiO$_2$ nanofillers have dielectric properties due to its lower conductivity value [17].

Sample A7 shows the highest polarization current compared with others in group A. Agglomeration of nanofillers in the composite sample tends to increase the moving charges that can contribute to higher polarization current and conductivity. Dielectric permittivity of micrometric agglomerate was higher than that of particles material. There exist hydroxyl group in SiO$_2$ nanofillers and molecularly absorbed water on the surface of nanofillers which can introduce more charge carriers and consequently cause higher polarization current.

The results for polarization and depolarization currents measured for samples in group B are shown in Figures 7 and 8. Based on the current patterns that were plotted, it is shown that the value of current increased significantly when the TiO$_2$ nanofiller was increased from 1 wt% to 5 wt%. These results also comply with the fact that the TiO$_2$ nanofiller is a reactive semiconductor. Therefore, it is not suitable to be used as high voltage insulating materials due to the higher PDC recorded.

3.3. Conductivity Variation Analysis. Polarization and depolarization current measurement allows for an estimation to be made on the condition (moisture and ageing) of the insulation with different conductivities. From (1), it proves that conductivity of the insulation is influenced by polarization and depolarization current values.

Conductivity variations for LLDPE-NR/SiO$_2$ samples for different amount of nanofiller are shown in Figure 9. Based on the figure, when the percentage of SiO$_2$ nanofiller increased from 1 wt% to 5 wt%, the conductivity of LLDPE-NR/SiO$_2$ is decreased. When the percentage of nanofiller content is
Figure 4: FESEM images of the samples. (a) Sample B1, (b) sample B3, (c) sample B5, and (d) sample B7.

Figure 5: Polarization current values for samples A0, A1, A3, A5, and A7.

Figure 6: Depolarization current values for samples A0, A1, A3, A5, and A7.
further increased to 7 wt%, the conductivity increases and is higher than the sample with 3 wt% and 5 wt%. The sample of 5 wt% SiO$_2$ nanofiller is found to be the best compound because it has the lowest conductivity compared to the others from the same group. Nanofillers will fill up the empty bond of the composite matrix in the composite sample.

The higher value of conductivity of A7 as compared to A5 indicates that the SiO$_2$ nanofiller of more than 5 wt% has reversed the improvement on the dielectric properties of LLDPE. This is because the filler will agglomerate in both composite samples. Those observed in the sample are clearly larger size of filler for higher wt% of nanofiller. Agglomeration of nanofillers in the composite sample tends to increase the moving charges that can contribute to higher polarization current and conductivity. More improvement can be done by addition of SiO$_2$ 5 wt% as filler for dielectric properties and lower conductivity level as compared to other composition. The properties of SiO$_2$ nanofiller as dielectric filler tend to improve the dielectric properties as a good insulator.

Figure 9 shows the conductivity variations of LLDPE-NR/TiO$_2$. The figure shows that the conductivity level is increased when TiO$_2$ nanofiller increases from 1 wt% to 5 wt%. Sample B5 has a higher conductivity value as compared to the conductivity value of sample A5. It can be summarized that the conductivity of the samples will be different when different percentage (wt%) of TiO$_2$ nanofiller is used. Since this nanofiller is semiconductor filler, it is not very suitable to be used as an insulator due to the nature of its properties. SiO$_2$ nanofiller had given better properties in terms of conductivity for HV insulation application as compared with TiO$_2$ nanofiller.

4. Conclusions

Morphology and conductivity of LLDPE-NR/SiO$_2$ and LLDPE-NR/TiO$_2$ samples have been conducted and studied in this paper. Morphology results show that, for the LLDPE-NR/SiO$_2$ and LLDPE-NR/TiO$_2$ composites, there are some particles contacts in agglomerates that produced a larger number of SiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$ particles, while observations on agglomeration on both composite samples show that they are larger in size when higher percentage (wt%) of nanofiller is used.
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Based on the PDC measurement results, it was found that adding SiO$_2$ as nanofiller at certain percentage will improve the dielectric properties of LLDPE. However, additional TiO$_2$ nanofiller will make it worse. The LLDPE-NR/SiO$_2$ at 5 wt% has been found to be the best composition for HV insulation in terms of the lowest polarization and depolarization current values as well as the lowest conductivity level. Furthermore, the trends of the conductivity variation were found to be dependent on the polarization and depolarization currents values. These trends can be used to evaluate the condition of the HV insulation.
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