Research Article

Different Residues on the Surface of the *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* MCM Helicase Interact with Single- and Double-Stranded DNA

Nozomi Sakakibara,^{1,2} Rajesh Kasiviswanathan,^{1,3} and Zvi Kelman⁴

¹ Center for Advanced Research in Biotechnology, University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute, 9600 Gudelsky Drive, Rockville, MD 20850, USA

² Laboratory of Viral Diseases, NIAID, NIH, 4 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

³ Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, 111 TW Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA

⁴ Department of Cell Biology and Molecular Genetics, Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research, University of Maryland, 9600 Gudelsky Drive, Rockville, MD 20850, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Nozomi Sakakibara, sakakibaran@niaid.nih.gov

Received 5 August 2010; Accepted 16 October 2010

Academic Editor: Y. Ishino

Copyright © 2010 Nozomi Sakakibara et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The minichromosome maintenance (MCM) complex is thought to function as the replicative helicase in archaea, separating the two strands of chromosomal DNA during replication. The catalytic activity resides within the C-terminal region of the MCM protein, while the N-terminal portion plays an important role in DNA binding and protein multimerization. An alignment of MCM homologues from several archaeal species revealed a number of conserved amino acids. Here several of the conserved residues located on the surface of the helicase have been mutated and their roles in MCM functions determined. It was found that some mutations result in increased affinity for ssDNA while the affinity for dsDNA is decreased. Other mutants exhibit the opposite effect. Thus, the data suggest that these conserved surface residues may participate in MCM-DNA interactions.

1. Introduction

The minichromosome maintenance (MCM) helicase is thought to function as the replicative helicase in eukarya and archaea. Most archaeal species contain a single MCM homologue with biochemical properties that are similar to the eukaryotic enzyme. Both archaeal and eukaryal MCM helicases exhibit ATP-dependent 3'-5' helicase activity, can bind and translocate along single-stranded (ss) and double-stranded (ds) DNA, unwind DNA-RNA hybrids while translocating on the DNA strand, and can displace proteins from DNA (reviewed in [1–3]). The archaeal MCM protein can be divided into three parts; the N-terminal region, the AAA+ catalytic core, and a C-terminal region that may form a helix-turn-helix (HTH) domain [4–7].

The three-dimensional structure of the N-terminal portion of the *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* and *Sulfolobus solfataricus* MCM proteins revealed a three domain architecture [4, 5]. Biochemical studies showed that domain A participates in regulating helicase activity, domain B participates in DNA binding, and domain C is involved in hexamer formation, DNA binding and communication between the N-terminal part and the catalytic domains (reviewed in: [1, 3]). Although the N-terminal portion of MCM is less conserved than the AAA+ region, an alignment of the N-terminal region from a number of archaeal species revealed the presence of several highly conserved residues, particularly in domain C (Figure 1(a)). Several of these residues have previously been reported to play an essential role in communicating between the N-terminal

<i>M. thermautotrophicus</i>	175	T	Q	T	L	K	L	Q	E	P	L	N	L	S	G	G	E	Q	P	R	Q	I	T	V	V	L	E	D	D	L	V	D	T	L	T	P	G	D	I	V	R	V	T	G	T	L
<i>P. torridus</i>	182	T	Q	K	L	E	I	Q	E	N	P	D	T	L	D	G	T	S	Q	P	Q	R	M	T	V	I	M	E	D	D	I	T	G	R	I	F	P	G	D	R	V	I	Y	G	I	L
<i>M. mazei</i>	178	A	Q	K	L	Q	I	Q	E	S	P	E	N	L	K	G	G	S	Q	P	Q	S	L	E	V	D	S	E	D	D	L	T	G	N	V	T	P	G	D	R	V	I	N	G	V	L
<i>S. tokodaii</i>	52	W	Q	K	A	V	I	Q	E	R	P	E	E	I	P	P	G	L	P	R	Q	L	E	V	V	F	E	D	D	L	V	D	S	A	R	P	G	D	R	V	K	I	V	G	I	L
<i>A. fulgidus</i>	66	S	Q	R	V	K	I	Q	E	Y	P	E	N	L	R	G	G	E	Q	P	Q	T	I	D	V	I	L	E	G	D	L	A	G	S	V	N	P	G	D	R	V	I	N	G	I	V
<i>Halobacterium.sp.NRC-1</i>	129	S	Q	K	L	R	I	Q	E	S	P	E	L	G	G	G	E	T	P	Q	S	I	D	V	H	V	E	D	D	A	T	G	N	V	T	P	G	D	H	V	T	V	T	G	V	L

FIGURE 1: Locations of the amino acids mutated in the study. (a) An alignment of the amino acid sequences of the region of domain C analyzed in the study. The residues mutated are shown in color. Q176 (green), P210 (magenta), G211 (blue), D212 (red), V214 (yellow), G218 (cyan). The accession numbers used are: *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus*, NP_276876; *Picrophilus torridus*, YP_023995; *Methanosarcina mazei*, NP_633860; *Sulfolobus tokodaii*, NP_376352; *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*, NP_069353; *Halobacterium*, NP_280836. (b) Side and top views of the three-dimensional structure of the dodecameric N-terminal portion of the *M. thermautotrophicus* MCM protein (PDB ID: 1LTL [4]) are shown. The mutated residues are highlighted in colors as in (a). (c) Side and top views of the three-dimensional structure of the monomeric N-terminal portion of the *M. thermautotrophicus* MCM protein (PDB ID: 1LTL [4]) are shown. The mutated residues are highlighted in color as in (a).

were dialyzed at room temperature against buffer containing 20 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl and 10% glycerol. The protein concentrations were determined using an absorbance at 280 nm and a calculated extinction coefficient of A_{280} of $28730 \text{ cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{M}^{-1}$. The solution outside the dialysis bag was retained and used as reference for the experiments.

DSC measurements were performed using a VP-DSC Microcalorimeter from Microcal Inc. (Northampton, MA). The volumes of the solution and the reference vessel were 0.511 ml and the scan was either at slow rate (15 K hr^{-1}) or medium rate (60 K hr^{-1}), with the temperature ranging from 25–85°C. Since the scans of protein samples were irreversible, the second scan for each sample was used as the baseline.

TABLE 1: DSC analyses of wild-type and mutant proteins.

	T_m ave ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	ΔH_{VH} (kJ/mole)	ΔH_{cal} (kJ/mole)
Wild-type	$66.2 \pm 1.7^*$	1246.8 ± 159.0	530.6 ± 3.1
Q176A	66.2 ± 0.8	886.0 ± 25.2	248.2 ± 56.1
P210G	66.1 ± 0.2	1003.7 ± 89.5	242.7 ± 135.5
G211A	67.2 ± 0.2	1105.5 ± 21.9	335.5 ± 120.1
PG210,211GA	$65.6 \pm 1.1^*$	1137.5 ± 64.3	174.8 ± 60.3
D212N	68.1 ± 0.3	1191.4 ± 370.0	373.7 ± 156.5
V214A	65.7 ± 0.7	1066.0 ± 168.3	393.1 ± 142.2
G218A	65.9 ± 0.9	675.9 ± 136.4	425.8 ± 205.2

* Average of medium and slow scan.

After subtraction of the baseline from the protein scan, the resulting raw data of differential powers as a function of time were divided by the scan rate to convert into a heat capacity as a function of temperature. Utilizing the EXAM program [15], a two state, $A \rightleftharpoons B$, transition model was then fitted to the heat capacity as a function of temperature scan to determine the van't Hoff enthalpy (ΔH_{VH}) for the scan from the shape of the transition peak; a transition temperature (T_m), and a calorimetric transition enthalpy (ΔH_{cal}) was calculated from the area under the transition peak (mJ) divided by the moles of protein in the sample cell (concentration of protein $\times$ 0.511 ml). DSC scans on the samples were repeated twice.

3. Results

3.1. Mutations of the Conserved Residues Do Not Affect the Overall Structure of the MCM Proteins. In order to gain useful information from a mutant enzyme it is necessary to show that the mutation did not affect the overall structure of the molecule. All residues studied except G218 are located on the surface of the N-terminal part of MCM protein (Figure 1(b)) and thus are not expected to substantially alter the overall structure of the molecule. As shown in Figure 2 this indeed is the case. The size of all mutant proteins is similar to that of the wild-type enzyme (Figure 2(a)) although some mutant proteins elute earlier suggesting that they may have a greater tendency to form filaments. It was previously shown that the filaments are in equilibrium with the dodecameric and hexameric forms in a concentration-dependent manner [16]. The mutation may change the equilibrium between the different forms. According to the CD analysis, all mutant proteins retain similar overall secondary structure suggesting that the mutations introduced do not likely impact the overall structure of the proteins (Figure 2(b)). In addition, DSC analysis shows that all mutant proteins have similar thermal stability as the wild-type enzyme although the heat capacity change varies (Table 1). It is not clear what contributes to the large deviations and differences in the heat capacity change. Nevertheless, taken together, these results suggest that the mutations do not substantially alter the structures of the proteins.

3.2. The MCM Mutant Proteins Retain Helicase Activity. After establishing that the mutant proteins retain their overall structure, the effect of each mutation on MCM helicase activity was determined. As shown in Figure 3(a), most mutant proteins show wild-type enzyme-like activity on a forked DNA substrate. The exception is the D212N mutant enzyme, which decreases in activity as the concentration of the enzyme increases. It has been previously shown that MCM proteins are more active on forked substrate in comparison to substrate that contains only a 3'-overhang ssDNA region (flat substrate) (e.g., see: [11]). This difference in activity was previously used to analyze the effect of mild mutation on MCM helicase activity (e.g., [8]). Thus, helicase assays were also performed with flat substrate. As shown in Figure 3(b), the P210G, G211A, and PG210,211GA mutant enzymes have reduced helicase activity, particularly at low enzyme concentration. The D212N mutant protein also exhibits reduced helicase activity on a flat substrate but with no inhibition of helicase activity at high protein concentration (Figure 3(b)).

3.3. Mutations in the MCM Protein Affect DNA Binding. The data presented in Figure 3 show that several mutant enzymes have reduced helicase activity. This could be due to reduced DNA binding or reduced ATP hydrolysis as both are needed for DNA unwinding. To test this, DNA binding and ATPase assays were performed with the wild-type and mutant enzymes. All mutant proteins showed lower binding to dsDNA in comparison to the wild-type protein (Figure 4(a)). In particular, G218A showed $\sim 25\%$, and Q176A, P210G, and G211A showed $\sim 50\%$ reduction compared to the duplex binding of the wild-type enzyme. When the ability of the mutant proteins to bind ssDNA it was found that the P210G, G211A or PG210,211GA mutant enzymes showed better binding than the wild-type protein while the D212N and Q176A exhibit reduced ability to bind ssDNA (Figure 4(b)). However, regardless their ability to bind DNA all proteins possess helicase activity (Figure 3), in particular the Q176A mutant enzyme which has helicase activity indistinguishable from the wild-type MCM on forked and flat substrate (Figure 3) but exhibits reduced ability to bind DNA (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). It was previously shown that ATP enhances ssDNA binding by *M. thermautotrophicus* MCM [17, 18] and

FIGURE 2: MCM mutant proteins retain their overall structural integrity. (a) Gel filtration analysis of wild-type and mutant proteins was performed as described in “Section 2”. The elution positions of size markers are shown: Thyroglobulin (Thy, 670,000 Da), ferritin (Fer, 440,000 Da), albumin (Alb, 67,000 Da) and ovalbumin (Ova, 45,000 Da). (b) Circular dichroism measurements were performed as described in “Section 2”.

FIGURE 3: Helicase activity by the mutant MCM proteins. Helicase assays of wild-type and mutant MCM proteins were performed as described in “Section 2” with 10 fmol of forked (a) or flat (b) DNA substrates. In (a) the protein concentrations used were 3, 9 and 27 nM (as monomer) while in (b) they were 10, 30, and 90 nM (as monomer). The average results of three independent experiments with standard deviations are shown.

therefore the effect of ATP on ssDNA binding by the mutant enzymes were evaluated (Figure 4(c)). In the presence of ATP all proteins exhibit similar DNA binding activity. The ability of the mutant proteins to bind ssDNA in the presence of ATP may explain why some mutant proteins had wild-type helicase activity while no measurable ssDNA binding could be detected in the absence of ATP.

3.4. Diverse Effect of MCM Mutations on ATPase Activity. MCM proteins, including *M. thermautotrophicus* MCM,

utilize ATP hydrolysis to translocate along DNA. The ATPase activity of *M. thermautotrophicus* MCM is stimulated in the presence of DNA [19–21]. The helicase and DNA binding experiments described above suggest that ATPase activity may be impaired in some of the mutants. As shown in Figure 5, the P210G, G211A, and PG210,211GA mutant proteins exhibit much greater (>10 fold) basal ATPase activity (in the absence of DNA) compared to the wild-type enzyme. Stimulation by DNA cannot be assessed for these proteins as maximal ATPase activity levels are present in the

FIGURE 4: ss and dsDNA binding by the mutant MCM proteins. Nitrocellulose filter binding assays were performed as described under “Section 2” using 50 fmol of 32 P-labeled ds (a) or ss (b) DNA (49-mer) in the presence of 10, 30, and 90 nM of proteins (as monomer). (c) ssDNA binding assay contained 25 nM protein (as monomer) in the absence or presence of 1 mM ATP. The average results of three independent experiments with standard deviations are shown.

absence of DNA. It is interesting to note, however, that those three mutant proteins (P210G, G211A, and PG210,211GA) also exhibit better ssDNA binding in comparison to the wild-type enzyme (Figure 4(b)).

3.5. The Cdc6 Protein Inhibits the Helicase Activity of MCM Mutant Enzymes. The residues studied could also play a role in protein-protein interactions. The archaeal Cdc6 proteins were shown to interact with MCM and to inhibit helicase activity [11, 22, 23]. The *M. thermautotrophicus* genome contains two Cdc6 homologues, Cdc6-1 and Cdc6-2, and both proteins were shown to inhibit MCM helicase activity [11]. It is possible that the surface residues mutated in the current study interact with the Cdc6 protein. To address this possibility the effect of Cdc6 proteins on the helicase activity by the mutant proteins was evaluated. As shown in supplementary Figure 1 Cdc6 proteins inhibit helicase activity of all mutant proteins to a similar extent suggesting that the mutated residues are not needed for the interaction with the Cdc6 protein.

4. Discussion

The role of several conserved residues in the *M. thermautotrophicus* MCM protein was examined using mutant enzymes. Mutations P210G, G211A and PG210,211GA exhibit elevated DNA binding (Figure 4) and ATPase activity (Figure 5). The P210 and G211 are located on the surface of domain C where they face the AAA+ catalytic domains in close proximity to helix 2 [3, 7]. Deletion of part of helix 2, known as the helix 2 insertion (H2i), resulted in an enzyme with no helicase activity and increased affinity for DNA [24]. It is possible that mutations of P210 and G211 affect the local structure of helix 2 and/or H2i. These local alterations are likely to be mild, as helicase activity could be detected with all three mutant enzymes (P210G, G211A, and PG210, 211GA).

While the P210G, G211A, and PG210,211GA mutant enzymes demonstrated increased ssDNA binding they exhibit reduction in binding to dsDNA (Figure 4). The Q176A and D212N mutant proteins, on the other hand, exhibited the opposite effect, showing better binding to

FIGURE 5: ATPase activity by the mutant MCM proteins. ATPase activities of wild-type and mutant MCM proteins were determined as described in “Section 2” using 30 nM of MCM (as monomer) in the presence or absence of 50 ng of ssDNA. The average results of three independent experiments with standard deviations are shown.

dsDNA than to ssDNA (Figure 4). The MCM protein may adopt a particular conformation when it binds ssDNA and a different one when it binds dsDNA. The P210G, G211A, and PG210,211GA mutations may cause the MCM proteins to adopt a conformation that favors binding to ssDNA while the Q176A and D212N may stabilize the other conformation.

The reduction in ssDNA binding observed with the Q176A mutant protein could be restored in the presence of ATP (Figure 4(c)). Furthermore, in size exclusion chromatography, the Q176A mutant protein showed a relatively broad elution profile (Figure 2(a)), suggesting that the mutation may cause destabilization of the dodecameric ring. Q176 is located at the end of the β -sheet 7 (β 7), which runs across domains B and C. Domain B was implicated in both DNA binding via the Zinc finger [10, 18] and dodecamer formation [16, 25]. It is possible that mutating Q176A may affect the structure and/or orientation of domain B in relation to the rest of the molecule thus affecting both DNA binding and the stability of the dodecamer.

It is well established that the positively charged residues inside the central cavity of the hexameric MCM are responsible for both ss and dsDNA binding [4, 26]. It has also been reported that the helicase interacts with DNA via residues that are located on the surface of the MCM [27, 28]. Although it is not yet clear how, or where, the MCM protein binds to ss or dsDNA outside of the central cavity, the data presented here may suggest that the interactions, at least in part, are via P210, G211, and Q176. The data also suggest that this interaction on the surface of MCM may play a regulatory role in switching between ss and dsDNA binding. These interactions on the surface of the molecule, however, are not essential for helicase activity *in vitro*. In the future, when the methods are developed, it will be of interest to study the effect of these mutations *in vivo*.

Abbreviations

CD: Circular dichroism,
DSC: Differential scanning calorimetry,
dsDNA: Double-stranded DNA,
MCM: Minichromosome maintenance,
ssDNA: Single-stranded DNA.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Dr. Lori Kelman for her comments on the paper. This work was supported by a Grant from the NSF (MCB-0815646) awarded to Z. Kelman.

References

- [1] N. Sakakibara, L. M. Kelman, and Z. Kelman, “Unwinding the structure and function of the archaeal MCM helicase,” *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 286–296, 2009.
- [2] A. Costa and S. Onesti, “Structural biology of MCM helicases,” *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 326–342, 2009.
- [3] A. S. Brewster and X. S. Chen, “Insights into the MCM functional mechanism: lessons learned from the archaeal MCM complex,” *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 243–256, 2010.
- [4] R. J. Fletcher, B. E. Bishop, R. P. Leon, R. A. Sclafani, C. M. Ogata, and X. S. Chen, “The structure and function of MCM from archaeal *M. thermoautotrophicum*,” *Nature Structural Biology*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 160–167, 2003.
- [5] W. Liu, B. Pucci, M. Rossi, F. M. Pisani, and R. Ladenstein, “Structural analysis of the *Sulfolobus solfataricus* MCM protein N-terminal domain,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 3235–3243, 2008.
- [6] A. S. Brewster, G. Wang, X. Yu et al., “Crystal structure of a near-full-length archaeal MCM: functional insights for an AAA+ hexameric helicase,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 105, no. 51, pp. 20191–20196, 2008.
- [7] B. Bae, Y.-H. Chen, A. Costa et al., “Insights into the architecture of the replicative helicase from the structure of an archaeal MCM homolog,” *Structure*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 211–222, 2009.
- [8] N. Sakakibara, R. Kasiviswanathan, E. Melamud, M. Han, F. P. Schwarz, and Z. Kelman, “Coupling of DNA binding and helicase activity is mediated by a conserved loop in the MCM protein,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1309–1320, 2008.
- [9] E. R. Barry, J. E. Lovett, A. Costa, S. M. Lea, and S. D. Bell, “Intersubunit allosteric communication mediated by a conserved loop in the MCM helicase,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 106, no. 4, pp. 1051–1056, 2009.
- [10] R. Kasiviswanathan, J.-H. Shin, E. Melamud, and Z. Kelman, “Biochemical characterization of the *Methanothermobacter thermoautotrophicus* minichromosome maintenance (MCM) helicase N-terminal domains,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 27, pp. 28358–28366, 2004.
- [11] J.-H. Shin, B. Grabowski, R. Kasiviswanathan, S. D. Bell, and Z. Kelman, “Regulation of minichromosome maintenance helicase activity by Cdc6,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 39, pp. 38059–38067, 2003.

- [12] J.-H. Shin and Z. Kelman, "The replicative helicases of bacteria, archaea, and eukarya can unwind RNA-DNA hybrid substrates," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 281, no. 37, pp. 26914–26921, 2006.
- [13] K. McEntee, G. M. Weinstock, and I. R. Lehman, "recA protein-catalyzed strand assimilation: stimulation by *Escherichia coli* single-stranded DNA-binding protein," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 857–861, 1980.
- [14] J. H. Shin, Y. Jiang, B. Grabowski, J. Hurwitz, and Z. Kelman, "Substrate requirements for duplex DNA translocation by the eukaryal and archaeal minichromosome maintenance helicases," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 49, pp. 49053–49062, 2003.
- [15] W. H. Kirchhoff, "Exam: A Two-State Thermodynamic Analysis Program," Tech Note 1401, pp. 1–103, NIST, 1993.
- [16] J.-H. Shin, G.-Y. Heo, and Z. Kelman, "The *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* MCM helicase is active as a hexameric ring," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 284, no. 1, pp. 540–546, 2009.
- [17] Z. Kelman, S. Pietrovski, and J. Hurwitz, "Isolation and characterization of a split B-type DNA polymerase from the archaeon *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* ΔH ," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 274, no. 40, pp. 28751–28761, 1999.
- [18] A. Poplawski, B. Grabowski, S. E. Long, and Z. Kelman, "The zinc finger domain of the archaeal minichromosome maintenance protein is required for helicase activity," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, no. 52, pp. 49371–49377, 2001.
- [19] J. P. J. Chong, M. K. Hayashi, M. N. Simon, R.-M. Xu, and B. Stillman, "A double-hexamer archaeal minichromosome maintenance protein is an ATP-dependent DNA helicase," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 1530–1535, 2000.
- [20] Z. Kelman, J.-K. Lee, and J. Hurwitz, "The single minichromosome maintenance protein of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* ΔH contains DNA helicase activity," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 96, no. 26, pp. 14783–14788, 1999.
- [21] D. F. Shechter, C. Y. Ying, and J. Gautier, "The intrinsic DNA helicase activity of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* ΔH minichromosome maintenance protein," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 20, pp. 15049–15059, 2000.
- [22] R. Kasiviswanathan, J.-H. Shin, and Z. Kelman, "Interactions between the archaeal Cdc6 and MCM proteins modulate their biochemical properties," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 33, no. 15, pp. 4940–4950, 2005.
- [23] M. De Felice, L. Esposito, B. Pucci et al., "Biochemical characterization of a CDC6-like protein from the crenarchaeon *Sulfolobus solfataricus*," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 47, pp. 46424–46431, 2003.
- [24] E. R. Jenkinson and J. P. J. Chong, "Minichromosome maintenance helicase activity is controlled by N- and C-terminal motifs and requires the ATPase domain helix-2 insert," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 103, no. 20, pp. 7613–7618, 2006.
- [25] R. J. Fletcher, J. Shen, Y. Gómez-Llorente, C. San Martín, J. M. Carazo, and X. S. Chen, "Double hexamer disruption and biochemical activities of *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* MCM," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 51, pp. 42405–42410, 2005.
- [26] R. J. Fletcher, J. Shen, L. G. Holden, and X. S. Chen, "Identification of amino acids important for the biochemical activity of *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* MCM," *Biochemistry*, vol. 47, no. 38, pp. 9981–9986, 2008.
- [27] E. Rothenberg, M. A. Trakselis, S. D. Bell, and T. Ha, "MCM forked substrate specificity involves dynamic interaction with the 5'-tail," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 282, no. 47, pp. 34229–34234, 2007.
- [28] A. Costa, G. van Duinen, B. Medagli et al., "Cryo-electron microscopy reveals a novel DNA-binding site on the MCM helicase," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 27, no. 16, pp. 2250–2258, 2008.