Mechanical Properties and Microscopic Mechanism of Coral Sand-Cement Mortar
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The workability and mechanical performance of coral sand-cement mortar (coral mortar, for short) and the modification effects of mineral admixtures on the coral mortar were studied in this paper. The results showed that the strength of coral mortar was lower than that of standard mortar, but the strength of coral mortar was improved by compositing with the mineral admixture, which can be attributed to the improvement of the microstructure and interface transition area. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) were used to explore the microscopic mechanism involved in the mechanical properties, volume stability, and hydration of mortar. The analyses revealed that the internal curing effect of coral sand improved the mechanical properties of mortar and its ability to resist shrinkage. The uneven surface of coral sand formed a meshing state of close combination with the hardened cement mortar, which helped to improve the volume stability of mortar. The Ca2+ and Mg2+ ions from coral sand participated in the hydration reaction of cement, which contributed to generating more hydration products. Moreover, the microaggregate filling and pozzolanic effects of fly ash and slag improved the mechanical properties of coral mortar and resistance to chloride ion diffusion.

1. Introduction

Sand and stone resources are important raw materials for national infrastructure construction. In recent years, with the depletion of natural river sand in China, the substitute aggregate of natural sand has attracted extensive attention from academic and engineering scholars. The mining of manufactured sand can alleviate the shortage of natural river sand to some extent, but large amounts of energy will be consumed due to the crushing of manufactured sand [1, 2]. Moreover, the destruction of the mountain structure, dust pollution, and debris flow problems are derived from overexploitation of manufactured sand [1, 3], and the transportation is still difficult for the islands and coastal areas [4]. Therefore, in order to prevent destruction of the local ecological environment, crushed coral bones on islands are used to prepare concrete instead of river sand [5, 6]. This has important practical significance for island engineering construction.

Since the Second World War, coral sand has been used in many modern military and civilian marine construction projects [7–9]. In the Pacific Rim, the coral island engineering has developed rapidly. Due to the convenience of exploitation, coral sand is widely used in the construction of islands, breakwater roads, and airports in coastal areas [10–12]. Compared with standard mortar, coral mortar has better volume stability. Coral sand has the property of continuously releasing calcite into mortar [13, 14]. Calcite filled in the interfacial transition zone of coral mortar helps to improve the compactness of the mortar [15], which can enhance the mechanical properties and resistance to shrinkage of the mortar. Fly ash and slag have good pozzolanic activity, filling effect, and chloride ion-immobilizing effect. Thus, the coral mortar incorporated with fly ash and slag has better...
mechanical properties and resistance to chloride penetration. Howdysheletal. investigated the feasibility of using coral sand for concrete and found that coral sand has an uneven surface and porous structure with high water absorption capacity [16], which can increase the strength of concrete. In addition, compared to ordinary standard mortar, the interface transition zone of coral mortar is more compact [17, 18]. However, due to the unreliable sources of coral sand and its unstable composition and gradation, the experimental results of coral mortar vary greatly, and the parallel comparison between different literature reports also lacks theoretical support. Therefore, the mortar prepared by high-quality coral sand with strict control of the composition and particle grading was studied herein, and its working performance, mechanical performance, volume stability, and microscopic performance were also compared with those of standard mortar. The influence of mineral admixtures on the coral mortar properties was also researched in this paper. The obtained results can provide a theoretical reference for designing the suitable composition of coral mortar.

2. Experiment

2.1. Raw Materials. Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) CEM I 42.5 with a density of 3.13 g/cm³ and a surface area of 350 m²/kg was used in this study. The fly ash (FA) used in mortar passed through a 0.045 mm mesh screen, the weight of the screen residue was 7.8%, the burning loss was 2.3%, and the water demand ratio was 97%. The activity index, density, and specific surface area of the slag (SG) used in mortar was S95, 2.86 g/cm³, and 4200 cm²/g, respectively. According to the ISO method of testing cements—determination of strength,” three samples were prepared in each group. Then, three kinds of samples were molded in steel molds with a size of 40 mm × 40 mm × 160 mm. The mortar samples were cured to the specified time under the standard curing environment, and then their mechanical properties, volume stability, and microscopic properties were tested.

A fluidity apparatus was used to test the flow performance of the mortar. The distance of the vibrating part from the table was (10 ± 0.2) mm, and the truncated cone mold was used for determining the fluidity of cement mortar. The upper mouth caliber was (70 ± 0.5) mm, the lower mouth caliber was (100 ± 0.5) mm, and the height was (60 ± 0.5) mm. The experimental vibration frequency was 1 Hz, and the vibration period was 25 times.

For the FTIR test, the mortar samples cured to specified time were ground by agate mortar until they passed through a 200 mesh size sieve. Then, 1-2 g powders were mixed with 100–200 g potassium bromide and continuously ground until well blended. Finally, transparent slices were obtained by pressing with a pressure of 8000–15000 kg/cm² for 1 min by an oil press. For preparing SEM samples, small fragments obtained from the middle part of mortar samples at a certain age were kept in acetone solution for 3 days (abbreviated as “d” in figures and tables below) and then were dried at 80°C for 8 h. The XRD samples were prepared as follows: the samples were taken out at 28 days and their hydration was stopped. Then, they were dried in an oven for 4 h at 60°C. Finally, the middle parts of the samples were taken, ground in an agate mortar, and passed through a 100 mesh size sieve.

2.2. Determination of Free and Immobilized Chloride Ion Contents in Mortar. As seawater contains a large amount of chloride ions, the coral aggregate used in constructions also contains a certain amount of chloride ions. To determine the chloride ion content in mortar, the contents of free chloride ions and immobilized chloride ions in coral mortar and FA-SG coral mortar were compared. Hardened coral mortar was ground until it passed through a 100 mesh size sieve. Then, six coral mortar samples with the same ratio were divided into one group, and the weight of each sample was 30 g. Three samples of each group were soaked in distilled water for 24 h and shaken several times every hour during the 24 h so that the chlorine salt in the mortar was fully soaked out. The other three groups were soaked in 0.5 mol/L nitric acid solution and then maintained at 105–110°C for 4–6 h to fully dissolve the immobilized chlorine salt in the mortar. Then, the solution was filtered through a vacuum filter box. The filtrate was retained, and the pH of the filtrate was adjusted to 7–8. AgNO₃ solution with the predetermined concentration was used for titration, and K₂CrO₄ solution (5 wt.%) was used as the final color indicator. According to the quantity of consumption of AgNO₃ solution, the contents of free chloride ions (M₀) and total chloride ions (Mₜ) of hardened coral mortar were calculated. Then, the chloride ion-immobilizing rate (L) of mortar can be calculated by the following formula:

\[ L = \frac{M₀}{Mₜ} \times 100\% \]
2.2.3. Test of Expansion Rate of Mortar Samples. The samples were molded in steel molds with a size of 20 mm × 20 mm × 280 mm. Then, according to the JGJ/T70-2009 method “Standard for test method of performance building mortar,” they were used to test the volume change [19]. After curing for 24h, the initial length of samples $L_0$ was measured with a comparator (mm). Then, the samples were placed in a standard curing environment, and their length $L_t$ (mm) was measured at regular intervals. The volume change rate ($\varepsilon = (L_t - L_0)/L_0$) of samples at the corresponding age was calculated, and finally, the average result was calculated.

$$L = \frac{(M_t - M_0)}{M_t}.$$  

2.2.4. Electrochemical Property Testing of Mortar. Three groups of mortar samples were used with the size of 20 mm × 20 mm × 280 mm. The samples were placed in a standard curing environment before the test. The sine voltage signal amplitude of the AC impedance tester is 5 mA, the AC amplitude is 0.01 V, and the measurement frequency range is 100 MHz–10 kHz. Then, the mortar samples at 180 days were selected which kept the surface dry before the test. Cement-based materials can be regarded as comprising series of connected holes, nonconnected holes, and insulators (composed of aggregates and gel hydration products). The Nyquist plot of high-frequency AC impedance tests is shown in Figure 2 [20]. The intersection point on the left side of the semicircle with the solid line is the resistance of pore solution, marked $R_0$ [21–23], and the diameter of the semicircle corresponds to the resistance of gel hydration products, marked $R_1$.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Fluidity of Mortar Samples. Table 4 and Figure 3 show that coral mortar with fly ash and slag (FA-SG coral mortar, for short) had the worst fluidity, and the fluidity of coral mortar was worse than that of standard mortar. This is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical analysis</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Fe$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>K$_2$O</th>
<th>Na$_2$O</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
<th>SO$_3$</th>
<th>Ignition loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>21.99</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>58.64</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>50.93</td>
<td>33.51</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>29.89</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>35.53</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Grain grading of coral sand (wt.%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesh sieve (mm)</th>
<th>Surplus (g)</th>
<th>Weight of the mesh residue (%)</th>
<th>Accumulated retained percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus (g)</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of the mesh residue (%)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Mix proportions of mortar samples (g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Cement</th>
<th>Fly ash</th>
<th>Slag</th>
<th>Standard sand</th>
<th>Coral sand</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Admixture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSS</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSCS</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CSS: standard mortar; CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag.

Figure 1: SEM images of the mortar aggregate. (a) Crushed coral sand. (b) Standard sand.

Table 1: Chemical composition of cementing materials (wt.%).

(a) (b)
because there are many crushed coral bones and shells in the coral sand and their porous structure can absorb a lot of water, thus lowering the fluidity of coral mortar. Moreover, due to the large specific surface area of fly ash and slag, a large amount of water was further adsorbed, thus causing the FA-SG coral mortar to have the lowest fluidity. The densities of standard mortar and coral mortar were similar, while the density of FA-SG coral mortar was higher than that of the other samples. This was likely due to the microaggregate filling effect of fly ash and slag particles.

### 3.2. Analysis of Volume Stability of Mortar Samples

Figure 4 shows the volume change rate with time for two groups of mortar samples. The volume change rate can be roughly divided into three stages. The first stage was the
volume expansion period of mortar during 1−11 days. Especially during the first 7 days, the volume expansion of both mortar samples was very obvious. In addition, the volume change of coral mortar was more obvious at this stage due to the additional hydration reaction of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\text{SO}_4^{2−}$ introduced from coral sand [24, 25]. The second stage was the volume shrinkage period of mortar during 11−59 days. At this stage, the volume of mortar did not expand and started to contract significantly. The last stage was the stable volume period of mortar after 59 days. It can be seen from Figure 4 that the shrinkage value of coral mortar was lower than that of standard mortar, indicating that coral mortar was superior to standard mortar in volume stability. This is because the uneven surface of coral sand makes the interfacial transition zone between coral sand and hardened cement matrix more compact, resulting in better interface strength of coral mortar compared to standard mortar. In addition, coral sand, as a kind of porous aggregate, played an internal curing role of water storage and water release during the hydration stage of cement-based materials. This can maintain the relative humidity inside the mortar, ensure the continuous hydration of cement, and inhibit the drying shrinkage. Moreover, the unstable $\text{CaCO}_3$ in coral sand was continuously released outward [13, 14] and precipitated in the form of calcite into the interface transition area. This helped to improve the compactness of the interface area and limited the volume shrinkage of coral mortar in the later stage.

### 3.3. Electrochemical Impedance Analysis

Using the Nyquist plot of Randles type in Figure 2, it can be seen from Figure 5 that, among the three resistance values $R_0$ of the pore solution of mortar samples, coral mortar had the smallest value, followed by standard mortar, and FA-SG coral mortar had the largest value, which was mainly due to numerous porous coral bones and shellfish in the coral sand. As a result, the total pore volume of coral mortar was more than that of standard mortar. In addition, more $\text{Cl}^−$ and $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ions were introduced into the pore solution of mortar because the pores of coral sand were connected with the pore network of the mortar matrix. Therefore, the pore solution resistance $R_0$ of coral mortar was significantly lower than that of standard mortar. In addition, the microaggregate filling and pozzolanic effects of fly ash and slag made the mortar denser and limited the migration of pore solution in the mortar. As a result, the pore solution resistance $R_0$ of FA-SG coral mortar was significantly higher than that of the other two kinds of mortar samples possibly because the fine fly ash and slag particles promoted the hydration of cement.

### 3.4. Mechanical Property Test of Mortar

As shown in Figure 6, the compressive and flexural strengths of standard mortar at 7 d were the highest. When coral sand was used instead of standard sand, the flexural and compressive strengths of mortar decreased by 26.92% and 3.43%, respectively. When modified by mineral admixtures, the flexural and compressive strengths of coral mortar were improved but were still below the corresponding values of standard mortar. This was due to the filling effect of fly ash [26, 27], which made the mortar structure denser. In addition, the pozzolanic reaction of fly ash and slag consumed a large amount of $\text{Ca(OH)}_2$ in the interface transition zone of mortar and generated more C−S−H gel [28]. Consequently, the flexural and compressive strengths of FA-SG coral mortar were greater than those of coral mortar. However, due to the slow pozzolanic reaction rate, and a large amount of broken coral bones, shellfish, and other components that were not beneficial to the strength of mortar, the flexural and compressive strengths of FA-SG coral mortar at 7 d were still lower than those of standard
mortar. At 28 d, the compressive strength of coral mortar was still lower than that of standard mortar, but the compressive strength of FA-SG coral mortar was 2.08% higher than that of standard mortar. This was due to the filling effect and the continuous pozzolanic reaction of the additional mineral admixture.

3.5. Detection and Analysis of the Chloride Ion Content in Mortar. In this paper, high-quality coral mortar was obtained by adding the mineral admixture to enhance the penetration resistance of chloride ions. As shown in Table 5, the chloride ion contents of coral mortar samples soaked in deionized water (DI-W) and nitric acid solution (H-S) were compared. The results show that FA-SG coral mortar contained less free chlorine ions. It is known that chloride ions react with calcium sulfoaluminate, the hydration product of cement mineral clinker C3A, to form low-soluble calcium monoaluminate (Friedel’s salt). Nevertheless, it has been demonstrated that the immobilizing ability of chloride ions of ordinary Portland cement mortar mainly depends on the content of C-S-H gel of the hydration product and is not related to the water-cement ratio and the aggregate characteristics [29]. Therefore, for FA-SG coral mortar, the pozzolanic reaction of mineral admixtures promoted the hydration of cement to generate more C-S-H gel, which further improved the ability of mortar to immobilize chloride ions. In addition, the porous structure of fly ash [30, 31] and its internal space connected with the pore network of mortar can increase the physical adsorption of chloride ions. Slag contains high Al2O3 mineral content, which can absorb chloride ions in mortar to generate Friedel’s salt in the process of cement hydration. The chloride ion-immobilizing rate ($L$) of mortar can be calculated by formula (1).

$$L = \frac{\text{Chloride content in mortar}}{\text{Chloride content in initial state}}$$

3.6. SEM and EDS Analyses. The micromorphology of the mortar is shown in Figure 7. The mortar matrix around the coral sand particles was selected as the scanning area for SEM. EDS was used to detect the content of elements in the interface transition zone (ITZ) near the sand particles and the matrix position (CM) far away from the sand particles. The detailed EDS results are shown in Figures 8 and 9 and Tables 6 and 7.

As shown in Figure 7, the structures of standard mortar and coral mortar at 7 d were loose, while the structure of FA-SG coral mortar was relatively denser. The hydration products of FA-SG coral mortar and standard mortar at 28 d were dense gel particles. No Ca(OH)$_2$ flakes were found in FA-SG coral mortar samples, while coral mortar contained a large amount of Ca(OH)$_2$ flakes. This is because the pozzolanic reaction consumed a lot of Ca(OH)$_2$. In addition, the main chemical component of coral sand was CaCO$_3$, existing in the form of microcrystalline calcite. Also, coral sand has the property of continuously releasing calcium carbonate in coral mortar. Therefore, in the SEM image of coral mortar at 28 d, a large number of calcite hexagonal diamond crystals appeared in the interface transition zone [14]. These crystals were filled in the pores and cracks of cement paste and helped to improve the compactness and strength of the interface transition zone.

The results of EDS analysis in Tables 6 and 7 show that chloride ions in coral mortar permeated from the surface of coral sand to the inside of cement gel. The results of both samples showed that the chloride content of coral mortar decreased gradually from the interface transition zone (ITZ) near the sand particles to the matrix position (CM) far away from the sand particles. Moreover, according to the chloride ion content data in the far and near regions from sand particles in the coral mortar at 28 d, the migration rate of chloride ions in FA-SG coral mortar was slower than that in coral mortar.
This can be explained by the fact that the filling effect and the immobilizing chloride ions of fly ash and slag made it more difficult for chloride ions to migrate in the mortar.

3.7. XRD Analysis. Figure 10 shows the XRD patterns of three groups of mortar samples at different curing times. The main mineral phases were C₃S, ettringite, Ca(OH)₂, and quartz. From the XRD analysis of the mortar samples at 7 d, it can be seen that the values of the Ca(OH)₂ peak were almost the same. However, in the three samples at 28 d, the Ca(OH)₂ peak of FA-SG coral mortar was significantly lower than that of the other two samples. This was because the pozzolanic reaction of mineral admixtures consumed part of Ca(OH)₂. These data also supported the results of SEM analysis, indicating that the incorporation of mineral admixtures helped to absorb Ca(OH)₂ and promoted the formation of hydration gel. As the main component of coral

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chloride ion content</th>
<th>CCS + DI-W</th>
<th>CCS + H-S</th>
<th>CFSCS + DI-W</th>
<th>CFSCS + H-S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curing coefficient (L)</td>
<td>0.0166</td>
<td>0.0278</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag; DI-W: deionized water; H-S: nitric acid solution.

Figure 7: SEM images of mortar samples at 7 and 28 d. CSS: standard mortar; CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag.
Figure 8: EDS results of the interface of mortar samples with and without mineral admixture at 7d: (a) CCS and (b) CFSCS. CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag.

Figure 9: EDS results of the interface of mortar samples with and without mineral admixture at 28d: (a) CCS and (b) CFSCS. CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag.

Table 6: EDS analysis of mortar samples at 7d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>CFSCS at 7 d</th>
<th>CCS at 7 d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight of ITZ (%)</td>
<td>Weight of CM (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>26.12</td>
<td>27.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag; ITZ: interface transition zone; CM: matrix position.

Table 7: EDS analysis of mortar samples at 28d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>CFSCS at 28 d</th>
<th>CCS at 28 d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight of ITZ (%)</td>
<td>Weight of CM (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>33.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag; ITZ: interface transition zone; CM: matrix position.
Figure 10: XRD analysis of mortar samples at (a) 7 d and (b) 28 d. CSS: standard mortar; CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag.

Figure 11: (a) FTIR spectra of mortar samples at 7 d. (b) A larger version of part of the curve in (a). CSS: standard mortar; CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag.

Figure 12: (a) FTIR spectra of mortar samples at 28 d. (b) A larger version of part of the curve in (a). CSS: standard mortar; CCS: coral mortar; CFSCS: coral mortar with 15% fly ash and 15% slag.
sand is calcium carbonate, the content of calcium carbonate in coral mortar is much higher compared to that in standard sand.

3.8. FTIR Analysis. Figures 11 and 12 show the characteristic infrared spectra of the three samples at 7 d and 28 d. The peak at 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to the stretching vibration of the O-H bond of C-S-H gel crystallization water. The absorption peak at \(~1458.1\) cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the bending vibration of CO\(_3^{2-}\). The characteristic absorption peak of coral mortar at \(~1458.1\) cm\(^{-1}\) was more obvious than that of standard mortar, which was due to the large number of coral bones and shellfish with high CaCO\(_3\) content. The stretching vibration absorption band of SiO\(_4^{2-}\) of C-S-H gel was located at 1175–860 cm\(^{-1}\), and the stretching vibration of the Si-O bond was at 970–984 cm\(^{-1}\). The two bands at \(~460\) cm\(^{-1}\) and \(~523\) cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to the bending vibration of the Si-O bond. The difference in relative intensities of the absorption peak at 871 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated that the content of C-S-H gel in coral mortar was higher than that in standard mortar [32]. This was because Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) ions from coral sand continuously participated in hydration reaction, generating more hydration products [33, 34]. The difference between spectral patterns in the fingerprint area was mainly caused by the small amount of organic matter such as microorganisms in coral sand.

4. Conclusions

The main results of this study are summarized as follows:

1. The mechanical strength of coral mortar was lower than that of standard mortar. The mechanical properties of coral mortar could be improved by mineral admixtures, which improved the microstructure and interfacial transition zone. The compressive strength of coral mortar modified by the mineral admixture at 28 d was 2.08% higher than that of standard mortar.

2. Due to the uneven surface of coral sand, it can be closely meshed with hardened cement paste, thus limiting the shrinkage of the cement matrix. Therefore, the volume stability of coral mortar was better than that of standard mortar.

3. Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) ions, continuously released from coral sand, participated in the hydration reaction of cement. This was conducive to the generation of more hydration products, which improved the mechanical properties and shrinkage resistance of the mortar.
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