Spectroscopic Analysis of Au-Cu Alloy Nanoparticles of Various Compositions Synthesized by a Chemical Reduction Method
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Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles were synthesized by a chemical reduction method. Five samples having different compositions of Au and Cu (Au-Cu 3:1, Au-Cu 2:1, Au-Cu 1:1, Au-Cu 1:2, and Au-Cu 1:3) were prepared. The newly synthesized nanoparticles were characterized by electronic absorption, fluorescence, and X-ray diffraction spectroscopy (XRD). These alloy nanoparticles were also analyzed by SEM and TEM. The particle size was determined by SEM and TEM and calculated by Debye Scherrer’s equation as well. The results revealed that the average diameter of nanoparticles gets lowered from 80 to 65 nm as the amount of Cu is increased in alloy nanoparticles. Some physical properties were found to change with change in molar composition of Au and Cu. Most of the properties showed optimum values for Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles of 1:3. Cu in Au-Cu alloy caused decrease in the intensity of the emission peak and acted as a quencher. The fluorescence data was utilized for the evaluation of number of binding sites, total number of atoms in alloy nanoparticle, binding constant, and free energy of binding while morphology was deduced from SEM and TEM.

1. Introduction

The fascinating properties and small dimensions of bimetallic nanoparticles have sparked an intense research activity and nowadays “nanoscience” is one of the rapidly developing multidisciplinary fields. Nanoparticles find interesting research applications in the field of chemical engineering [1, 2]. This is due to their unique catalytic, electrocatalytic, magnetic, optical, thermal, and electrical properties. Such properties of nanomaterials differ substantially from the typical properties of compact materials as quantum size effects come into play in particles of small dimensions. As particle size and shape play an important role in modifying the physical and chemical properties [3], so many efforts in nanostructural preparations have been focused to gain a control over the size and the shape of various types of nanoparticles [3]. Complete information about the size, shape, and structure of nanoparticles is essential prior to their applications in biomedical and other advanced fields [4].

With decrease in the size of nanoparticles, changes occur in geometrical, quantum, and statistical effects. Electronic properties are also related to quantum size effect. No such effect can be observed if the size particles are decreased from macro- to microlevels. However, quantum effects become dominant when size is further decreased to nanorange [4]. In such nanoparticles, nearly all the physical properties of
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Table 1: Details of the chemicals used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. number</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Molecular mass/g</th>
<th>Solubility in ethylene glycol</th>
<th>Purity (%)</th>
<th>Provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HAuCl₄</td>
<td>265.5</td>
<td>Soluble</td>
<td>97.95</td>
<td>Fluka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CuCl₂</td>
<td>134.5</td>
<td>Soluble</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>Fluka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>Soluble</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>Fluka</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

one of the metals are changed when its alloy is made with
its partner metal. Bimetallic alloy nanoparticles may have
random, core shell, cluster-in-cluster, and alloy structures.
Random structures have no specific arrangement. In cluster-
in-cluster structures, heavy metals mostly make nanoclusters
and the other light metals act as binders. However, such
structures have no specific order [5]. The alloy structures of
bimetallic alloy nanoparticles are more common. There are
various types of alloy structures that depend on the size of
the combining metals. The most common alloy structures
are intermetallic alloy and random alloy. Ag-Au and Au-
Cu bimetallic alloy nanoparticles mostly form intermetallic
alloy structures due to the differences in the size and binding
abilities of Ag, Au, and Cu.

Copper is the second metal having highest electrical con-
ductivity [6]. However, a slight decrease in its electrical
properties occurs when it captures oxygen because copper
oxide is relatively lower in conductance than pure copper.
Hence, copper cannot be found in metallic form due its
higher tendency towards oxidation. During oxidation of cop-
per, oxygen forms a coat around it, causing a decrease
in its electrical conductivity. However, its bimetallic alloy
nanoparticles can be prepared with Au which overcomes this
problem. Although Au is expensive, only a small amount is
the requirement of the developed method for the synthesis of
Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles. Au enhances the conductivity of
copper to a greater extent and its bimetallic alloy nanopar-
ticles can be used for the development of an electrochemical
sensor for the detection of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

Electrical performance of each of the Au and Cu metals
can be improved by making their alloy [7]. This can be
further improved by bringing their size on nanorange. Hence,
Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles have vast applications in the
development of electrochemical and biosensors. Oxidation of
Au and Cu can be prevented by making their alloy nanoparticles [7, 8]. Loading nanoparticles at the surface
region of polymer are of great interest due to their higher
optical and potential applications [9, 10]. Such nanoparticles
embedded in silica glasses also improve optical properties
[11]. All of these properties are related to the frequencies
of surface plasmon resonance. The frequencies depend upon the
ratios and composition of metallic nanoparticles. Hence, Au-
Cu bimetallic alloy nanoparticles with various compositions
are manufactured.

Bimetallic alloy nanoparticles can be prepared by various
methods. The most prominent methods are coprecipitation
method and colloidal dispersion method using conducting
polymer as capping agent. Many chemists have prepared
the nanoparticles by chemical reduction methods [12, 13].
But most of the researchers have applied such methods
for the preparation of colloidal mixture [14]. No doubt,
these methods have synthetic applications but, for making
nanoparticles of very small dimensions, these face seri-
ous difficulties. Hence, for the preparation of Au-Cu alloy
nanoparticles, chemical reduction is the simplest and least
time consuming approach.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and Apparatus. Copper chloride CuCl₂ (98%),
hydrogen gold chloride HAuCl₄ (99%), ethylene glycol
C₂H₄O₂ (98%), and polyethyleneimine (2%) were purchased
from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (USA). Polyethyleneimine
was obtained from Acros Organics. For characterization cen-
trifuge (6000 rpm/s) (model: PRO 300, Ginotech, China), UV
spectrophotometer, steady-state fluorescence spectropho-
тометер, Perkin Elmer LS 55 (Cary 100, Varian, Shimadzu,
Tokyo, Japan), XRD (Bruker, SMART APEX diffractometer),
scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Tecnai G2 F20 X-
Twin MAT), and TEM (Philips, Holand, Technai 20 model
operating at 200 kV) were used.

2.2. Synthesis of Au and Cu Nanoparticles Using Chemical
Reduction Method. 10 mL of polyethyleneimine (2%) was
poured to 20 mL of hydrogen gold chloride (1 mM) solution
and heated at 100°C for 15 minutes. The appearance of yellow-
ish black color evidenced the formation of Cu nanoparticles
that were confirmed by UV-Visible spectroscopy. By changing molar ratios of both precursor
salts, Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles with various compositions,
that is, 3:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2, and 1:3, were manufactured.
Various chemicals along with their some important physical
properties are mentioned in Table 1. While the chemicals
and conditions required for the preparation of Au-Cu alloy
nanoparticles are listed in Table 2.
### Table 2: Chemicals and conditions required for preparation of various compositions of Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. number</th>
<th>Composition Au-Cu</th>
<th>Volume/mL HAuCl₄</th>
<th>Volume/mL CuCl₂</th>
<th>PEI/mL</th>
<th>Purging/min</th>
<th>Heating 175°C/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Results and Discussion

Au, Cu, and Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles were synthesized. Different samples of Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles were synthesized by changing molar ratios of Au and Cu salt precursors. These were characterized by UV-Visible spectroscopy, SEM, TEM, XRD, and fluorescence spectroscopy.

#### 3.1. UV-Visible Spectroscopy

Figures 1 and 2 show the UV-Visible spectra of Au and Cu nanoparticles. The spectra show maximum absorption at 455 and 558 nm for pure Au and Cu nanoparticles, respectively. These values are closely related to the literature reported values (i.e., $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at 495 and 555 nm for Au and Cu, resp.) [15]. UV-Visible spectra of Au-Cu with various compositions of Au and Cu can also be seen in Figure 3. Such spectra show peaks having $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ at the mid of pure Au and Cu nanoparticles. Figure 3 shows a bathochromic shift in maximum wavelength with increase in composition of Cu in Au-Cu. It also supports the confirmation evidences of Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles formation. The $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ values of all the samples have been tabulated in Table 3. Molar extinction coefficient, $\epsilon$, was calculated for all the samples by recording their spectra at various concentrations. By applying Beer-Lambert law, molar extinction coefficient was calculated from the plot of absorbance versus concentration according to

$$A = \epsilon c l,$$

where $A$ is absorbance, $\epsilon$ the molar extinction coefficient in $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$, and $c$ the concentration in mol/dm³ while $l$ is the path length in cm. The plot showing change in slope (molar absorptivity coefficient) can be seen in Figure 4. The surface plasmon absorption band shows bathochromic shift with increasing composition of Cu as witnessed by the appearance of bluish color [16]. The appearance of single absorption peak also confirms that nanoparticles are not physically mixed nanoparticles but they have alloy structure. If they were not alloy structure, they would have shown two plasmon bands [17].

An examination of Table 3 reveals that absorbance and molar absorption coefficient get lowered with increase in composition of Cu in Au-Cu alloy. The table also reveals red shift in $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ with elevation of Cu. The bathochromic shift is due to change in the particle size as well as some important variation in the refractive index occurring in the medium [17]. By plotting $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of pure Au, samples Au-Cu 3:1, Au-Cu 2:1, Au-Cu 1:1, Au-Cu 1:2, and Au-Cu 1:3, and pure Cu nanoparticles versus mole fraction of Cu, a linear relationship as shown in Figure 5 was obtained. Hence, $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ value gets increased by the increase in mole fraction of Cu [18, 19].

#### 3.2. Fluorescence Spectroscopy

Fluorescence signals of copper and gold nanoparticles appear at 645 and 530 nm, respectively [5, 20]. Fluorescence signals shown by Au-Cu bimetallic alloy nanoparticles are in the mid-range of pure gold and copper nanoparticles. The basic concept for the fluorescence of Au involves the transition of electrons from its d orbital to excited electronic states in the sp-band [21]. In a similar way,
Table 3: UV-Visible spectral characteristics of Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles in different ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. number</th>
<th>Au : Cu</th>
<th>X of Au</th>
<th>X of Cu</th>
<th>Absorbance</th>
<th>ε/M⁻¹ cm⁻¹</th>
<th>λ_max/nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>284.23</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>220.53</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>186.66</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>143.68</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>116.89</td>
<td>555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Parameters obtained from fluorescence spectroscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. number</th>
<th>Au : Cu</th>
<th>I₀</th>
<th>I,Q</th>
<th>λ_max/nm</th>
<th>Number of atoms (n)</th>
<th>Binding sites</th>
<th>Binding constant ΔGᵣ/(kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>7.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>24.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>115.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>180.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>195.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: UV-Visible spectra of Au-Cu (1:1), Au-Cu (1:2), and Au-Cu (1:3) nanoparticles showing bathochromic shift with increase in composition of Cu in Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles.

Emission spectrum of Cu is due to deexcitation of electron from excited states to d orbital. Physically mixed solutions of gold and copper nanoparticles give two distinct emission spectra but their alloy nanoparticles register a single emission peak as expected. Confirmation of the synthetic process as revealed by single absorption spectrum is also supported by the appearance of single emission signal as shown in Figure 6, for the alloys nanoparticles with varying copper content. Figure 6 shows that Cu acts as quencher, because an increase in its composition in Au-Cu nanoparticles causes decrease in its intensity. The emission λ_max for fluorescence is higher than absorption λ_max as expected [22]. Tabulated data from fluorescence spectroscopy demonstrates a blue shift in λ_max and hypochromic effect in the intensity from Au-Cu 3:1 to Au-Cu 1:1, but that from Au-Cu 1:1 to Au-Cu 1:3 remains the same as shown in Table 4. An equation showing relation between relative intensity and concentration of the quencher to sample is given here:

\[
\log \frac{I₀}{Iₐ} = \frac{[Q]}{[X]}N,
\]  

where \(Iₐ\) and \(I₀\) represent the intensity with and without quencher, \([Q]\) is the concentration of quencher, \([X]\) is the concentration of sample in mM, and \(N\) is the number of atoms in nanoparticles. Plotting \(\log \frac{I₀}{Iₐ}\) versus \([Q]/[X]\), using (2), number of atoms, \(N\), can be determined from the slope.
Similarly, another relation showing relative change in the intensity $\log \left( I_0 - I_Q / I_Q \right)$, binding constant $(k_b)$, and quencher concentration can be represented as

$$\log \left( I_0 - I_Q / I_Q \right) = \log k_b + n \log [Q].$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Binding constant and binding sites can be evaluated from the intercept and slope of the plot of $\log \left( I_0 - I_Q / I_Q \right)$ as a function of $\log [Q]$. Number of binding sites, $n$, and binding constant, $k_b$, were found to increase with the increase in the amount of Cu as shown in Table 4.

To study spontaneity of the aggregation, Gibb’s free energy relating to binding constant is given as

$$\Delta G_b = RT \ln k_b.$$

(4)

In order to know whether the process is spontaneous or nonspontaneous, free energy of binding was calculated from (4). Variations in the intensity as evidenced by Figure 6 can be attributed to the crystal structures [23]. Table 4 shows data obtained from fluorescence spectroscopy. The number of atoms, $N$, increases with increase in composition of Cu due to its comparatively smaller atomic radius. The values of $n$ and $k_b$ and $\Delta G_b$ also increase with increasing Cu content. Hence, increasing amount of Cu in Au-Cu makes the process more spontaneous as revealed by negative values of $\Delta G_b$.

3.3. X-Ray Diffraction Spectroscopy (XRD). For the study and evaluation of some important parameters like composition studies and calculation of average diameter of the nanoparticles, the combined XRD spectra were recorded for all the prepared samples. XRD results revealed that upon addition of one element in the matrix of the other a shift in the signal occurs as observable from the shift of Au signal due to continuous addition of Cu in Au matrixes [24]. Three peaks, that is, (111), (200), and (210), can be seen in the spectra shown in Figure 7.

Using XRD, different lattice points exposed in crystallite were determined. Possible crystal structure was determined from XRD analysis. Figure 7 shows that two distinct peaks, that is, (111) at 32 and (200) at 37, are more intense and show more exposure of the lattice points at these positions. These signals were considered the desired peaks for calculation of the size of nanoparticles. Hence, average diameter of all the nanoparticles was calculated by using Debye Scherrer formula as shown in (5). The $2\theta$ of the XRD signal moves positively with increasing mole fraction of Cu, due to which size of the crystalloid gets retarded.
size of the alloy nanoparticles decreases. Slight broadening in the width of the desired peak occurred which points to the decrease in size of nanoparticles. The XRD signal also suggests that the sample consists of two kinds of metals, that is, Au and Cu. However, Cu seems to be dispersed in the Au matrixes due to its smaller size. The atomic radius of Cu (0.14 nm) is smaller than Au (0.18 nm) [25]. Size of all the nanoparticles was calculated applying Debye Scherrer’s equation:

\[ D = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}, \]

where \( k \) stands for particle shape factor and is taken as 0.899 for Au-Cu, \( \lambda \) the wavelength of radiation, \( \beta \) the calibrated half intensity width of the desired diffraction peak, and \( \theta \) the half of peak position angle called Bragg’s angle. The values of calculated average diameters of all the samples are listed in Table 5 showing a decrease with increase in mole fraction of Cu.

3.4. Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM) Studies. SEM images depicted in Figure 8 show that sizes of monometallic as well as bimetallic alloy nanoparticles are nonuniform. The observed mismatch in lattice spacing is due to very similar lattice parameters of Au and Cu [26]. The morphological structures of Au NPs, Cu NPs, and Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles prepared with various ratios can be seen in Figures 8(a)–8(e).

3.5. Transmission Electron Microscopic (TEM) Studies. TEM photographs of Au-Cu bimetallic alloy nanoparticles at various ratios can be seen in Figures 9(a)–9(c). Au-Cu alloy particle size ranges from 80 to 65 nm. A typical TEM image
Figure 9: TEM images of (a) Au-Cu (1:1), (b) Au-Cu (1:2), and (c) Au-Cu (1:3) alloy nanoparticles.

Table 5: Size of nanoparticles calculated from SEM, TEM, and XRD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. number</th>
<th>Au : Cu</th>
<th>Average diameter/nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of Au-Cu (1:2) nanoparticles as shown in Figure 9(b) is nonspherical; however, shapes of Au-Cu (1:1) and Au-Cu (1:3) nanoparticles are close to spherical shape as evidenced by Figures 9(a) and 9(c). Interestingly, sizes shown by these images are the same as calculated from XRD. The size of nanoparticles evaluated from XRD as well as TEM can be seen in Table 5.

3.6. Conclusion. Chemical reduction process is the most simple and effective method for the synthesis of bimetallic Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles. This method can also be used successfully for the synthesis of monometallic Au and Cu nanoparticles. UV-Visible spectroscopy is the sensitive technique used for the confirmation of the synthetic process. Change in the composition of nanoparticles was studied by UV-Visible spectroscopy and XRD. Morphology was studied by SEM and TEM. Quenching effect of Cu in Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles was revealed by the results obtained from fluorescence spectroscopy. SEM and TEM were applied for the calculation of average diameters and shape of the nanoparticles. EDS analysis revealed more than 90% purity of the synthesized Au-Cu alloy nanoparticles. Nanoparticles were found nearly spherical in shape but modified slightly with change in composition of Au and Cu. TEM results revealed that the shapes of Au-Cu alloy in the ratio of 3:1 are more uniformly spherical. The applied synthetic method excels other methods owing to its higher efficiency and environmental friendliness. Moreover, it is easy to handle and does not require extensive instrumentation.
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