The production of high hardness and thermally stable nanocrystalline aluminium composites is described. Al powder was milled at room temperature in an ammonia flow for a period of less than 5 h. \( \text{NH}_3 \) dissociation during milling provokes the absorption, at a high rate, of nitrogen into aluminium, hardening it by forming a solid solution. Controlled amounts of \( \text{AlN} \) and \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{N} \) are formed during the subsequent sintering of milled powders for consolidation. The pinning action of these abundant dispersoids highly restrains aluminium grain growth during heating. The mean size of the Al grains remains below 45 nm and even after the milled powder is sintered at 650 \(^\circ\)C for 1 h.

1. Introduction

Nanostructured materials are promising materials that offer new opportunities for substantially improving the standard of living. Over the last years, nanostructured materials research has grown significantly [1–3]. Grain size reduction to the nanometre scale allows for improvements in mechanical properties, as has been shown by several researchers [4–8]. Currently, the most common processing methods for the production of nanocrystalline materials include inert gas condensation, chemical reaction methods, electrodeposition, severe plastic deformation, and mechanical milling [9, 10]. The latter, also called high-energy milling or mechanical alloying, is considered one of the main techniques in this regard [11, 12].

Al powder has traditionally been reinforced with a variety of micron-size directly added ceramic particles, such as alumina, silicon carbide, titanium carbide, and others [13–15]. Recently, Al-matrix composites reinforced with submicrometric (0.1–1 \( \mu \)m) and nanometric (<0.1 \( \mu \)m) particles have been widely studied [16, 17]. However, one of the main challenges towards achieving a homogeneous distribution of a nanometric ceramic phase in a metal matrix is avoiding agglomeration of the reinforcement particles [18]. It has been found that the reinforcement of aluminium powders is more homogeneous when these dispersoids are formed through direct reaction of the aluminium with its environment, rather than by simply mixing aluminium with ceramic composites [19–22]. Aluminium easily reacts with elements such as C, O, N, and H, which leads to difficulties in its traditional processing, but this reactivity can be positively used through mechanical alloying to form, after thermal treatments, several phases finely dispersed in the matrix. These phases, such as aluminium oxide (\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \)), carbide (\( \text{Al}_4\text{C}_3 \)), nitride (\( \text{AlN} \)), oxynitrides, and oxycarbonitrides [19], perform as reinforcing instead of embrittling agents. In the presence of hydrogen, aluminium forms hydrides, which are brittle, but they are easily removed by heating, forming hydrogen, which is evacuated during sintering [23, 24].

On the other hand, aluminium nitride possesses excellent conductivity, low thermal expansion, high hardness, and good oxidation resistance at elevated temperatures [25]. These characteristics suggest that AlN may be an acceptable reinforcement not only for mechanical applications but also...
for physical aims such as electronic applications, when the composite/substrate junction must have no significant distortions with thermal changes [26]. Several preparation methods of Al/AlN composite materials have been reported. Most of them are based on pressure or pressureless metal infiltration, spray deposition and hot pressing, squeeze casting, and mechanical alloying [27–31].

This paper describes a new and simple method to obtain aluminium-based nanostructured components reinforced by self-forming nanometric AlN. These reinforcements are formed through the mechanochemistry of Al powders in an ammonia gas flow at room temperature for relatively short milling times (5h), followed by a conventional powder metallurgy consolidation process.

2. Materials and Methods

The starting material was atomised elemental aluminium powder (ECKA AS 61, Eckart-Werke, Germany), with a purity higher than 99.7% and a mean particle size of 80.5 μm. Aluminium powder was milled in a high-energy attritor ball-mill (Union Process, USA). The water-cooled stainless steel vessel used has a 1400 cm³ capacity. A 3 wt.% micropowder organic wax (etilen bis-stearamide) was used to control the welding and fracture processes of Al powder during milling. The mill charge contained 72 g of powder and 3600 g of balls (charge ratio in wt. %: 50:1). The milling processes were performed in an ammonia (NH₃) flow of 1 cm³/s with a purity higher than 99.96%.

In order to study the evolution of the morphology and microstructure of the powders, milling was performed from 1 to 5 h with rotor speeds of 300 and 500 rpm. For comparison, a milling process in vacuum (5 Pa) at 500 rpm for 5 h was also performed. The powder particle sizes were measured by laser diffraction (Malvern, Mastersizer 2000), whereas the morphology was studied by a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Philips XL-30) equipped with an energy dispersive microanalysis system. To measure the powder compressibility, a universal testing machine (Instron, 5505) with a load cell of 100 kN was used.

The milled powders were consolidated by cold uniaxial pressing (1300 MPa) and vacuum (5 Pa) sintering (650°C for 1h). X-ray diffraction measurements (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance, using CuKα radiation) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Philips CM 200) of milled and sintered powders were used to identify, quantify, and measure the crystallite and grain size of the phases formed. Vickers hardness (Struers Duramin A300) of sintered compacts was evaluated using a load of 1 kg, whereas relative density was determined both by Archimedes’ principle and by mass and dimensions measurement.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Granulometry. Figure 1 displays the granulometric distribution of the as-received aluminium (ARAl) and the mechanically alloyed powders, at 300 and 500 rpm, in an ammonia gas flow (MAA 300 and MAA 500, resp.).

The curve of the MAA 500 powders (Figure 1(b)) shows that, contrary to that expected for a ductile powder such as aluminium, the particle size decreases from its initial value (powder milled for 1h, MAA 500-1). This is due to the rapid dissociation and adsorption of components of the ammonia from the beginning of the mechanical alloying process, strengthening the aluminium by solid solution. This strengthening associated with high-energy milling is responsible for the rapid particle size decrease. On the other hand, lack of symmetry is observed in the curves of the 3- and 5-h milled powders (MAA 500-3 and MAA A 500-5). This could be related to the small particle size of these powders, which significantly promotes powder agglomeration.

In contrast, the lower energy of the process when milling at 300 rpm causes a slower particle size evolution (Figure 1(a)). The powder requires more time to harden, homogenise, and decrease in size. Therefore, the MAA 300 powders have a larger mean particle size (Figure 1(c)) and a broader particle size distribution as compared to the MAA 500 powders for the same milling time. Furthermore, the lower milling energy and the consequent increase of the particle welding processes are also responsible for the higher particle size observed after 1h (MAA 300-1) as compared with the AR Al (Figure 1(c)).

3.2. Morphology. Figure 2 shows the sequence of SEM micrographs of MAA 300 powders as a function of milling time. For this rotor speed, powder morphological changes occur following the usual evolution [32]; after 1h of milling, the powder particles have a flake shape (Figure 2(a)); after 2h, they begin to weld to each other (Figure 2(b)); and after 3h, they tend toward an elongated multilayer structure (Figure 2(c)), which is revealed when sections of powders are studied. With increasing milling time, it was found that the elongated multilayer particles evolve to equiaxed particles, markedly decreasing their size (Figure 2(d)).

In contrast, this morphological evolution is faster for samples obtained by milling at 500 rpm. As shown in Figure 3, the particles have a flake shape after 1h of milling (Figure 3(a)) and acquire an equiaxial morphology after only 2h (Figure 3(b)). By increasing the milling time, the particle size is further reduced, while its geometry tends to be more rounded (Figures 3(c) and 3(d)). It can be observed that the powder tends to agglomerate, causing the aforementioned lack of symmetry of the granulometric curves of powders milled for 3h and 5h (MAA A 500-3 and MAA A 500-5).

3.3. Compressibility Test. Due to the small size of the as-milled powder particles, it was not possible to measure their microhardness. Nevertheless, a compressibility test (measuring the relative green density versus the applied compaction pressure) is an indirect method for determining the inherent hardness of powder particles [19]. This curve is very useful for determining, during the powder consolidation process, the adequate compaction pressure, that is, the desired green density. Compressibility curves of the milled powders are shown in Figure 4. Curves for the AR Al and vacuum-milled
Figure 1: Granulometric curve of AR Al and powders milled in NH₃ flow at (a) 300 rpm and (b) 500 rpm for 1, 3, and 5 h and (c) mean particle size (D₄₃) of these powders.

Figure 2: Morphological evolution of the milled powder in ammonia flow at 300 rpm for (a) 1 h, (b) 2 h, (c) 3 h, and (d) 5 h. Note the different magnification used.
Figure 3: Morphologic evolution of powder milled in NH₃ flow at 500 rpm for (a) 1h, (b) 2h, (c) 3h, and (d) 5h. Note the different magnification used.

Figure 4: Compressibility curves of (a) AR Al and powders milled in ammonia at 300 rpm for 1, 3, and 5 h and (b) powders milled at 500 rpm in vacuum for 5 h and in ammonia for 1, 3, and 5 h.

At a pressure of 500 MPa, the powders milled at 500 rpm for 1 and 5 h (MA A 500-1 and MA A 500-5) reach relative densities above 90 and 70%, respectively (Figure 4(b)). These values are slightly lower in comparison with the powders milled at 300 rpm, because, as expected, the hardening effect increases with rotor speed. However, this increase is not only due to the milling energy. In ammonia flow milling, solid solution hardening has an additional effect on the strain hardening. This assertion can be verified by comparing (Figure 4(b)) the compressibility curves of MA A 500-5 and powder milled in vacuum at the same rotor speed for the same powder at 500 rpm for 5 h (MA V 500-5) are also included for comparison.

The powder milled in ammonia at 300 rpm for 1 h (MA A 300-1) and pressed at 500 MPa has a relative density higher than 98% (Figure 4(a)), whereas the relative density of powder milled under the same conditions but for 5 h (MA A 300-5) is slightly higher than 78%. In contrast, the AR Al powder reaches a relative density close to 100% for the same compaction pressure. This shows that, even when using a rotor speed of only 300 rpm, the strain hardening effect is quite fast with respect to milling time.
Figure 5: X-ray diffraction of as-milled and as-sintered Al powders milled for 5 h (a) in vacuum and (b) in ammonia gas flow.

Table 1: Phases present vol.% in sintered Al powders milled in an ammonia gas flow at 500 rpm for 1, 3, and 5 h (MA A 500-1 S, MA A 500-3 S, and MA A 500-5 S).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Material MA A 500-1 S</th>
<th>Material MA A 500-3 S</th>
<th>Material MA A 500-5 S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium (Al)</td>
<td>78.45</td>
<td>46.41</td>
<td>28.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium nitride (AlN)</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>20.25</td>
<td>49.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium oxynitride (Al₅O₆N)</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>21.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Microstructure. The powders milled in vacuum at 500 rpm for 5 h before and after sintering (MA V 500-5 and MA V 500-5 S, resp.) were analysed by XRD to determine and quantify the phases formed (Figure 5(a)). The XRD patterns of the as-milled powders show only Al peaks. In contrast, in the sintered compact pattern, the presence of aluminium carbide (Al₄C₃) and small oxide (δ-Al₂O₃) peaks is detected. The carbides form come from the etilen bis-stearamide wax (H₁₇C₁₇CONHC₂H₄NHCOCH₂H₃₅) used as a process controlling agent during milling, whereas the oxides mainly come from the powder particle surface.

The XRD patterns were fitted via Rietveld refinement [33], and the methods of Williamson-Hall [34] and Langford [35] were applied to calculate the crystallite size and to quantify the phases formed. These methods gave an as-milled Al crystallite size of 38 ± 1 nm, whereas after sintering, the crystallite size increased to 700 ± 9 nm. This grain growth during heating is exclusively controlled by the pinning effect of Al₄C₃ and Al₂O₃, which were present at 8.98 and 0.61 vol.% respectively.

Similar to the vacuum-milled powders, only Al peaks were observed in the XRD pattern (Figure 5(b)) of the powders milled, also for 5 h, in an ammonia flow (MA A 500-5), with a crystallite size of 16 ± 0.5 nm. However, the situation is very different after sintering: nitrogen, in solid solution after milling, creates nanocrystalline aluminium nitride (AlN) and aluminium oxynitride (Al₅O₆N) (12 ± 0.5 and 4 ± 0.2 nm, resp., also calculated by XRD) during powder heating (Figure 5(b)). Thus, the proposed mechanochemistry process can produce NH₃ dissociation at room temperature, whereas under normal conditions (without milling), this occurrence only happens at temperatures of approximately 550°C. This could be an advantage over other methods for preparing Al/AlN composites, which usually include complicated steps or are performed at very low or high temperatures [36–39]. As expected, the quantity of aluminium nitride and oxynitride depends on the milling time, as shown in the quantification results of Table 1. It is notable that, after 3 h and 5 h of milling at 500 rpm, 20.25 and 49.58 vol.% of AlN were, respectively, obtained, showing that the formation of AlN with this method is very effective, even for short milling times.

Even more interesting is the effect that these nanocrystalline dispersoids have on Al grain growth. After sintering, the Al crystallite size of powders milled 5 h in vacuum increased to 700 ± 9 nm, as measured on the XRD pattern, while it increased only to 45 ± 1 nm for powders milled in an ammonia gas flow for the same duration.

In order to check these sizes, consolidated compacts have been studied by TEM. Figure 6(a) shows a bright field image of a sintered compact prepared from 5 h vacuum-milled powders. Results by image analysis on micrographs of these...
specimens give an Al mean grain size of 709 ± 20 nm, resulting in 15 times that of Al grains in specimens prepared from powder milled under ammonia flow for 5 h (Figure 6(b)), which resulted to be only of 43 ± 9 nm as obtained by image analysis from TEM images.

The aforementioned remarkable amount of dispersoids, together with the small Al grain size, surely increases the hardness of compacts processed from powders milled in an ammonia flow. However, this expected hardness could be reduced due to the difficulty in consolidating such hard powders.

Figure 7 shows the green (\(D_G\)) and sintered (\(D_S\)) relative densities of compacts prepared from powders milled in an ammonia flow at 500 rpm. The values of MAV 500-5 are also included for comparison. The low hardness of the MA A 500-1 powders makes it possible to reach high green densities (95.4%); however, the flake shape and relatively large size of the particles make the sintering process difficult, increasing the density by only 1.3%. An increase in the milling time to 3 or 5 h makes the powders harden, avoiding high green densities after the pressing stage. Despite the high specific area of both powders, due to their small size, their low green density seems to be the reason, together with the lower sinterability of the refractory phases (now in a higher amount), that the sinterability level of these samples is constrained (Figure 7). Improvements in the relative densities of the final products are currently under study in the authors’ laboratory, using additives such as copper to promote liquid phase sintering.

The nanosized Al grains and the presence of hard phases dispersed in the compacts prepared from ammonia-milled powders make their hardness be, despite their relatively elevated porosities, higher than those achieved in compacts prepared from vacuum-milled powders (Figure 7). It is remarkable that hardness of 478 HV\(_1\) was achieved in sintered compacts of powders milled for 5 h in NH\(_3\).

4. Conclusions

Aluminium powder was attrition-milled in an ammonia flow of 1 cm\(^3\)/s for 1 to 5 h, with rotor speeds of 300 and 500 rpm. The following conclusions are drawn.

(1) A simple method at room temperature has been developed to produce bulk aluminium-based nanocomposites reinforced with in situ generated aluminium nitride and oxynitride nanodispersoids.

(2) During milling, the ammonia is decomposed, and high quantities of nitrogen are incorporated into the
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Al powder, hardening it by solid solution. Thus, it is possible to obtain small and hard equiaxial particles after only 2 h of milling.

(3) The milled powders, both in vacuum or in NH₃ gas flow, only show the presence of aluminium with a crystallite size of approximately 38 ± 1 and 16 ± 0.5 nm, respectively. After heating, aluminium nitride (AlN) and oxynitride (AlₓOᵧN) are formed in the ammoniaglow-milled powders, whereas in the vacuum-milled powders, only aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) and carbide (Al₄C₃) appear.

(4) The ammonia-flow-milled powders have a high quantity of AlN (up to 49.58 vol.% and AlₓOᵧN nanometric dispersoids, with crystallite sizes below 12 ± 0.5 nm. Thus, these phases highly restrict aluminium grain growth during sintering, keeping it below 45 ± 1 nm (MA A 500-5 S).

(5) The final compacts produced with these ammonia-flow-milled powders exhibit high hardness (up to 478 HV₁), despite their low relative density values.
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