Review Article
Prevention of the Musculoskeletal Complications of Hemophilia

E. C. Rodriguez-Merchan

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, La Paz University Hospital, Paseo de la Castellana 261, 28046 Madrid, Spain

Correspondence should be addressed to E. C. Rodriguez-Merchan, ecrmerchan@gmx.es

Received 6 March 2012; Accepted 30 April 2012

Academic Editor: Diane Williamson

Copyright © 2012 E. C. Rodriguez-Merchan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hemophilia is an inherited disorder of clotting factor deficiencies resulting in musculoskeletal bleeding, including hemarthroses, leading to musculoskeletal complications. The articular problems of hemophiliac patients begin in infancy. These include: recurrent hemarthroses, chronic synovitis, flexion deformities, hypertrophy of the growth epiphyses, damage to the articular cartilage, and hemophilic arthropathy. The most commonly affected joints are the ankle, the knee, and the elbow. Hematologic prophylactic treatment from ages 2 to 18 years could avoid the development of hemophilic arthropathy if the concentration of the patient’s deficient factor is prevented from falling below 1% of normal. Hemarthroses can be prevented by the administration of clotting factor concentrates (prophylaxis). However, high costs and the need for venous access devices in younger children continue to complicate recommendations for universal prophylaxis. Prevention of joint arthropathy needs to focus on prevention of hemarthroses through prophylaxis, identifying early joint disease through the optimal use of cost-effective imaging modalities and the validation of serological markers of joint arthropathy. Screening for effects on bone health and optimal management of pain to improve quality of life are, likewise, important issues. Major hemarthrosis and chronic hemophilic synovitis should be treated aggressively to prevent hemophilic arthropathy.

1. Introduction

Hemophilia is an inherited disorder of clotting factor deficiencies resulting in musculoskeletal bleeding, including hemarthroses, leading to musculoskeletal complications [1]. The pathogenesis of hemophilic joint arthropathy continues to be explored and there is evidence to suggest that iron, cytokines, and neoangiogenesis can initiate synovial and early cartilage damage resulting in molecular changes and the perpetuation of a chronic inflammatory state. This joint arthropathy has long-term consequences for bone health resulting in chronic pain and quality of life issues in the individual with hemophilia.

Hemophilia has been recognized as the most severe among the inherited disorders of blood coagulation since the beginning of the first millennium [2]. Joint damage is the hallmark of the disease. Despite its frequency and severity, the pathobiology of blood-induced joint disease remains obscure. Although bleeding into the joint is the ultimate provocation, the stimulus within the blood inciting the process and the mechanisms by which bleeding into a joint results in synovial inflammation (synovitis) and cartilage, and bone destruction (arthropathy) are unknown. Clues from careful observation of patient material, supplemented with data from animal models of joint disease, provide some clues as to the pathogenesis of the process.

The articular problems of hemophiliac patients begin in infancy. These include recurrent hemarthroses, chronic synovitis, flexion deformities, hypertrophy of the growth epiphyses, damage to the articular cartilage and hemophilic arthropathy. The most commonly affected joints are the ankle, the knee, and the elbow. The hemarthroses tend to persist despite the reabsorptive properties of the synovium which eventually becomes hypertrophic and more prone to injury, leading to a vicious circle of bleeding, synovitis, and more bleeding (Figure 1). The pain causes flexion deformities in affected joints, first correctable, but later becoming fixed. The hyperemic reaction to the hemarthrosis produces hypertrophy of the growth epiphyses. This is often asymmetrical, producing a valgus deformity at the involved joint. Both factors lead to damage to the articular cartilage, which evolves into the destruction of the joint, known as
hemophilic arthropathy [3, 4]. The purpose of this paper is to revise the current prevention of the musculoskeletal complications of hemophilia.

2. Musculoskeletal Complications of Hemophilia

2.1. Hemarthroses. The correct management of hemophilic hemarthrosis should include prompt diagnosis, adequate hematological treatment, joint aspiration, physiotherapy and avoidance of rebleeding. Patients with hemarthrosis commonly feel a tingling sensation—the “aura”—before the episode of intraarticular bleeding. The joint becomes warm, swollen, very painful and with an antialgic position in flexion. Clinical diagnosis should be confirmed by means of MRI and/or ultrasonography (US). Radiographs should also be performed, looking for any evidence of radiological involvement. Until recently hemarthroses have been treated by means of intravenous injection of 20–30 U/kg body weight of the deficient coagulation factor under hematological control, short-term rest and immobilization in the antialgic position by means of bandages, plaster splints, bed rest, and analgesics. Only 20% of the countries around the world have sufficient economical power to give their hemophilia population on-demand substitutive therapy. This consists of the intravenous injection of 20–30 units of Factor VIII/kg body weight when a bleed occurs, until the symptoms of an acute hemarthrosis abate [5].

Joint aspiration of hemophilic hemarthrosis remains a controversial issue. Until recently it was considered an extremely dangerous procedure to perform, with a high risk of re-bleeding and infection (septic arthritis). Today, I believe in the efficacy of early joint aspiration. However, the technique must be performed under hematological control and aseptic conditions. The procedure must be repeated many times in the patient’s life, starting at a very short age, and it carries some difficulties; therefore, psychological and familiar support is paramount. It is important that the child trusts the orthopedic surgeon carrying out the joint aspiration and some form of local anesthesia should be used in order to minimize pain. Following the procedure, immobilization is recommended for 3–5 days by means of a compressive bandage. Later on, the patient should start a supervised period of physiotherapy as rehabilitation is paramount to halt the development of synovitis. The duration of physiotherapy will depend on the time required to regain full range of movement and muscular strength. Re-bleedings during the recovery period should be avoided as much as possible. Patients must be seen every 3 months at the outpatient clinic for close and careful assessment [6].

2.2. Chronic Hemophilic Synovitis. The objectives of treatment are to stop the hemarthroses or to control them quickly and to avoid secondary synovitis. Once synovitis has developed, which is inevitable, the aim is to treat it as early and aggressively as possible. Confirmation of the diagnosis is important and can be achieved by ultrasound or MRI. The former is especially useful for the knee, but MRI gives greater precision for the elbow and the ankle. Sometimes standard conservative measures, such as factor replacement and physiotherapy, do not break the vicious cycle of hemarthrosis-synovitis-hemarthrosis. Under these circumstances synovectomy—either chemical, radioactive, or surgical (open or arthroscopic), can reduce the bleeding tendency and so delay the onset of hemophilic arthropathy.

Currently we perform ablation of the synovium as soon as synovitis is diagnosed. There are both conservative (RS)
and operative methods (synovectomy). The drugs most commonly used in RS are radioactive isotopes such as Yttrium-90 and Phosphorus-32 [7, 8]. In my experience, synovectomy (by any method) reduces the tendency to bleeding episodes, but does not halt the deterioration of joints. RS should be the first choice for patients with persistent synovitis of the joints. If two to three consecutive RSs at 6 month intervals fail to halt synovitis, an arthroscopic synovectomy should be considered as an alternative to the treatment of chronic hemophilic synovitis.

Radiosynovectomy (RS) affords effective treatment of chronic hemophilic synovitis. RS is effective in all patient groups, independently of the presence of circulating inhibitor antibody, the type of joint involved, the degree of synovial membrane hypertrophy, and the presence of arthropathy [9].

RS is a safe, simple, and effective method for the treatment of chronic haemophilic synovitis. RS with Yttrium-90 and rhenium-186 has been shown to decrease the number of bleeding episodes, joint pain, the size of the synovium (clinically and radiologically), muscle strength (MS), ROM, and the WFH clinical score [10]. Nonetheless, RS did not succeed in improving the radiological score. The parameters mentioned improved independently for each one of the intra-articular radioisotope injections performed. Categorizing the different variables attending to the degree of improvement achieved after RS showed that hemorrhosis and pain were the variables undergoing the greatest improvement, with a decrease in bleeding and on the WFH pain scale of around 70%. Synovial hypertrophy, as assessed clinically or radiologically, also showed a clear improvement (between 30 and 40%). The WFH clinical scale improved by around 20%. MS also improved with an increase of around 10%. ROM experienced a slight yet nonsignificant improvement both in flexion and in extension. The WFH radiological score showed no improvement. RS with Yttrium-90 in knees and Rhenium-186 in elbows and ankles is effective in hemophilic patients with chronic synovitis, regardless of the type of joint involved and the degree of synovitis present. Nevertheless, a study also showed that the knee joint and the more severe cases of synovitis require a higher number of RS injections [11].

2.4. Advanced Hemophilic Arthropathy. Between the second and fourth decades, many hemophiliacs develop severe articular destruction (Figures 2 and 3). At this stage, possible treatments include resection of the radial head, total hip arthroplasty, open knee debridement and total knee arthroplasty (Figure 4), and ankle arthrodesis [5]. In polyarthritic conditions, the repair of a single joint may not improve functional ability, and the aim should be to create a functional limb. Horoszowski et al. reported the use of multiple joint procedures on hemophilic patients in a single operative session [16]. This succeeded in achieving a functional limb. The complication rate was lower than expected and the rehabilitation period was relatively short.

At the mature elbow, the resection of a hypertrophic radial head usually reduces the incidence of recurrent hemorrhages and improves the range of pronation-supination of the affected joint. For the hip the best solution is a total hip arthroplasty [5, 17, 18].

2.5. Muscle Hematomas and Pseudotumors. Bleeds within the muscles are very often associated with direct trauma and the pathology becomes quite evident due to the swelling, pain, local warmth, and bruising that typically appear in the overlying skin (Figure 5). The vast majority of these muscle bleeds resolve spontaneously, leaving no functional loss. It is, however, necessary to examine the patient carefully to ensure that there is no complications (compartment syndromes and pseudotumors).

Pseudotumor is a serious, but very rare, complication. A progressive cystic swelling involving muscle is produced by recurrent bleeding and there is usually radiological evidence of bone involvement. Most are in adults near the large bones of the proximal skeleton. A few develop distal to the wrist and ankle in younger patients before skeletal maturity. Untreated proximal pseudotumors will destroy soft tissues, erode bone and produce vascular or neurological lesions.

3. Prevention of the Musculo-Skeletal Complications of Hemophilia

3.1. Patients without Inhibitors. Recurrent haemarthroses in patients with severe and moderate hemophilia can result in the development of one or more target joints and subsequent degenerative joint disease [19]. This debilitating process is characterized by physical and physiological changes in articular cartilage, synovium, and bone. Efforts to prevent or limit arthropathy include the use of prophylactic factor infusion regimens, surgical joint intervention, or both.

Prevention of arthropathy is a major goal of hemophilia treatment. While studies in adults have demonstrated an
Figure 2: Hemophilic arthropathy of the elbow. At the age of 29 a severe degree of arthropathy was already seen in the AP radiograph (a) and in the lateral view (b). Forty years later the joint was fully destroyed both in the AP view (c) as in the lateral radiograph (d).

impact of prophylaxis on the incidence of joint bleeds and patients’ well-being in terms of improved quality of life (QoL), it is unclear whether or not prophylaxis influences the outcome and perception of well-of children with hemophilia [20]. Gringeri et al. compared the efficacy of prophylaxis with episodic therapy in preventing hemarthroses and image-proven joint damage in children with severe hemophilia A (factor VIII <1%) over a 10-year time period. Forty-five children with severe hemophilia A, aged 1–7 years (median 4), with negative clinical-radiologic joint score at entry and at least one bleed during the previous 6 months, were consecutively randomized to prophylaxis with recombinant factor VIII (25 IU kg\(^{-1}\) 3 × week) or episodic therapy with ≥25 IU kg\(^{-1}\) every 12–24 h until complete clinical bleeding resolution. Safety, feasibility, direct costs, and QoL were also evaluated. Twenty-one children were assigned to prophylaxis, 19 to episodic treatment. Children on prophylaxis had fewer hemarthroses than children on episodic therapy: 0.20 versus 0.52 events per patient per month. Plain-film radiology showed signs of arthropathy in six patients on prophylaxis (29%) versus 14 on episodic treatment (74%). Prophylaxis was more effective when started early (≤36 months), with patients having fewer joint bleeds (0.12 joint bleeds per patient per month) and no radiologic signs of arthropathy. This randomized trial confirmed the efficacy of prophylaxis in preventing bleeds and arthropathy in children with hemophilia, particularly when it is initiated early in life.

It has been shown that patients with severe hemophilia treated on demand are not as physically active as their healthy peers and often have a sedentary lifestyle that contributes to chronic joint disease [21]. The use of prophylaxis provides opportunities for participation in physical activities with
fewer bleeding episodes. The objective of the study was to
describe the type, intensity, and duration of physical activity
among adult patients with severe hemophilia and to find
out whether a joint function dependency exists. Patients
with severe hemophilia, divided into two groups (group A:
patients who started prophylaxis at the age of ≤3 years and
group B: patients who started prophylaxis at the age of >3
years), and 190 controls were included. Physical activity was
assessed using the self-report Modifiable Activity Question-
naire. Time involved and intensity of all aspects of physical
activity for group A were almost similar to their healthy
peers. Group B had significantly lower vigorous, leisure,
and total physical activities than group A and their healthy
peers. Positive significant correlations were found between
leisure and total physical activities and joint score in group A,
whereas in group B, there was negative significant correlation
between only nonweight-bearing activity and joint score.
The early start of long-term, primary prophylaxis has been
successful in reducing frequency of bleeds and thereby
preventing or delaying subsequent chronic joint disease and
enables the patients to lead a physically normal life also
during adulthood when patients with hemophilia treated
on demand are expected to have substantial joint disease
impacting their physical activity.

The Spanish Epidemiological Study in Hemophilia car-
rried out in 2006 enrolled 2400 patients (2081–86.7% with
haemophilia A and 319–13.3% with haemophilia B [22]); 465 of them (19.4%) were on prophylaxis. These rates were higher in patients with severe hemophilia (45.4%) and severe paediatric cases (72.5%). On the basis of information recorded in this study, they analysed the current situation of prophylaxis therapy administered to patients with hemophilia A in Spain, as well as their orthopaedic status. Prophylaxis was used in 399 (19.2%) patients with hemophilia A; such prophylaxis was primary in 20.3% and secondary in 75.9% of cases. Among severe hemophilia A patients, 313 (45.9%) were on prophylaxis (22.3% on primary prophylaxis and 74.7% on secondary prophylaxis). Taking into account the patients’ age, 34.7% of severe hemophilia A adults were on prophylaxis (6% primary prophylaxis and 92.1% secondary prophylaxis), whereas 71.5% of severe hemophilia A pediatric patients (40.5% primary prophylaxis and 55.4% secondary prophylaxis) received this kind of treatment. Established hemophilic arthropathy was detected in 142 from 313 severe hemophilia A patients (45.3%) on prophylaxis, but only in 2.9% of patients under primary prophylaxis versus 59% of patients receiving secondary prophylaxis. There was no established hemophilic arthropathy in adult severe hemophilia A patient on primary prophylaxis, whereas 70.4% on secondary prophylaxis had joint damage. Among pediatric severe hemophilia A patients, established hemophilic arthropathy was detected in 3.3% under primary prophylaxis and 37.8% under secondary prophylaxis. Lucía et al. suggested that an early initiation of prophylaxis avoids established hemophilic arthropathy in the long term in patients with severe hemophilia A. They emphasized the early onset of prophylaxis regimens.

3.3. Pharmacoeconomics of Prophylaxis. Health economic evaluations provide valuable information for healthcare providers, facilitating the treatment decision-making process in a climate where demand for healthcare exceeds the supply [24]. Although an uncommon disease, hemophilia is a lifelong condition that places a considerable burden on patients, healthcare systems, and society. This burden is particularly large for patients with hemophilia with inhibitors, who can develop serious bleeding complications unresponsive to standard factor replacement therapies. Hence, bleeding episodes in these patients are treated with bypassing agents such as recombinant activated FVII (rFVIIa) and plasma-derived activated prothrombin complex concentrates (pd-APCC). With the efficacy of these agents now well established, a number of health economic studies have been conducted to compare their cost-effectiveness for the on-demand treatment of bleeding episodes in hemophiliaics with inhibitors. In a cost-utility analysis, which assesses the effects of treatment on quality of life (QoL) and quantity of life, the incremental cost per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gained (US $44,834) indicated that rFVIIa was cost-effective. Similarly, eight of 11 other economic modelling evaluations found that rFVIIa was more cost-effective than pd-APCC in the on-demand

Figure 5: Subperiosteal hematoma (black arrow) of the thigh in a 27-year-old person with hemophilia.
treatment of bleeding episodes. The findings of Escobar indicated that treating patients with hemophilia promptly and with the most effective therapy available may result in cost savings.

Although hemophilia is an expensive disorder, no studies have estimated health care costs for Americans with hemophilia enrolled in Medicaid as distinct from those with employer-sponsored insurance (ESI) (GUH). The study of Guh et al. [25] provided information on health care utilization and expenditures for publicly insured people with haemophilia in the United States in comparison with people with haemophilia who have ESI. Data from the MarketScan Medicaid Multi-State, Commercial and Medicare Supplemental databases were used for the period 2004–2008 to identify cases of haemophilia and to estimate medical expenditures during 2008. A total of 511 Medicaid-enrolled males with hemophilia were identified, 435 of whom were enrolled in months during 2008. Most people with Medicaid for at least 11 hemophilia qualified for Medicaid based on “disability”. Average Medicaid expenditures in 2008 were $142,987 (median, $46,737), similar to findings for people with hemophilia in the United States in comparison with people with hemophilia who have ESI. Although hemophilia is an expensive disorder, no studies have estimated health care costs for Americans with hemophilia enrolled in Medicaid as distinct from those with employer-sponsored insurance (ESI). No studies have estimated health care costs for people with haemophilia enrolled in Medicaid as distinct from those with employer-sponsored insurance (ESI). The study of Guh et al. [25] provided information on health care utilization and expenditures for publicly insured people with haemophilia in the United States in comparison with people with haemophilia who have ESI. Data from the MarketScan Medicaid Multi-State, Commercial and Medicare Supplemental databases were used for the period 2004–2008 to identify cases of haemophilia and to estimate medical expenditures during 2008. A total of 511 Medicaid-enrolled males with hemophilia were identified, 435 of whom were enrolled in months during 2008. Most people with Medicaid for at least 11 hemophilia qualified for Medicaid based on “disability”. Average Medicaid expenditures in 2008 were $142,987 (median, $46,737), similar to findings for people with hemophilia in the United States in comparison with people with haemophilia who have ESI.

4. Conclusions

Hematologic prophylactic treatment from ages 2 to 18 years could avoid the development of hemophilic arthropathy if the concentration of the patient’s deficient factor is prevented from falling below 1% of normal [26–28]. Early treatment is of paramount importance because the immature skeleton is very sensitive to the complications of hemophilia; severe structural deficiencies may develop quickly. Major hemarthrosis and chronic hemophilic synovitis should be treated aggressively to prevent hemophilic arthropathy [29–31].
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