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Oil palm shell (OPS) is a biosolid waste in palm oil industry in the tropical countries which could be used as aggregate in concrete mixture. Since 1984, OPS has been experimented as natural lightweight aggregate in research studies to produce lightweight concrete (LWC). Medium and high-strength LWCs using OPS as coarse aggregate were successfully produced. However, higher drying shrinkage and lower mechanical properties for concretes containing higher volume of OPS are reported in previous studies. Therefore, OPS is not fit to be used as full coarse aggregate in concrete mixture and therefore, there should be an optimum OPS content in concrete. In this study, in a normal-weight concrete, normal coarse aggregate was replaced with OPS from zero to 100% with an interval of 20%. Tests such as slump, density, compressive strength in different curing conditions, splitting tensile strength, initial and final water absorptions, and drying shrinkage of cured and uncured specimens were conducted to find out optimum OPS content in concrete. From the test results, it could be summarized that OPS content should not exceed 60% of total volume of coarse aggregate.

1. Introduction

Growing of the human population and consumption of the natural resources lead to production of large quantities of the waste which causes environmental issues. Many of non-decomposed waste materials will remain in the environment for millennium. Therefore, waste management is a way to reduce negative environmental effect and make the waste materials more sustainable [1, 2]. Concrete simplicity lies in the fact that its constituents are ubiquitous almost anywhere. Nowadays, average 12 billion tones rock and sand are consumed as aggregate in concrete industry annually. This fact shows that enormous volume of raw material has been used to produce concrete in the world [3]. In regard to the huge daily production of concrete, even a small reduction in using the natural raw material leads to remarkable benefit to the environment and avoidance of ecological imbalance [4]. Therefore, the emphasis on sustainable material in order to substitute the natural material has been increased [5]. In the long run, to develop sustainably, the only solution is to have a dramatic improvement to resource efficiency, thereby many researchers have been motivated to investigate utilization of wastes and by-product materials [6].

Any structure is designed intelligently to be as light as possible. In concrete structures, the use of low-density concrete is an effective way to minimize overall dead load and as a result obtain optimum cross-sectional dimensions of reinforced concrete elements [7]. In construction industry, structural low-density concrete is applied with many advantages such as optimization of the structural design by saving in dead weight, reduction of the lateral forces related to the seismic condition, high strength to weight ratio,
superior sound and heat insulation characteristics, frost and fire resistance, and low coefficient of thermal expansion [8]. However, there are some disadvantages such as limited mechanical properties, requiring more cement content to achieve same strength as normal-weight concrete, greater shrinkage, creep, and prestressing loss in pre-tension structures [9].

Basically, compared to the normal-weight concrete (NWC), lightweight concrete (LWC) has lower mechanical properties. However, the production of high-strength low-density concrete with grade up to 100 MPa has been investigated successfully [10]. Using the lightweight aggregate (LWA) together with normal-weight fine aggregate in concrete mixes is an effective method to produce lightweight aggregate concrete (LWAC). During World War II, lightweight aggregate concrete was utilized for shipbuilding program in north of America when shortages of steel and lightweight aggregate concrete (LWAC). During World War II, lightweight aggregate concrete was utilized for shipbuilding program in north of America when shortages of steel and timber threatened the supply of ships [11].

Generally, the LWAs can be broadly categorized into natural or artificial groups. Diatomite, basaltic pumice, scoria, and tuff are the mostly used LWAs [12]. There are further two different categories under artificial aggregates. The first category is modified naturally arising materials which are obtained through the heat treatment such as shale, expanded clay, slate, and perlite. The second is the industrial by-product materials that also are used as LWAs in construction industry such as sintered slate, sintered pulverized fuel ash, colliery waste, and foamed or expanded blast furnace [8].

In palm oil industry, specially, in tropical countries, the most common agricultural waste is oil palm shell (OPS) [13, 14]. Abdus Salam et al. [15] for the first time in Malaysia introduced the method of using OPS as a LWA for the manufacture of LWAC. Several researchers have pointed out that conventional coarse aggregate can be replaced with OPS to produce structural grade of LWC. Mannan and Ganapathy [16] studied the failure pattern of oil palm shell concrete (OPSC) under 7 and 90-day water curing condition. They observed that failure patterns in early ages are depending on strength of the OPS aggregate while in the later age, at 90 days, the strong bonding of OPS and paste is governed. Researchers over last twenty years have proven that LWC containing OPS has satisfactory mechanical properties and durability [17]. For OPSC, the compressive strength in the range of 13–22 MPa was observed by many researchers. Also with the inclusion of fly ash, silica fume, and admixtures, compressive strength of 37 MPa has been obtained. Furthermore, high-strength lightweight concrete with 28-day compressive strength up to 48 MPa with dry density of about 1870 and 1990 kg/m³ using the crushed OPS and limestone powder has been reported [5, 18].

OPS lightweight concrete grades 30 and 35 MPa were successfully produced by using fly ash at 50% and 30% replacement levels of the cement content. However, it has a lower compressive strength than the reference ordinary concrete [18]. Shafigh et al. [18] did a study to compare expanded clay and the OPS lightweight aggregate concretes in terms of drying shrinkage and mechanical properties. Results show that the OPSC has 44% higher compressive strength and 30% greater flexural strength. However, the dry density of the expanded clay LWC is 5% lower than the OPSC. It was demonstrated that there is a linear relationship between early age and 28-day compressive strength of OPSC made with crushed OPS aggregate [19]. In another experimental study, OPS lightweight concrete was utilized to cast reinforced concrete beams. The result shows satisfactory shear and flexural performances [20, 21]. This type of concrete also has been used to supply industrialised building system (IBS) components such as precast lightweight wall panel for affordable housing in Malaysia.

Previous studies revealed that LWC, by using the OPS, has good mechanical properties and durability performance. However, a good mechanical property is not the only indicator to evaluate the quality of OPS lightweight concrete [22]. This concrete has some drawbacks which need to be considered and rectified before it is applied. High drying shrinkage is one of the main drawbacks compared to the NWC. The drying shrinkage of OPSC was first investigated by Abdullah [23], who reported that drying shrinkage of this type of concrete is about 5 times higher than the NWC. Mannan and Ganapathy [24] also investigated the drying shrinkage of OPSC and NWC up to the age of 90 days. They reported that the drying shrinkage of both types of concretes was increased with age but higher increment was about 14% higher compared to NWC for OPSC. Drying shrinkage for several types of low-density concrete using OPS with 28-day compressive strength in the range of 22–38 MPa was measured by Alengaram and Awam [25]. They reported that drying shrinkage of OPS at the age of 90 days is in the range of 540–1300 microstrain. Shafigh et al. [18] pointed out that drying shrinkage of OPSC is greater than expanded clay low-density concrete of about 100% at the early ages and about 35% at the age of 90 days and beyond.

Generally, high cement content and OPS percentage in OPSC are key reasons for its high value of drying shrinkage. Therefore, one of the effective methods to control the drying shrinkage of OPSC is reduction of the volume of OPS aggregate in the concrete mixture [26]. From the previous studies, it was found that there is not any information concerning the optimum OPS content in concrete with acceptable drying shrinkage. Because of the importance of OPS content in concrete, in order to achieve satisfactory mechanical properties and less drying shrinkage, this investigation was carried out to find out the optimum substitution of oil palm shell in conventional concrete to produce durable structural lightweight aggregate concrete. The results of the present study can be effectively used as a reference for production of structural OPS lightweight concrete in precast and construction industry since there is an optimum level of OPS to meet requirements of both mechanical properties and drying shrinkage.

2. Experimental Programme

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Cement. Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) which complies to the requirements of MS EN 197-1:2014 CEM 1 52.5N standards with a 2-, 7- and 28-day compressive strength of 25, 41, and 55 MPa, respectively, was used as...
binder in this investigation. The specific gravity and Blaine specific surface area of the cement were 3.15 and 3710 cm²/g, respectively.

2.1.2. Aggregate. The OPS and crushed granite were utilized as coarse aggregates in this study. In order to remove the fibers from the OPS surface, it was stored in an open area for approximately 7 months [19]. OPS aggregates were washed in the concrete mixer using a detergent powder to remove the oil and other impurities from the surface. Then, for having same grading, both granite and OPS were sieved. For the fine aggregate, mining sand with fineness modulus of 2.89 and maximum grain size of 5.0 mm was used. The mechanical and physical features of the aggregates are represented in Table 1.

2.1.3. Superplasticizer. The chloride-free admixture Sika ViscoCrete was used as superplasticizer in the present research. According to BS 5075, the admixture’s dosage should be within the range of 500–2000 ml per 100 kg of cement, based on strength and workability requirements.

2.1.4. Water. Portable water was utilized for the concrete mixtures and the curing of concrete specimens.

2.2. Mix Proportions and Testing Methods. OPS and crushed granite as coarse aggregate were used for six different concrete mixes. The OPS aggregates were presoaked in water for 24 h and used in SSD condition. The normal-weight concrete (CM) was considered as control mix, and for other mixes, crushed granite was partially replaced with OPS at 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100% by volume. The volume of aggregates, cement, and SP contents was placed constantly for all the mixes. Mix proportions of all mixes are given in Table 2.

To produce each concrete mixture, raw materials were combined in a rotating drum mixer, and mixing was continued for two minutes. Then a mixture of 70% mixing water with SP was added, and mixing continued for another three minutes. Another 5 minutes were applied for mixing of remaining water. After mixing process, the slump test was carried out and followed by taking 100 mm cubes for compression test, cylinders of 100 mm diameter and 200 mm height for splitting tensile test, and prisms of 100 × 100 × 300 mm for drying shrinkage strain. A vibrating table was used for proper compaction of the specimens. After sampling, covered specimens were kept in the laboratory condition and demoulded after 24 h. The demoulded density of all the mixes was measured right after demoulding. Whereas the oven-dry density was measured at the age of 28 days. To evaluate precise value of the mechanical properties at all ages, three test specimens for each mix were prepared, and the average of the results was applied for analysis. Drying process of the specimens was carried out in the oven at 105 ± 5°C for the water absorption test. The dried specimens, then, were immersed in water at 23 ± 3°C for the initial (30 minutes) and final (72 h) water absorption tests.

2.3. Curing Conditions. The 28-day compressive strength of the prepared specimens was also examined under different curing conditions. For that purpose, three different curing conditions were applied as follows:

(i) 28 D immersed the specimens in water for 27 days.
(ii) 7 D cured the specimens in water for 6 days and then air-dried in the laboratory with 31 ± 3°C temperature and 84 ± 3% of relative humidity, until the age of testing.
(iii) AC kept the specimens in the laboratory environment.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Slump. The slump values of all the concrete mixes are indicated in Table 3. It was found that the control conventional concrete showed the highest workability of about 205 mm. However, the partial substitution of OPS in CM consistently decreased the slump value. It was mainly because of the organic nature of OPS with many pores on the surface which resulted in high water absorption than the crushed granite aggregates as well as flaky shape of the OPS aggregates. Ahmad et al. [27] reported that it is essential to obtain the water absorption of the aggregates because aggregates may significantly reduce the concrete’s workability and consistency.

Results show that the partial substitution of OPS up to 80% in the control NWC still shows good workability. As reported by Mehta and Monteiro [28], slump value for acceptable workability of LWAC ranges from 50 to 75 mm.

3.2. Density. The compacted bulk density of conventional coarse aggregate is 40% higher than OPS coarse aggregate.
Therefore, the partial substitution of OPS in conventional concrete should significantly reduce the density with the average difference between the demoulded and oven-dry density of about 68 kg/m³. In substitution levels of 0 to 40%, the concretes can be considered as semi-lightweight concretes with the oven-dry density ranging from 2100 to 2200 kg/m³. Abouhussien et al. [29] reported that the concretes with the density from 2000 to 2200 kg/m³ are categorized as semi-lightweight. Results show that at 60, 80, and 100% replacement levels, the oven-dry density was about 2015, 1988, and 1900 kg/m³, respectively. BS EN 206-1 [30] specified the LWC as a concrete with the oven-dry density from 800 to 2000 kg/m³. Therefore, by replacing more than 60% of normal-weight aggregates with OPS, a lightweight aggregate concrete can be produced.

A linear relationship with strong correlation was achieved between the densities and the percentage of OPS substitution as shown in Figure 1. Compared to the density of NWC (2337 kg/m³), there is a saving in self-weight of about 14, 15, and 19% for concretes with 60, 80, and 100% substitution of OPS, respectively. Figure 2 shows relationship between the 28-day compressive strength and the oven-dry density. It was found that as the substitution level of OPS in conventional concrete increased, the density and the 28-day compressive strength were constantly reduced and the linear equation with a very superior coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.99$) value was found.

The regression statistics for obtained equations for six tests in Figures 1 and 2 are evaluated and shown in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. In the model, linear parameters were significant with p value < 0.05. Any p value smaller than 0.05 shows that the model is significant at the 95% confidence limit. In addition, if the p value is less than 0.05, it shows that significant difference does exist between the tests, and the null hypothesis is rejected. If the p value is larger than 0.05, it means that a significant difference exists between the tests [31].

### 3.3. Compressive Strength under Standard Curing

The development of compressive strength for all mixes at 1, 3, 7, 28, 56, 90, and 120 days are shown in Figure 3. In all mixes, the compressive strength was increased with age. However, the results showed that with increasing the OPS incorporation level, the compressive strength of mixes was reduced. With the percentage replacement of 60, 80, and 100%, the decrease in compressive strength at 1, 3, 7, and 28 days was found in the range of 26–33% for C60, 35–47% for C80, and 40–49% for C100 concrete mixes, respectively. Moreover, Basri et al. [32] stated that compressive strength of OPSC at 28 days was lower than conventional concrete by about 42–55%, depending on the curing condition.

Obtained results show that the 28-day compressive strengths of all concretes containing OPS are comparable with structural LWC. Reduction on the compressive strength of OPS concrete is due to smooth surface texture of the OPS aggregates which resulted weaker interfacial zone [19, 33, 34]. Mannan et al. [33] investigated the compressive strength of OPSC. They reported that the main reason of the failure in this type of concrete is because of adhesion.
between the shells and the cement matrix. Some pretreatment techniques were introduced by them to enhance the quality of the shells in order to achieve high compressive strength of the concrete. Shafigh et al. [19] developed high-strength lightweight concrete (HSLC) using aged OPS as an aggregate with pretreatment techniques. They reported that use of aged OPS provided a stronger interfacial mechanical bond and as a result high 28-day compressive strength up to 48MPa with the oven-dry density less than 2000kg/m3.

The comparison of early ages and 28-day compressive strengths under full moist curing is shown in Table 4. It was determined that, by using OPS in NWC, all the mixes achieved 51–64% of their 28-day compressive strength at 1 day, 75–82% at the age of 3-day age, and 85–90% at 7-day age. Fujjiet al. [35] pointed out that 7 to 28 days compressive strength ratio is in the range of 80 to 90% for HSLC, whereas, in another study reported by Holm and Bremner [36], this ratio is between 80 and 90% for HSLC. However, for an artificial LWAC, the ratio of 7 to 28 days compressive strengths was found between 76 and 87% [37]. Therefore, in the present research, it was found that the OPS lightweight concretes showed the similar 7 to 28-day compressive strength ratios compared to the other types of structural lightweight aggregate concretes.

In structural engineering, the prediction models for 28-day compressive strength from early ages are greatly required. For high-strength concretes, it is difficult to determine the specific prediction equation for the 28-day compressive strength because of the behavior and type of the aggregate [38]. The obtained relationship between compressive strength at 28-day and premature early age at 1, 3, and 7 days is indicated in Figure 4. The linear prediction models were made for all mixes to compute the 28-day compressive strength from the early ages. It was found that all the prediction models for early ages showed satisfactory reliability with $R^2 > 90$. Furthermore, statistics analysis for the estimated relationships, which was obtained from six observations in each curing condition (shown in Figure 4), confirmed that the regression model is significant.

### 3.4. Compressive Strength under Partially Early Curing

Curing of concrete is a process to keep moisture and temperature in concrete during its initial stages to confirm that it develops its desired properties [39]. In Figure 5, the comparison of the 28-day compressive strength of the mixes under 7-day water curing (7D), 28-day water curing, and air-curing/drying (AC) is illustrated. From this figure, almost similar compressive strength can be observed for the mixes containing OPS from 20 to 60% (semi-lightweight concrete) under 7- and 28-day curing conditions. However, as the substitution level of OPS exceeds 60%, the compressive strength reduced under 7D curing condition with the average difference of about 7% compared to continuous moist-cured specimens (28D). Whereas generally under AC condition, compressive strength of the mixes was reduced, compared to 7D moist-cured specimens. Control concrete showed the lowest reduction in compressive strength under AC condition. However, as the substitution level of OPS increased, the reduction in compressive strength also increased. All concretes containing OPS showed the average reduction of about 9% under AC condition compared to the 7D cured specimens. Therefore, for OPS concrete, at least 7 days of moist curing is essential to develop compressive strength properly. Mehta and Monteiro [28] also pointed out that minimum 7 days of moist curing is required for the concretes containing ordinary Portland cement.

As can be seen in Figure 5, a reduction of the 28-day compressive strength was observed for all the specimens cured under air-drying condition (AC). Compared to continuous moist curing (28D), the C20 and C40 mixes showed almost similar ratio (about 9%) of reduction in compressive strength compared to the CM. However, as the substitution level of OPS increased from 40%, the reduction...
in compressive strength also significantly increased. With the substitution of 60, 80, and 100% of OPS aggregate in NWC, the compressive strength value was reduced by 14%, 16%, and 17%, respectively.

The obtained prediction model between continuous moist and air-drying curing condition for all concretes (NWA-OPS) at 28 days of compressive concrete is demonstrated in Figure 6. This relationship was also proposed by previous researchers for NWC containing silica fume (NC-SF) [40] and OPS concrete made by fly ash (OPS-FA) [41]. It was noted that the prepared concretes in this study (NWA-OPS) showed better compressive strength under AC condition than NC-SF and OPS-FA concretes.

Obtained regression statistics for the relationship between compressive strength of NWA-OPS mixes with and without curing (five samples) in Figure 6 show that the model of regression is significant.

3.5. Splitting Tensile Strength. Basically, to address the issue of low tensile strength of concrete, designers consider steel reinforcement in their designs in order to increase the tensile capacity of the concrete structures. Whereas for some structures such as airfield slabs, highways, concrete pavements, and dams, employing the reinforced concrete is impractical. Therefore, it is quite necessary to select a trustworthy splitting tensile strength value of the concrete, particularly for plain concrete structures such as dams under seismic behavior [28, 42]. Furthermore, it was reported by Bhanja and Sengupta [43] that if the concrete has low tensile strength, cracks under tension regions may affect serviceability and durability of the concrete structure.

The splitting tensile strength for all the mixes under moist curing at different ages and under air-drying at the age of 28-day is shown in Table 5. As shown in this table, the values of splitting tensile strengths for all the mixes are more than 2 MPa at 1-day age, and it gradually increased with the increment of the compressive strength. According to ASTM C 330 [44], for the structural grade of LWC, 2.0 MPa is the minimum value of the splitting tensile strength.

The control mix CM achieved 75% splitting tensile strength of its 28-day strength. Whereas by incorporating OPS from 20 to 100%, all the mixes achieved more splitting tensile strength at early ages. Furthermore, Table 5 also presents the comparison of splitting tensile strength under continuous moist curing and air-drying conditions. Results show that if the OPS content in concrete exceeds 20%, significant reduction on the tensile strength can be observed in concrete under air-drying condition.

Figure 7 shows a relationship between the incorporation level of OPS and the splitting tensile strength of concrete with normal coarse aggregate. This figure indicates that as the substitution level of OPS increased, the splitting tensile strength decreased at all ages. Concrete containing 100% coarse OPS aggregate has a splitting tensile strength more than 2 MPa which shows that it has standard requirement in term of tensile strength, although this value for concretes containing OPS is less than control mix. As can be seen from
the regression analysis for predicted relationships in Figure 7 (for six observations in each curing condition), the calculated equations are reliable. For mixes C60 to C80 which are categorized as lightweight concrete, the ratio of 28-day splitting tensile strength to the compressive strength is on average 8%. However, this ratio for NWC is generally from 8 to 14% [18, 45]. Therefore, the prepared lightweight concretes can still be considered as good quality concrete with the ratio of splitting tensile to compressive strength similar to the NWC.

The comparison of splitting tensile test results with the predicted results from different proposed models by various researchers and standards is shown in Figure 8. Shafigh et al. [18] proposed equation (1) for the LWC produced by using solid wastes from the palm oil industry. Arioglu et al. [46] proposed equation (2) for the NWC with a cylinder compressive strength in the range of 40–120 MPa. ACI Committee [47] proposed equation (3) for the NWC with a cylinder compressive strength ranging from 21 to 83 MPa. For OPSC with cube compressive strength in the range of 35 to 53 MPa, equation (4) was proposed [48], whereas equation (5) was presented for NWC [49]. Shafigh et al. [8] proposed equation (6) for OPSC containing original OPS aggregates with cube compressive strength from 17 to 37 MPa. Neville [42] reported equation (7) for pelletized blast furnace slag LWAC, with cube compressive strength in the range of 10 to 65 MPa. For LWAC containing cold-bonded fly ash with cube compressive strength between 20 and 47 MPa, equation (8) was proposed by Gesoglu et al. [50]. Smadi and Migdady [51] studied the natural tuff LWA concrete with high compressive strength and proposed equation (9) for prediction of the splitting tensile strength. Whereas equation (10) was made for high-strength lightweight concrete [52].

\[ f_t = 0.59 \left( f_{cy} \right) ^{0.5} \]  
(3)

\[ f_t = 0.488 \sqrt[3]{f_{cu}} \]  
(4)

\[ f_t = 0.20 \left( f_{cy} \right) ^{0.70} \]  
(5)

\[ f_t = 0.20 \sqrt{f_{cu}^2} \]  
(6)

\[ f_t = 0.23 \sqrt{f_{cy}^2} \]  
(7)

\[ f_t = 0.27 \sqrt{f_{cu}^2} \]  
(8)

\[ f_t = 0.46 \sqrt{f_{cy}} \]  
(9)

\[ f_t = 0.51 \sqrt{f_{cy}} \]  
(10)

where \( f_t \) is the splitting tensile strength and \( f_{cu} \) and \( f_{cy} \) are the cube and cylindrical compressive strengths, respectively. As shown in Figure 8, some of the equations such as equations (3) and (8) present forecast results close to the experimental results, with 90% reliability.

3.6. Water Absorption. The water absorption test was carried out for all concrete mixes at the age of 28 days for 30 minutes (initial water absorption) and 72 hours (final water absorption), as shown in Figure 9. Normal-weight concrete mixture (CM) showed the initial water absorption less than 3%. However, as NWA was replaced with OPS aggregate, the water absorption was consistently increased due to high water absorption of the OPS aggregate. As can be seen in Table 1, compared to crushed granite, the water absorption...
of the OPS aggregate is significantly higher. Teo et al. [53] concluded in his report that the water absorption of normal strength OPS concretes is higher than 10%. Whereas other types of structural LWACs like pumice and expanded polystyrene aggregate concrete have the water absorption ranging from 14% to 22% and from 3 to 6%, respectively [54, 55]. The investigations on analysis of statistics for six samples showed that the predicted models in Figure 9 are trustworthy. The quality of concrete is categorized as good, average, and poor based on the initial water absorption values of 0–3%, 3–5%, and above 5%, respectively [56]. It has been specified that a good quality concrete has final water absorption less than 5%. Based on the mentioned criteria, it can be concluded that incorporation of OPS as coarse aggregate in concrete should be less than 50% of total volume of coarse aggregate.

Figure 9: Relationship between OPS content in NWC and water absorption.

3.7. Drying Shrinkage Development. For structural members, the drying shrinkage strain of the concrete plays a very important role and is possibly harmful when it is restrained. However, it is not critical when used for insulation or filling purposes [57]. Reports [42, 58] show that the LWC has higher drying shrinkage than NWC. It is mainly influenced by volume of aggregate as well as aggregate’s properties. In these reports, the impact of partial substitution of crushed granite with OPS on concrete’s drying shrinkage was investigated under cured and uncured conditions.

3.7.1. Drying Shrinkage of Uncured Specimens. Drying shrinkage development of concrete mixes under air-drying condition up to 275 days of age is presented in Figure 10. To evaluate precise value of the drying shrinkage strain, two test specimens for each mix were prepared, and the average of the results was applied for analysis. Control concrete (CM) showed the long-term shrinkage strain of 318 microstrain, which is significantly lower than the other mixes. Generally, normal-weight concrete showed the drying shrinkage ranging from of 200–800 microstrain [59]. It was noted that the OPS’s incorporation in conventional concrete consistently increased the drying shrinkage strain of the mixes. As shown in Figure 10, at the age of 7 days, the contribution of OPS up to 60% in NWC (C20 to C60) has shown similar drying shrinkage results to the CM. However, as the substitution level increased beyond 60% (C80 & C100 mixes), the significant increase for shrinkage strain was observed even after 2 days of drying. During the first 28 days, the increasing rate of shrinkage strain for mixes C20 to C60 was found to be moderate compared to the CM mix. The average difference between the mixes was found to be about 21%. However, this ratio was considerably higher for mixes C80 and C100 which is about 47% and 52%, respectively, higher compared to control conventional concrete. After 4 months, the drying shrinkage values for CM to C60 mixes were found constant. However, the C80 and C100 mixes were showing the consistent increase in the shrinkage strain at the similar ages. After around 10 months, the C20, C40, C60, C80, and C100 mixes showed about 16%, 24%, 36%, 49%, and 54%, higher drying shrinkage strain against the CM, respectively.

The surface texture and shape of OPS aggregates are the key factors for higher drying shrinkage of concrete containing OPS. Basically, Surface texture of the crushed granite is rough which provides a strong bond with the cement matrix. In contrast, OPS aggregates are flaky and smooth in the surface texture. Therefore, by the blend of both NWA and OPS aggregate in concrete, higher drying shrinkage strain is expected. Al-Attar [60] studied the shrinkage behavior of conventional concrete by considering crushed and uncrushed gravel aggregate. He highlighted that the drying shrinkage of concrete using uncrushed gravel aggregates with smooth texture was higher than concrete made with crushed aggregates. Aslam et al. [61] investigated the drying shrinkage behavior of blended coarse LWAC. They reported that drying shrinkage of the OPSC could be decreased significantly by
contribution of rough surface textured oil-palm-boiler clinker (OPBC) aggregate in OPS concrete.

Another important factor that affects the drying shrinkage of concrete is the type of aggregate and its stiffness. Normally, elastic modulus of NWC is in the range of 14 to 41 GPa [18]. However, elastic modulus of OPSC was found much lower compared to the NWC, in the range of 5.3 to 10.9 GPa [5]. Neville [62] revealed that modulus of elasticity of concrete depends on the elastic modulus of its constituents and their proportions by volume in concrete. Therefore, it can be confirmed that use of OPS in conventional concrete significantly decreased the elastic modulus of the concrete due to the low elastic moduli of OPS aggregate. On the other hand, it should be noted that concrete containing aggregate with low modulus of elasticity has higher shrinkage strain compared to conventional aggregate concrete [42]. It was pointed out by Shafigh et al. [48, 63] that the expanded clay lightweight aggregate concrete with about 30% lower compressive strength than OPS concrete showed approximately 40% greater modulus of elasticity. Therefore, in this research, it was expected that by using of OPS in NWC, the elastic moduli of concrete reduced which resulted higher drying shrinkage strain for concrete.

Another cause of high concrete’s drying shrinkage is the moisture content of aggregates. Al-Attar [60] revealed that the use of the dry aggregate in concrete mixture resulted lower drying shrinkage compared to saturated aggregates. In this research, crushed granite aggregates were replaced with saturated OPS aggregates, and therefore, it is expected that concrete containing higher saturated OPS aggregates show higher drying shrinkage.

Based on the result presented in Figure 10, it is recommended that in concretes under air-drying condition, incorporation of OPS should be limited to 60% of total volume of coarse aggregate.

3.7.2. Drying Shrinkage of Cured Specimens. To control initial cracks and shrinkage, the adequate duration of curing plays an important role in concrete [64]. At the early ages, a proper long period of curing significantly improves the efficiency of materials. Also, it can postpone and reduce the long-term drying shrinkage. In Figures 11 and 12, the growth of drying shrinkage for all concrete mixes under 7 and 28 days curing is indicated. As shown in Figure 11, the control conventional concrete showed drying shrinkage of about 270 microstrain at later ages which was significantly low (on average 64%) compared to the C80 and C100 mixes with the shrinkage strain in the range of 700–800 microstrain. However, at long-term ages, the C20, C40, and C60 mixes showed greater drying shrinkage strain of about 20%, 38%, and 49%, respectively, in comparison with CM under 7 days of moist curing. Compared to the air-dried conditions, 7-day moist-cured specimens showed on average about 5% lower drying shrinkage results at early ages. Similarly, the progress of drying shrinkage strain under 28-day moist curing is shown in Figure 12. At the early ages, all the mixes showed the similar trend for 7-day moist-cured specimens with consistent increment in shrinkage. At long-term age (275 days), higher drying shrinkage of about 33% was recorded for concrete mixes containing OPS up to 60%, compared to the CM. Whereas for mixes containing OPS beyond 60%, the increment in shrinkage was significantly higher (about 64%). Shrinkage values of CM to C60 mixes were found almost constant after 75 days. However, the constant trend for same mixes was observed at about 110 days of age for the 7-day moist-cured specimens. Nilsen and Altinc [65] measured the drying shrinkage of low-density concrete made of expanded shale aggregate and reported a shrinkage value of 160 microstrain at about 4 months of age under 7-day moist curing. Few years later, Al-Khaiat and Haque [66] studied the drying shrinkage behavior of lytag LWC under 7-day moist curing and reported a long-term (3 months) drying shrinkage value of about 640 microstrain. They concluded that the type of lightweight aggregate in the mixture directly affects the drying shrinkage. Furthermore, Kayali et al. [67] reported the value of shrinkage about 450
microstrain at 90 days for lightweight sintered fly ash aggregate concrete. They also showed that shrinkage of NWC was constant and stable after 400 days, while for LWC after a same period of drying, shrinkage had an upward trend. Aslam et al. [3] studied the impact of lightweight aggregate type on concrete’s drying shrinkage. They reported that LWAC mixes containing OPS and OPBC showed lower drying shrinkage in comparison with structural LWCs made of expanded shale, lytag, and sintered fly ash. Concrete mixes containing 20–60% of OPS showed drying shrinkage in the range of 280–450 and 350–460 microstrain for 7-day and 28-day cured specimens, respectively, at 90 days of age. These ranges are lower compared to the lightweight concretes made with expanded shale, lytag, and sintered fly ash. However, the C80 and C100 mixes showed remarkably higher drying shrinkage against the same concretes at the same ages.

3.7.3. Comparison between Different Curing Conditions. From the previous studies, it was found that curing has significant effect on reduction of crack and shrinkage of concrete [68, 69]. Oliveira et al. [70] indicated that the curing could control drying of concrete which causes delaying effect in the shrinkage as well as allowing the natural growth of concrete’s mechanical properties. Therefore, two different curing conditions were considered in this study to evaluate the drying shrinkage of concrete samples in order to control the drying process of concrete. The relationship between air-dried and moist-cured specimens at early ages for drying shrinkage is given in Table 6. It was observed that under 7-day moist curing, the CM to C60 mixes showed a reduction in drying shrinkage at early ages. Whereas the C80 and C100 mixes showed higher drying shrinkage than air-dried specimens. This was mainly because of high volume of OPS aggregates. Table 6 shows the effect of curing as well as curing period which is essential to control drying shrinkage of concrete specially at early ages. In general, more curing time results lower drying shrinkage. However, the impact of curing period on short-term drying shrinkage was more significant on concretes containing less volume of OPS. Concrete containing 80 to 100% coarse OPS aggregate showed high drying shrinkage under both 7- and 28-day moist curing conditions.

West [71] pointed out that if there is not enough moisture for curing, existing moisture is consumed due to hydration process, and shrinkage would occur. Furthermore, inadequate water on concrete’s surface caused more shrinkage and crack.

The long-term drying shrinkage under different curing conditions for all the mixes is shown in Table 7. It is found that the CM had the lowest drying shrinkage results among the mixes under both curing conditions compared to air-dried specimens. The consistent reduction in shrinkage was also observed for concretes with low substitution of OPS up to 40% (C20 & C40 mixes). Furthermore, on average, the C80 and C100 mixes showed higher shrinkage strain under both 7- and 28-day moist curing conditions of about 16% and 25%, respectively, compared with air-dried specimens. Neville [72] reported that the shrinkage at early ages was delayed by long-term moist curing. However, the magnitude of shrinkage will be higher at later ages. Furthermore, Carlson [64] specified the contrary influences of long-term moist curing. Firstly, it increases the hardness of cement matrix which improves the restraining effect against the shrinkage. Secondly, it initiates more hydrated cement which causes higher drying shrinkage strain. Some other studies [28, 42] revealed that after curing, in case of low relative humidity, diminution in volume would occur due to the force generated by moisture migration from the materials. Similarly, the increased moisture of material could cause the swelling. Aslam et al. [3] investigated the drying shrinkage behavior of structural LWAC under 7-day moist curing. They highlighted that the saturated aggregates in the concrete mixes could minimize the early-age drying shrinkage and postpone it; nonetheless, higher shrinkage was recorded in long term. Bogas et al. [73] compared the behavior of lightweight and conventional concretes under 7-day moist curing. It was concluded that the long-term shrinkage could be reduced and delayed by proper curing, by about 16.5% for lightweight and 12% for conventional concretes. Furthermore, they specified that the long-term shrinkage should be considered for low-density concrete due to its slow drying process.

4. Conclusion

In this research, in order to achieve the optimum level of oil palm shell (OPS) contribution in concrete mixture for production of the lightweight aggregate concrete, six concrete mixes with different level of OPS as replacement of crushed granite from 20 to 100% (by volume) with interval of 20% were produced. The obtained results of the mechanical properties and drying shrinkage are represented as follows:

1. Replacement level of OPS from 60 to 100% in normal-weight concrete transformed it into lightweight concrete, whereas concrete mixes containing 20 to 60% of OPS aggregate, with oven-dry density between 2100 and 2200 kg/m³ are categorized as semi-lightweight aggregate concretes.

2. In improper curing condition, concrete containing OPS aggregates has higher loss of compressive strength compared to conventional aggregate concrete. Under partial early curing (7D), concretes containing OPS showed 9% higher compressive strength in comparison with air-drying curing condition (AC). At least 7 days of moist curing is recommended for OPS concrete.

3. Control mix (CM) showed the initial water absorption lower than 3%. However, since normal-weight aggregate was replaced with OPS aggregate, the water absorption was consistently increased. In order to achieve a good quality concrete with final water absorption less than 5%, incorporation of OPS as coarse aggregate in concrete should be less than 50% of the total volume of coarse aggregate.
Table 6: Effect of curing at early ages on the drying shrinkage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix codes</th>
<th>Uncured (Age (days))</th>
<th>Cured (7 days)</th>
<th>Cured (28 days)</th>
<th>Compared average (7 days)</th>
<th>Compared average (28 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>100 121 144</td>
<td>60 (−40%)</td>
<td>89 (−26%)</td>
<td>102 (−29%)</td>
<td>15 (−85%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20</td>
<td>134 139 182</td>
<td>64 (−52%)</td>
<td>96 (−31%)</td>
<td>115 (−37%)</td>
<td>35 (−74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C40</td>
<td>104 137 194</td>
<td>85 (−18%)</td>
<td>106 (−23%)</td>
<td>166 (−14%)</td>
<td>56 (−46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C60</td>
<td>103 138 165</td>
<td>108 (0%)</td>
<td>130 (−06%)</td>
<td>160 (−03%)</td>
<td>73 (−29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C80</td>
<td>121 174 218</td>
<td>125 (0%)</td>
<td>220 (0%)</td>
<td>286 (31%)</td>
<td>89 (−26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C100</td>
<td>203 232 264</td>
<td>141 (−31%)</td>
<td>301 (30%)</td>
<td>335 (27%)</td>
<td>110 (−46%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ represents the positive shrinkage development in cured specimens in comparison with uncured specimens; − represents the negative shrinkage development in cured specimens in comparison with uncured specimens.

Table 7: Effect of curing on long-term drying shrinkage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix codes</th>
<th>Uncured (Age (days))</th>
<th>Cured (7 days)</th>
<th>Cured (28 days)</th>
<th>Compared average (7 days)</th>
<th>Compared average (28 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>Age (days)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>183 321 275</td>
<td>135 (−26%)</td>
<td>271 (15%)</td>
<td>131 (−028%)</td>
<td>261 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20</td>
<td>227 341 280</td>
<td>171 (−25%)</td>
<td>341 (10%)</td>
<td>161 (029%)</td>
<td>341 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C40</td>
<td>232 398 418</td>
<td>211 (−09%)</td>
<td>435 (04%)</td>
<td>201 (−13%)</td>
<td>391 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C60</td>
<td>242 459 500</td>
<td>21 (0%)</td>
<td>528 (06%)</td>
<td>237 (02%)</td>
<td>451 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C80</td>
<td>343 556 622</td>
<td>395 (15%)</td>
<td>709 (14%)</td>
<td>391 (14%)</td>
<td>681 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C100</td>
<td>382 600 693</td>
<td>456 (19%)</td>
<td>806 (16%)</td>
<td>515 (35%)</td>
<td>800 (33%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ represents the positive shrinkage development in cured specimens in comparison with uncured specimens; − represents the negative shrinkage development in cured specimens in comparison with uncured specimens.

(4) Under air-drying curing condition and up to 275 days of age, CM showed the long-term shrinkage strain of 318 microstrain, while drying shrinkage consistently increased by incorporation of OPS in conventional concrete up to 693 microstrain for the mix containing just OPS as coarse aggregate. Almost similar drying shrinkage at early age (7 days) was obtained with contribution of OPS up to 60% in normal-weight concrete (CM). However, the significant increase in shrinkage strain was observed even after 2 days of drying for concrete mixes containing 80 and 100% OPS. At later ages (around 10 months), the mixes containing 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100% OPS showed about 16%, 24%, 36%, 49%, and 54% higher drying shrinkage strain compared to the control mix, respectively.

(5) It is recommended that in concretes under air-drying condition, incorporation of OPS as coarse aggregate should be limited to 60% of total volume of the coarse aggregate in concrete mixture. Mixes containing coarse OPS more than 60% have high drying shrinkage and therefore they are not recommended to be used in structural elements.

(6) Under moist curing condition and up to 275 days of age, CM showed the shrinkage strain of 270 microstrain compared to the mixes containing 80 and 100% OPS lightweight concretes with the shrinkage strain in the range from 700 to 800 microstrain. Compare to air-dried conditions, 7-day moist-cured specimens showed on average about 5% lower drying shrinkage results at early ages, whereas at later ages, the average difference was not significant (about 2%). However, under 28-day moist curing condition, mixes containing 80 and 100% OPS showed remarkably greater drying shrinkage in comparison with the same concretes at the same ages but under 7-day moist curing condition.

(7) Up to 60% replacement of crushed granite aggregates with OPS, structural lightweight aggregate concrete with maximum drying shrinkage strain of approximately 500 microstrain can be produced which is still in allowable limit for drying shrinkage.

(8) The conventional control concrete showed lowest drying shrinkage results among the mixes under both 7- and 28-day moist curing conditions compared to air-dried specimens.

(9) For low substitution levels of OPS (up to 40%), consistent reduction in drying shrinkage was observed when concrete specimens were cured. However, the difference between moist-cured and air-dried specimens reached to almost zero percent for the mix containing 60% OPS. The mixes containing 80 and 100% OPS showed higher shrinkage strain under both moist curing conditions of about 14% and 20%, respectively, compared to air-dried specimens.
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