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Shape-memory polymers (SMPs) are one kind of smart polymers and can change their shapes in a predefined manner under stimuli. Shape-memory effect (SME) is not a unique ability for specific polymeric materials but results from the combination of a tailored shape-memory creation procedure (SMCP) and suitable molecular architecture that consists of netpoints and switching domains. In the last decade, the trend toward the exploration of SMPs to recover structures at micro-/nanoscale occurs with the development of SMPs. Here, the progress of the exploration in micro-/nanoscale structures, particles, and fibers of SMPs is reviewed. The preparation method, SMCP, characterization of SME, and applications of surface structures, free-standing particles, and fibers of SMPs at micro-/nanoscale are summarized.

1. Introduction

Shape-memory polymers (SMPs) are capable to be deformed and fixed into temporary shapes as well as to recover toward their original/permanent shapes upon external stimuli including heat, light, pH, electric field, and magnetic field [1–4]. In the last two decades, SMPs have attracted great attention from both academic and industrial fields because they can be used in biomedical devices, aerospace, smart textile, soft actuators, flexible electronics, and so on [5–12]. Shape-memory effect (SME) is not a unique ability for specific polymeric materials but results from the combination of suitable molecular architecture and a tailored shape-memory creation procedure (SMCP) [13, 14]. In addition, the shape of SMPs can be programmed on-demand. These two features offer SMP advantages over other shape-changing polymers such as smart hydrogels [15–17] and liquid crystal elastomers [18–20].

The molecular architecture of SMPs mainly consists of two elements, i.e., netpoints and switching domains, which are responsible for permanent shape and temporary shape, respectively [1, 4]. Switching domains are usually contributed by glass transition, melt/crystallization, reversible connection, supramolecular bonding, and so on [21]. Netpoints can be either chemical crosslinking in the form of covalent bonds or physical crosslinking [21]. More specifically, chemical crosslinking determines the permanent shape of thermoset SMPs, and the domains having higher...
transition temperature ($T_{\text{trans}}$) in thermoplastic SMPs, i.e.,
glass transition temperature ($T_g$) or melting temperature
($T_m$), act as physical netpoints [22].

The molecular mechanisms of thermoset SMPs within
SMCP and recovery modules are illustrated in Figure 1. External force is first applied to deform an SMP specimen
into a temporary shape at deformation temperature ($T_{\text{deform}}$)
that is higher than $T_{\text{trans}}$ ($T_g$ or $T_m$) of switching domains,
leading to the orientation of polymer chains. Subsequently,
by cooling below $T_g$ (Figure 1(a)) or crystallization tempera-
ture, $T_c$ (Figure 1(b)), of the switching domains, the
temporary strain is stored via the solidification of switching
domains. When the specimen is reheated beyond the
switching temperature ($T_{\text{sw}}$) that is dominated by $T_{\text{trans}}$
recovery process occurs via entropy-driven recoiling of the
oriented polymer chains. If $T_{\text{trans}} = T_p$, the strain-induced
nonequilibrium state is retained via the vitrification of the
amorphous phase (Figure 1(a)). If $T_{\text{trans}} = T_m$, the crystal-
ization of switching domains limits the flexibility of ori-
tented polymer chains as shown in Figure 1(b).

The quantification of SMCP, i.e., how efficient a defined
deforation can be obtained, is typically characterized by
measuring the shape-fixity ratio, $R_f$, using the following
equation [13]:

$$\frac{R_f}{\varepsilon} = \frac{N}{N-1}$$

where $\varepsilon_u$ and $\varepsilon_m$ are strains after releasing stress at $T_{\text{low}}$
in the $N$th cycle and deformed strain, respectively. The recovery
from the fixed shape to the permanent one during applying
external stimuli is of the most importance to evaluate SME. Stress-free recovery, i.e., no external load is applied, is the
most common method to quantify shape recovery. Shape
recovery ratio, $R_s$, is typically characterized by the ratio of the
strain during the present $N$th cycle, $\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_p$, and the strain
obtained during SMCP in the previous $(N-1)$ cycle,
$\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_p(N-1)$, as shown in equation (2). An additional
characteristic of SME is switching temperature, $T_{\text{sw}}$, which
is defined as the temperature where the highest recovery rate is
obtained [13].

$$\frac{R_s}{\varepsilon} = \frac{N}{N-1}$$

With the development of SMPs, the trend toward the
exploration of the ability of SMPs to recover structures at
micro-/nanoscale occurs. Several approaches focused on the
indentation of SMP films, in some cases, in combination
with the subsequent removal of the topmost polymer layers
[23–27]. Temporary cavities are generated onto SMP sur-
faces by indentation techniques, which can be erased upon
annealing. However, the minimization in surface energy
may also enable polymers to reach smooth surface [28]. The
recovery of the indented nanostructures to permanent
smooth surface, thus, may not be unique for SMPs. Another
widely reported manner for demonstrating SMEs at micro-/nanoscale
is to incorporate permanent micro-/nanostructures onto SMP substrates using molding replication as well as to deform
them into either flat surface or other structures with different features [29–43]. Furthermore, free-standing SMP matrices
with all dimensions at micro-scale are also of increasing interest [44–48]. These free-standing
particles or fibers may behave differently from indented and micro-/nanostructured SMP surfaces because
the underlying bulk material may, to some extent, contribute
to their shape-memory functionality. The SMCP may in-

duce distortion, e.g., of pillars, during which their defor-
mation is transferred into the bulk phase. Accordingly, the
underlying matrix may contribute to or even dominate the
switching of surface structures. In contrast, free-standing
SMP particles of fibers at micro- or nanoscale exclude the
contributions of underlying bulk materials.

In this review, the recent progress in the development of
micro-/nanoscale structures and particles of SMPs is dis-
cussed. We try to summarize the preparation method,
SMCP, characterization of SME, and applications of SMP
surface structures, particles, and fibers at micro-/nanoscale.
We hope that this short review can be beneficial for de-
veloping miniature SMP devices that can be used greatly in
optical, biomedicine, and smart surface areas.

2. Surface Structures of SMPs

at Micro-/Nanoscale

2.1. Preparations of Permanent SMP Surface Structures at
Micro-/Nanoscale. The reported SMP systems as well as the
prepared features and aspect ratio (AR) of the micro-

nanostructured surfaces are briefly summarized in Table 1.
Based on feature dimensions, permanently 2D, 2.5D, and 3D
structures on SMP surface can be considered [49]. Typically,
2D structures present elongated features in surface plane
such as groove patterns, while 2.5D structures present
uniformly spacing features with the same geometry in the
vertical direction such as cylindrical pillar arrays. The fea-
tures with variable geometry in the vertical direction can be
considered as 3D structures such as microprism and
microlens arrays [49].

For the reported SMP-structured surfaces, 2D and 3D
structures have a small AR ($\leq 1$) [32, 33, 50–55], while 2.5D
structures possess a relatively high AR ($\geq 1$) [29–31, 56]. The
main method to create permanent SMP-structured surface is
to enable the replication of polymer or prepolymer surface
from master molds (Table 1). As shown in Figure 2(a), a
mold with pre-defined microstructures is placed against the
SMP surface at a high temperature under load, allowing the
sufficient filling of materials into mold cavities. The
embossed specimens are subsequently cooled down in the
presence of the load. A structural replication of SMP surface
from the master mold is achieved after the mold is released.
This method is quite efficient for thermoplastic SMPs with
good flowing capability at the high temperature [55, 57]. For
example, the 2.5D pillar arrays with AR = 4 of a thermo-
plastic polyurethane (Tecoflex, having a wide range of $T_g$
ending at 120°C–140°C) were successfully fabricated at 200°C
[57].

After the formation of covalent network, the mobility of
crosslinked polymers into mold cavities is limited. There-
fore, to facilitate chemically crosslinked SMPs to fully
replicate the master mold, prepolymer (polymer and curing agent) are typically used instead [32, 50, 53, 56]. As shown in Figure 2(b), after imprinting the mold against premixed prepolymer, extra thermal curing or photocuring step is performed to generate chemical networks of SMPs in mold cavities. Crosslinked poly(ε-caprolactone) (c-PCL) is the widely reported thermosetting SMP system to produce SMP-microstructured surfaces, the network of which is generated through either thermal curing or photocuring [32, 50, 56]. Via crosslinking tetra-branched PCL with acrylate end-groups in the presence of linear PCL telechelic diacrylate, 2D groove patterns of c-PCL with crosslinker are typically used instead [32, 50, 53, 56]. As shown in Figure 2(c), instead of placing a mold against SMP surface, precursors with low viscosity are poured into the mold before thermal treatment is performed to cure the materials. Because polymer microstructures with high AR possess large surface area and adhesion force, they are liable to mechanical instabilities, such as lateral and ground collapses [31]. The critical aspect ratio (ARc) for lateral and ground collapses can be calculated using the Equations as follows:

\[
AR_c = \frac{0.49 \pi^{1/3} E^{1/3} S^{1/2}}{W^{1/3} L^{1/6} (1 - v^2)^{1/3}}.
\]

\[
AR_c = 0.284 \left(1 - v^2\right)^{1/6} \left(\frac{E d}{W}\right)^{2/3},
\]

where \(E\), \(W\), \(v\), and \(d\) stand for Young’s modulus, adhesion work, Poisson’s ratio, and diameter of the pillar, respectively, while \(s\) is pillar-to-pillar spacing [31]. An epoxy-based SMP, as an instance, resulted from the thermal curing of diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) and poly(propylene glycol) bis(2-aminopropyl ether), and decylamine has been used to create pillar arrays with \(AR = 2–4\) [31]. For such pillars having diameter of 10 \(\mu m\), the ARc for lateral collapse increased from 4.9 for \(s = 5\) \(\mu m\) to 12.0 for \(s = 30\) \(\mu m\), while the ARc for ground collapse remained as 28.0 [31].

Last but not the least, nanoimprint lithography (NIL) offers a simple approach to fabricate nanostructures onto the SMP surface by creating a polymer sacrificing layer, onto which SMP precursors can be poured and cured before the sacrificing layer is removed afterwards [51]. As an example, polyacrylic acid (PAA) nanopatterns on silicon wafers were first created via thermal embossing NIL. The curing of acrylate-based SMP precursors on nanostructured PAA layer was then performed. After dissolving the sacrificing PAA layer, the SMP-nanostructured surfaces with identical 2D groove pattern having the height of 20–194 nm were obtained [51].

2.2. SMCP of SMP Surface Structures at Micro-/Nanoscale.

In comparison with the macroscale, SMCP at micro-/nanoscale is challenging. In brief, the demonstrated approach to program SMPs micro-/nanostructured surface is applied to a vertical load to compress the structures with \(AR < 1\) or a shearing force to tilt the structures with \(AR < 1\), using a flat or structured plate. During SMCPs, permanent SMPs micro-/nanostructured surfaces are deformed into temporary structures having required features relative to the applications. Permanently flat SMP surfaces are usually deformed into temporarily 2D groove patterns for cell culture [32, 50, 55, 58]. As an instance, permanently flat surface of NOA-63 (a polyurethane with ends linked by thiol-based crosslinker) was compressed by an epoxy embosser at 90°C for 1 min, before 5 min of cooling at 20°C under the compression [58]. The microstructures can be easily erased, i.e., recover to the previously flat surfaces, upon heating above \(T_{trans}\).

Permanent 2D and 3D structures with \(AR < 1\) are typically deformed into flat surfaces or other features via compression or imprinting for applications in the fields of

![Figure 1: Schematic illustration of the molecular mechanisms of SMPs having chemically crosslinked netpoints during SMCP and recovery modules. In system (a), \(T_{trans} = T_{gr}\) and in system (b), \(T_{trans} = T_{inv}\).](image)
Table 1: Material systems with their switching temperatures and curing methods, as well as structural features, geometries, and aspect ratios of reported SMP-micro-/nanostructured substrates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material system</th>
<th>$T_{sw}$</th>
<th>Curing methods</th>
<th>Structural dimensions and features</th>
<th>Geometries</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polymers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polynorbornene; pristine fullerenes</td>
<td></td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>3D nanolens arrays</td>
<td>$H = 250$ nm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tecoflex 72D : cycloaliphatic polyetherurethane block</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>2.5D pillar arrays</td>
<td>$D = 20$ μm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>copolymer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$S = 20$ μm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepolymers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL trimethacrylate; diethoxyacetophenone</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Photo</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>$H = 9.2$ μm</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOA-63 : a polyurethane which is end-linked by a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thiol-base crosslinker using photoinitiated thiol-ene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetra-branched PCL with acrylate end-groups</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Thermo</td>
<td>2D groove patterns</td>
<td>For microprism $H = 72$ μm</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear PCL telechelic diacrylates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate); dicumyl peroxide</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Photo</td>
<td>3D Microprism array, microlens arrays, white-light hologram, transmission grating</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear PCL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allyl alcohol</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermo</td>
<td>2.5D Pillar arrays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzyal peroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphenol a diglycidyl ether (BADGE); poly(propylene glycol) bis(2-aminopropyl ether); decylamine</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Thermo</td>
<td>2.5D pillar arrays</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisphenol a diglycidyl ether (BADGE); poly(propylene glycol) bis(2-aminopropyl ether); decylamine</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Thermo</td>
<td>2.5D pillar arrays</td>
<td></td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diglycidyl ether of bisphenol; an epoxy monomer; $m$-xylenediamine; $n$-octylamine</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Thermo</td>
<td>2.5D pillay arrays</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diglycidyl ether of bisphenol: an epoxy monomer; poly(propylene glycol) bis(2-aminopropyl ether); neopentyl glycol diglycidyl ether</td>
<td>35–65</td>
<td>Thermo</td>
<td>3D pyramids-termed microtips</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl methacrylate; poly(ethylene glycol dimethacrylate); photoinitiator</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Photo</td>
<td>Groove patterns</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$H$ : height; $W$ : width; $D$ : diameter; $S$ : spacing
micro-optical devices, dry adhesion, or microchannels [29, 33, 50–53]. By compressing against a flat quartz substrate at 105°C for 5 min, 3D microprism arrays of cross-linked poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate) (cPEVA) were distorted during SMCP before the sample was cooled down to room temperature in the presence of the compression force [53]. Similarly, the programming of 2D groove nanopatterns of an acrylate-based SMP into flat surface was carried out using NIL with a flat wafer at 180°C for 30 min [52]. Besides, the SMCP of microstructures into flat surfaces can be directly performed for certain applications in dry adhesion. Uniformly spaced microscale 3D pyramids-shaped microtips of thermoset epoxy-based SMP were placed against a mating substrate at the temperature above its \( T_g \), resulting in the collapse of microstructures into flat surfaces as shown in Figure 3. The contact with the substrate was maintained after cooling below its \( T_g \) [52]. In addition to programming micro-/nanostructured substrates into flat surfaces, they were also deformed into different features [33, 50]. The c-PCL surfaces with 3D hexnuts and boomerang structures at microscale were mechanically deformed to cubic and cylindrical arrays at 130°C using a second replica mold and subsequently cooled to \(-78\)°C under the load [50]. Furthermore, the c-PCL films with permanent 2D linear and \( L \)-shaped channel patterns, as a potential application in microfluidic control, were overwritten above \( T_g \) for 5 min by the same structures oriented perpendicular to the permanent ones, before the temporary shapes were fixed at \( 4^\circ\)C for 10 min [33].

The permanent 2.5D structures having a high \( AR > 1 \) are typically inclined and compressed to control the adhesion ability and contact angle of the surface as well as to govern cell behaviors [29–31, 57]. Pillar arrays of a thermoplastic elastomer (Tecoflex 72D), having a high \( AR = 5 \), were heated above \( T_{trans} \). A glass plate was utilized to press smoothly against the pillars before pulling it manually along specific directions (Figure 4) [57]. In the presence of the glass plate, the sample was cooled down below \( T_{trans} \) to fix the deformation. A tilting angle of \( 45^\circ \) was typically obtained. Similar creation procedures were performed to shear epoxy-based SMP pillar arrays with \( AR = 2–3 \) at 80°C along the lattice vector and diagonally along the lattice. In addition to tilting, two sets of identical pillar arrays with a high AR were pressed against each other at 80°C (above \( T_g \)) and subsequently cooled down to room temperature to fix the deformed shape (Figure 5) [30]. The deformed scenario, including inter-digitation, indenting, and interweaving highly depended on load, pillar geometries (diameter, spacing, AR), and elastic modulus of the material at \( T_{deform} \).

### 2.3. Recovery Manner and Quantification of SMP Surface Structures at Micro-/Nanoscale

The incorporation of different stimuli resources, multiple shape transitions, and reversibility into SMP structures at micro-/nanoscale results in more functions and thereby explores more potential applications. In addition, similar to SMCP at micro-/nanoscale, the quantification of SMEs at micro-/nanoscale is also challenging. New evaluation methods are required based on offline microscopic approaches and measurement parameters.

To date, the research on SMP-micro-/nanostructured surfaces mainly focuses on the area of direct thermally-induced one-way dual-shape memory effect, i.e., upon heating, the programmed temporary shape recovers to the permanent shape directly, which cannot return to the temporary shape again without another SMCPs [30, 32, 52]. Recently, studies on indirect thermally-induced one-way dual-shape memory effect [55], direct thermally-induced one-way multiple-shape memory [3], and direct thermally-induced two-way reversible multiple-shape memory effect [54] have been involved as well. Therefore, in this context, we present these recent developments of SMP-micro-/nanostructured surfaces before the discussion of quantifying the SMEs.

Instead of heating, the recovery of deformed nanolens arrays of polynorbornene with 0.1 wt% fullerene was triggered by absorbing electromagnetic energy which was generated by microwave irradiation [55]. The fullerene as a thermal conductive filler played a role in absorbing microwave and reinforcing the nanostructures simultaneously,
realizing the indirect thermally-induced one-way dual-shape memory effect of microstructured surfaces.

Nafion is a perfluorosulphonic acid ionomer having a broad $T_g$ from 55 to 130°C, which has been reported presenting dual-, triple- and at least quadruple-shape memory effects [3]. Its triple-shape memory effect has also been achieved in the nanostructured surfaces [51]. As shown in Figure 6, the permanent Nafion film with groove patterns ($H = 194$ nm, $s = 834$ nm, Figure 6(a)) were programmed into grid-like patterns at 90°C for 3 min (Figure 6(b)), before another silicon wafer ($s = 150$ nm) was pressed against Nafion sample oriented 45° with respect to the previous groove patterns at 60°C for 30 min (Figure 6(c)). Upon heating at 60°C for 80 min, the second temporary shape (pattern with $s = 150$ nm) almost disappeared (Figure 6(d)). Subsequently annealing Nafion film at 90°C for 10 s, the
Apply a load at \( T > T_g \)

Cool down below \( T_g \)

Test shear adhesion

Test normal adhesion

**Figure 5:** (a) Schematic diagram of pressing identical pillar arrays against each other as well as the adhesion measurements. (b–d) Three possible deformation modes between two identical pillar arrays after SMCP: (b) interdigitation, (c) indenting, and (d) interweaving. This figure was modified from [30].

**Figure 6:** Topographic AFM images of multipattern memory effect in Nafion films programmed by TE-NIL. This figure was modified from [51].
permanent groove patterns were obtained again (Figure 6(e)).

The two-way multiple-shape memory effect of the thermosetting copolyester poly(octylene adipate)-co-poly(octylene diazoadipate) sub-microstructured surfaces has been reported [54]. When the temporary flat surfaces were heated to an intermediate temperature of 50°C, partial melting of crystals allowed the shape recovery toward the permanent boomerang patterns, increasing the feature height. Cooling from the intermediate temperature to 20°C resulted in the recrystallization and reversion toward the temporary flat surfaces. Therefore, the feature height was reduced again. Variations in one heating and cooling cycle (20–50°C) caused the height change in the range of 60–70 nm.

Furthermore, the full recovery of pillar arrays having high AR has been reported to be dependent on the adhesion energy between neighboring pillars, which was affected by pillar spacing [30, 31]. Upon heating, simultaneous and uniform recovery of inclined pillar arrays can be achieved on the square lattice with \( s = 30 \mu m \). However, at the hexagonal lattice with \( s = 10 \mu m \), the recovery was localized because the pillars were in lateral contact. Similarly, if two identical sets of pillar arrays were pressed against each other, only when the adhesion energy between interweaved or indented pillars were small, and the full recovery of pillar arrays can be achieved. Therefore, the collapsed pillar arrays with \( a = 2 \) cannot recover at all, while at \( a = 3 \), pillars partially recovered upon heating.

The full quantification of SME of micro-/nanostructured polymer surfaces, i.e., the determination of \( R_r, R_t, \) and \( T_{sw} \), is a challenge. To date, most research is limited in the evaluation of \( R_r \) based on the height variation of the vertically deformed 2D and 3D structures or the angle change of the inclined 2.5D structures [32, 33, 51, 57]. The recovery ratio of 2D groove patterns made of acrylate-based SMP was quantified using the following equation:

\[
R_r = \frac{H_{\text{recovered}}}{H_{\text{permanent}}} \tag{4}
\]

where \( H_{\text{recovered}} \) and \( H_{\text{permanent}} \) are recovered and permanent heights of the grooves, which can be obtained from the cross-section profile of the atomic force microscopy (AFM) topography images [51]. The full-pattern recovery was achieved for all patterns having different \( H_{\text{permanent}} \) from 20 to 179 nm [51], suggesting that the size limitation of SME on structured surfaces could be reduced down to sub-100 nm. The recovery of 2.5 D pillar arrays made of a shape memory thermoplastic elastomer (Tecoflex 72D) was quantified as follows:

\[
R_r = \frac{\theta_{\text{temporary}} - \theta_{\text{recovered}}}{\theta_{\text{temporary}} - \theta_{\text{permanent}}} \tag{5}
\]

where, \( \theta \) represents pillar tilting angles in original (\( \theta_{\text{permanent}} = 90^\circ \)), deformed (\( \theta_{\text{temporary}} = 44^\circ \)), and recovered (\( \theta_{\text{recovered}} = 75^\circ \)) positions, measured from electronic scanning microscopy images [57]. A partial recovery, i.e., \( R = 67\% \), was obtained after the first deformation because the overload applied for the tilting could result in the permanent deformation [57]. In addition to direct measurements of the geometry variation during recovery, the transmittance of cPEVA film varied during recovery, which was related to the height variation of the microprism arrays, was also used to indirectly evaluate the recovery ratio, i.e., \( R = \frac{I_{\text{recovered}}}{I_{\text{original}}} \). Here, \( I_{\text{recovered}} \) and \( I_{\text{original}} \) stand for the light transmittance through the recovered and original films. \( R \) of 100% was observed, suggesting a complete microstructure recovery [50].

### 2.4. Applications of SMP Surface Structures at Micro-/Nanoscale

Upon applying the stimuli, the temporarily deformed structures recover to their permanent shapes, varying surface topography and thereby changing surface properties. Therefore, SMP-micro-/nanostructured surface can be used for cell culture substrates, switchable valves, and channels in microfluidics, dry adhesion, as well as micro-optics.

Cell culture substrates with defined structures are powerful tools to direct cell alignment, adhesion, and traction forces. SMP-structured substrates that enable the switch of surface structures have been reported as a new approach to provide dynamic topography to control cell behavior [32, 50, 56, 58]. The recovery force generated during the change in surface topography can be controlled more simply and precisely to examine cell behaviors by mimicking natural cellular environments [56]. It was demonstrated that the recovery of 2D groove patterns made of polyurethane-based SMP toward flat surfaces decreased the alignment of C3H/10T1/2 mouse embryonic fibroblasts, while the cell viability was not affected by the topography variation [58]. Similar results were also reported for human mesenchymal stem cells that switched from highly aligned to stellate-shaped morphology in response to the topography recovery from 2D groove patterns to flat surfaces [50]. Furthermore, 2.5D pillar arrays of allyl alcohol-plasticized crosslinked PCL-Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanocomposites, which can recover from a fully titled state at 32°C to the permanent 90° at 41°C, effectively influenced the adhesion, spread and alignment of rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells as well [56]. The recovery force and the variation in cell contact area provide complex mechanical environmental conditions for cells and trigger the process named as signal transduction, activating many signaling pathways and affecting their behaviors [56].

SMP-microstructured surfaces can also find themselves in the application of switchable and dry adhesions [30, 52, 57, 59]. To mimic the ability of attachment pads of lizards that can switch the reorientation of setae shaft angle and adjust the adhesive contact through a noncovalent interactions, 2.5D pillar arrays with a AR = 5 were produced from Tecoflex and programmed into tilted positions of 45° [57]. The adhesion force presented by the permanent vertical pillars was the highest (29.7 kPa), while that of the temporary deformed pillars was neglected. After the full shape recovery of the pillars to the titled positions at 75°, the adhesion force was restored (11.8 kPa), suggesting that SMP microstructures enabled a switchable adhesion. The interlocking
adhesion mechanism was also achieved by deforming two sets of identical 2.5D SMP pillar arrays with high AR against each other [30]. The pillar-pillar adhesion forces in both normal and shear manners were higher than the forces between pillars and flat surface as well as flat surface against flat surface. More importantly, the SMP offered an easy detachment, i.e., P and S dropped significantly to 4 and 8 N cm\(^{-1}\) because of the shape recovery and the modulus drop via heating to 80°C. In addition, 3D microtip arrays of SMP were pressed against a glass substrate directly, while the fixation of the microstructures provided a strong dry adhesion of 184 N cm\(^{-1}\), which reduced to \(\sim 3 \times 10^{-3}\) N cm\(^{-1}\) after the recovery at 90°C [52].

Surface topography plays a dominant role in determining surface wettability. SMP-microstructured surface, thus, enables the control of topography variation thereby tuning wettability [31, 54]. The deformed and original/recovered SMP 2.5D pillar arrays presented distinct water contact angle and droplet sliding angle. Recently, the polymer-microstructured surface having reversible SME has been used to demonstrate the modulation of wetting properties [54]. The elbow, boomerang, and cubic structures in their programmed states displayed the glycerol contact angle of \(\sim 80°\) at room temperature [31]. By increasing the temperature to 50°C, the structure height was increased. The contact angle, thus, was increased to 96°–105°. The cooling of the microstructured surface to 20°C decreased the reversible topography and accordingly reduced the contact angle back to 83°–88°.

The applications of SMPs for flexible, programmable, and shape-memorizing micro-optical devices have also been demonstrated [29, 51, 53]. The cPEVA film having microprism arrays that acted as a retroreflector for light that entered from the flat side of the film was programmed into flat surface that eliminated such reflection mechanism and thus made the film transparent [53]. The transmittance was increased from <0.8% for the original/recovered microprism arrays to >60% for the temporary state, as shown in Figure 7. An alternative approach to harass the microprism arrays for the purpose of tuning the transmittance was to stretch the films. As the strain increased from 0% to 400%, the transmittance increased from 0.8% to 18%. Furthermore, the deformed SMP-microstructured surfaces were heated by the arrays of transparent-resistive microheaters, selectively triggering the local recovery of the surface structures and providing additional capabilities in user-definable optics [53].

3. SMP Particles at Micro-/Nanoscale

3.1. Preparation of SMP Particles at Micro-/Nanoscale. The preparation of polymer particles at micro-/nanoscale is a routine topic of polymer science and technology [60–64]. Here, we only focus on the reported preparation method for polymer particles at micro-/nanoscale with SME. When mixing polymer droplets as one phase in an immiscible second phase, polymer micro-/nanoparticles can be found. A PPDL-PCL multiblock copolymer comprising poly(\(\varepsilon\)-caprolactone) (PCL) and poly(o-pentadecalactone) (PPDL) segments was dissolved in methylene chloride, and the solution was dispersed in aqueous solutions of different stabilizers [45, 46]. The shear forces during the dispersion determined the particle size, and microparticles can be achieved by solvent evaporation using magnetic stirring for 3 h. Spherical particles of photocrosslinked polymer networks with six-arm poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(\(\varepsilon\)-caprolactone) were also prepared using the oil-in-water emulsion technique [65]. Electrospaying technique was also used to create microparticles of SMPs consisting of poly(\(\varepsilon\)-caprolactone) (PCL) and poly(p-dioxanone) (PPDO) segments [66]. The applied high electric field deforms the liquid meniscus at the nozzle tip. The liquid meniscus forms a conical jet and further breaks into droplets due to electrostatic force. Processing parameters can be easily optimized to control the size and distribution of droplets.

Nonspherical SMP particles were prepared using templating methods as well [47, 67]. A general technique, Particle Replication in Nonwetting Templates (PRINT), was introduced to fabricate monodisperse particles having complex shapes [68, 69]. The only difference between the “PRINT” process and the imprint lithography that can be used to create permanent or temporary structures on SMP surface is that the precursors do not wet the substrate. The precursors, thus, dewet from the substrate and accumulate in mold cavities. Particles with complex shapes can be achieved after curing and being isolated. Poly(\(\varepsilon\)-caprolactone) (PCL) and poly(octylene adipate-co-meso-2,5-diozaoadipate)-based SMP particles were created using this method, and the shapes included cubes, cylinders, boomerangs, and H-shapes [67]. A similar approach was also reported [47]. Instead of autonomous dewetting, the remaining crosslinked film on the mold surface was removed using a tweezer [47]. The cube-shaped microparticles of poly(ethylene-co-(vinyl acetate)) were transferred to a glass slide.

3.2. SMCP of SMP Particles at Micro-/Nanoscale. Because it is a big challenge to program a single SMP particle practically, there is a need to achieve SMCP onto multiple SMP microparticles simultaneously. Lendlein and coworkers suggested an approach by embedding free-standing SMP particles in the matrix consisting of water-soluble polymers [45, 46]. As shown in Figure 8, the subsequent deformation, usually stretching, of the polymer matrix with embedded SMP particles varied their original spherical shape to temporary ellipsoid shape. The deformed particles can be removed by dissolving the polymer matrix in water. To date, this approach has been used by different groups as SMCP for different polymer particles [65, 70]. Poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) is soluble in water and has a high melting temperature, which is one candidate matrix material for SMCP of polymer particles. Pristine PVA, however, is brittle to be handled at room temperature. Plasticizer (usually glycerol) should be used to reduce the modulus of PVA during SMCP [45, 46].

Compression of SMP particles into temporary-compressed flat particles was widely used as well [47, 66, 71]. For spherical SMP particles, Zhang et al. applied a pressure of 100 or 0.2 MPa for 10 min to compress heated SMP particles into either a
cylinder (100 MPa) or a partial sphere geometry (0.2 MPa) [66]. The temperature was decreased by maintaining the pressure constant. The SMCP was finished by finally removing the pressure. Liu et al. performed the similar SMCP on microcuboids using microscopy glass slides [47]. The microcuboids on the first glass slide were heated and covered by the second glass slide. Foldback clips were mounted on the two glass slides for compression. After cooling, the SMCP was finished by removing the clips and the top cover slide.

3.3. Recovery Manner and Quantification of SMP Particles at Micro-/Nanoscale. Similarly, characterization of shape variation of SMP particles at micro-/nanoscale also depends

Figure 7: Transmittance variable soft films based on shape-memory polymers with programmable microprism arrays. This figure was modified from [53].

Figure 8: Schematic diagram of SMCP of SMP microparticles to a prolate ellipsoid shape followed by subsequent particle collection. This figure was modified from [45].
describe the shape recovery of microparticles as follows [45, 46]:

\[ AR_{r,AR} = \frac{AR_{\text{programmed}} - AR_{\text{recovered}}}{AR_{\text{programmed}} - AR_{\text{original}}} \]  

where \( R_{r,AR} \) represents recovery ratio of the aspect ratio, \( AR_{\text{original}} \) corresponds to the permanent spherical shape (\( AR = 1 \)), \( AR_{\text{programmed}} \) is \( AR \) of the temporary prolate ellipsoidal shape, and \( AR_{\text{recovered}} \) is \( AR \) of the same particle after shape recovery, respectively. Zhou et al. prepared polymer microparticles that can reversibly switch their shapes based on the polymer network containing six-arm PEG-PCL. The particles were deformed at 60°C using the PVA film. Reversible shape change between spherical and ellipsoidal was found between 43° and 0°C [65].

The height variation during recovery is used to evaluate the SME of temporarily compressed SMP particles, including both spherical shape and cuboid shape. The recovery ratio \( R_t \) can be defined by equation (7):

\[ R_t = \frac{H_t - H_p}{H_i - H_p} \]  

where the initial, programmed, and recovered height of the particles are \( H_i \), \( H_p \), and \( H_r \), respectively [47]. Liu et al. reported that the recovery ratio of microcuboids was related to the deformation ratio. They deformed microcuboids with a height of 10 \( \mu \)m into temporary height of 2.7 and 5.6 \( \mu \)m, respectively [47]. The \( R_t \)s were 42 ± 1% and 88 ± 1% for the one with high and low deformation, respectively. Zhang et al. compressed SMP microparticles into one free-standing macroscopic film that disintegrated after shape recovery [66]. Smart macroscopic films that can disintegrate on demand can be envisioned.

3.4. Applications of SMP Particles at Micro-/Nanoscale

Naturally occurring particles at micro-/nanoscale including cells, bacteria, and viruses usually have nonspherical and variable shapes and can adjust their biological functions. Nowadays, more and more research studies were focused on the physicochemical properties of these stimuli-responsive micro- and nanoparticles, such as size, shape, swelling, uniformity, surface morphology, and rigidity [73–80]. Great advances in polymer microparticles have been made especially in its application in drug delivery [74, 81, 82].

The shape-switching property of SMPs can potentially provide the functions of drug delivery and medical imaging for their ability to deliver the medical molecules to the targeted locations. The shape switch under thermal stimuli often exhibit one-way characters, which is an irreversible shape changing process that the particle shape changes from a temporary shape to a permanent shape under transition temperature \( T_{\text{trans}} \) [83–85]. To date, SMPs with two-way effect have aroused increasing research interest in the reversible shape switch under the environment stimulus [11, 86–92]. However, two-way shape memory effect (2W-SME) materials often suffer constant stress under the cooling-heating cycles and are difficult to be carried out [86–88]. Microparticles based on biocompatible and biodegradable network of six-arm poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(3-caprolactone) (6A PEG-PCL) were developed [65]. These polymeric microparticles could reversibly switch their shapes from spherical to elliptical both extracellularly or intracellularly in with the increasing and decreasing temperature from 43°C to 0°C. The shape changing is under the mechanism of reversible 2W-SME, which should be attributed to the reversible crystalline and melting under the thermal stimuli. The phagocytosis property could be controlled by tuning the aspect ratio of the particle.

Zhu and coworkers investigated the relationship between surface textures of polymer microparticles and their drug release property [72]. Poly(D,L-lactic-co-glycolide) (PLGA) and PLGA-b-poly(ethylene glycol) (PLGA-b-PEG) microparticles with uniform size and tunable surface structures were synthesized via the process combining the advantages of interfacial instabilities of emulsion droplet and polymer-blending strategy utilizing microfluidic technology. Solvent removal from the emulsion droplets is responsible for the interfacial instabilities and the appearance of proportions. The surface roughness of the particles could be adjusted by regulating the ratio of PLGA-b-PEG and PLGA in the blend, which determines the efficiency of drug loading and release kinetics of encapsulated hydrophobic paclitaxel. The particles with various roughness performed well cell viability and biocompatibility, showing the great potential in the area of fields of drug delivery and other biomedical applications.

Entanglement-based thermoplastic shape memory microparticles composed of poly(D,L-lactic acid) (PDLLA) and gold nanoparticles (Au@PDLLA hybrid microparticles) were developed (Figure 9) [70]. The shape-memory effect of the microparticles were indicated by their capability of keeping anisotropic shape at 37°C and recovery to a spherical shape at a temperature ranges from 37 to 45°C. By carefully regulating the stretching temperature, the property of shape memory and anisotropy could be imparted in the particles. The light-responsive nature of the gold nanoparticles encapsulated in the matrix provides the microparticles excellent spatiotemporal control of shape recovery for the photothermal heating. This shape memory system indicated promising potential for shape-switching drug delivery vehicles.

4. SMP Fibers at Micro-/Nanoscale

Another candidate SMP objects at micro/nanoscale to achieve the cyclic, thermomechanical test could be SMP micro/nanofibers, which can be produced by electrospinning [93–96]
or wet spinning [97, 98]. The shape-memory effect of fiber woven is usually investigated, and the woven can be used in smart textiles [99, 100] or biomedical fields [101, 102]. Although the SME of SMP woven is determined by knitted micro/nanofibers, physical entanglement and chemical connection play important roles as well. Therefore, the SME of a single micro/nanofiber is discussed here.

Regarding to the cyclic, thermodynamic test, the usage of atomic force microscopy (AFM) due to its high spatial resolution and force-sensing capabilities to obtain the modulus of polymer micro/nanofibers via the manner of three-point bending test has been fully developed in these decades [103–105]. This method enables an AFM cantilever tip to manipulate only a single polymer fiber, which suspends over a small trench, by applying a known force on its midpoint. Furthermore, with the combination of a hot stage, AFM can also allow a real-time investigation of polymer structures and properties while changing the temperature, which is also required for the cyclic, thermodynamic test [106, 107]. Fang et al. reported an AFM-based method that can apply the cyclic, thermomechanical test onto single, free-suspended polymer micro/nanofibers of aliphatic polyetherurethane, while unambiguously quantifying the full spectrum of their SMEs [48]. An AFM cantilever was used to program and fix a single free-suspended PEU fiber at the scale of ≈1 μm to a temporarily vertically bended shape. Subsequently, the stress-free and constant-strain recoveries of such programmed PEU microfiber were characterized in the real-time manner to thoroughly quantify the important characteristics of SMEs, while the temperature-memory effects (TMEs) of a single PEU microfiber were also examined. More importantly, this method was further modified to be performed onto PEU fibers at the scale of ≈100 nm, as well as to quantify their real-time constant-strain recoveries. The restoration of the original free-suspended shape with programming strains of 10±1% and 21±1% was realized upon heating [48].

Very recently, Poulin et al. developed shape memory nanocomposite fibers to use as unthethered high-energy microengines [108]. Fibers were twisted at the temperature above $T_p$, and the mechanical deformation was stored via rapid quenching. Upon heating, the fibers generated a gravimetric work capacity that is 60 times higher than that of natural skeletal muscles due to high torque with large angles of rotation.

5. Summary

The development of surface structures, particles, and fibers of SMPs at micro- and nanoscale expands the application fields of SMPs in cell culture substrates, switchable valves, and channels in microfluidics, dry adhesion, optical devices, drug delivery carriers, etc. The research to date has confirmed that SME of polymers with suitable chemical structures can be achieved at nanoscale and is similar to that at macroscale. Different shape-memory effects, including temporary memory effect, reversible shape-memory effect, and multishape effect, can also be realized. The preparations of permanent surface structures, particles, and fibers of SMPs are determined by conventional approaches, while SMCPs should be concerned to create temporary shapes of large amount of polymer surface structures and particles at micro- and nanoscale simultaneously. The observation and characterization of SME are still limited by microscopy techniques. The recovery of structures is relatively easy to record. The force variation during recovery now is still a big challenge. This field is still in progress, especially SMP particles. New functions should be included in SMP particles to satisfy different requirements.
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