A New Slip Length Model for Enhanced Water Flow Coupling Molecular Interaction, Pore Dimension, Wall Roughness, and Temperature
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In this paper, a slip length model is proposed to analyze the enhanced flow based on the Hagen–Poiseuille equation. The model considers the multimechanisms including wall-water molecular interactions, pore dimensions, fractal roughness, and temperature. The increasing wall-water interactions result in the greater slip length and flow enhancement factor. The increased temperature enhances the kinetic energy of water molecules that leads to great surface diffusion coefficient and small work of adhesion. The wall roughness can decrease the slip length and flow enhancement factor in hydrophilic nanopores. This work studies the effects of multimechanisms on slip length and flow enhancement factor theoretically, which can accurately describe the liquid flow in nanopores.

1. Introduction

The transport behaviors of water confined in nanopores have attracted significant attention on science and engineering studies. The nanopores include carbon nanotubes (CNTs), boron nitride nanotubes (BNNTs), hydrophilic organic, and hydrophobic inorganic nanopores in shale reservoirs and other types of nanopores [1–3]. The results, measured or calculated by experimental studies, Molecular Dynamics Simulations (MDS), and theoretical studies, have shown that the enhanced water flow capacity in nanopores can be up to 1–5 orders of magnitude compared to that predicted by the no-slip Hagen–Poiseuille (HP) equation [4–6]. The behaviors of enhanced water flow are related to the boundary slip depending on many physical mechanisms, including pore wall-water molecular interactions (that can be expressed by surface wettability or contact angle) [7, 8], pore wall roughness [9–12], shear rate [13, 14], nanobubbles or gas films [15, 16], polarity of liquids [15–18], water viscosity [19, 20], temperature [21], pore dimensions [22], and pressure gradient [23]. In general, the boundary slip length is usually obtained by two methods: (1) microscopic slip length is observed by MDS; (2) macroscopic slip length is measured by experiments, and that cannot quantify the effect of each physical mechanism [1, 24]. Therefore, it is of critical importance to analyze the effects of these physical mechanisms on water transport behaviors in nanopores based on incorporating experimental studies, MDS, and theoretical methods.

Many investigations have shown the flow enhancement factor of water flow in nanopores. The flow enhancement factor is defined as the ratio of the volume flux of water flow in nanopores to that calculated by the no-slip HP [6, 25, 26]. In addition, the flow enhancement factor strongly depends on the boundary slip length that the velocity at the wall is not zero [27]. Thomas and McGaughey [27] showed the slip length ranging from 105 to 30 nm of water transport in CNTs with 1.66–4.99 nm diameter by using MDS, and the enhancement factor ranged from 433 to 47 with an increasing CNT diameter. Then, with a diameter of 1 nm and 7 nm, Falk et al. [28] found a slip length of 500 nm and 120 nm which was substantially smaller than the results measured by experiments of Majumder et al. [29]. In general, the wall–water system used in MDS is ideal that the pore wall is smooth. And there are usually some other factors that cannot be considered in MDS, such as the roughness and trapped gas at walls. Thus the slip length measured by experiments is about 14.6 times larger than that calculated by MDS [1]. In experimental studies, the slip length
of 113–177 nm corresponded to the pore radius of 22 nm in nanopipes [30]. Secchi et al. [4] found 300–17 nm slip length for CNTs with diameters of 30–100 nm and the flow enhancement factor ranged from 2 to 24. Blake [7] extended Tolstoi's idea to establish the relationship between slip length and contact angle in theoretical studies. Later, Huang et al. [8] put forward a theoretical formula \( L_f = C/(1 + \cos \theta)^2 \) to calculate slip length according to the contact angle, and the constant \( C \) equals to 6 and 0.41, which are used to fit the results of experimental and MDS results. These studies which were based on experimental studies, MDS, and theoretical methods have shown the different slip length and flow enhancement factor in nanopores with different conditions. Therefore, it is essential to understand the water transport behaviors in nanopores by an accurate calculation of slip length.

The slip length and flow enhancement factor can be measured or calculated by experiments, MDS, and theory methods respectively. However, these methods cannot quantitatively analyze the effects of different physical mechanisms comprehensively, such as surface wettability, surface roughness, shear rate, nanobubbles or gas films, polarity of liquids, liquid viscosity, temperature, pore radius, and pressure gradient [24]. The surface wettability can be described by the values of contact angle of the water droplet on the pore wall, and the contact angle is related to the liquid-solid molecular interactions. When the interactions are strong that the wall is hydrophilic, the contact angle is smaller than 90° which leads to a smaller slip length [8, 32, 33], and the slip length increases exponentially with an increasing contact angle [1]. From Wenzel and Cassie-Baxter equations, the wall roughness strongly affects the contact angle [34, 35]. The roughness makes the effective contact angle smaller which results in the decrease in slip length when contact angle ranges from 0° to 90°. When the contact angle ranges from 90° to 180°, the effective contact angle increases as the roughness increases [36–39]. For the effects of gas films or trapped gas on the pore wall, Ruckenstein and Rajora [23] proposed that the water could flow on the wall with gas films, and the slip length would be larger considering gas films [40]. Because of the presence of trapped gas or pinned gas on rough wall, the contact angle increases leading to the larger slip length [41–43]. From experiments and MDS, the slip length also depends on shear rate, and the shear-depend slip is explicit in hydrophobic tubes [13, 44]. In addition, the temperature also affects the slip length, Guo et al. [21] found that the slip length usually increased with a decreasing temperature by MDS methods. For the confined effects, the slip length monotonically decreases, and decreases rapidly first and then decreases slowly as the pore radius increases [2, 4, 27]. From the above discussion, there are various factors that can affect the slip length. However, the experiments cannot quantitatively analyze the microscopic mechanisms [1]. For the theoretical methods, Wu et al. [45] applied the slip length which depends on contact angle into the flow enhancement factor model without considering other mechanisms. Mattia and Calabrò [31] proposed calculation formula of the slip length that depends on pore radius, pore length, and solid-liquid molecular interactions based on experiments and MDS. Nevertheless, their method cannot directly consider the changes of solid-liquid molecular interactions and the slip length is not relevant to pore length from results of Cui et al. [46] studies. Therefore, it is of great significance to clarify the effects of multimechanisms on the slip length through theoretical methods, or adopt new measured or simulation methods of experiments and MDS.

The theoretical formula of water flow enhancement factor is mostly obtained by the modified no-slip HP equation in which the viscosity of water is a constant value [6, 26, 31, 46]. However, because of the wall-water molecular interactions, the water viscosity at the interface region is different from that in bulk region [47–49]. According to the results of experiments and MDS, the linear relationship between the water viscosity of interface and bulk region is calculated empirically based on the contact angle [1]. Thomas and McGauhey [27] proposed the effective viscosity that can be calculated by the viscosity and area of interface and bulk region. Then, the area of interface region can be calculated by the thickness of interface region which can be determined reasonably on the basis of MDS or theoretical studies. The results show that the thickness of interface region ranges from 0.7 to 1 nm [50–52]. Therefore, it is necessary to adopt the effective viscosity based on the water viscosity and thickness of interface region when calculating the enhancement factor.

In this paper, according to the no-slip HP equation, interfacial shear rate, surface diffusion coefficient, and work of adhesion, the calculation formula of slip length, incorporating multimechanisms including wall-water molecular interactions, pore dimensions, fractal roughness, and temperature, is established. First, the water flow enhancement model that considers boundary slip and the effective viscosity is derived. Then, the results of experimental studies and MDS are carried out to verify the proposed model. Finally, the effects of wall-water molecular interactions (contact angle), pore dimensions, fractal roughness, and temperature on slip length and flow enhancement factors are discussed.

2. Mathematical Modeling

In this section, the slip length related to the interfacial shear rate, surface diffusion coefficient, and work of adhesion is properly described, that depends on wall-water molecular interactions (contact angle), pore dimensions, fractal wall roughness, temperature, and effective viscosity. The surface diffusion coefficient is related to Fick's diffusion coefficient and thermodynamic factor. The work of adhesion is related to the liquid surface tension and temperature. Furthermore, the flow enhancement factor model is established based on the slip length and no-slip HP equation.

2.1. Boundary Slip Length. The water velocity at the pore wall strongly depends on the wall-water molecular interactions which leads to the slip length and changing viscosity of interface region [2]. In addition, the actual water velocity
considering boundary slip and water viscosity of interface region can be modified by the no-slip HP equation [31]. The water velocity profile in nanopores with different wall-water molecular interactions (contact angle) is shown in Figure 1. The slip length cannot be negative with contact angle ranging from $0^\circ$ to $180^\circ$. When the contact angle is close to $0^\circ$, the slip length will approach 0. With different wall-water molecular interactions, the water viscosity is different that results in different values of maximum velocity.

As shown in section A, the velocity without considering boundary slip and effective viscosity can be calculated by no-slip HP equation. From B to D, the velocity can be calculated by correctional HP equation considering a decreasing wall-water molecular interactions (an increasing contact angle) and water viscosity of interface region. The red arrows indicate maximum velocity, where $I_s$ is slip length; $\delta$ is thickness of interface region; $R$ is pore radius.

As shown in section A of Figure 1, the no-slip HP equation that describes the water velocity in nanopores with no velocity at the pore wall is given by:

$$v_{HP}(r) = \frac{R^2 - r^2}{4\mu_w} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z},$$

(1)

where $v_{HP}(r)$ is velocity with no-slip boundary, $R$ is pore radius, $r$ is pore radius of any position away from the pore center, $\mu_w$ is bulk viscosity of water, $\partial p/\partial z$ is pressure gradient.

Based on the no-slip HP equation, the water velocity considering boundary slip and changing viscosity of interface region can be expressed as [46, 48]

$$v(r) = \frac{R^2 - r^2}{4\mu_{w-e}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + v_{slip},$$

(2)

where $v$ is velocity considering boundary slip, $\mu_{w-e}$ is effective viscosity of water considering the changing viscosity of water, that can be calculated by water viscosity and area of bulk and interface region, $v_{slip}$ is the slip velocity at the pore wall.

The water molecules are strongly affected by the interactions from walls. Therefore, the water viscosity of interface region is different from that of bulk region. The critical thickness of the interface region can be reasonably determined as 0.7 nm from results of MDS and theoretical studies [50, 53]. Based on the results from experiments and MD, Wu et al. [1] proposed the relationship between the viscosity of the interface and bulk region, that is a function of the contact angle, and the relationship can be expressed as

$$\mu_t = (-0.018\theta_0 + 3.25)\mu_{wb},$$

(3)

where $\mu_t$ is water viscosity of interface region, $\theta_0$ is contact angle of water droplet on the pore wall.

According to Thomas model of the spatial viscosity variation [27], the effective dynamic viscosity of water combining the viscosity and area of interface and bulk region can be expressed as

$$\mu_{ave}(r) = \frac{A_i(r)}{A_i(r)} + \frac{\mu_{wb}}{A_i(r)},$$

(4)

where $A_i(r), A_{sb}(r), A_s(r)$ are area of interface, bulk and cross-section region of the nanopore, that can be calculated by pore radius and thickness of interface region, $A_i(r) = \pi R^2$, $A_{sb}(r) = \pi r^2 = \pi(R-\delta)^2$, $A_s(r) = \pi(R^2 - (R-\delta)^2)$. The boundary velocity $v_{slip}$ in Equation (2) is linearly related to the interfacial shear rate and slip length, therefore, based on the HP equation which can describe interfacial water transport with viscosity $\mu_e$, the boundary velocity can be expressed as [31, 54]

$$v_{slip} = -l_s \left( \frac{\partial v_{HP}}{\partial r} \right) \bigg|_{r=R} = \frac{l_s R}{2\mu_e} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z},$$

(5)

where $l_s$ is the slip length, as shown in Figure 1.

From Tolstoi’s model, Ruckenstein proposed a model to express the boundary velocity at pore wall based on surface diffusion coefficient, Boltzmann’s constant and temperature, the boundary velocity can be expressed as [23]

$$v_{slip} = \frac{D_s}{k_B T n_f} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z},$$

(6)

where $D_s$ is the surface diffusion coefficient, $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, $T$ is temperature, $n_f$ is the number of molecules per unit volume at the interface region.
According to expressions of boundary velocity of Equations (5) and (6), the boundary slip velocity at the wall can be expressed, the slip length can be derived as

$$ I_s = \frac{2\mu_t D_s}{k_BTn_l}. \quad (7) $$

According to Mattia’s model, a low wall-water molecular interaction energy results in a low value of $k_BTn_l$ and a high value of $D_s$, and the constant value of $D_s = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ and $W_{\text{ad}} = 144 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ was used to express the water flow in CNTs [31]. Later, Zhang et al. [6] and Cui et al. [46] also used constant value to simulate the oil transport in shale nanopores. However, different types of nanopores are with different wall-water interactions, even if the same types of nanopores are with small difference, the theoretical calculation of $k_BTn_l$ and $D_s$ for different wall-water interactions will be further discussed in the following sections.

2.1.1. Surface Diffusion. The surface diffusion coefficient is defined as the adsorbed water molecules jumping from one potential adsorption point to another [23, 55], that can be expressed as:

$$ D_s = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \alpha = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} v_0 e^{-E/k_BT}, \quad (8) $$

where $\sigma$ is the distance between two adsorption points, $\alpha$ is an effective jump frequency, $v_0$ is the vibrational frequency of water molecules at the interface region, $E$ is the potential energy barrier (the activation energy that molecules need to overcome jumping from one adsorption point to another).

Since the potential energy barrier is complicated, the surface diffusion coefficient is difficult to measure experimentally. The mean effective jump frequency depends on the coverage of adsorbed molecules at the wall [56, 57]. Therefore, the surface diffusion coefficient taken to the coverage dependence is written as

$$ D_s = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \Gamma(c), \quad (9) $$

where $\Gamma(c)$ is a mean effective jump frequency, $c$ is fractional coverage of adsorbed molecules at interface region.

Based on the thermodynamic factor whose origin is the Kubo-Green formula, the semi-empirical formula is used to describe the relationship between surface diffusion and chemical surface diffusion coefficients that is given by [56, 57]

$$ D_{\text{fick}} = \frac{\sigma^2}{4} \Gamma(c) \left( \frac{\partial(\chi/k_BT)}{\partial \ln c} \right) = D_s \left( \frac{\partial(\chi/k_BT)}{\partial \ln c} \right), \quad (10) $$

where $\chi$ is chemical potential, $D_{\text{fick}}$ is chemical diffusion coefficient which is also called the Fick diffusion coefficient, that can be described by the Stokes–Einstein equation [58–60].

The Fick diffusion coefficient is affected by multifactors such as pore radius, molecular size and temperature, that can be described by the Stokes–Einstein equation [58, 61–63]

$$ D_{\text{fick}} = \frac{k_BT}{6\pi\mu r_A}. \quad (11) $$
the fractional adsorbed molecules depend on the wall-water molecular interactions (contact angle). The increasing contact angle depends on the decreasing wall-water molecular interactions, and the fraction coverage of adsorbed molecules decreases with an increasing contact angle. The fractional coverage of 0 ~ 1 represents a contact angle of 180 ~ 0°. Based on assumption and normalization, the relationship between fractional coverage and contact angle can be described as

\[ c = \frac{1 + \cos \theta_0}{2}. \]

From Equations (8) to (14), the surface diffusion coefficient \( D_s \) can be calculated by Fick’s (second) law diffusion coefficient and thermodynamic factor, that is expressed as

\[ D_s = \frac{D_{\text{ fick}}}{(\partial(\chi/k_bT)/\partial n)} = \frac{k_bT}{6\pi \mu r_A} \left( 1 - \frac{1-c}{1+2(1-\beta)/\beta} \right) \]

2.1.2. Work of Adhesion. In the previous work, Mattia and Calabro [31] assumed \( k_bTn_L \) is the energy per unit surface of the water molecules at interface region that equaled to the work of adhesion, where \( L \) is the pore length. However, \( n_i \) is the number of water molecules per unit volume at the interface region, and the per unit surface can be approximated to the ratio of the per unit volume to the thickness of interface region. Then, the pore length in Mattia’s model is replaced by thickness of interface region in our model. Therefore, in this paper, work of adhesion, which is defined as the interactions between water molecules and per unit surface of pore wall, is adopted based on thickness of interface region, that is expressed as

\[ W_A = k_bTn_\delta, \]

where \( W_A \) is the work of adhesion; \( \delta \) is thickness of interface region.

The work of adhesion of water with different wettability wall can be described in another way which is calculated according to the water surface tension and wall-water molecular interactions (contact angle), that is given by [65–67]

\[ W_A = \sigma_i (1 + \cos \theta_0), \]

where \( \sigma_i \) is surface tension of water.

The surface tension of water usually decreases with an increasing temperature and vanishes at the critical point [68]. In this work, the Guggenhein equation is adopted to describe relationship between surface tension and temperature [69]

\[ \sigma_i = \sigma_0 (1 - T_c/T_i)^{11/9}, \]

where \( \sigma_0 \) is a temperature-independent constant (surface tension of water with temperature equaling to 0 K), \( T_c \) stands for reduced temperature which can be defined as the ratio of temperature to the critical temperature \( (T_c = T/T_i) \), \( T_i \) is the critical temperature, and \( T_c = 647.3K \) for water.

The temperature gradient of the surface tension for water is 0.16 [70]. Therefore, from Equation (19), the temperature-independent constant \( (T = 0 \text{ K}) \) is calculated, that \( \sigma_0 = 84.74 \text{ m/N.m} \).

From Equations (7), (15), and (17), the slip length based on surface diffusion coefficient and work of adhesion is written as:

\[ l_s = \frac{2\mu \bar{D}}{k_bTn_L} = \frac{2\mu \delta D_s}{R W_A} = \frac{2\mu}{R} \frac{k_bT}{\sigma_0 (1 - T_c)^{11/9} (1 + \cos \theta_0)} \cdot \frac{k_bT}{6\pi \mu r_A} \left( 1 - \frac{1-c}{1+2(1-\beta)/\beta} \right). \]

2.2 Wall Roughness. From Equation (20), the slip length depends on the contact angle which is related to the wall-water molecular interactions, and the greater the interactions, the smaller the contact angle [33, 71]. However, except the effects of wall-water molecular interactions, the contact angle is also affected by the wall roughness. When the contact angle ranges from 0° to 90°, the contact angle will become smaller due to the roughness. On the contrary, when the contact angle ranges from 90° to 180°, the contact angle will become greater due to the effects of pore wall roughness. That is, roughness will make the hydrophilic surface more hydrophilic, and make the hydrophobic surface more hydrophobic [36–39, 48]. The apparent contact angle can be expressed by the Wenzel equation [34]

\[ \cos \left( \theta_{\text{app}} \right) = r_a \cos \left( \theta_0 \right), \]

where \( \theta_{\text{app}} \) is the apparent contact angle affected by wall roughness, \( r_a \) is a surface roughness factor that equals to the ratio of the actual area to project area.

With a rough surface, the factor \( r_a \) is greater than 1 \((r_a > 1)\). The pore surface with roughness is usually with the characteristics of fractal structures (or multihierarchical structures) [45, 48, 72, 73]. Hence, the surface roughness factor and effective contact angle can be described in fractal form that are expressed as

\[ r_a = \left( \frac{l_u}{l_f} \right)^D, \]

\[ \cos \left( \theta_{\text{app}} \right) = \left( \frac{l_u}{l_f} \right)^D \cos \left( \theta_0 \right), \]

where \( l_u \) and \( l_f \) are upper and lower bound of rough elements, \( D \) is the fractal dimension.

2.3 Flow Enhancement Factor. The flow enhancement factor is defined as the ratio of the volume flux calculated by the HP equation considering boundary slip to that predicated by no-slip HP equation. From Equation (1) of no-slip HP equation, the volume flux of water is calculated by integrating [31, 74], that is expressed as

\[ Q_{\text{HP}} = \int_0^R 2\pi \nu \left( \frac{r}{8 \nu_{ab}} \frac{dp}{dz} \right) dr = \int_0^R \frac{R^2 - r^2}{4 \nu_{ab}} \frac{dp}{dz} dr = \frac{\pi R^4}{8 \nu_{ab}} \frac{dp}{dz}, \]

where \( Q_{\text{HP}} \) is volume flux calculated by no-slip HP equation.
From Equations (2), (20), and (23), the volume flux considering boundary slip incorporating contact angle, pore radius, fractal roughness, temperature, and effective viscosity can be derived as

$$Q_{slip} = \frac{\pi R^3}{8 \mu_{ave}^2 \mu_i} \int_0^R \left( \frac{r^2 - r^2}{2 \mu_i} \right) \partial p \partial z \, 2 \pi r dr$$

where $Q_{slip}$ is the volume flux considering boundary slip. Therefore, based on Equations (24) and (25), the flow enhancement factor is given by

$$\varepsilon = \frac{Q_{slip}}{Q_{HP}} = \left(\frac{\mu_{ave} + \mu_{slip} 4l_u}{\mu_{ave} \mu_i} \right) = \left(\frac{\mu_{ave} + 8 \mu_i 10^3 \delta D \mu_{slip}}{R^2 W \mu_i} \right).$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the flow enhancement factor.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Based on the results obtained by experiments and MDS, the flow enhancement factor obtained by proposed model is validated. In addition, the effects of wall-water molecular interactions, pore dimensions, fractal roughness, and temperature on slip length and flow enhancement are discussed in this section.

#### 3.1. Model Validation

Secchi et al. [4] and Thomas and McGaughey [27] have measured or calculated the flow enhancement factor through experiments and MDS, respectively. The parameters of contact angle, pore radius and temperature used in their studies and proposed model are shown in Table 1. Because the roughness of pore wall is an uncontrollable factor in experiments, the wall roughness of CNTs and BNNTs used in experiments will have a small difference with different pore radius. During validation, we use the fractal dimension ranging from 2.017 to 2.023 to fit the experimental results, and the roughness scale $l_u/l_t$ equals to 10. As shown in Figure 3(a), the light blue region is the enhancement factor obtained by proposed model with fractal dimension ranging from 2.017 to 2.023. The results calculated by proposed model are fitted well with those of experiments. However, for the experimental enhancement factor of water flow in BNNTs, the results calculated by proposed model cannot fit it because the slip length in BNNTs is not controlled by the wetting properties [1].

The wall-water system which is established in MDS is ideal. Therefore, the value of fractal dimension used in proposed model is 2, which indicates the wall is smooth [27]. As shown in Figure 3(b), the flow enhancement factor calculated by the proposed model is fitted well with the simulation data of MDS. The results of the proposed model are fitted well with the results of experiments and MDS. Thus the proposed model is validated, and that can be used to study the liquid flow behaviors in nanopores.

#### 3.2. Effects of Wall-Water Molecular Interactions

Figure 4 shows the dependence of the slip length and flow enhancement factor on contact angle with different pore radii. As shown in Figure 4(a), the slip length increases with the increase in contact angle at different radii. When the contact angle is close to 0° which represents the strong wall-water interactions, the slip length can be reduced by five orders of magnitude. In contrast, when the contact angle equals to 179° which represents the small interactions, the slip length can be increased up to seven orders of magnitude. The great effective viscosity and small slip length result in the small velocity and then weaken the wall flow ability, as shown in section B of Figure 1. When the contact angle is close to 179°, the flow enhancement factor can be increased up to seven orders of magnitude. And the reason is that the small wall-water molecular interactions can lead to a small effective viscosity and a great slip length, as shown in section D of Figure 1.

The flow enhancement factor is 0.4 to 10 times higher than that of no-slip HP equation with contact angle ranging from 0° to 179°. Therefore, the slip length and flow enhancement factor are strongly affected by the wall-water molecular interactions with different pore radii, and the results are consistent with the enhanced water flow in hydrophobic CNTs [1, 4].

#### 3.3. Effects of Pore Dimensions and Temperature

The dependence of the slip length and flow enhancement factor on pore radius with different contact angle is shown in Figure 5. As shown in Figure 5(a), the slip length strongly depends on the pore radius, especially with a small pore radius. Hence, the slip length decreases rapidly first and then decreases slowly as pore radius increases. This trend is consistent with the results of experiments, MDS, and theories [4, 6, 27]. As shown in Figure 5(b), when the pore radius is smaller than 15 nm, the flow enhancement factor changes greatly with a small difference of pore radius. The reason is that the strong dependence of the structure and dynamics of the confined water on the dimension.

Figure 6 shows the effects of the temperature on the slip length and flow enhancement factor. The increasing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact angle, $\theta$</td>
<td>155° [4]</td>
<td>Contact angle, $\theta$</td>
<td>151° [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roughness scale, $l_u/l_t$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Roughness scale, $l_u/l_t$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractal dimension, $D$</td>
<td>2.017 ~ 2.023</td>
<td>Fractal dimension, $D$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, $T$</td>
<td>298.15 K [4]</td>
<td>Temperature, $T$</td>
<td>298 K [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pore radius, $R$</td>
<td>15 ~ 50 nm [4]</td>
<td>Pore radius, $R$</td>
<td>1.66 ~ 4.99 nm [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of interface region, $\delta$</td>
<td>0.7 nm [50]</td>
<td>Thickness of interface region, $\delta$</td>
<td>0.7 nm [50]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
temperature can enhance the kinetic energy of water molecules, and decrease the energy barrier for water molecules to diffuse from one adsorption point to another. Therefore, the surface diffusion coefficient will increase. Additionally, because the increasing temperature can decrease surface tension, the work of adhesion will decrease. Thus, the slip length and flow enhancement factor increase with an increasing temperature due to the increasing surface diffusion coefficient and the decreasing work of adhesion.

3.4. Effects of Fractal Roughness. Figure 7 shows that the slip length and flow enhancement factor vary with the contact angle with different fractal dimensions. The ratio of the upper to lower bound is set as 10 ($l_u/l_l = 10$) as an example. As shown in Figure 7(a), the slip length increases with an increasing fractal dimension when the contact angle is greater than 90°. The reason is that compared to the contact angle of water droplet on smooth surface (the contact angle is represented by $\theta_0$), the apparent contact angle is larger due to the effects of wall roughness (the contact angle is represented by $\theta_{app}$), and as the fractal dimension increases, the apparent contact angle $\theta_{app}$ increases with a constant contact angle $\theta_0$. Therefore, with a larger fractal dimension which represents the larger effective contact angle, the slip length will become greater. In addition, as the contact angle increases, the slip length gradually reaches the maximum

Figure 3: Comparison of flow enhancement factor obtained by experiments, MDS and proposed model. (a) comparison of flow enhancement factor of proposed model and experiment [4], the light blue region is the enhancement factor of proposed model with fractal dimension ranging from 2.017 to 2.023; (b) comparison of flow enhancement factor calculated by proposed model and MDS [27].

Figure 4: The effect of wall-water molecular interactions on the slip length and flow enhancement factor with different pore radii. (a) Slip length versus contact angle with different pore radii. (b) Flow enhancement versus contact angle with different pore radii.
because the apparent contact angle is close to 180°. For example, when fractal dimension equals 2.1 ($D = 2.1$), the apparent contact angle will approach 180° ($\theta_{ap} \approx 180^\circ$) because of the roughness when the contact angle is greater than 142° ($\theta_c > 142^\circ$). At this time, the slip length approaches maximum. On the contrary, when contact angle is smaller than 90°, the apparent contact angle is smaller than contact angle due to the roughness. The greater the fractal dimension, the smaller the apparent contact angle. Therefore, the slip length decreases as the fractal dimension increases. As shown in Figure 7(b), when the contact angle is smaller than 90°, the flow enhancement factor is a constant value. The reason is that the apparent contact angle approaches to 0° because of the wall roughness. Then the flow enhancement factor increases gradually and approach maximum as contact angle increases. In addition, the flow enhancement factor decreases with an increasing fractal dimension. When the contact angle ranges from 90° to 180°, the flow enhancement factor increases with an increasing fractal dimension.

In conclusion, the wall roughness can enhance the hydrophobicity of hydrophobic surface and the hydrophilicity of hydrophilic surface, respectively. Therefore, the slip length and flow enhancement will increase and decrease respectively in hydrophobic and hydrophilic nanopores because of the wall roughness.
The slip length and flow enhancement factor increase with an increasing contact angle which represents the increasing wall-water molecular interactions. The flow enhancement factor is $0.4 \sim 10^7$ times higher than that predicted by no-slip HP equation with contact angle ranging from 0 to 179°. Because of structure and dynamics of the confined effects, the slip length and flow enhancement factor increase as pore radius decreases, especially for pore radius smaller than 15 nm. The slip length and flow enhancement factor will have a great change with a small difference of pore radius. The increasing temperature can enhance the kinetic energy of water molecules, that leads to a great surface diffusion coefficient and small work of adhesion. Hence, the flow enhancement factor will become greater with an increasing temperature. In addition, through influencing the contact angle, the wall roughness can decrease or increase the slip length and flow enhancement factor in hydrophilic and hydrophobic nanopores, respectively. This work comprehensively analyzes the effects of multimechanisms on slip length and flow enhancement factor. It is meaningful for us to clarify the liquid transport behaviors in nanopores and effectively combine studies of experiments, MDS and theory.
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**Nomenclature**

- $A_1$: Area of interface region (nm$^2$)
- $A_2$: Area of cross-section region of the pore (nm$^2$)
- $A_{bulk}$: Area of bulk region (nm$^2$)
- $c$: Fractional coverage (dimensionless)
- $D$: Fractal dimension (dimensionless)
- $D_{chem}$: Chemical diffusion coefficient (m$^2$/s)
- $D_{surf}$: Surface diffusion coefficient (m$^2$/s)
- $E$: Potential energy barrier (J)
- $K_B$: Boltzmann’s constant (J/K)
- $L$: Pore length (nm)
- $l_l$: Lower bound (nm)
- $l_s$: Slid length (nm)
- $l_u$: Upper bound (nm)
- $n$: Number of molecules per unit volume (1/m$^3$)
- $\nabla p/\nabla z$: Pressure gradient (MPa/m)
- $Q_{slip}$: Volume flux calculated by no-slip HP equation (m$^3$/s)
- $Q_{slip}$: Volume flux considering boundary slip (m$^3$/s)
- $r$: Position away from the pore center (nm)
- $R$: Pore radius (nm)
- $r_w$: Roughness factor (dimensionless)
- $r_m$: Water molecule radius (nm)
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