Common evolutionary origins of mechanosensitive ion channels in Archaea, Bacteria and cell-walled Eukarya
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Summary The ubiquity of mechanosensitive (MS) channels triggered a search for their functional homologs in Archaea. Archaeal MS channels were found to share a common ancestral origin with bacterial MS channels of large and small conductance, and sequence homology with several proteins that most likely function as MS ion channels in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cell-walled organisms. Although bacterial and archaeal MS channels differ in conductive and mechanosensitive properties, they share similar gating mechanisms triggered by mechanical force transmitted via the lipid bilayer. In this review, we suggest that MS channels of Archaea can bridge the evolutionary gap between bacterial and eukaryotic MS channels, and that MS channels of Bacteria, Archaea and cell-walled Eukarya may serve similar physiological functions and may have evolved to protect the fragile cellular membranes in these organisms from excessive dilation and rupture upon osmotic challenge.
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Introduction
Mechanosensory transduction, the process by which mechanical energy exerted on cellular membranes is converted to electrical or biochemical signals, is believed to be one of the oldest physiological processes to have evolved in living organisms. At present, the molecular basis of mechanosensory transduction remains largely elusive despite its biological importance. Gated by mechanical force, mechanosensitive (MS) channels may serve as molecular transducers in various physiological processes including touch, hearing and proprioception in animals, turgor control and gravitaxis in plants, and osmoregulation in prokaryotes (Martinac 1993, Sackin 1995, Sachs and Morris 1998, Hamill and Martinac 2001). The patch-clamp recording technique (Hamill et al. 1981) made it possible to resolve unitary channel events in membranes of cells too small to be impaled with glass microelectrodes. This led to the identification of MS channels as molecular entities (Brehm et al. 1984, Goharay and Sachs 1984) in a variety of microorganisms including yeast (Gustin et al. 1988, Zhou and Kung 1992) and bacteria (Martinac et al. 1993).

Compared with voltage-dependent or ligand-gated channels, there is little knowledge available on the structure and function of, and the mutual relationships among, the wide range of MS channels found in living organisms. During the last decade, however, major progress has been made in the understanding of the biophysical principles and evolutionary origins of mechanosensory transduction by cloning and structural and functional characterization of several MS channels found in bacterial and archaeal cells (Hamill and Martinac 2001, Martinac 2001). In addition, it has recently been shown that bacterial and archaeal MS channels form a family of mechanosensitive membrane proteins descended from a common ancestor (Klova and Martinac 2001a). The aim of this review is to summarize this recent progress and show that a familial relationship established for MS channels in prokaryotes can be extended further to some eukaryotic organisms that, like bacteria and archaea, evolved a rigid cell wall to protect their plasma membrane from excessive strain.

Mechanosensitive channels in prokaryotic cells
Mechanosensitive channels have been studied extensively in both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria (Martinac et al. 1992, Martinac 1993, 2001, Zoratti and Ghazi 1993, Blount et al. 1999). The existence of MS channels in the cell membranes of Archaea (formerly Archaebacteria), the third domain of the universal phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) (Woese 1994, Pace 1997), was first documented in the archaeon Haloferax volcanii (formerly Halobacterium volcanii) (Le Dain et al. 1998), followed by the cloning and functional characterization of MS channels in Methanococcos janaschii and Thermoplasma sp. (Klova and Martinac 2001a, 2001b, 2001c). The existence of MS channels in archaeal and bacterial cell membranes suggests that this class of ion channels may have appeared early in the evolution of life on Earth (Martinac 2001).

Structure and function of bacterial MS channels
To date, the most detailed characterization of MS channels has been completed in Escherichia coli. This microbe harbors three distinct types of MS channels, named after their conductance and sensitivity to applied pressure: MscM (M for mini), MscS (S for small) and MscL (L for large) (Berrier et al. 1996) (Figures 2 and 3). The larger the conductance, the higher the...
membrane tension and consequently the higher the energy required for channel activation (Figure 4, Table 1). Provided that the geometric and electrical properties of the patch pipettes are kept constant, the free energy of activation ($\Delta G_0$) for an MS channel can be estimated as (Hamill and Martinac 2001):

$$\Delta G_0 = kTP_{1/2}\alpha,$$

where $\alpha$ is MS channel pressure sensitivity (mmHg$^{-1}$) (1 mmHg = 133 Pa), $P_{1/2}$ is the pressure required for a channel open probability of 50% ($P_o = 0.5$) (mmHg), $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is absolute temperature. Estimates of $\Delta G_0$ for MS channels characterized to date in various prokaryotic cells are shown in Table 1.

Bacterial MS channels were the first shown to sense directly membrane tension caused by external mechanical force (Martinac et al. 1990, Markin and Martinac 1991). Furthermore, the lipid bilayer alone suffices to transmit membrane tension, leading to channel gating. The role of bilayer tension in the gating mechanism (Hamill and McBride 1997) has been well documented for MS channels in bacteria (Martinac et al. 1990, Sukharev et al. 1993, 1994a, 1994b, 1999, Häse et al. 1995, Cruickshank et al. 1997) as well as in archaea (Le Dain et al. 1998, Kloda and Martinac 2001a, 2001b, 2001c). It has been shown recently that eukaryotic MS ion channels can also be gated by bilayer tension (Zhang et al. 2000). This suggests that gating of MS channels by mechanical force may have first evolved in cell-walled microorganisms and then been conserved in cells of higher eukaryotes (Hamill and Martinac 2001).
The large conductance MS channel of *E. coli* (MscL) was the first MS channel to be identified at the molecular level (Sukharev et al. 1994a, 1994b). Activation of MscL by bilayer tension enabled individual fractions collected during the purification of the protein from *E. coli* to be assayed for MS channel activity by the patch-clamp technique (Sukharev et al. 1994a, 1994b). Since the discovery of MscL, many homologous genes have been found in various gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria, as well as in the cyanobacterium *Synaechocystis* sp. (Sukharev et al. 1997, Moe et al. 1998).

The MscL protein comprises 136 amino acid residues. A hydropathy plot combined with secondary structure analysis indicated that the MscL monomer has two transmembrane α-helical domains (Sukharev et al. 1997, Oakley et al. 1999). An MscL-like structural motif of two transmembrane hydrophobic segments was found in a group of eukaryotic ion channels including the epithelial sodium channel (ENaC), the inward-rectifier potassium channel (Kir) and the ATP-gated (P2X) cation channel (North 1996). A three-dimensional oligomeric structure determination of the MscL homolog from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Tb-MscL) by X-ray crystallography to 3.5-Å resolution showed that the channel is a homopentamer (Chang et al. 1998) (Figure 5). These crystal structures revealed a transmembrane pore that ranges between 4 and 36 Å in diameter at the cytoplasmic and extracellular surfaces, re-
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Figure 4. Boltzmann distribution curves for MS channels in prokary-
ocytes. (A) MscS and MscL of Escherichia coli; based on Martinac (un-
published data) and Kloda and Martinac (2001d). (B) MscA1 and
MscA2 of Haloferax volcanii; adapted from LeDain et al. (1998). (C)
MscMJ and MscMJLR of Methanococcus jannaschii; adapted from
Kloda and Martinac (2001a, 2001b). (D) MscTA of Thermoplasma
acidophilum; based on Kloda and Martinac (2001c). The Boltzmann
function for MS channels relates applied negative pressure and open
probability (P_o) fitted by nonlinear regression and has the form:
P_o/(1 – P_o) = exp(α(p – p_0)), where p_0 is the pressure required for a
channel open probability of 50% and α is the slope of the plot of
ln(P_o/(1 – P_o)) versus negative pressure and describes the pressure
sensitivity of the channels in the particular patch. Values for Boltz-
mann parameters are given in Table 1. Note: 1 mmHg = 133 Pa.
Table 1. Summary of the Boltzmann characteristics and conductive properties for the known prokaryotic MS channels. The parameter values shown were compiled from data cited in the references listed. The conductive and mechanosensitive properties of these channels are further illustrated in Figures 3 and 4 (adapted from Kloda and Martinac 2001d). The diameter of the open channel pore was calculated according to a model proposed by Hille (1968) (Cruickshank et al. 1997). Note: 1 mmHg = 133 Pa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MS Channel</th>
<th>$p_{1/2}$ (mmHg)</th>
<th>$1/\alpha$ (mmHg)</th>
<th>$\Delta G_0 (kT)$</th>
<th>Conductance (nS)</th>
<th>Diameter pore (Å)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MscL</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4.4–5</td>
<td>14–19</td>
<td>3.3–3.8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Hiše et al. 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kloda and Martinac 2001c, 2001d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MscS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.97 (+ve)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Martinac et al. 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65 (–ve)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Martin (unpublished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kloda and Martinac 2001d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MscA1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.38 (+ve)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>LeDain et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68 (–ve)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MscA2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.85 (+ve)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>LeDain et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.49 (–ve)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MscMJ</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kloda and Martinac 2001a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MscMJLR</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.2 (+ve)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Kloda and Martinac 2001b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MscTA</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kloda and Martinac 2001c, 2001d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Recently, Booth and coworkers (Booth and Louis 1999, Levina et al. 1999) identified two genes on the E. coli chromosome, yggB and kefA, whose deletion abolished MscS activity in bacterial spheroplasts. The gene product YggB is a small membrane protein of 286 amino acids, whereas KefA is about five times larger (1120 amino acids) and is a multimeric membrane protein. The primary amino acid sequence of YggB strongly resembles that of the last two domains of the KefA protein.

The activity of MscM is encountered less frequently in membrane patches of giant E. coli spheroplasts than MscS or MscL activity (Cui et al. 1995, Berrier et al. 1996). The conductance of MscM is about half that of MscS, and MscM exhibits a slight preference for cations over anions (Berrier et al. 1989), in contrast to MscS and MscL. Like MscS and MscL, MscM is blocked by Gd$^{3+}$ (Berrier et al. 1992). Because all three channels can be activated by hypotonic solutions, they could all provide efflux pathways for various osmoprotectants in osmotically challenged bacteria (Ghazi et al. 1998). Booth and colleagues (Levina et al. 1999) provided compelling evidence for the role of MS channels in bacterial osmoregulation by showing that the presence of either MscS or MscL is essential to preserve the integrity of E. coli cells exposed to sudden change in medium osmolarity. When challenged osmotically, deletion mutants lacking either MscL or MscS alone survive osmotic downshock. Double mutants, however, which lack both MscL and MscS, die on transfer from a medium of high to a medium of low osmolality, suggesting that the third channel, MscM, is not sufficient to protect bacteria from lysis following osmotic downshock. These physiological responses provide evidence that the bacterial MS channels function as safety valves that protect the cells from bursting at transient, excessively high, cellular turgors.

Structure and function of archaeal MS channels

Archaea are unicellular prokaryotes that, like bacteria, have adapted to the extreme environments of certain habitats found on Earth (Barinaga 1994). They constitute a separate domain on the phylogenetic tree distinct from the domains of Bacteria and Eukarya (Figure 1) (Woese 1994, Pace 1997). The existence of ion channels in archaean cell membranes was first documented with the discovery of porins (Besnard et al. 1997) and MS channels (Le Dain et al. 1998) in the halophilic archaeon Haloferax volcanii. This was followed by molecular identification and functional characterization of MS channels in methanogenic Methanococcus jannaschii and thermophilic Thermoplasmata volcanium and Thermoplasmata acidophilum (Kloda and Martinac 2001a, 2001b, 2001c).

Two types of MS channels have been identified in the cell membrane of H. volcanii (Le Dain et al. 1998) (Figure 2): MscA1 and MscA2, (mechanosensitive channels of archaea). Both have large conductances and rectify with voltage (Figure 3). Both are blocked by sub-millimolar concentrations of Gd$^{3+}$, like the bacterial MS channels. The major property that they share with the bacterial MS channels is their activation by bilayer tension (Hamill and McBride 1997). Like bacterial MS channels, they can be solubilized by detergents and reconstituted into artificial liposomes while fully preserving their mechanosensitivity as observed in patch-clamp experiments. These channels exhibit a higher sensitivity to pressure (1.4–2.9 mmHg per e-fold change in open probability) (Le Dain et al. 1998) than MscL or MscS (approximately 5 mmHg per
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e-fold change) (Häse et al. 1995) (Table 1, Figure 4). The free energy of activation of MscA1 and MscA2, calculated from Equation 1, is about 14 and 29 \( kT \), respectively (Table 1). Like MscS and MscL, which have \( \Delta G_0 \) values of 7 and 14–19 \( kT \), respectively (Table 1), changes in cellular turgor may also activate the archaeal channels.

Recently, protein MJ0170 in the Methanococcus jannaschii genome (Bult et al. 1996) was found to contain a sequence that shares 38.5% identity with the TM1 transmembrane domain of E. coli MscL. This led to its functional characterization as an MS channel named MscMJ (Kloda and Martinac 2001a). The primary amino acid sequence of MscMJ also shares high homology with YggB, the protein underlying the activity of MscS in E. coli (Levina et al. 1999). Phylogenetic analysis of the MscMJ protein suggested that it evolved from a gene duplication event, followed by divergence of the mscL-like ancestral gene, which appears to be a common progenitor of bacterial and archaeal MS channels (Kloda and Martinac 2001a). The free energy of activation for MscMJLR (\( \Delta G_0 \approx 18 \) \( kT \)) estimated from the Boltzmann distribution function (Figure 4) is similar to the value of 14–19 \( kT \) for MscL in E. coli (Table 1). The hydrophobic profile of the first putative membrane-spanning domain (TM1) of MscMJLR more closely resembles the TM1 of MscL, which is the helix essential for the opening of the MscL pore by membrane tension (Yoshimura et al. 1999, Ajouz et al. 2000), than that of MscMJ (Figure 7). If the TM1 helix of MscMJ also lines the MscMJ channel pore, its more hydrophilic character compared with that of the MscMJLR TM1 helix (Figure 7) may underlie the much lower energy requirement (~5 \( kT \)) necessary to activate MscMJ compared with MscMJLR or MscL. In fact, the free energy required for activation of MscL approximates well the calculated energy required to expose a small hydrophobic surface area of the TM1 helices functioning as a channel gate to the ionic environment.
The presence of multiple MS channels in microbial cells indicates that they may be necessary for survival in environments with frequent osmotic challenges. The MS channel of *T. volcanium* is a 15-kDa membrane protein with a single-channel conductance of about 1.5 nS (Kloda and Martinac 2001). It was identified by a functional approach similar to that used for molecular identification of MscL (Sukharev et al. 1994a, 1994b). Like MscS of *E. coli* (Martinac et al. 1990), or mammalian TREK-1 K⁺ MS channels (Patel et al. 1998), the *T. volcanii* channel exhibits an increase in activation by negative pressure in the presence of the anionic amphipath TNP. Twenty N-terminal amino acid residues of this MS protein match with 75% identity the start of the open reading frame of a gene of the related archaeon *Thermoplasma acidophilum* that encodes another MS protein, MscTA (Kloda and Martinac 2001c). Computer-assisted secondary structure analysis of MscTA revealed two putative α-helical membrane-spanning regions, suggesting possible structural similarity to MscL. Like all currently known prokaryotic MS channels, the mechanosensitivity of MscTA complies with the bilayer model (Martinac et al. 1990, Hamill and McBride 1997); i.e., mechanical force transmitted via the lipid bilayer suffices to activate the channel. The free energy necessary for channel activation (ΔG₀ ≥ 35 kT) is, however, unusually high compared with other bacterial and archaeal MS channels (Table 1). A possible reason for such a high activation energy may be that unusually short transmembrane heli-
ces result in a hydrophobic mismatch between the MscTA helices and the surrounding bilayer that exceeds that for MscL (Kloda and Martinac 2001c). High negative pressures are also required for the activation of MscL homologs found in Synechocystis sp. and M. tuberculosis (Moe et al. 1998, 2000).

**Phylogeny of prokaryotic MS channels**

The finding that MS channels exist in organisms from all three domains of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) points toward an early evolutionary origin. Several authors have suggested that MS channels function as cellular osmoregulators by protecting cells from excessive osmotic stress occasionally exerted on their cytoplasmic membranes (Sachs 1988, Kung et al. 1990, Morris 1990, Martinac 1993, Kung and Saimi 1995, Sackin 1995). That the MS channels of Bacteria and Archaea have large conductances and generally lack ion specificity supports the view that they are involved in regulation of cellular turgor. This was unambiguously demonstrated for MscL and MscS of E. coli (Blount et al. 1997, Ou et al. 1997, Ajouz et al. 1998, Levina et al. 1999) and also indicated for MscMJ of M. jannaschii (Kloda and Martinac 2001a). The finding that MS channels of prokaryotes descend from a common MscL-like ancestor (Kloda and Martinac 2001a) (Figure 6A) provides further support for the notion of a common early evolutionary origin.

**Phylogeny of MS channels in Bacteria, Archaea and cell-walled Eukarya**

It was realized that the MS channels identified in archaea may be used as a stepping stone to trace the MscL-like ancestry to some of the eukaryotic MS ion channel genes. Therefore, MscMJ and MscMJLR were used as templates to extend our search for new members of the MS channel family into the eukaryotic domain. Comparative protein sequence analysis (BLAST) revealed that MscMJ and MscMJLR share sequence homology with the hypothetical proteins of the herbaceous plant A. thaliana (i.e., Q9LXA1, Q9C731 and Q9C6RO) and the yeast S. pombe (CAA21091 and CAB16377) (Figure 6B). Based on these findings, it is likely that these eukaryotic hypothetical proteins also function as MS channels and regulate turgor pressure in these organisms. It is satisfying to find homologs of prokaryotic channels in the eukaryotic domain because organisms that harbor them have all evolved a cell wall to protect the fragile lipid bilayer of their cytoplasmic membranes from excessive dilation and rupture. Thus, in the case of MS channels, Nature may have preserved homologous structures to perform similar functions.
Conclusions

Mechanosensitive ion channels have been documented in major cell types and show great diversity in terms of conductance, selectivity and voltage dependence, while sharing the property of gating by mechanical force exerted on cell membranes. Their discovery in archaea provided new insights into the phylogeny of these important membrane proteins; first by helping to identify the evolutionary relationship between bacterial and archaean MS channels; and currently showing that this relationship extends into the domain of eukaryotic cells.
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