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Obtaining informed consent for an operation is a fundamental daily interaction between orthopaedic surgeon and patient. It is based on a patient’s capacity to understand and retain information about the proposed procedure, the potential consequences of having it, and the alternative options available. We used validated tests of memory on 59 patients undergoing lower limb arthroplasty to assess how well they learned and recalled information about their planned procedure. All patients showed an ability to learn new material; however, younger age and higher educational achievement correlated with better performance. These results have serious implications for orthopaedic surgeons discussing planned procedures. They identify groups of patients who may require enhanced methods of communicating the objectives, risks, and alternatives to surgery. Further research is necessary to assess interventions to improve communication prior to surgery.

1. Introduction

Good medical practice is a partnership between clinician and patient. It is based on sharing of information, presenting and discussing treatment options, and arriving at an informed decision. Informed consent is obtained only when a patient has received, processed, and retained this new technical information and arrives at a voluntary decision. The UK General Medical Council outlines the fundamentals of consent as listening to and respecting patients’ views, discussing and sharing relevant information required to make a decision with patients, and respecting their decision [1].

There are both ethical and legal implications to obtaining consent. Clinicians strive to achieve the best outcomes for their patients. The consent process explains the proposed procedure in simple to understand language, its purpose, and why it is being proposed. Verbal discussion is occasionally reinforced with written information. The aim is to ensure patients understand the benefits and potential risks, whilst considering the possible alternative treatment strategies. This forms a discussion where patients ask questions and seek clarification. For a patient to have capacity to consent they must be able to receive, retain, understand, and process this information. In addition to the legal requirements, the consent process is fundamental to meeting patient’s ideas, concerns and expectations of the procedure, and managing outcomes accordingly.

Several studies have shown that retention of medical information by patients is incomplete and decreases with time, both before and after surgery [2–5]. This, therefore, questions the validity of the consent procedure.

The primary aim of this study was to assess how well patients about to undergo arthroplasty remember a specific list of complications pertaining to their planned procedure. The secondary aim was to use validated neuropsychological tests to describe their ability to learn and retain information. The impact of age, gender, and education on these outcomes was explored to determine if there are particular patient groups that may benefit from measures to enhance learning and recall.
2. Patients and Methods

The study was carried out at the Inverclyde Royal Hospital, Greenock, Scotland, which is a district general hospital that provides a range of elective and acute trauma orthopaedic services. The inclusion criteria were patients over eighteen years of age, undergoing primary total hip replacement, total knee replacement, uncompartmenental knee replacement, hip resurfacing, hip revision arthroplasty, or knee revision arthroplasty. Patients were excluded if they had any condition impairing memory or communication (dementia, cerebrovascular disease, epilepsy, head injury, dysphasia, or aphasia). Sixty-five patients were considered for inclusion over a six-month time period, and six were excluded for one of the exclusion criteria above.

The study population, therefore, comprised 59 patients with a mean age of 68.6 years (SD 10.3, range 41 to 87). There were 20 (33.9%) males and 39 females (76.1%). Eleven (19%) patients left full-time education before 14 years of age, 42 (71%) left education between 15 and 18 years of age, and 6 (10%) completed education after 19 years of age.

Thirty patients underwent total knee replacement, 17 undertook total hip replacement, 7 underwent hip resurfacing, 4 underwent revision total hip replacement, and 1 underwent revision total knee replacement.

The initial interview was approximately two hours long. Neuropsychological tests were administered to measure the patient’s ability to receive, store, and recall information delivered verbally. Wechsler’s Logical Memory Test [6] (WLMT) measures immediate and delayed recall. A short story was read to the patient who was then asked to recount it immediately, and again after a rest of 30 min. A point was awarded for each specific point the patient recalled. The number of points recalled at each interval constituted the end memory of the exclusion criteria above.

The Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test [7] (AVLT) was used to measure verbal learning and memory by reading out fifteen words (at a rate of one word per second). The patient was then asked to recall all fifteen words (one point per word, any order is acceptable). This was repeated five times using the same list to assess learning capacity through repetition. Delayed recall was scored after a rest period of 30 minutes when patients were asked to recall them, as they would be asked to recall them on admission for surgery (mean time between assessment and surgery = 20 days, range 14 to 28).

The patients were asked to recall the list of complications when they attended hospital for their procedure. One point per complication remembered. This constituted the end of the study for the patient. Patients were then formally consented, that is, the procedure was explained to them again. They were encouraged to ask questions and express any concerns. They were then given reading material to take home that explained the operation, outlined the risks, and discussed postoperative rehabilitation.

Ethical approval was obtained from the regional research ethics committee (REC).

2.1. Statistics. All statistical calculations were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). The data was tested for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The NART and complication recall were not normally distributed. Means and standard deviations are reported for parametrically distributed data, and medians with interquartile ranges are reported for nonparametric data. Independent t-tests were performed to compare means between independent groups. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare continuous nonparametric data. Where data were repeated in the same patient, such as immediate and delayed recall, a paired t-test was used. A repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was undertaken to analyse the results from the AVLT test to compare learning of 5 repetitions, and the delayed recall thereafter. Intergroup comparisons were made between groups based on education level, and age was controlled for as a covariate. Bonferroni corrections were applied to posthoc tests. A level of significance of P < .05 was chosen to reject null hypotheses.

3. Results

Patients recalled a median three complications (IQR 1 to 4) when they attended for their procedure out of 10 that had been outlined at the prior assessment (Table 2)
RAVLT score (mean ± SEM) correlated positively with the number of complications the patients recalled at admission from the assessment (Table 3).

4. Discussion

This study provides important quantitative data about the cognitive abilities of a group of patients undergoing arthroplasty. This is particular important as the transmission, receipt, and storage of information about the procedure is vital to both the legal consent process, and in the patient’s satisfaction with the outcome of the procedure by managing expectations. This study confirms that the ability to remember complications is poorer as age increases. Patients only recall 3 out of 10 major possible complications, even when specifically told they should remember them. It also shows that neuropsychological tests of memory correlate with the ability to recall this complication list. All patients showed the ability to learn; however, the younger cohort out performed their peers in the AVL test. This suggests that older patients may need further repetition of the information or different techniques for consolidating information.

There was a correlation between the number of complications recalled and patients’ education level, with those leaving school over the age of 19 years, performing better. This can either be interpreted as a more intelligent subpopulation performing better, or what is more likely, better access to education, that is, the availability of further education, resulting in improved recall of complications. Higher education teaches students how to process information, understand it and recall this at a later date. We believe it is this framework for understanding that has led to better complication recall in our set of patients who left school over the age of 19. With an increasing number of the population pursuing higher education, this factor may be less important in the generation of patients undergoing arthroplasty to come.

This paper is unique in applying neuropsychological tests to a group of orthopaedic patients undergoing arthroplasty. These tests are validated and objective, and have been in widespread use over many years. They provide a baseline for future research that may assess the effect of interventions to improve the giving and remembering of information. The testing situation was designed to mirror a clinical situation where information is mainly given verbally through a one-to-one discussion between clinician and patient.

The weaknesses of this study include the fact that the scenario of neuropsychological cognitive testing does not fully match a typical clinic. In a clinic, pressure of time or commitments, combined with a noisy environment may reduce the ability of the clinician to communicate information and check that it has been properly retained and understood. It also cannot assess the instinctive changes in communication style that a clinician may make when faced with a patient they perceive as having difficulties understanding proceedings. Patients may also undertake their own research using the internet or discussing the procedure with their general practitioner. The combination
Table 2: Results of Weschler Logical Memory Test (WLMT), Rey Audio-Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT), National Adult Reading Test (NART), and recall of complication list at time of admission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Immediate</th>
<th>Delayed (30 mins)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WLMT (mean, SD)</td>
<td>12.2 (4.3)</td>
<td>11.0 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT (mean, SD)</td>
<td>4.9 (1.8)</td>
<td>6.3 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.4 (2.5)</td>
<td>8.5 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>8.1 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NART (median, IQR)</td>
<td>31 (20 to 40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication recall (median, IQR)</td>
<td>3 (1 to 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Correlation of cognitive testing with age and complication recall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation coefficient age (Spearman ρ, P value)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WLMT Immediate</td>
<td>-0.38, P = .003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>-0.42, P = .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT</td>
<td>-0.45, P &lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>-0.14, P = .296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication</td>
<td>-0.345, P = .007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of different tests may lead to fatigue towards the end of testing and poorer accuracy of the tests performed last.

Our results are in accordance with Hutson and Blaha study [3] 20 years ago where patient recall of preoperative instruction for informed consent for an operation was poor. It is clear that newer patient education techniques are needed to prepare patients for their operation. Information should be given to patients verbally, but also supplemented with written information that they can read and refer to later. The information should be given at several different points, such as initial clinic appointment, preadmission, prior to surgery, and postoperatively [2]. Lee et al. [10] compared the effectiveness of media-based patient education about anaesthetic by showing patients a video preoperatively about what to expect perioperatively. They found that patients in the video group were more likely to answer all knowledge questions correctly compared with patients with no intervention. Further more, the level of knowledge about pain management was higher in the video group compared with patients with no intervention. Although they did not look at specific demographics such as age and level of education, this may prove an effective tool in educating arthroplasty patients about their operation.

Clinicians should be aware of negative effects of increasing age or younger age at leaving full-time education, and allow extra measures such as increased repetition, the provision of easy to understand written material, and the checking of recall prior to surgery and immediately after. Clinicians should also be aware of particular groups where memory is impaired through disease (cerebrovascular, dementia, etc.) and the ability to consent to the procedure should be fully explored.

Future research should be performed to investigate how verbal and written information may be improved to improve the consent process, as well as newer methods such as online tutorials, videos, and perhaps discussion forums for patients. This should be through prospective randomised trials.

5. Conclusion

The doctor-patient dynamic is based on honesty and openness. Patients require capacity to consent for a procedure. Capacity relies on a patient’s ability to process and retain vital information regarding a procedure. We have shown that this retention of information is, at least in part, dependent on both patient age and education levels. This is increasingly important in orthopaedic patients undergoing arthroplasty because of an aging population, and therefore questions their ability to provide consent. Consent may still be possible if interventions are used to aid the transmission and recall of the benefits and risks of surgery.
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