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By using the explicit solution of three-dimensional slope stability based on modification of normal stress distribution over the slip surface, the influence of assumption of the three-dimensional initial normal stress on the safety factor is investigated. The initial normal stress distribution over the 3D slip surface was assumed, and then it was modified by a function with 2 parameters to satisfy two force equilibrium conditions about two axes and one moment equilibrium condition around one axis. An iterative equation was derived that would yield a value to 3D safety factor. The values of three-dimensional safety factor of symmetrical slopes are computed with different assumptions of initial normal stresses. The computation results show that the influence of assumption of initial normal stress on the safety factor of symmetrical three-dimensional slopes is negligible because the maximum different value of the three-dimensional safety factor is below 5%.

1. Introduction

The limit equilibrium method has widely been used for slope-stability analysis. Experts consider that only rigorous limit equilibrium methods are recommended for slope-stability analysis because they satisfy both force and moment balance conditions. The 3D safety factor obtained can satisfy the engineering needs, and this result was later agreed by Duncan [1]. However, researches show that the difference of the rigorous safety factors of the limit equilibrium method is obvious, and the method may not provide a unique safety factor. In order to solve these problems, some methods have widely been studied by Ugai et al. [2, 3]. These methods introducing various assumptions, especially for the three-dimensional slope, cause great difference in the safety factor and failure in utilizing the limit equilibrium method in engineering practice. Researchers have also shown that the difference of safety factors is about 15% for the two-dimensional slope and reaches to 40% for three-dimensional slope, so these methods cannot directly be applied in engineering practice. Moreover, these methods cannot obtain rigorous 3D limit equilibrium solutions, and this conclusion has been proven by Zhu et al. [4]. In recent years, the study shows that the explicit solution of two-dimensional slope stability based on modification of normal stress distribution over the slip surface can be obtained satisfying both forces equilibrium conditions and moment equilibrium conditions. So, the explicit solution of three-dimensional slope stability based on modification of normal stress distribution over the slip surface can also be obtained satisfying both forces equilibrium conditions and moment equilibrium conditions. These methods are not divided into slices or columns and have been studied by Zhu et al. [5]. By using the explicit solution of three-dimensional slope stability based on modification of normal stress distribution over the slip surface, the influence of assumption of the three-dimensional initial normal stress on the factor safety is investigated. The initial normal stress distribution over the 3D slip surface was assumed, and then it was modified by a function with
2 parameters to satisfy two force equilibrium conditions about two axes and one moment equilibrium condition around one axis. An iterative equation was derived to yield a value to 3D factor safety. The values of symmetrical three-dimensional safety factor are computed with different assumptions of initial normal stress. The influence of assumption of the three-dimensional initial normal stress on the safety factor is the key to problem. It cannot be directly applied to engineering if the difference of 3D safety factor is more than 30% and it can be directly applied in engineering if the difference of 3D safety factor is less than or equal to 30% in engineering practice [5].

2. Basic Concepts

Consider a slip surface of a 3D general shape, as shown in Figure 1, and the slip horizontal surface and slip surface are described by functions \( g(x, y) \) and \( s(x, y) \). \( w(x, y) \) is the total weight of the column; \( k_cw(x, y) \) is the internal force due to an earthquake, where \( k_c \) is the coefficient of seismic force which is assumed to be horizontal; \( \sigma(x, y) \) is the normal stress over the slip surface; \( \tau(x, y) \) is the shear stress on the slip surface, and \( u(x, y) \) is the water pressure of the slip body.

The normal force is assumed as follows:

\[
\sigma(x, y) = \sigma_0 (x, y) \cdot [\lambda_1 \xi_1(x, y) + \lambda_2 \xi_2(x, y)]
\]

\[= \sigma_0 (x, y) \cdot [\lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y]. \quad (1)\]

As shown in Figure 1, a rectangular coordinate system is established, above which the sliding mass is divided into \( n \) small columns along the direction of the \( x \)-axis and divided into \( m \) small columns along the \( y \)-axis, and then the whole sliding mass is divided into \( n \times m \) columns as shown in Figure 2.

Now choose a typical column taking the \( j \)th and the \( i \)th columns along the \( x \)-axis and the \( y \)-axis to examine the forces acting upon it (Figure 2). It should be noted that effective stress is considered in this paper, but the approach is also certainly applicable to total stress. \((n_x, n_y, n_z)\) is the direction cosine of the normal force \( \sigma(x, y) \); \((m_x, m_y, m_z)\) is the direction cosine of the shear force \( \tau(x, y) \); \( (x_c, y_c, z_c) \) is the center point coordinate of the column; and \( a \) is the inclination of the bottom of a column.

For the purposes of simplification, \( (x, y) \) will be omitted in the following paper. We will get \( m_y = 0 \), if sliding mass is sliding in the \( xoz \) plane but not sliding along the \( y \)-axis.

Since \( s(x, y) \) is the slip surface, the outer normal direction of the slip surface is \((\partial s/\partial x), (\partial s/\partial y), -1\) according to the definition of the outer normal line. The direction of the slip surface is opposite to the normal force direction of the slip surface, so the direction cosine of the slip surface normal force is

\[
\left( n_x, n_y, n_z \right) = \left( -\frac{\partial s/\partial x}{\Delta}, -\frac{\partial s/\partial y}{\Delta}, 1 - \frac{\partial s/\partial x}{\Delta}, \frac{\partial s/\partial y}{\Delta} \right),
\]

\[
\Delta = \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial y} \right)^2}.
\]

The shear direction is perpendicular to the normal force direction, so
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
(m_x, m_y, m_z) &= \left(\frac{1}{\Delta'}, 0, \frac{\Delta s/\Delta x}{\Delta'}\right), \\
\Delta' &= \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta s}{\Delta x}\right)^2}.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

The area of a rectangle is \(dA\), and the surface area is \(dA\), as shown in Figure 3, when the slip surface of a small column is projected to the \(x\)-y plane. Then,

\begin{equation}
dA = \frac{dx\, dy}{n_z} = \Delta \, dx\, dy = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta s}{\Delta x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta s}{\Delta y}\right)^2} \, dx\, dy.
\end{equation}

### 3. Basic Formula

Three equilibrium conditions need to be considered for symmetrical three-dimensional slopes, and six equilibrium conditions need to be considered for asymmetrical three-dimensional slopes. Two force equilibrium conditions on \(x\)- and \(z\)-axis and one moment equilibrium condition around \(y\)-axis of the sliding mass for symmetrical slopes are as follows:

\begin{equation}
(\sigma \cdot dA \cdot n_x + \tau \cdot dA \cdot m_x) = \int \int K_c \cdot w(x, y) \, dx\, dy,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\int \int (\sigma \cdot dA \cdot n_x + \tau \cdot dA \cdot m_x) = \int w(x, y) \, dx\, dy,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\int \int (\sigma \cdot dA \cdot n_x \cdot s - \int \tau \cdot dA \cdot m_x \cdot s + \int K_c \cdot w(x, y) \cdot z_c \, dx\, dy \nonumber + \int \tau \cdot dA \cdot m_x \cdot s + \int \tau \cdot dA \cdot m_x \cdot x - \int w(x, y) \cdot \lambda\cdot x, \, dx\, dy = 0.
\end{equation}

Substituting equations (2)–(4) into equations (5a)–(5c), we have

\begin{align}
\int \int \sigma \cdot \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta x} \cdot s \, dx\, dy &- \int \tau \cdot \Delta \cdot s \, dx\, dy = \int K_c \cdot \sigma \cdot (x, y) \, dx\, dy, \\
\int \int \sigma \cdot \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta x} \cdot \Delta \, dx\, dy &= \int w(x, y) \, dx\, dy,
\end{align}

where \(\phi(x, y)\) is the effective internal friction angle and \(c(x, y)\) is the cohesion of the sliding mass. We may use "\(\psi\)" to express \(\tan \phi(x, y)\).

Substituting equation (7) into equations (6a)–(6c), we have

\begin{align}
\int \int \left[ \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x} \cdot \Delta \cdot \psi \cdot \sigma \right] \cdot dx\, dy &= \int K_c \cdot w \, dx\, dy \\
+ \int \left[ \frac{u \cdot \psi - c}{F_s} \right] \cdot \Delta \cdot \Delta \cdot x \, dx\, dy,
\end{align}

\begin{align}
\int \int \left[ \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x} \cdot \Delta \cdot \psi \cdot \sigma \right] \cdot dx\, dy &= \int w \cdot x, \, dx\, dy \\
+ \int \left[ \frac{u \cdot \psi - c}{F_s} \right] \cdot \Delta \cdot \Delta \cdot x \, dx\, dy,
\end{align}

\begin{align}
\int \int \left[ \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x} \cdot \Delta \cdot \psi \cdot \sigma \right] \cdot dx\, dy &= -\int K_c \cdot w \cdot z_c \, dx\, dy \\
- \int \left[ \frac{u \cdot \psi - c}{F_s} \right] \cdot \Delta \cdot \Delta \cdot s \, dx\, dy + \int \left[ \frac{u \cdot \psi - c}{F_s} \right] \cdot \frac{\Delta s}{\Delta x} \cdot \Delta \cdot \Delta \cdot x \, dx\, dy.
\end{align}

Supposing \(F_x = \int K_c \cdot w \, dx\, dy\), \(F_y = \int w \cdot x, \, dx\, dy\), \(r_a = x + s \cdot s_a\), \(r_b = s_a \cdot x - s\), \(M_c = \int w \cdot x, \, dx\, dy - \int K_c \cdot w \cdot z_c \, dx\, dy\); and \(\Delta /\Delta' = \rho\).

Substituting equation (1) into equations (8a)–(8c), we have

\begin{align}
\lambda_1 \int \left( -s_a + \rho \cdot \psi \right) \cdot \xi_1 \cdot \Delta s \, dx\, dy + \lambda_2 \int \left( -s_a + \rho \cdot \psi \right) \cdot \xi_2 \cdot \sigma_0 \, dx\, dy = F_x + \frac{1}{F_s} \int \rho (u \cdot \psi - c) \, dx\, dy,
\end{align}

\begin{align}
\lambda_1 \int \left( 1 + s_a \cdot \rho \cdot \psi \right) \cdot \xi_1 \cdot \Delta s \, dx\, dy + \lambda_2 \int \left( 1 + s_a \cdot \rho \cdot \psi \right) \cdot \xi_2 \cdot \sigma_0 \, dx\, dy = F_y + \frac{1}{F_s} \int s_a \cdot \rho (u \cdot \psi - c) \, dx\, dy.
\end{align}
\[ F_s = \frac{\lambda_1 \int \sigma_0 \cdot \psi \cdot \xi_1 \cdot r_t \rho \, dx \, dy + \lambda_2 \int \sigma_0 \cdot \psi \cdot \xi_2 \cdot r_t \rho \, dx \, dy + \int (-u \cdot \psi + c) \cdot r_t \cdot \rho \, dx \, dy}{M_z - \lambda_1 \int \sigma_0 \cdot \xi_1 \cdot r_t \rho \, dx \, dy - \lambda_2 \int \sigma_0 \cdot \xi_2 \cdot r_t \rho \, dx \, dy} \]  

\text{(9c)}
Equations (9a)–(9c) are simplified, and then we have

\[ \lambda_1 A_1 + F_s A_1' + \lambda_2 A_2 + F_s A_2' + \lambda_3 A_3 + F_s A_3', \quad (10a) \]

\[ \lambda_1 B_1 + F_s B_1' + \lambda_2 B_2 + F_s B_2' + \lambda_3 B_3 + F_s B_3', \quad (10b) \]

\[ F_s = \frac{D_1 \lambda_1 + D_2 \lambda_2 + D_3}{E_1 \lambda_1 + E_2 \lambda_2 + E_3}. \quad (10c) \]

The parameters are one-to-one correspondence in equations (9a)–(9c) and (10a)–(10c). Equations (10a)–(10c) are nonlinear equations containing 3 variables. Thus, an iterative procedure is required for the safety factor of the three-dimensional slope.

4. Assumption of Initial Normal Stress over Slip Surface

There are three kinds of \( \sigma_0(x, y) \) hypotheses in this paper

(1) \( \sigma_0 \) is assumed as gravity stress of a column, and we have
\( \sigma_0^1 = w. \) \hspace{1cm} (11)

(2) \( \sigma_0 \) is assumed as follows:

\[ \sigma_0^2 = w \cdot \cos \alpha. \] \hspace{1cm} (12)

This is the extension of the Swedish method, and this method has been studied by Fellenius [6], in which the internal forces of columns are ignored.

(3) \( \sigma_0 \) is assumed to be 1, which is the extension of the simplified Bishop method, and this method has been studied by Bishop [7]. That is to say, the internal forces of columns are horizontal, and we have

\[ \sigma_0^3 = \frac{(w - cA \sin \alpha_x/F_x) + (uA \tan \varphi \sin \alpha_x/F_x)}{m_a}. \] \hspace{1cm} (13)

By substituting equations (11)–(13) into equations (10a)–(10c), different 3D safety factor can be obtained.

### 5. Applications

**Case 1.** The example shown in Figure 4 is a typical three-dimensional slope in reference, and this example has been studied by Zhang [8]. The spherical profile and soil stratigraphy are analyzed as shown in Figure 4. The soil properties are given in Figure 4. Different symmetrical three-dimensional safety factors are presented in Table 1.

**Case 2.** The example shown in Figure 5 is a typical three-dimensional slope in reference, and this example has been studied by Leshchinsky et al. [10]. The sliding mass is a critical ellipse (the aspect ratio is 0.66). The ellipsoidal profile and soil stratigraphy are analyzed as shown in Figure 5. The soil properties are given in Figure 5. Different symmetrical three-dimensional safety factors are presented in Table 1.

**Case 3.** The example shown in Figure 6 is a typical three-dimensional slope in reference, and this example has been...
studied by Baligh and Azzouz [11]. Its frictional force is zero. The spherical profile and soil stratigraphy are analyzed as shown in Figure 6. The soil properties are given in Figure 6. Different symmetrical three-dimensional safety factors are presented in Table 1.

A comparison of values of safety factor and the associated scaling factors computed with the method proposed in this paper are presented in Table 1. The differences in the computed values of safety factor are negligible for practical purposes because the maximum different value of three-dimensional safety factors is below 5%. So the explicit solution of three-dimensional slope stability can be used to engineering practice.

6. Verification of Normal Stress over Slip Surface

Figures 7–9 are the normal stress over slip surface of case 1, case 2, and case 3. It can be seen from the figures that the normal stresses over the slip surface are positive and smooth and continuous. Therefore, they are reasonable.

7. Concluding Remarks

By assuming the distribution of the normal stress along the slip surface, the safety factor of sliding mass can be computed precisely by using the rigorous limit equilibrium method. The initial normal stress distribution over the 3D slip surface was assumed, and then it was modified by a function with 2 parameters to satisfy two force equilibrium conditions about x- and z-axis and one moment equilibrium condition around y-axis. An iterative equation was derived that it would yield a value to 3D safety factor. The values of the three-dimensional safety factor are computed with different assumption of initial normal stresses. The computation results show that the influence of assumption of the three-dimensional initial normal stress on the safety factor is negligible because the maximum different value of the safety factor of the symmetrical three-dimensional slope is below 5%. So the results are accurate that they can be directly applied to engineering.
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Additional Points

This paper mainly studies the influence of initial normal stress assumption of slip surface on safety factor of symmetrical three-dimensional slopes. By using the explicit solution of the three-dimensional slope stability based on the modification of normal stress distribution over the slip surface, the influence
of assumption of the three-dimensional initial normal stress on the safety factor is investigated. The initial normal stress distribution over the 3D slip surface was assumed, and then it was modified by a function with 2 parameters to satisfy all forces and moment equilibrium conditions. The computation results show that the influence of assumption of the three-dimensional initial normal stress on the safety factor of symmetrical three-dimensional slopes is negligible because the maximum different value of three-dimensional factor safety is below 5%.
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