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Mosaic Structure Characterization of the AlInN Layer Grown on Sapphire Substrate
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The 150 nm thick, (0001) orientated wurtzite-phase Al1−xInxN epitaxial layers were grown by metal organic chemical vapor deposition on GaN (2.3 μm) template/(0001) sapphire substrate. The indium (x) concentration of the Al1−xInxN epitaxial layers was changed as 0.04, 0.18, 0.20, 0.47, and 0.48. The Indium content (x), lattice parameters, and strain values in the AlInN layers were calculated from the reciprocal lattice mapping around symmetric (0002) and asymmetric (10–15) reflection of the AlInN and GaN layers. The mosaic structure characteristics of the AlInN layers, such as lateral and vertical coherence lengths, tilt and twist angle, heterogeneous strain, and dislocation densities (edge and screw type dislocations) of the AlInN epilayers, were investigated by using high-resolution X-ray diffraction measurements and with a combination of Williamson-Hall plot and the fitting of twist angles.

1. Introduction

Because of the large band gap, large breakdown field, and strong spontaneous and piezoelectric polarization field’s properties of the III-nitride materials systems, they have been used in optoelectronic devices, such as light emitting diodes, blue/ultraviolet lasers, metal-semiconductor field effect transistors, modulation-doped field effect transistors, photodetectors, and high temperature/high power electronic devices [1–3]. The structural quality of GaN based alloys can significantly affect its electrical and optical properties and also the performance of devices [1–7]. One of the most common problems for the growth of group GaN based alloys heterostructures is the lattice constant mismatch between the binary and ternary compounds of Ga, In, Al, and N elements [1–4, 7–9]. The mismatches in multilayered structures result in strain and potentially crack formation that deteriorates the performance of devices [7, 10, 11]. Many studies have been performed to improve the structural quality of GaN based alloys and the performance of devices, such as increasing the Al composition of an AlGaN barrier, using a thin AlN spacer layer at the AlGaN/GaN interface, and replacing the GaN by InGaN as the channel and AlGaN by AlInN layer as a barrier [12, 13]. Among these studies, it is possible to grow a lattice-matched AlInN layer on GaN layer for an In concentration of ~18% [12–14]. Due to its promising electronic properties, polarization effects, and high thermal stability, the lattice-matched AlInN material system has become of interest for electronic applications [15–17]. The lattice-matched AlInN barrier in the AlInN/GaN high electron mobility transistor (HEMTs) shows superior performance compared to the AlGaN/GaN HEMTs [12, 13]. In addition, the use of appropriate AlInN enables the fabrication of lattice-matched GaN-based heterostructures that can be used for high-performance laser diodes [17]. Furthermore, AlInN alloys can possibly be used for light emitters and detectors operating in extremely wide spectral regions covering from deep infrared to UV since the alloys band-gap energy ($E_g$) can range from 0.7 eV (InN) to 6.2 eV (AlN) [1–3].
Despite the many advantages for devices, the growth of AlInN alloys over the full compositional range is extremely difficult due to the large differences in the chemical and physical parameters between AlN and InN. Due to the different growth conditions of AlN and InN and the expected large immiscibility gap of the ternary alloy, the growth of AlInN in the whole compositional range is challenging [18-20].

The GaN based structures are usually grown on highly lattice-mismatched substrates, such as sapphire, SiC, or Si [4, 11]. The large lattice mismatch and large difference in the thermal expansion coefficients between the GaN layer and the substrates (sapphire, SiC, and Si) cause a high level of in-plane stress and threading dislocation generation in the epitaxial layers and reduce the crystal quality of the GaN layers during the growth [4, 5, 8–11]. The high level of in-plane stress and threading dislocation in the epitaxial layers affect the performance reliability of the device [1–5, 10]. The dislocation densities (edge and screw type threading dislocations) of hexagonal AlInN layers were determined by HR-XRD measurements. Additionally, atomic force microscopy (AFM) in contact mode with a commercial VEECO CPII was used to observe the surface morphology of the AlInN layers (Figure 1). The In content was changed by changing the growth temperature and TMIn/(TEGa+TMIn) ratio. The growth temperatures and the TMIn/(TEGa+TMIn) ratio of the samples are 900, 830, 830, 730, and 745°C and 0.69, 0.69, 0.43, 0.75, and 0.75 for an indium content (x) of 0.04, 0.18, 0.20, 0.47, and 0.48, respectively. The samples were identified with consideration of the indium content as samples A, B, C, D, and E. 0.04, 0.18, 0.20, 0.47, and 0.48, respectively.

The crystalline quality of the GaN layers was examined by high resolution X-ray diffraction (HR-XRD). The X-ray diffraction was performed using a Rigaku SmartLab high-resolution diffractometer system, delivering CuKα (1.544 Å) radiation, and 4-bounce Ge(220) symmetric monochromator. Data were collected on the symmetric (0002), (0004), and (0006) and asymmetric (10–15), (12–31), and (10–12) reflections as reciprocal space mappings (RSMs) or just ω-2θ scans. Doubled-axis rocking curves of the (10–15), (12–31), and (10–12) in ω-scans and Φ-scans were recorded in skew diffraction geometry (ω = θ). The samples surface morphology was characterized by atomic force microscopy (AFM) in contact mode with a commercial VEECO CPII.

3. Results and Discussion

The surface morphology of the AlInN epilayers grown on GaN template layers is characterized by AFM. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the AFM image of the samples A and C. The root-mean-square (RMS) roughness (5 × 5 μm² scan) varies between 0.3 nm (for sample A) and 6.9 nm (for sample C). Sample A has a smooth surface with low rms roughness (rms = 0.3 nm) compared to the other samples. The variation of the RMS roughness with indium content (x) in an AlInN layer is shown in Table 1. Based on the observation from Table 1, the RMS roughness values of the AlInN layers did not show any systematic dependence on the indium content.

HR-XRD measurements were performed for all of the samples to investigate the crystal phase of the AlInN epilayer on GaN template layer. Figure 3 shows the 2θ-ω-scan HR-XRD pattern of (0002) peaks of the AlInN/GaN samples. The diffraction patterns exhibited the wurtzite AlInN epilayers...
Table 1: The In content (\(x\)) lattice parameters \(a_{\text{meas}}\) and \(c_{\text{meas}}\) and the strain components \(\varepsilon_{zz}\) and \(\varepsilon_{xx}\) of the AlInN epilayers, calculated from the RSM measurements and the relaxed lattice parameters \(c_0\) and \(a_0\) of the AlInN epilayers calculated by using Vegard’s law are given in column 4 and 5, respectively. In the last column, the RSM roughness of the 5 × 5 nm\(^2\) scan AFM image is demonstrated (the uncertainty in \(x\) values is approx. \(\Delta x = \pm 0.00277\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indium content ((x))</th>
<th>(a_{\text{meas}}) (Å)</th>
<th>(c_{\text{meas}}) (Å)</th>
<th>(a_0) (Å)</th>
<th>(c_0) (Å)</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{zz}) ((\times 10^{-3}))</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{xx}) ((\times 10^{-2}))</th>
<th>RMS roughness (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.185</td>
<td>4.989</td>
<td>3.128</td>
<td>5.009</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.214</td>
<td>5.119</td>
<td>3.191</td>
<td>5.116</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3.181</td>
<td>5.119</td>
<td>3.197</td>
<td>5.125</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>4.182</td>
<td>5.084</td>
<td>3.316</td>
<td>5.326</td>
<td>-45.5</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>4.189</td>
<td>5.085</td>
<td>3.317</td>
<td>5.328</td>
<td>-45.7</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: AFM images (5 × 5 \(\mu m^2\) scan) of AlInN layers in (a) sample A and (b) sample C.

with different indium concentration clearly observed at 35.91, 35.05, 34.99, 34.31, and 34.26° for samples A, B, C, D, and E, respectively. Also from Figure 3, the (0002) peaks of the wurtzite GaN template layers are seen at similar angle values. No peaks are identified except those corresponding to GaN template layers and InAlN epilayers. From Figure 3, no reflections other than those corresponding to GaN and InAlN can be identified, these results indicating that we have grown homogeneous material without phase separation and metal segregation.

In order to investigate the in-plane alignment of an AlInN epilayer and GaN template layer, in which an in-plane \(\Phi\)-scan was also taken by rotating the sample around its surface-normal direction, the \(\Phi\)-scan patterns of the (10–15) plane of sample B are given in Figure 4. As can be seen in Figure 4, the diffraction peaks from the (10–15) plane of GaN and AlInN were observed at \(\sim 60°\) intervals, confirming the hexagonal structure of the AlInN epilayer and GaN template layer.

HR-XRD provides highly precise measurement on the lattice parameters of a thin film. The X-ray reciprocal space map (RSM) is a powerful tool for detailed structural characterization of imperfect crystalline layers [31, 32]. The RSM is based on two-dimensional diffraction measurements in the scattering plane: in the perpendicular direction (i.e., 2\(\theta\)-\(\omega\)-scan) and in the parallel direction along the diffraction vector (i.e., \(\omega\)-scan). Correspondingly, a map taken from asymmetric reflection of the samples allows one to deduce both the in-plane (\(a\)) and the out-of-plane (\(c\)) lattice parameters and thus to simultaneously determine the residual strain and stress as well as the exact alloy composition of the layers [31, 32]. The lattice parameter \(a\) and \(c\) can be determined by HR-XRD directly from the \(hkl\) reflections in the symmetric \(\omega\)-2\(\theta\) scan by combining Bragg’s equation \(d_{hkl} = n\lambda/2 \sin(\theta)\), where \((hkl)\) is the Miller index in \((hk - (h + k)l)\) notation, \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the X-rays, and \(\theta\) is the Bragg angle, with the expression for interplanar spacing in hexagonal structures given below [31, 32]:

\[
d_{hkl} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(4/3)((h^2 + k^2 + hl)/a^2) + (l^2/c^2)}}.
\] (1)

In order to calculate \(d_{hkl}\) values by using (1) at least two reflections measurements are needed (because of two unknowns \((a\) and \(c\)). Generally, one or two high-angle symmetric reflections are measured from the (0004), and/or (0006) planes, from which \(c\) can be found directly [31]. On
the other hand, the $a$ values can be calculated just with one or two high-angle asymmetric reflections measurements (typically (10–15), (20–25), or (20–24) reflection). From high-angle asymmetric reflections $a$ values can be determined by combining the value of $c$ found previously [31].

The lattice and thermal mismatch between the substrate and III-nitrides disallow growth directly on substrates (for example SiC, Al$_2$O$_3$, and Si as a substrate). Growth on high mismatched substrates can result in dislocations leading to domain walls and highly mosaic films. In order to improve the crystalline quality of the III-nitrides layers different type of buffer structures (e.g., LT-AlN, LT-GaN, HT-GaN layer, etc.) needed to use between epilayers and substrates. The mosaic structures of the GaN growth on Si, SiC, and sapphire is strongly influenced by the growth conditions of the nucleation layer and is known to affect the electronic properties of thin films [8, 9]. In most cases, a GaN layer is used as a buffer layer [4, 11]. Between the group-III nitride alloy film and the GaN buffer, a nearly perfect in-plane orientation is established [8, 9]. In order to determine the strain and composition of the layer, commonly a peak from another III-nitride layer is used as the “substrate” [31]. If the material is assumed to be unstrained, then composition is the only factor affecting the lattice parameters and only lattice parameters need to be measured. For this case, Vegard’s law has been applied, which states that the lattice parameters of an alloy will vary linearly between the end members. For the unstrained $c_0$ and $a_0$ lattice parameter of AlInN (where $x$ is the mole fraction of InN), Vegard’s law is given by [8, 9, 31, 33]

$$ c_{\text{AlInN}} = (1-x)c_{\text{AlN}} - xc_{\text{InN}}, $$

$$ a_{\text{AlInN}} = (1-x)a_{\text{AlN}} - xa_{\text{InN}}. \quad (2) $$

If the strained lattice parameters $a$ and $c$ are known, the sample strain can be taken into account in estimates of the composition:

$$ \frac{c_{\text{AlInN}} - c_0 (x)}{c_0 (x)} = -\gamma \frac{a_{\text{AlInN}} - a_0 (x)}{a_0 (x)}, \quad (3) $$

$$ \gamma = 2 \frac{C_{13} (x)}{C_{33} (x)}, \quad (4) $$

where $c_{\text{AlInN}}$ and $a_{\text{AlInN}}$ are the measured lattice parameters (which take into account strain), $c_0$ and $a_0$ are the relaxed parameters predicted by Vegard’s law, and $C_{13} (x)$ and $C_{33} (x)$ are elastic constants linearly interpolated from the binary values. $\gamma$ is the Poisson’s ratio for a hexagonal crystal systembiaxially strained perpendicular to [0001] and is given by (4).

The linear relationship for the Poisson’s ratio as a first-order approximation is given by

$$ \nu_{\text{AlInN}} = (1-x) \nu_{\text{AlN}} + x \nu_{\text{InN}}. \quad (5) $$

Substitution of $a_0^{\text{AlN}}$, $c_0^{\text{AlN}}$, and $\nu_{\text{AlInN}}$ into (3) obtained a cubic equation for $x$ given below

$$ Kx^3 + Lx^2 + Mx^2 + N = 0. \quad (6) $$

The coefficient $(K, L, M, N)$ of (6) can be determined by a algebraic calculation. A more detailed $a$, $c$, and $\nu$ dependent coefficient $K$, $L$, $M$, $N$ expression can be found in [33].

The solution of (6) gives the In mole fraction $x$ in the case of Al$_{1-x}$In$_x$N. There are three real solutions, but only one physically meaningful value of $x$ in the interval $0 \leq x \leq 1$. By using calculated mole fraction $x$, in (2), and (5), the relaxed lattice parameters of the AlInN alloy film $a_{0^{\text{AlInN}}}$ and $c_{0^{\text{AlInN}}}$, as well as Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{\text{AlInN}}$ can be obtained. In order to perform the calculations, in addition to the measured lattice parameters, the relaxed lattice constants of the AlN ($a_0^{\text{AlN}} = 3.112$ Å, $c_0^{\text{AlN}} = 4.982$, $\nu_{\text{AlN}} = 0.171$) [31, 34] and the InN ($a_0^{\text{InN}} = 3.538$ Å, $c_0^{\text{InN}} = 5.703$, $\nu_{\text{InN}} = 0.201$) [31, 34] and the elastic constant ($C_{13} = 108$ and $C_{33} = 396$ GPa) for AlN and ($C_{13} = 92$ and $C_{33} = 223$ GPa) InN [31, 34] are used as input parameters. The planar and out-of-plane strain components can be defined as $\epsilon_{xx} = (a_{\text{meas}} - a_0)/a_0$ and $\epsilon_{zz} = (c_{\text{meas}} - c_0)/c_0$, where $a_{\text{meas}}$ and $c_{\text{meas}}$ are the measured lattice parameters, while $a_0$ and $c_0$ are the relaxed parameters given by Vegard’s law [31, 33].

The RSM was performed for both symmetric (0002) and asymmetric (10–15) reflections of the GaN and AlInN layers. The $\omega$–2θ HR-XRD RSM of the (0002) and (10–15) reflections of all of the AlInN/GaN (0001)/Al$_2$O$_3$ samples are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. From the RSM figure of the (0002) and (10–15) reflections, the pole position of the (0002) and (10–15) reflections of the GaN template layers and the AlInN layers can be seen clearly for samples A, B, and C, but for samples D and E, pole position of the AlInN layers could not be seen clearly, because of the close 2θ values of the GaN template layers and AlInN layers. The Indium content, lattice parameters, and strain values in the AlInN layers, in addition to the lattice parameters and the strain values of the
Figure 4: Phi scan curve of asymmetric (10–15) reflection plane of the (a) GaN and (b) AlInN for sample A. Every peak shows azimuths of the (10–15) plane. The diffractive peak repeats every ~60°.

Table 2: The measured edge and screw type dislocations densities in GaN template layers and the AlInN epilayers are listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In content (x)</th>
<th>GaN template layers</th>
<th>AllInN epilayers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D_{screw} (\times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2})</td>
<td>D_{edge} (\times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In (7), the FWHM is measured by XRD rocking curves for (0002) and (10–12) reflections and \( b \) is the Burgers vector length (\( b_{screw} = 5.185 \text{ Å}, b_{edge} = 3.189 \text{ Å} \) for GaN and Burgers vector for AlInN used from Table 1). The total dislocation density of the AllInN epilayers and GaN template layers is the summation of the edge and screw type dislocation density.

The calculated edge and screw type dislocation densities of the GaN template layers and the AllInN epilayers are given in Table 2. As can be seen in Table 2, the screw type dislocation density in GaN template layers changes between \( 0.8 \times 10^8 \) (sample D) and \( 1.3 \times 10^8 \) cm\(^{-2} \) (sample A), but edge type dislocation densities are a little bit higher than screw type dislocation densities and change between \( 2.1 \times 10^8 \) (sample D) and \( 7.2 \times 10^8 \) cm\(^{-2} \) (sample E). The calculated dislocation density values for GaN layers are comparable with GaN, grown on similar buffer structure with MOCVD, reported in literature [8, 27]. In addition, the calculated screw and edge type dislocation densities in AlInN epilayers were given in Table 2. The calculated values of the screw type dislocation densities in AllInN epilayers were determined to range from \( 7.3 \times 10^8 \) cm\(^{-2} \) (sample D). On the other hand, the edge type dislocation densities in the AllInN epilayers change between \( 6.7 \times 10^8 \) (sample B) and \( 5.8 \times 10^9 \) cm\(^{-2} \) (sample E). Based on the observations in Table 2,
Figure 5: HR-XRD reciprocal space maps of the (0002) reflection for AlInN/GaN/Al₂O₃ structures with different indium content. In content changes as (a) 4%, (b) 18%, (c) 20%, (d) 47%, and (e) 48%.
Figure 6: HR-XRD reciprocal space maps of the (10–15) reflection for AlInN/GaN/Al₂O₃ structures with different indium content. In content changes as (a) 4%, (b) 18%, (c) 20%, (d) 47, and (e) 48%.
Table 3: The calculated mosaic structures parameters of the AlInN epilayers that were grown on GaN/sapphire structures, including the mean twist angle, \( \alpha_{\text{twist}} \), mean tilt angle, \( \alpha_{\text{tilt}} \), lateral coherence length, \( L_{||} \), vertical coherence length, \( L_{\perp} \), and vertical heterogeneous strain, \( \varepsilon_{\perp} \), are given.

| In content (x) | \( \alpha_{\text{twist}} \) (°) | \( \alpha_{\text{tilt}} \) (°) | AllInN epilayers | \( L_{||} \) (nm) | \( L_{\perp} \) (nm) | \( \varepsilon_{\perp} \times 10^4 \) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.04           | 0.174          | 0.118          | 614.0           | 243.2          | 8.9            |
| 0.18           | 0.223          | 0.095          | 898.1           | 28.3           | 17.0           |
| 0.20           | 0.248          | 0.080          | 559.1           | 34.8           | 0.51           |
| 0.47           | 0.100          | 0.221          | 2000.1          | 230.8          | 15.0           |
| 0.48           | 0.165          | 0.238          | 333.3           | 294.1          | 66.0           |

in the AlInN epilayers, both screw and edge type dislocation densities are a little bit higher than in GaN template layers. Moreover, both for sample D and sample E (x = 0.47 and 0.48), the screw and edge type dislocation densities are higher than the other samples. These cases can be explained with an increasing mismatch in the results of increasing indium content in samples D and E.

AllInN epilayers having a large lattice mismatch with respect to the GaN template layers and substrate form a mosaic structure of slightly misoriented subgrains, which is characterized by the nucleation of slightly misoriented islands and the coalescence of these islands toward a smooth surface [8–10, 22–27]. The mosaic blocks can be defined as slightly misoriented with respect to each other. The vertical and lateral coherence lengths, heterogeneous strain, and degree of mosaicity expressed by the tilt and twist angles are important parameters in characterizing the quality of the epitaxial films [8, 9, 22–30]. The mosaic tilt and twist are defined as the out-of-plane rotation of the blocks perpendicular to the surface normal and the in-plane rotation around the surface normal, respectively [8, 9, 22–30]. The average absolute values of the tilt and twist are directly related to the FWHM of the corresponding distributions of crystallographic orientations [22–27]. The lateral and vertical coherence length, tilt angle, and heterogeneous strain along the c-axis parameters can be calculated from the Williamson-Hall (W-H) plot, and the twist angle from approaches that were proposed by Srikant et al. [25] and Sun et al. [30] or from direct measurement [26, 27].

Each contribution to the broadening of particular XRD curves can be separated in a W-H plot [21, 23, 31]. Specifically in triple-axis diffractometer measurements, the broadening of the rocking curve (\( \omega \)-scan or angular-scan) of the symmetric (0002), (0004), and (0006) reflections for the epilayer is influenced only by the tilt angle \( \alpha_{\text{tilt}} \) and short coherence length parallel to the substrate surface \( L_P \) [8, 9, 21, 23].

The (FWHM)\(_{\theta}\) \((\sin \theta)/\lambda\) is plotted against \((\sin \theta)/\lambda\) for each reflection and fitted by a straight line, where (FWHM)\(_{\theta}\) is in the angular unit and taken as radians, \( \theta \) is the Bragg's reflection angle, and \( \lambda \) is the X-ray wavelength. Then, the mean tilt angle \( \langle \alpha_{\text{tilt}} \rangle \) is calculated from the slope of the straight line, and the lateral coherence length \( (L_P = 1/(2y_\theta)) \) from the inverse of the \( y \)-intersection \( y_\theta \) of the fitted line with the ordinate.

Because of a small vertical correlation length and a heterogeneous strain along the c-axis causes a broadening of the Bragg reflections in the 2\( \theta \)-\( \omega \)-scan (or radial-scan direction) of the symmetric reflections. These two parameters vertical coherence length \( (L_{\perp}) \) and vertical heterogeneous strain \( (\varepsilon_{\perp}) \) can be easily derived from the W-H plot. In the W-H plot, \((\text{FWHM})_{2\theta,\omega}(\cos \theta)/\lambda\) is plotted against \((\sin \theta)/\lambda\) for each reflection and again fitted by a straight line [8, 9]. The values of the \( \varepsilon_{\perp} \) can be estimated directly from the slope \( (\text{slope} = 4\varepsilon_{\perp}) \) of the line and the \( L_{\perp} \) from the \( y \)-intersection \( (y_{\perp}) \) the vertical coherence length \( L_{\perp} \) can be calculated from the \( y \)-intersection \( (y_\theta) \) point \( (L_{\perp} = 0.9/(2y_\theta)) \).

The W-H plots for symmetric plane ((0002), (0004), (0006)) reflections of the AllInN epilayers were done for the triple-axis \( \omega \)- and 2\( \theta \)-\( \omega \)-scan. Figure 7 shows the corresponding W-H plots of the AllInN epilayers for the triple-axis (a) \( \omega \)-scan and (b) 2\( \theta \)-\( \omega \)-scan. The straight lines are linear fits to the experimental data. The expected linear behavior of the graphs is experimentally well confirmed, which gives rather accurate tilt angle values.

The \( \alpha_{\text{tilt}} \) and \( L_P \) of the AllInN epilayers are shown in Table 3. The \( \alpha_{\text{tilt}} \) values of the samples change between the 0.080 and 0.238 degree. As can be seen in table, the \( \alpha_{\text{tilt}} \) of the samples A, B, and C are similar to each other and rather small. However, with increasing In content, the mean tilt angles increased approx. twofold and measured as 0.221 and 0.238 degree for samples D and E, respectively. Based on the observation of the measured \( \alpha_{\text{tilt}} \) values, it can be concluded that the increased indium content ratio in the AllInN epilayers affects the mean tilt mosaic of the mosaic blocks of the AllInN epilayers.

The \( L_P \) of the AllInN epilayers were determined to range from 559.1 to 2001.3 nm. As can be seen in Table 3, the maximum values were observed for sample D and the minimum values obtained for sample C. The measured \( L_{\perp} \) values for AllInN epilayers are shown in Table 3. The \( L_{\perp} \) values for AllInN epilayers range from 28.3 (sample B) to 294.1 nm (sample E). The \( L_{\perp} \) values that were calculated for the GaN template layers and AllInN epilayers for all samples are shown in Table 3. The maximum \( \varepsilon_{\perp} \) values in the AllInN epilayers were obtained for sample E.

Generally, the mean twist angle \( \langle \alpha_{\text{twist}} \rangle \) between the subgrains of AlInN and GaN epilayers can be determined from the FWHM of \( \omega \)-scan or \( \Phi \)-scan XRD curves [8, 9, 22–26]. The mean twist angle can be extrapolated from a fit to
the measured double-axis scans data for different \((hkl)\) reflections in a skew symmetric diffraction. Several extrapolation and direct measurements methods have been reported in the literature for a mean twist angle calculation \([25, 26, 28, 30]\). Srikant et al. \([25]\) and Sun et al. \([30]\) proposed a geometrical model that considers the simultaneous presence of tilt and twist to fit the data from the measurement of什么事情 geometry\([8–10, 27]\). In Figure 8, the FWHMs values changes of the \(\omega\) and \(\Phi\) -scans with an increasing inclination angle \((\chi)\) for the sample A were given. The extended FWHMs of \(\omega\) and \(\Phi\) -scans are obtained by using the fit of Pseudo-Voigt function to the rocking curves. It can be seen in this figure that the FWHMs of \(\omega\)-scans increase with the increment of \(\chi\), while the FWHM of \(\Phi\) scan decreases with the increment of \(\chi\) angle. Moreover, they become closer when the \((12–31)\) reflection yields at 78.6° in \(\chi\) as seen in Figure 8. In fact, the angle \(\chi\) reaches 90° when the reflection plane is perpendicular to the surface of the sample. These results showed that the rocking-curve widths of \(\omega\) or \(\Phi\) scans for this higher \(\chi\) angle are close to the twist angles. In every respect, the FWHMs of \(\Phi\)-scans are larger than those of \(\omega\)-scans with the change of the inclination angle \(\chi\). Therefore, the mean twist angles must be the average value of the FWHMs of \(\omega\) and \(\Phi\)-scans at \(\chi = 78.6°\).

The measured mean twist angles of the AllInN epilayers are shown in Table 3. The measured mean twist angles of the AllInN epilayers change between 0.100° and 0.248°. It can be concluded that the mean twist angles in the AllInN epilayers are close to each other for all the samples. The minimum mean twist angle value was obtained as 0.100° for sample D, while the maximum mean twist angle was obtained as 0.248°.
for sample C. Based on this observation, it can be argued that the mean twist angle of the AlInN epilayers grown on a GaN template was not strongly affected by the indium content (x) of the Al$_{1-x}$In$_x$N epilayers.

4. Conclusions

In the present study, Al$_{1-x}$In$_x$N epitaxial layers, with indium content (x) of 0.04, 0.18, 0.20, 0.47, and 0.48, were grown on GaN template/sapphire structure by MOCVD. The mosaic structures parameters (such as lateral and vertical coherence lengths, tilt and twist angle, and heterogeneous strain) and dislocation densities (edge and screw type dislocations) of the AlInN epilayers and GaN template layers were investigated by using HR-XRD measurements. Based on the HR-XRD measurements results, we observed that the mosaic structures parameters, lateral and vertical coherence lengths, tilt and twist angle, and heterogeneous strain of the AlInN epilayers were not strongly affected by indium content (x) in Al$_{1-x}$In$_x$N epilayers. The screw type dislocation density in GaN template layers changes between $8 \times 10^8$ (sample D) and $1.3 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ (sample A), but edge type dislocation densities are a little bit higher than screw type dislocation densities and change between $2.1 \times 10^8$ (sample D) and $7.2 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ (sample C). The calculated values of the screw type dislocation densities in AlInN epilayers were determined to range from $1.1 \times 10^8$ (sample B) to $7.3 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ (sample D). On the other hand, the edge type dislocation densities in the AlInN epilayers are changes between $6.7 \times 10^8$ (sample B) and $5.8 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ (sample E).
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