Microstructure Evolution and Surface Cracking Behavior of Superheavy Forgings during Hot Forging
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In recent years, superheavy forgings that are manufactured from 600t grade ingots have been applied in the latest generation of nuclear power plants, as nozzle shells, the upper head of reactor pressure vessels, and monoblock low-pressure rotors [1]. In the past, these components were manufactured by welding together small forged parts. Superheavy forging allows for the use of integrated advanced designs. Because no complex welding processes exist, the manufacturing cycle of the nuclear reactor pressure vessels is reduced significantly [2], and the safety and service life of nuclear power plants are improved. The largest available ingots, that is, 600-ton grade ingots, are required to manufacture superheavy forgings [3]. The melting, casting, and forging of 600-ton grade ingots has pushed the limits of current heavy industry; the manufacture of superheavy forgings is termed “extreme manufacturing” [3, 4]. With developments in the energy industry, the forging size is likely to increase in the future [1]. However, the microstructure evolution and surface cracking behavior of superheavy forgings have not been investigated widely. The dynamic recrystallization (DRX) and cracking mechanisms have yet to be elucidated.

The main difficulty in forging superheavy forgings is the huge deformation resistance; in the forging process, alloy steel with a large grain size is deformed at a very low strain rate. During production, approximately 3000 s is required to complete a 30% reduction while hot forging a 600t grade ingot using a 150 MN hydraulic press. The true strain rate was approximately $10^{-4} \text{s}^{-1}$. This strain rate is far below that used, in general, in hot forging [5]. Typically, strain rates that...
Figure 1: Microstructure of 18Mn18Cr0.6N steel compressed at 1050°C and $10^{-4}\text{ s}^{-1}$ to different strains (vertical direction is the compression axis). (a) 0.2, (b) 0.4, (c) 0.6, and (d) 0.8.

Figure 2: Area fractions of different sizes of grains for specimens deformed at 1050°C and $10^{-4}\text{ s}^{-1}$. 
are selected in investigations of hot deformation and cracking behaviors of heavy forgings range from 0.001 to 10 s\(^{-1}\), including those for ultra-supercritical rotors [6, 7], main pipes in nuclear plants [8, 9], and rollers [10, 11]. Deformation at very low strain rates features varied and complex recrystallization mechanisms [12, 13] and cracking behaviors [14]. The lack of basic data on the microstructure evolution and cracking behavior of superheavy forgings limits their manufacture, development, and applications.

In this study, 18Mn18Cr0.6N steel, with a coarse grain structure, was selected as a model material. After hot compression and hot tension at a strain rate of \(10^{-4}\) s\(^{-1}\), the deformed steel microstructure was observed. The DRX and cracking mechanisms were analyzed. Finally, recommendations are provided for ingot shape, forging process, and forging equipment to provide a preliminary basis to optimize the manufacture of superheavy forgings.

2. Materials and Methods

The investigated 18Mn18Cr0.6N steel was melted in a vacuum electric furnace and then electroslag remelted. The chemical composition of the steel was (wt.%) 0.084 C, 17.9 Mn, 18.06 Cr, 0.62 N, 0.46 Si, 0.2 Ni, 0.009 P, and 0.002 S, and the balance was Fe. Small slabs were cut from the ingot and rolled at 1100°C. The total true strain during rolling was \(\sim 2\). The rolled slabs were heat treated at 1200°C for 3 h to obtain an average grain size of 305 \(\mu\)m. The ASTM grain size number was 0.5.

Compression tests were conducted on a Gleeble 3800 simulator. Specimens (diameter: 10 mm; length: 18 mm) were heated to 1050°C and 1150°C and then compressed to true strains of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 at a constant strain rate of \(10^{-4}\) s\(^{-1}\). The hot deformed microstructure was frozen by water cooling. Tensile test was conducted on a MTS810 electrohydraulic servo machine. Specimens (diameter: 10 mm; gauge length: 50 mm) were tensioned to fracture at 1050°C and \(10^{-4}\) s\(^{-1}\).

Compressed specimens were sectioned parallel to the compression direction. After polishing with a chemomechanical slurry of colloidal silica (0.02 \(\mu\)m), the microstructure was observed through electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) analysis in a Hitachi S-3400 scanning electron microscope (SEM) with TSL-OIM-Analysis software. The spatial
resolution was 2 μm, and the misorientation detection limit was 1°. The crystal orientation maps indicated high-angle grain boundaries (HAGBs; misorientations ≥ 15°, shown as black lines), twin boundaries (TBs; shown as red lines), and low-angle grain boundaries (LAGBs; 2° ≤ misorientations < 5°, shown as grey lines; 5° ≤ misorientations < 10°, shown as green lines; and 10° ≤ misorientations < 15°, shown as blue lines). Additional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) tests were conducted using a JEM-2010 instrument to examine the dislocations and subgrain boundaries.

Tensioned specimens were sectioned parallel to the tension direction. The microstructure near the fracture surface was studied by EBSD. Points with confidence indices lower than 0.1 were filtered and shaded black. Cracks typically exhibited low confidence indices.

3. Results

3.1. Deformed Microstructure. The microstructure of 18Mn18Cr0.6N steel that was compressed at 1050°C and 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹ to strains of 0.2–0.8 is shown in Figure 1. In the 0.2 reduction specimen (Figure 1(a)), the HAGBs were serrated with some LAGBs appearing nearby. Very few LAGBs were observed inside the grains. Owing to the deformation, some segments of the TBs changed into common HAGBs. In the 0.4 reduction specimen (Figure 1(b)), parent grains were elongated with some fine DRX grains formed alongside. This kind of structure is termed “necklace DRX” [15]. At the recrystallization front, LAGBs rather than TBs existed, and the density of the LAGBs decreased towards the inside of the grains. This means that the nucleation mechanism of DRX was accompanied by an evolution of LAGBs [16]. As the strain increased, the fraction of recrystallized matrix increased (Figures 1(c) and 1(d)). Some DRX grains grew to a large size (50–200 μm), and twins formed in them. However, TBs rarely appeared in the fine new DRX grains (10–50 μm). It is clear that twins were formed during the growth of the DRX grains, that is, the migration of HAGBs. The recrystallized and non-recrystallized regions could be distinguished easily by the
The presence of many TBs in the former and many LAGBs in the latter region.

The area fractions of the different sizes of grains are shown in Figure 2. The average ASTM grain size numbers of the 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 reduction specimens were 2.6, 3.4, 5.0, and 6.2, respectively. The grain refinement effect was remarkable for 18Mn18Cr0.6N steel when deformed at 1050°C and $10^{-4}\cdot s^{-1}$.

The microstructure of 18Mn18Cr0.6N steel that was compressed at 1150°C and $10^{-4}\cdot s^{-1}$ to strains of 0.2–0.8 is shown in Figure 3. The magnification is lower than that in Figure 2. In the 0.2 reduction specimen (Figure 3(a)), LAGBs appeared near curved HAGBs. The subgrains were large, and their size was related to the deformation temperature [16]. In the parent grains, twin boundaries maintained their misorientation angle. Several DRX grains appeared near original HAGBs and triple junctions. As the deformation developed, the number of LAGBs increased in the parent grains (Figure 3(b)). When the strain was increased to 0.6 (Figure 3(c)), the area fraction of the DRX region was larger than that in Figures 3(a) and 3(b). Many LAGBs existed in unrecrystallized grains. Fine DRX grains close to the unrecrystallized grains rarely contained TBs; however, many TBs were formed in the large DRX grains far from the unrecrystallized grains. This phenomenon is similar to that in Figure 2. Because the DRX grain size was large, the necklace features were not obvious in Figure 3(c). In Figure 3(d) (0.8 reduction), LAGBs appeared in some large recrystallized grains, that is, a new cycle of deformation and DRX began.

The area fractions of the different sizes of grains are shown in Figure 4. The average ASTM grain size numbers of the 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 reduction specimens were 2.6, 2.5, 3.2, and 3.1, respectively. Although some huge grains disappeared after deformation, the grain refinement was not obvious for the strain from 0.2 to 0.8.

3.2. Fracture Behavior. Figure 5 shows the fracture surface of a specimen tensioned at 1050°C. The reduction in area was 39%. The fracture surface was rough, and some groves were distributed between the bulges. Dimples or grain surfaces, that is, typical characteristics of ductile fracture or brittle fracture [17], were not found. The inset shows the morphology of the fracture surface at a high magnification. Holes and secondary cracks could be found.

The microstructure of the longitudinal section near the fracture surface of the specimen tensioned at 1050°C and $10^{-4}\cdot s^{-1}$ is shown in Figures 6 and 7.

Figure 6(a) shows a large ~400 µm long crack. The crack tips are marked by numbers. In combination with Figure 6(b), it is clear that crack tips 1 and 4 are transgranular. Crack tips
2 and 3 are intergranular. Another crack, with the tip marked 5, was also intergranular. Interestingly, grains near these cracks were almost equiaxed. The TBs in the grain were straight, and no obvious deformation features existed. Figure 6(c) shows that the crack-propagation path was independent of the Taylor factor. In Figure 6(d), the Kernel average misorientation (KAM) values were high at HAGBs and TBs but were low inside the grains.

Figure 7 shows the crack morphology of another region that contains large and small cracks. Crack tip 4 was intergranular, and crack tip 3 was transgranular. Notably, crack tips 1, 2, and 5 propagated along the TBs. Crack tip 1 developed from the grain inner and changed its propagation direction along the TB when it intersected the TB. The distributions of the Taylor factor and KAM values were similar to those in Figure 6.

4. Discussion

4.1. DRX Mechanism under Very Low Strain-Rate Condition. In metal deformation, a lower strain rate causes a higher strain gradient from HAGBs to the inside of grains [14]. This means that the deformation is located in a very narrow region near the HAGBs, which is termed “GB sliding” [18, 19]. Owing to the high temperature and low strain rate, dislocations have sufficient time to form dislocation walls and LAGBs [12, 14]. As the deformation developed, subgrains rotated and their misorientation angle increased. When the misorientation angle exceeded 15°, DRX grains formed. Under further strain, the DRX developed towards the inside of the parent grains. The early formed DRX grains grew and formed internal TBs. Because the deformation and grain growth were simultaneous, LAGBs appeared in these grown DRX grains. The DRX developed first at triple junctions. Because the grains were very large, the number of triple junctions was small, and the effects of triple junctions on the DRX were not as pronounced as those for fine grain materials [20].

On the basis of the above results, a schematic illustration of the nucleation mechanism of the DRX for 18Mn18Cr0.6N steel deformed at $10^{-4}\text{s}^{-1}$ is shown in Figure 8. The colored lines denote the same boundary types as those described in Figures 1 and 3.

Figure 9 shows the microstructure in the dashed rectangular region of Figures 1(c) and 3(b) at a high magnification. Subgrains, newly formed DRX grains, and grown DRX grains are marked by blue, black, and red arrows, respectively. The microstructure is consistent with the nucleation mechanism of DRX, as shown in Figure 8.

The DRX nuclei were twin-related ($\Sigma 3$) to the deformed matrices of copper bicrystals during hot tension at a strain rate of $4.2 \times 10^{-4}\text{s}^{-1}$ [21]. The results of the copper system
were different from those of our study, in terms of low-angle misorientation between the DRX nuclei and matrices (Figures 1 and 3). The DRX nucleation mechanisms relied on bulging HAGBs for 800H stainless steel [22] and 617B nickel alloy [13] deformed at $10^{-3}\cdot s^{-1}$. However, bulging HAGBs were not necessary in the nucleation of DRX in this study (Figure 9). Here, the nucleation mechanism of DRX was similar to that under low deformation temperature conditions [23]. In this study, a very low strain rate, high deformation temperature, and large grain size promoted the formation of LAGBs and subgrains. Figure 10 shows the TEM result of the specimen that is compressed at 1050°C and $10^{-4}\cdot s^{-1}$ to a strain rate of 0.2. Dislocations entangled LAGBs and subgrains. The nucleation mechanisms of DRX are complex and depend on many factors, such as the deformation temperature [23], strain rate [14, 16], grain size [24], precipitation [25], and stacking fault energy [16, 26]. Further studies are required to clarify the effects of these factors.

4.2. Cracking Mechanisms under Very Low Strain-Rate Condition. From the data in Figures 6 and 7, three paths for crack propagation exist, namely, grain inner, grain boundary, and TBs. As mentioned above, under low strain-rate conditions, grain boundary sliding occurred. This means that the grain is likely to rotate under tension. Because the grains are large, cracks formed easily when the
deformation could not be accommodated between grains. Cracks propagated along the HAGBs and TBs as the KAM values in these regions were high. Transgranular cracking can be explained by Wang et al. [14]. Owing to the many propagation paths for cracking, the reduction in area in this study is much lower than that at $10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ [14]. Because the grains rotate readily and the extent of deformation is small inside the grains, the effect of Taylor factor distribution on cracking is not as important as that under high strain-rate conditions [17].

The tensioned microstructure was significantly different from the compressed microstructure. The most obvious difference was that more LAGBs existed inside grains in the latter case. This result can be explained as follows. First, different deformation types induce different deformed microstructures, [27] and the recrystallized microstructure also presents differences [28]. Second, the LAGBs are a type of defect that accumulates energy. During tension, the deformation energy is released by cracking. Third, when necking appeared on the tensile specimen, the stress state was under triaxial tension, which accelerated the diffusion and movement of defects to the GBs and TBs. Owing to the equipment limitations, a tensile test at 1150°C was not conducted but will be studied in future using a more powerful machine.

4.3. Hot Forging of Superheavy Forgings. In the hot forging of superheavy forgings, heating over a long time is required for temperature homogenization [29]. The grain size is large, especially at the surface layer of the ingot. In addition, large ingots feature a high deformation resistance, especially during upsetting. Therefore, the large initial grain size and low strain rate are the main deformation features of superheavy forgings. The results indicate that the grain refinement is not obvious at 1150°C. A lowering of the deformation temperature can decrease the grain size, but the deformation stress increases considerably. The deformation stress at 1050°C was almost twice that at 1150°C, as shown in Figure 11.

It is suggested that the upsetting ratio should not be too large. A large reduction increases the deformation resistance in the upsetting process and in the ensuing stretching process. To satisfy the total deformation ratio, we recommend alternate deformations of upsetting and stretching with a medium amount of forging strain. Furthermore, the ingot dimensions should be tailor designed, that is, with a larger height and smaller diameter. Macro segregation and shrinkage porosity are reduced in ingots with this shape. Therefore, the demanded total deformation ratio is lowered, especially for monoblock low-pressure rotors.

As mentioned in [3] and [4], the manufacture of superheavy forgings is pushing the limits of the current free-forging industry. To refine the grain size and to improve hot ductility, the deformation rate must be improved. Thus, in future, larger hydraulic presses should be developed.

5. Conclusions

Microstructure evolution and surface cracking behaviors were investigated through compression and tensile tests at a strain rate of $10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$. The domain nucleation of DRX is LAGB formation and subgrain rotation, which is independent of the bulging of original HAGBs and twinning. Twins were formed during the growth of DRX grains. The grain refinement was not obvious at 1150°C. A lowering of the deformation temperature to 1050°C resulted in a fine grain structure; however, the resulting stress was twice as large. Crack-propagation paths included HAGBs, TBs, and the inside of grains, in that sequence. For superheavy forgings, the ingot should have a larger height and a smaller diameter. During the forging process, the use of alternate deformations of upsetting and stretching with a medium strain is recommended.
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