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Multitransmission Zero Dual-Band Bandpass Filter Using Nonresonating Node for 5G Millimetre-Wave Application

Liyun Shi and Jianjun Gao

1 School of Information and Science Technology, East China Normal University, 500 South Lianhua Road, Shanghai, China
2 School of Electronic Information and Electronic Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Liyun Shi; shiliyun525@163.com

Received 14 May 2018; Revised 11 November 2018; Accepted 29 November 2018; Published 13 December 2018

A planer millimetre-wave dual-band bandpass filter with multitransmission zeros is proposed for 5G application. This filter includes two dual-mode open-loop resonators. The U-shape nonresonating node is employed to generate an extra coupling path. Finally, a dual-band bandpass filter with five transmission zeros is obtained. The filter is fabricated and measured. Good agreement between simulation and measurement is obtained.

1. Introduction

The fifth-generation mobile communication system (5G) is currently experiencing rapid development. The Third-Generation Partnership Project's (3GPP) Release-16, or “5G phase 2”, should be completed in December 2019 [1]. There are three typical usage scenarios for 5G, including Enhanced Mobile Broadband (eMBB), Massive Machine Type Communications (mTC), and Ultrareliable and Low-Latency Communications (uRLLC), which were defined by the International Telecommunication Union-Radio Communication Sector (ITU-R) in 2015. To achieve very high-speed data transmission, the 5G high-frequency systems will have much wider operating bands as well as multiband. As a key passive circuit block in these systems, bandpass filters (BPFs) featuring multiband, high out-of-band power rejection and miniaturization are very attractive [2]. Bandpass filters with multiband and high selectivity are attractive because they could meet the demand for multiband wireless communication systems. Usually, filtering selectivity could be generally enhanced by producing transmission zeros (TZs) at finite real frequencies [10]. Several methods have been reported to introduce transmission zeros. In [10] an original and simple method of signal-interference source/load coupling is employed to improve filters’ selectivity. In [11], special coupling topology is designed to introduce electromagnetic coupling between nonadjacent resonators. An SIW filter with etched capacitive slots at the coupling...
The nonresonating node concept has recently been applied to synthesize high selectivity single-band planar filters as discussed in [13, 14]. In [14], a stepped-impedance nonresonating node is employed to introduce an extra signal transmission path between the source and the load. The underlying idea is to use these elements to generate alternative interresonator couplings favouring transmission zero creation. In this paper, the realization of high selective dual-band millimetre-wave BPF by means of nonresonating node is approached. Additionally, two dual-mode open-loop resonators are employed in this filter. Dual-mode open-loop resonators are well known for their compact size and have been used for bandpass filter design in [15–17], which only use a single type of resonator and show good performance. Hence, the dual-mode open-loop bandpass filters in millimetre-wave applications are worthy of study.

The aim of this paper is the presentation of a millimetre-wave filter design that achieves a very good trade-off between performance and fabrication cost. The organization of the rest of the manuscript is as follows: Section 2 expounds on the theoretical foundations of dual-band bandpass filters. Nonresonating nodes are analyzed and discussed in Section 3. Finally, the conclusions of this study are described in Section 4.

2. Design of the Dual-Band BPF

Figure 1 shows the detailed configuration of the proposed filter. In fact, this filter can be seen as a constitution of five resonators. Two dual-mode open-loop resonators are denoted by $L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, W_1,$ and $W_3$. The nonresonating node is denoted by $L_5, L_6, L_7,$ and $W_2$, which produces extra coupling paths. Compared with traditional feedlines, two 1/4 wavelength resonators are added to the CPW feedlines.

Dual-mode open-loop resonators are well known for their compact size, which is much smaller than the conventional dual-mode loop resonator [15–17]. Dual-mode open-loop filters can excite two nondegenerate modes as well as two controllable finite-frequency transmission zeros, which can be controlled simply by varying the size of perturbation.

Figure 2 shows the simulated resonant frequency response which is plotted for different values of $L_3$ and $W_2$. As can be seen from Figure 2(a), when $L_2$ and $W_2$ are changed the even mode resonant frequency is effectively shifted, while the odd mode resonant frequency is much less affected. It is also interesting to notice from Figure 2(b) that there are two finite-frequency transmission zeros when the two modes split. One transmission (TZ) is allocated on the left side of the passband, while the other (TZ) experiences a significant frequency shifting.

Since the dual-mode resonator is composed of symmetric structures, even-odd mode theory can be adopted to analyze its equivalent circuit structure and its equivalent circuit is given in Figure 3. For even mode excitation, the symmetry plane along the dashed line AA’ is considered as an open end which can be seen from Figure 3(a). The resonator works like a stepped impedance resonator (SIR) at even mode resonant frequency. The resonant condition can be calculated by (1)-(7):

\[
Y_{\text{even}} = Y_{\text{up,even}} + Y_{\text{low,even}}
\]

\[
Y_{\text{low,even}} = \frac{j \tan (\theta_1 f_1)}{Z_1}
\]

\[
Y_{\text{up,even}} = \frac{(Q_a - Q_b)}{(P_a - P_b)}
\]

where

\[
P_a = Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 - Z_4 Z_5 \tan (\theta_4 f_4) \tan (\theta_1 f_1)
\]

\[
P_b = -Z_2 Z_3 \tan (\theta_1 f_1) \tan (\theta_4 f_4)
\]

\[
Q_a = jZ_1 Z_2 \tan (\theta_4 f_4) + jZ_1 Z_3 \tan (\theta_2 f_2)
\]

\[
Q_b = jZ_1^2 \tan (\theta_4 f_4) \tan (\theta_2 f_2) \tan (\theta_2 f_2)
\]

For odd mode excitation, its equivalent circuit is shown in Figure 3(b). The resonator works like a uniform impedance resonator (UIR) at the odd mode resonance frequency. The resonant condition can be described by

\[
Y_{\text{odd}} = \frac{j (\tan (\theta_1 f_0) \tan (\theta_2 f_0) - 1)}{Z_1}
\]

where $\theta_1 = \beta (L_1 + L_3), \theta_2 = \beta (L_2/2), \theta_3 = \beta L_3, \theta_4 = \beta L_4, \theta_5, \beta, Z_i$ are the electrical length, phase constant, and characteristics impedance of resonator, respectively; $f_e$ present the even mode resonant frequency, odd mode resonate frequency, respectively.

The frequency of two transmission zeros can be obtained when input admittance at even mode or odd mode is zero. It is
noted that both $f_{\text{odd}}$ and $f_{\text{even}}$ can be estimated approximately by the half-wavelength of this microstrip resonator. They are obtained by (9)-(10):

$$f_{\text{even}} = \frac{2c}{(L_1 + L_3 + L_2/2 + L_4/2) \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$f_{\text{odd}} = \frac{4c}{(L_1 + L_2/2) \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective relative permittivity of substrate.

3. Analysis of Nonresonating Node

Nonresonating nodes have been employed to generate transmission zeros because they could provide multiple signal-interaction paths [18, 19]. To explain the nonresonating nodes, an original method based on a low-pass prototype with a nonresonating node is described. Elements of the low-pass prototype nonresonating node are given by the method in [19, 20], to yield a response with prescribed transmissions.

The coupling scheme of a second-order filter with no transmission zero in the cascaded configuration is shown in Figure 4(a). The dark nodes are resonators and the

![Simulated frequency responses of the dual-mode open-loop resonator with different size of L2 and W2 (mm): (a) S11 responses; (b) S21 responses.](image-url)
lines connecting them are admittance inverters. A second-
order loss-pass prototype which realizes the same response
is shown in Figure 4(b). Note the presence of additional
nonresonating nodes, shown as the patterned circles in this
prototype.

For the two cells in Figure 4 to be equivalent, they must
have the same node equations (admittance matrix) or the
same normal modes. The node matrix equation of the cell in
Figure 4(a) is shown in

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\omega + J_{11} & J_{12} \\
J_{12} & \omega + J_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_1 \\
V_2
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
e_1 \\
e_2
\end{bmatrix}$$

(11)

where $\omega$ is the normalized frequency, $V_1$ and $V_2$ are the node
voltages, and $e_1$ and $e_2$ are the excitations at the same nodes.

The normalized frequency shifts of the resonators are $J_{11}$ and
$J_{22}$, respectively. On the other hand, the node matrix equation
of the cell in Figure 4(b) is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\omega + b_1' & 0 & J_1' \\
0 & \omega + b_2' & J_2'
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_1 \\
V_2 \\
V_{NRN}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
e_1 \\
e_2 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}$$

(12)

where $V_{NRN}$ is the voltage of the internal nonresonating node
which is not externally excited. The normalized frequency
shifts of the resonators are $b_1'$ and $b_2'$, respectively. The
susceptance of the NRN is $J_{NRN}$. If the voltage $V_{NRN}$ is
eliminated from (12), by using the last row, we get the new matrix equation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\omega + b_1' - \frac{J_{12}^2}{J_N} & -\frac{J_1^1}{J_N} \\
-\frac{J_1^1 J_{12}^2}{J_N} & \omega + b_2' - \frac{J_{22}^2}{J_N}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_1 \\
V_2
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon_1 \\
\epsilon_2
\end{bmatrix}
\] (13)

By directly comparing (11) and (13), we get the elements of the coupling matrix of the cascaded cell in Figure 4(a) in terms of the elements of the cell with an internal nonresonating node in Figure 4(b), described by (14)-(16).

\[
J_{11} = b_1' - \frac{J_{12}^2}{J_N}
\]
(14)

\[
J_{22} = b_2' - \frac{J_{22}^2}{J_N}
\]
(15)

\[
J_{12} = -\frac{J_1^1 J_{12}^2}{J_N}
\]
(16)

In our design, one U-shaped nonresonating node is employed to increase source-load coupling paths. The coupling and routing scheme in the inset of Figure 4 can be used to model the configuration of the proposed filter. The coupling structure for the designed dual-band bandpass filters is shown in Figure 5, where resonators 1 and 2 denote two dual-mode open-loop resonators and resonators 3 and 4 denote L-shape feedline resonators. The white circles are source and load; blue circles are resonating nodes; the patterned circle is a nonresonating node; solid lines are main direct couplings; dashed lines are minor cross couplings. Figure 5(a) illustrates the schemes at a lower resonant frequency and Figure 5(b) is at an upper resonant frequency. Note that Figure 5 gives the main coupling structure; some other very small couplings could also take place in the proposed filter schemes.

Indeed, this nonresonating node is a resonator at certain frequencies in a strict sense; it works as a detuned folded open-ended transmission-line resonator in our design. This particular geometry could help to create a pair of transmissions zeros on both sides of the passband. As seen from Figure 2, the odd mode resonant frequency is located at the lower passband, and the even mode resonant frequency is at the higher passband. Additionally, the two L-shaped feedlines (described by L_5 and W_2) work as two resonators and their resonant frequencies are also at the lower passband. To demonstrate this design concept, a filter without a nonresonating-node is also simulated. Note that the only difference between the two filters is whether there is a nonresonating-node; the rest of the parameters are the same. Performance comparisons are illustrated in Figure 6. It is clear that two extra transmissions zeros are produced with a nonresonating node.

4. Results and Discussion

Based on the above discussion, one dual-band millimetre-wave bandpass filter has been manufactured and measured. The filter is fabricated on substrate Rogers 5880 with permittivity of 2.2, loss tangent of 0.001, and thickness of 0.254 mm, which could suppress the occurrence of high-order modes and the substrate surface-waves. The dimensions of this dual-band filter indicated in Figure 1 are L_1=1.4 mm L_2=0.6 mm, L_3=0.8 mm, L_4=1.2 mm, L_5=3 mm, L_6=6.7 mm, L_8=0.8 mm, W_1=W_2=W_3=0.3 mm, and W_4=0.2 mm. The width of this
Table 1: Performance comparison between the proposed design and some previously published millimetre-wave filters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Passband (GHz)</th>
<th>Insertion Loss (dB)</th>
<th>Fabrication Technology</th>
<th>Number of TZs</th>
<th>Size (mm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>waveguide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>SIW</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>93.7×65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>LTCC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59.6×25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>CMOS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>28.1/31.1</td>
<td>2.1/2.5</td>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Comparisons of simulated $S_{21}$ of the proposed BPF (solid line: filter without nonresonating node; dash line: filter with measured nonresonating node).

Figure 7: Photographs of this fabricated filter.

Figure 8: Simulated and measured results of the proposed dual-band BPF (solid line: simulated results; dash line: measured results).

The measured and simulated results are shown in Figure 8, where the measured result is carried out by a Keysight N5227A network analyzer and Cascade probe station Summit 11K. A good agreement between simulation and measurement results is obtained. Two passbands are centred near 28.1 GHz and 31.1 GHz, with -3 dB fractional bandwidths (FBWs) of 6.4% and 3.8%, respectively. There are five transmission zeros on both sides of the passband, which greatly improve the filter’s selectivity. The attenuation slope of the first passband generated at 28.1 GHz is 271 dB/GHz on the left side and 38.25 dB/GHz on the right side; the attenuation slope of each side of the second passband generated is 70.323 dB/GHz and 30.04 dB/GHz, respectively. A little higher insertion loss in the passband and frequency shift can be observed. The deviations between the simulated and measured results are mainly caused by two reasons. One is due to fabrication tolerance; millimetre-wave filters are very sensitive to their fabrication precision. Second, the discontinuity between the RF probe and our filter is not considered in our simulation, which may not be ignored at high frequencies.

To further demonstrate the performance of the proposed dual-band filter, comparisons with previously reported millimetre filters are listed in Table 1. It can be found that the proposed filter has achieved a very good trade-off between selectivity, size, and fabrication cost.

pair of I/O feedlines is $W_1 = 1.5$ mm. A photograph of the proposed filter is shown in Figure 7. The filter size is $8 \times 9$ mm$^2$ ($1.17 \lambda_g \times 1.04 \lambda_g$, where $\lambda_g$ is the waveguide length at 28 GHz).
5. Conclusion
A highly selective planar millimetre-wave dual-band BPF is developed by cascading two dual-mode open-loop resonators with a pair of L-shaped feedlines. Two odd modes resonate at the lower passband and two even modes work at the higher passband. The proposed U-shaped nonresonating node is used to generate cross coupling. As a result, five transmission zeros are obtained, which effectively enhances this filter’s selectivity. Finally, a millimetre-wave dual-band BPF is fabricated with a measured centre frequency of 28.1 GHz and 31.1 GHz and an FBW of 6.4% and 3.8%, respectively. This design achieves a very good trade-off between performance and fabrication cost.
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