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Saturated fatty acids (SFAs) are known to suppress ruminal methanogenesis, but the underlying mechanisms are not well known. In the present study, inhibition of methane formation, cell membrane permeability (potassium efflux), and survival rate (LIVE/DEAD staining) of pure ruminal *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium* (DSM 1093) cell suspensions were tested for a number of SFAs. Methane production rate was not influenced by low concentrations of lauric (C12; 1 μg/mL), myristic (C14; 1 and 5 μg/mL), or palmitic (C16; 3 and 5 μg/mL) acids, while higher concentrations were inhibitory. C12 and C14 were most inhibitory. Stearic acid (C18), tested at 10–80 μg/mL and ineffective at 37°C, decreased methane production rate by half or more at 50°C and ≥50 μg/mL. Potassium efflux was triggered by SFAs (*C*12 = *C*14 > *C*16 > *C*18 = control), corroborating data on methane inhibition. Moreover, the exposure to *C*12 and *C*14 decreased cell viability to close to zero, while 40% of control cells remained alive after 24 h. Generally, tested SFAs inhibited methanogenesis, increased cell membrane permeability, and decreased survival of *M. ruminantium* in a dose- and time-dependent way. These results give new insights into how the methane suppressing effect of SFAs could be mediated in methanogens.

1. Introduction

Methane (CH4) as a potent greenhouse gas is among the most important drivers of compositional changes of atmospheric gas and thus global warming [1]. Agricultural CH4 emissions account for about 50% of total CH4 from anthropogenic sources, where the single largest one is from enteric fermentation in ruminant livestock [2]. Methane is generated by a subgroup of the Archaea, the methanogens, which are, in the ruminant’s fore-stomach (rumen), dominated by *Methanobrevibacter* [3]. At undisturbed rumen function, proteins and polymeric carbohydrates as main components of the diet are degraded by microorganisms and fermented mainly to volatile fatty acids (VFAs), ammonia, hydrogen (H2), and carbon dioxide (CO2). Ruminal methanogens primarily utilize H2 as energy source to reduce CO2 to CH4 in a series of reactions that are coupled to ATP synthesis [4, 5]. As CH4 cannot be utilized in the metabolism of the animal, ruminal methanogenesis also impairs feed conversion efficiency and represents a significant waste of energy (2% to 12% of energy intake; [6]).

Therefore, inhibition of ruminal methanogenesis should be approached by various interventions. Among the most effective are dietary medium- and long-chain saturated fatty acids (SFAs). Nonesterified lauric acid (C12) was reported to have a particularly high potential in suppressing ruminal methanogenesis, followed by myristic acid (C14) [7–9]. By contrast, long-chain SFAs (LCFAs) such as palmitic acid (C16) and stearic acid (C18) were not effective in suppressing ruminal methanogenesis in vitro [7, 10]. The production of CH4 by pure, growing, cultures of *M. ruminantium*, a dominant ruminal methanogen [3], was found to be inhibited by the addition of unsaturated [11, 12] and saturated medium-chain (C12–C16; [12]) fatty acids. When testing the nonruminal methanogens *Methanothermobacter thermoautotrophicus* and *Methanococcus voltae*, C12 and C14 were found to inhibit methanogenesis as well [13]. However, systematic studies on dose-response relationships with SFAs on methanogenesis in pure ruminal methanogen cultures are missing. Besides, it is unclear why long-chain SFAs do not inhibit methanogenesis and if this is related to the low solubility of these long-chain SFAs at temperatures below 40°C [13, 14]. Furthermore,
although fatty acids (FAs) are known to have antimicrobial and cytotoxic properties [15] and are used by a wide range of organisms like humans [16], molluscs [17], and brown algae [18] to defend against pathogens, the mechanisms which lead to the inhibition effect are still not definitely known. Several mechanisms have been proposed [15]. The primary target of the action seems to be the microbial cell membrane and various essential processes that occur within and at the membrane [15]. Fatty acids, including C_{12}, C_{14}, C_{16}, and C_{18}, have been shown to pass protein-free phospholipid bilayers in their unionized form [19]. Saturated and unsaturated fatty acids may be adsorbed by bacterial cell membranes [20], damage the bacterial cell membrane as determined by loss of potassium (K\(^+\)) [21], ATP, and proteins [16] and by electron microscopy [22, 23], and play a role in cell death [22, 24, 25]. As the composition of the cell envelope of methanogens is fundamentally different from the bacterial cell envelope, and the methanogens are phylogenetically and physiologically distinct from all other cell types [26], the mechanisms of FA action on methanogens may differ from that valid for other organisms. However, since the methanogen cell envelope normally acts as a diffusion barrier between the cytoplasm and the extracellular medium, it might also represent a key point for the identification of inhibitor targets. Therefore, we hypothesized that membrane integrity is disturbed and leakage of cell metabolites including inorganic ions such as K\(^+\) occurs through the interaction of the SFA with the cell membrane lipids and that this results in an impaired cell survival. Like in most prokaryotes, K\(^+\) is accumulated in the cytoplasm of methanogens in exchange for Na\(^+\) [27].

In the present study, pure cultures of *M. ruminantium* were treated with pure nonesterified SFAs in order to exclude all confounding factors such as interactions between feed, minerals, and microbes occurring *in vivo* or with rumen fluid *in vitro*. The aims of the present study were (i) to investigate the relationship between SFA type and dosage and the inhibition of methanogenesis in nongrowing cultures, that is, cell suspensions, and (ii) to get first insights into the modes of action underlying in this process. In detail, K\(^+\) efflux was used as an indicator of membrane integrity. Finally, cell survival was monitored using the LIVE/DEAD BacLight Kit which has been successfully used in Archaea before [28, 29].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Strain and Growth Conditions. A pure culture of *M. ruminantium* M1 (DSM 1093) was obtained from the “Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen,” Braunschweig, Germany. It was anaerobically cultivated in the strain-specific cultivation medium 119 prepared according to DSMZ (http://www.dsmz.de) in 120 mL serum bottles, which were sealed with butyl rubber stoppers (20 mm size; 2048-11800, Belco, Vineland, USA) and aluminum seals (2048-11020, Belco). Reagents for the media were dissolved in boiled oxygen-deprived distilled water and stirred on a magnetic stirrer overnight in an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratory Products, Grass Lake, USA). Heat stable solutions of media ingredients were sterilized in a batch autoclave (Sauter, Belimed Sauter AG, Sulgen, Switzerland) for 20 min at 121\(^\circ\)C. Heat susceptible solutions, that is, vitamins, sodium formate, and SFA, were filtered through a 0.2\(\mu\)m Minisart-plus filter (Sartorius AG, Göttingen, Germany). Ruminal fluid was obtained from a rumen-cannulated cow, filtered through four layers of medicinal gauze (REF 200137, Novamed, Jerusalem, Israel) and then centrifuged twice for 15 min at 4,000 \(\times\) g (Varifuge K, Heraeus, Osterode, Germany). The supernatant was adjusted to pH 7.0 with HCl and NaOH, gassed with \(N_2\) to an atmospheric pressure of 150 kPa, autoclaved, and stored at \(-20^\circ\) C for up to 6 months, before being used to prepare the media. Aliquots of the prepared medium were filled into 250-mL bottles, closed with rubber septa, gassed with \(N_2\) to atmospheric pressure of 250 kPa, autoclaved, and stored either at 4\(^\circ\)C for 8 weeks or at –20\(^\circ\)C for up to 6 months before being used. *M. ruminantium* was grown under atmospheric pressure of 250 kPa of a CO\(_2\)/H\(_2\) mixture (20:80) (Pangs AG, Dagmarsellen, Switzerland). The gas mixture in the headspace was renewed every 24 h and 3 mL precultures were transferred to 27 mL fresh medium every four days. The culture bottles were incubated in horizontal position in an incubation shaker (Incu Shaker 10 L, Benchmark, Korea) at 37\(^\circ\)C with a shaking speed of 150 rpm. Growth of the cultures was monitored by recording CH\(_4\) production, gas consumption, and optical density. A volume of 0.15 mL of gas was collected from the headspace of the cultivation bottle with a gas-tight syringe (Hamilton, model 1725/RN 250 mL, Fisher Scientific AG, Wohlen, Switzerland), and its CH\(_4\) concentration was analyzed with a gas chromatograph (model 6890N, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector operated at 250\(^\circ\)C and a 234 mm \(\times\) 23 mm column (80/100; 166 mesh; Porapak Q, Fluka Chemie AG, Buchs, Switzerland). Overpressure in the cultivation bottles was detected with a manometer (GDH 200-13, Greisinger Electronic GmbH, Regenstauf, Germany). One milliliter of culture liquid was collected in acrylic absorption cuvettes (1 cm path length; (VWR, Leuven, Germany)), and its optical density was measured at 600 nm (OD\(_{600}\)) with a UV-160A recording spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The growth phases distinguished were lag, exponential, and death phase. Prior to each experiment, methanogens were inoculated into fresh medium with 3 mL of pre-culture in their early to mid-exponential growth phase.

2.2. Experiment 1. Lauric acid, C\(_{14}\), C\(_{16}\), and C\(_{18}\) (≥97% purity) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland, to be used as experimental supplements. Stock solutions were prepared by dissolving the SFA in the sterile-filtered solvent dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich) to reach concentrations of 1, 3, 5, 10, and 30 mg/mL (C\(_{12}\) to C\(_{16}\)) as well as 50 and 80 mg/mL (C\(_{18}\)). They were stored at room temperature before supplementation. The C\(_{18}\) solution to be applied later at 50\(^\circ\)C was heated to 50\(^\circ\)C before use.

As OD\(_{600}\) was used to estimate cell dry matter (DM) concentration in growing cultures prior to harvesting, a regression line between OD\(_{600}\) and cell DM concentration was established before the start of the experiment. Seventeen bottles of medium were prepared and inoculated with *M. ruminantium* as described before. From three bottles each, 21 mL of culture liquid were collected after 24, 48, 53,
72, 77, and 96 h covering the development from the early exponential growth phase to the stationary phase. Therefore, 1 mL was used for measurement of OD_{600}, and 20 mL was dried at 70°C to constant weight in a 50 mL Falcon tube after the wet weight had been recorded in order to calculate culture DM content. The regression curve established from in total 17 OD/DM pairs (OD range: 0.348 to 0.986) was linear and reads DM (mg/mL) = 7.6092 × OD_{600} + 0.4754 (R² = 0.95). This relationship was used to adjust and equalize cell DM concentration in cell suspensions.

In order to prepare the experimental cell suspensions in an anaerobic chamber, always 20 mL of culture were harvested in the mid-exponential growth phase and transferred to two 50 mL sterilized Falcon tubes and centrifuged for 10 min at 3,000 × g. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed twice with an autoclaved phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 containing 0.025 M KH₂PO₄, 0.025 M K₂HPO₄, 0.5 mM titanium citrate, 0.1 M NaCl, and 1 mM MgCl₂ [30]. Titanium citrate was prepared according to Jones and Pickard [31], by anaerobically adding 5 mL of a 15% titanium(III) chloride solution (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Deutschland) to 50 mL of 0.2 M sodium citrate solution, adjusting with a saturated sodium carbonate solution to pH 7, gassing the bottle with N₂, followed by autoclaving. Syringes were used for all withdrawals. After washing, the cell pellet was then resuspended in the same buffer to a final concentration of 6 mg cell DM/mL adjusted with the help of the regression line relating OD and culture DM concentration. Under anaerobic condition, 1 μL of the differently concentrated SFA stock solutions was added to 999 μL cell suspensions in 25 mL serum bottles to reach concentrations of 1, 3, 5, 10, and 30 μg/mL of C₁₂, C₁₄, and C₁₆ as well as 50 and 80 μg/mL of C₁₈. The bottles were sealed with rubber stoppers (size 18D, 203018; Glasgerätebau Ochs, Lenglern, Germany), gassed to atmospheric pressure of 250 kPa with a CO₂/H₂ mixture (20:80) and stored on ice waiting for incubation start by putting into a waterbath (Julabo shake Temp, Merck, Switzerland) at set intervals (20:80) and stored on ice waiting for incubation start by putting into a waterbath (Julabo shake Temp, Merck, Switzerland) at set intervals (3.2 min/sample).

Cell suspensions were incubated at 37°C and 50°C (only C₁₈) shaking suspensions at 150 rpm. Finally, suspensions where no SFA had been added were supplemented with either 1 μL/mL DMSO, equal to the DMSO concentration in treatment groups (control group) or with 1 μL/mL of the buffer (blank group). The CH₄ concentration (mol %) was determined by gas chromatography after 1, 2, 5, and 24 h had passed. The CH₄ production rate (μmol CH₄/mg cell DM per min) was calculated from head space gas volume and the volume of CH₄ produced. The amount of gas present in the bottles at the start of the experiment were set to 0.0023 mol as calculated from using the ideal gas law (n = p × V / R × T, where p is the sum of the overpressure of the gas in the bottle (150000 Pa) and the standard air pressure (96600 Pa for Zurich), V is the volume of the gas = 24 × 10⁻⁶ m³, n is the amount of gas in the bottle in mol, T is the temperature of the gas = 309.15 K, and R is the ideal gas constant = 8.314 (J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹). The amounts of CH₄ produced in each bottle (Y; in mol) were calculated considering the stoichiometry of methanogenesis from H₂ and CO₂, that is, that 5 mol of gas are consumed to produce 1 mol of CH₄ meaning Y/(0.0023 - 4 × Y) = mol% CH₄ (X/100) and therefore Y = 0.0023X/(100 + 4X).

For each SFA, a minimum of two independent cell suspension experiments were performed with freshly grown *M. ruminantium* culture, each performed at least in triplicate.

2.3. Experiments 2 and 3. Cells were harvested as described before and resuspended to a final concentration of 6 mg cell DM/mL in K⁺-free buffer containing 0.025 M (NH₄)₂HPO₄, 0.025 M NH₄H₂PO₄, 0.01 M NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 0.5 mM titanium citrate. Two resting cell suspension experiments were performed at 37°C as described before, and in Experiment 2, C₁₂ was supplemented to final concentrations of 10, 15, and 30 μg/mL, and in Experiment 3, C₁₂, C₁₄, C₁₆, and C₁₈ were added to reach a final concentration of 10 μg/mL. After 3 h and 24 h of incubation, 300 μL of cell suspension were transferred to a 2 mL centrifuge tube inside the anaerobic chamber, centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min, and the K⁺ concentration in the supernatant was analyzed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometer (715-ES Radial ICP OES, Varian, Canada). A stock solution containing 1 mg/L KNO₃ (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and 1% HNO₃ in distilled water was used to prepare a calibration curve with concentrations of 0, 25, 50, 75, and 100 μL/L. Samples were diluted 50-fold by using a diluter (Microlab 1000, Hamilton, Martinsried, Germany) in 5 mL of total volume. The survival rate of *M. ruminantium* in cell suspensions after 3 and 24 h was assessed by using the LIVE/DEAD BacLight Bacterial Viability Kit for microscopy and quantitative assays (Kit L7012; Invitrogen GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany). The kit applied contained two fluorescent dyes: propidium iodide with red fluorescence penetrates cells with damaged membranes; SYTO 9 with green fluorescence accumulates only in living cells. Thus, destroyed archaeal cells with intact membranes have green fluorescence, while cells with damaged membranes display red fluorescence. Occasionally, an intermediate ambiguous yellowish color has been observed which has been observed also in studies of others [28]. Cells showing this color have been categorized as living cells with damaged membrane but were not included into the category of living cells in the tables. Staining was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol with several modifications. An amount of 0.5 μL of a 1:1 mixture of SYTO 9 and propidium iodide dyes was added to 100 μL of cell suspension under aerobic conditions, mixed thoroughly and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 10 min. No washing was required before staining because background fluorescence was low in this experimental system, and oxygen exposure was minimized by this way. An amount of 5 μL of the stained cell suspension was trapped between a microscope slide and an 18 mm square cover glass. All samples were examined at 600 and 1000 times magnification using a fluorescence microscope (BX60; Olympus GmbH, Yoketswil, Switzerland) and a digital camera (FView; adapter U-CMAD, Olympus, Switzerland). Three locations on each sample were chosen and captured at random. Fluorescent micrographs (exposure time: 50 ms) of the very same sample section were
taken applying appropriate filter sets for propidium iodide (wavelengths: excitation 530–545 nm, emission > 610 nm) and SYTO 9 (excitation 440–470 nm, emission 525–550 nm) and using the digital image analysis software Analysis (Soft Image System GmbH, Münster, Germany). The two false-colored images of one sample section were combined using the same software, and dead and live cells were counted with Adobe Photoshop CS5 (Adobe, San Jose, USA). Postacquisition processing involved adjustments of the brightness/contrast to optimize the visualization of live and dead cells within the images. Viability was calculated as viability = \( N / N_0 \times 100 \), where \( N_0 \) are the total fluorescence counts and \( N \) are the green fluorescence counts after 3 h and 24 h of reaction. Experiments 2 and 3 were performed in triplicate with three samples per treatment group and additionally, three samples for LIVE/DEAD staining and K⁺ leakage determination after 3 h.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Inhibition of Methane Production of Methanobrevibacter ruminantium by Saturated Fatty Acids as Depending on Dose in Experiment 1

All SFAs investigated influenced CH₄ production by *M. ruminantium* in a dose-dependent way, but the extent of the effect differed (Figure 1). In Figure 1, only one of the two incubations performed per SFA is shown (the other is given as Supplementary Figure 1), but values were similar between incubations. For C₁₂, the CH₄ production rate was inhibited in a dose-dependent way with (\( \mu \)g/mL) \( 30 > 10 = 5 \geq \text{control} \geq 1 \) (incubation 1; Figure 1(a)) and \( 30 > 10 = 5 > 1 = \text{control} \) (incubation 2; Supplementary Figure 1). For C₁₄, the sequence was \( 30 > 10 > 1 = \text{control} > 5 \geq \text{control} \) (incubation 1; Figure 1(b)) and \( 30 > 10 > 5 > 1 \geq \text{control} \) (incubation 2). The inhibitory pattern of C₁₆ was different from C₁₂ and C₁₄; C₁₆ needed more time to exert its influence: dosages of 10 and 30 \( \mu \)g/mL inhibited the CH₄ production rate at 24 h completely (incubation 1; Figure 1(c)) or by half (incubation 2) but not at earlier time points. Lower concentrations did not inhibit CH₄ production during the measurement period. C₁₈ was not effective at 37°C (Figure 1(d)) but at 50°C, a temperature closer to the melting point of C₁₈ of 69°C. At 50°C, C₁₈ decreased the CH₄ production rate in a dose-dependent way after 5 h by 55% and 68% at 10 and 30 \( \mu \)g/mL, respectively (incubation 1; Figure 1(e)). At 50 \( \mu \)g/mL, the CH₄ production rates started to decline even earlier and were decreased by 63% and 99% at 5 h and 24 h, and at 80 \( \mu \)g/mL, by 52%, 94%, and 100% at 1 h, 3 h, and 5 h, respectively.

#### 3.2. Influence of Lauric Acid on Methane Production, K⁺ Leakage and Cell Viability in Experiment 2

In K⁺-free buffer, the CH₄ inhibitory pattern of C₁₂ (Table 1) was similar as compared to Experiment 1 in K⁺-containing buffer; concentrations of \( \geq 10 \mu \)g/mL decreased the CH₄ production rate very fast and, with 30 \( \mu \)g/mL, it stopped completely after already 3 h. A quick increase in extracellular K⁺ concentration occurred in C₁₂-treated groups after 3 h of incubation (Table 1). Especially in groups where 15 and 30 \( \mu \)g/mL was added, extracellular K⁺ concentration reached its peak already at 3 h and did not increase as reaction time progressed. The viability of the *M. ruminantium* cells as verified using LIVE/DEAD staining at 3 h and 24 h after supplementation of 10, 15, and 30 \( \mu \)g C₁₂/mL is shown in Table 1. Although methanogenesis was completely inhibited and marked K⁺ leakage occurred in groups supplemented with 15 and 30 \( \mu \)g C₁₂/mL at 3 h, cell viability was still 27% and 29%, respectively, instead of being zero. Within 24 h, C₁₂ caused more cell death.

#### 3.3. Influence of Saturated Fatty Acids on Methane Production, K⁺ Leakage, and Cell Viability in Experiment 3

All SFAs were supplemented in the same concentration (10 \( \mu \)g/mL) in a single incubation to allow a direct comparison between SFAs (Table 2). C₁₂ and C₁₄ had a similar inhibitory effect on methanogenesis. Both immediately started displaying their influence. C₁₆ needed more time and its effect was weaker than that of the former two SFAs, while C₁₈ showed no effect at 37°C, which was consistent with the results of Experiment 1. The patterns of methanogenesis inhibition and K⁺ efflux were similar (Table 2). C₁₂ and C₁₄ also had the strongest effect of all SFAs tested in triggering K⁺ leakage, while C₁₆ caused lower K⁺ efflux compared to C₁₂ and C₁₄, but the extracellular K⁺ concentration was higher (\( P < 0.05 \)) than in control (Table 2). In summary, the K⁺ efflux was (in decreasing order): \( \text{C₁₂} > \text{C₁₄} > \text{C₁₆} > \text{C₁₈} > \text{control} \). Interestingly, C₁₈ showed no inhibitory effect on CH₄ production rate but did cause K⁺ efflux (+23% as compared to the control after 3 h). C₁₂ and C₁₄ had the strongest effect on cell viability, as 57% and 64% of the cells were categorized as dead after 3 h, while in the C₁₆ group only 32% of cells were dead or, as part of the cells were not red but yellow, damaged (Figure 2). At 24 h, nearly all cells treated with C₁₂ and C₁₄ were dead, compared to 60% of dead cells found in the control (Table 2 and Figure 2). Also in the C₁₆ treatment 88% of cells were dead after 24 h, which implies that the inhibition of methanogenesis and K⁺ efflux are somehow correlated. C₁₈ did not cause significant extra cell death when compared to the control group.

### 4. Discussion

The antifungal and bactericidal properties of FA have been extensively investigated, and, as a generalization, the cell membrane seems to be the prime target to explain the effects
Figure 1: Methane production rate (μmol/mg cell DM/min) in cell suspensions of \textit{M. ruminantium} in K$^+\text{-containing buffer (n = 3)}$ in response to supplementation of different concentrations of lauric acid (A), myristic acid (B), palmitic acid (C), and stearic acid (D) at 37°C and of stearic acid at 50°C (E) (Experiment 1). Means within time point with unequal letters (a, b) are different at $P<0.05$. Bars represent standard errors.

Table 1: Methane inhibition rate, K$^+$ efflux, and cell viability in cell suspensions treated with C$_{12}$ in different concentrations in Experiment 2 (n = 3; means ± standard error).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>K$^+$ (mg/L)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ inhibition (%)$^1$</th>
<th>Cell viability (%)$^2$</th>
<th>K$^+$ (mg/L)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ inhibition (%)$^1$</th>
<th>Cell viability (%)$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>12.8 ± 1.7$^b$</td>
<td>20.7 ± 8.1$^b$</td>
<td>75 ± 3$^a$</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.2$^b$</td>
<td>13.5 ± 16.3$^b$</td>
<td>56 ± 2$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.2$^b$</td>
<td>—$^b$</td>
<td>79 ± 2$^a$</td>
<td>16.3 ± 0.4$^b$</td>
<td>—$^b$</td>
<td>61 ± 5$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 μg/mL</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.3$^b$</td>
<td>89.6 ± 5.1$^a$</td>
<td>24 ± 5$^b$</td>
<td>18.5 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>95.1 ± 2.5$^b$</td>
<td>53 ± 7$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 μg/mL</td>
<td>18.4 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>27 ± 4$^b$</td>
<td>19.3 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>35 ± 4$^{bc}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 μg/mL</td>
<td>19.0 ± 0.3$^a$</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>29 ± 6$^b$</td>
<td>19.1 ± 0.4$^a$</td>
<td>100 ± 0.0$^a$</td>
<td>13 ± 5$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 μg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 μg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 μg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Calculated from methane production rate (μmol/mg cell DM/min) in percent of the value of the control group after 3 and 24 h, respectively.

$^2$Percentage of live cells (green) of total cells (green, yellow, and red) as determined with the LIVE/DEAD BacLight Kit.
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<td>30 μg/mL</td>
<td>19.0 ± 0.3$^a$</td>
<td>100.0 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>29 ± 6$^b$</td>
<td>19.1 ± 0.4$^a$</td>
<td>100 ± 0.0$^a$</td>
<td>13 ± 5$^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Calculated from methane production rate (μmol/mg cell DM/min) in percent of the value of the control group after 3 and 24 h, respectively.

$^2$Percentage of live cells (green) of total cells (green, yellow, and red) as determined with the LIVE/DEAD BacLight Kit.

4.1. Efficiency of Saturated Fatty Acids to Inhibit Methanogenesis in Methanobrevibacter ruminantium. In the present
study, at first the effect of SFAs on CH₄ production by cell suspensions of a major ruminal methanogen, *M. ruminantium*, was examined. The inhibition of methanogenesis in washed cell suspensions of *M. ruminantium* was getting more pronounced with decreasing chain length ($C_{12} = C_{14} > C_{16} > C_{18}$) and increasing SFA concentration (1 to 80 µg/mL suspension) or SFA/cell DM ratio (0.2 to 13 µg/mg cell DM). Although cell inoculum each time was always applied by transferring the same volume using the microbes at almost the same growth phase and the cell suspensions were prepared by following the same protocol in each incubation, it seems that cell susceptibility varied between incubations,
which also caused variability in the CH$_4$ production patterns of the control groups (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). In agreement with studies performed at 35–38°C and neutral pH in cultures of ruminal and nonruminal methanogens and bacteria [12, 13, 32] and in sheep in vivo [33], the present data also indicate that C$_{12}$ and C$_{14}$ are the most effective SFAs. C$_{12}$ had also been the most inhibitory representative of the SFAs against 12 Gram-positive microorganisms [32]. In the present study, the hydrophobic SFAs were dissolved in DMSO to guarantee distribution of SFA in the hydrophilic $M$. ruminantium cell suspension. Nevertheless, despite using DMSO, the SFA solubility was visually observed to decrease as SFA chain length increased. Solubility was especially weak when using C$_{18}$ at 37°C where also no CH$_4$ inhibition occurred. C$_{16}$ was only inhibitory at 50°C, which corresponds with its increased solubility at this temperature. This supports the hypothesis that SFAs need to be at least partly dissolved in the buffer or medium to be able to exert an effect [13]. Further experiments have to investigate if the SFAs state (protonated versus dissociated) plays a role in $M$. ruminantium. Lowering the pH of the incubation medium has been shown to increase adsorption of SFAs onto bacteria and also their sensitivity against SFAs [20, 34]. The SFA concentrations needed to achieve a 50% reduction in CH$_4$ formation rates were much lower in the present study than those reported in the study of Henderson [12], where 0.5 g/L of C$_{12}$ and C$_{14}$ were necessary to reduce the growth rate of $M$. ruminantium by 50% compared to the control. This might have resulted either from the difference in metabolic state between cell cultures and cell suspensions or from differences in growth states before SFA supplementation and harvesting or both. Still, the SFA concentrations where a significant inhibition of methanogenesis occurred in the present study (10 to 80 μg/mL) were in the same order of magnitude than those reported earlier (30 to 1000 μg/mL) in growing methanogen cultures [12, 13, 20, 32]. This indicates that in cell cultures and cell suspensions generally the same type of effect occurs. Presumably, no cell growth occurred in the washed cell suspensions used due to the absence of nutrients needed for growth of $M$. ruminantium, like acetate and coenzyme M [35], and, in case of K-containing buffer, also nitrogen. Therefore, only CH$_4$ production, that is, energy metabolism, was performed which indicates that the SFAs directly affect the process of CH$_4$ formation. Each dose-response test had been repeated at least once and in both incubations in three replicates each to allow robust conclusions. Although the extent of the inhibition of methanogenesis by the different SFA concentrations was not exactly the same in the two incubations, the ranking and inhibition extent of the treatments with regard to the level of effect were coinciding. Slight variations in CH$_4$ formation rates and peak times as well as in the SFA effects might be due to slight differences in growth phase between incubations when the cells being in their mid-exponential phase were harvested.

### 4.2. Indications for Modes of Action of Saturated Fatty Acids

In the present study, the findings on K$^+$ leakage, an indicator of a damaged membrane [21], indicate that the cell membrane permeability increases after SFA exposure. The integrity of the archaeal membrane is fundamental to maintain the chemiosmotic balance, which is essential for the membrane-associated energetic metabolism of cells [5, 26]. The K$^+$ leakage also occurred concomitantly to the inhibition of methanogenesis which seems to have been followed by increasing occurrence of cell death. The K$^+$ efflux in $M$. ruminantium responded to different SFAs and to different C$_{12}$ concentrations similarly as the CH$_4$ production rate. Accordingly, C$_{12}$ and C$_{14}$ triggered the largest K$^+$ efflux and had the strongest inhibitory effects of all SFAs tested, and increasing C$_{12}$ concentrations increasingly inhibited methanogenesis and promoted K$^+$ efflux compared to the lower dosages. The LIVE/DEAD BacLight bacterial viability kit has been already shown to be a useful tool to indicate cell viability in Archaea [28, 29]. Although the CH$_4$ production rate declined to zero, corroborated by heavy K$^+$ leakage, in treatment groups supplemented with C$_{12}$ and C$_{14}$ at 3 h, and the percentage of cells with damaged membrane was significantly different to all other groups, it was not zero. It seems that cell death does not occur immediately but is delayed in time because after 24 h, and the cells in these two groups were nearly all dead.

### 4.3. Conclusion

The inhibitory effect of SFAs on the production of the important greenhouse gas methane by

---

**Table 2: Methane inhibition rate, K$^+$ efflux, and cell viability in cell suspensions treated with different saturated fatty acids at 10 μg/mL in Experiment 3 (n = 3; means ± standard error).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>K$^+$ (mg/L)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ inhibition rate (%)$^1$</th>
<th>Cell viability (%)$^2$</th>
<th>K$^+$ (mg/L)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ inhibition rate (%)$^1$</th>
<th>Cell viability (%)$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1$^3$</td>
<td>−6.8 ± 3.5$^b$</td>
<td>79 ± 7$^b$</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.3$^c$</td>
<td>−2.5 ± 11.1$^c$</td>
<td>50 ± 8$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4$^3$</td>
<td>−b</td>
<td>81 ± 2$^a$</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.3$^b$</td>
<td>−b</td>
<td>40 ± 6$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C$_{12}$</td>
<td>13.8 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.0$^a$</td>
<td>43 ± 2$^a$</td>
<td>15.7 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>100 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>1 ± 0$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C$_{14}$</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>36 ± 5$^b$</td>
<td>15.7 ± 0.3$^b$</td>
<td>100 ± 0.0$^a$</td>
<td>3 ± 1$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C$_{16}$</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.2$^b$</td>
<td>85.4 ± 1.8$^b$</td>
<td>68 ± 6$^b$</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>100 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>12 ± 5$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C$_{18}$</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.1$^c$</td>
<td>79 ± 17.6$^b$</td>
<td>78 ± 5$^c$</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.2$^b$</td>
<td>44 ± 16.2$^{ab}$</td>
<td>38 ± 5$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P values</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Treatment means with unequal superscripts are different at P < 0.05.

$^2$Calculated from methane production rate (μmol/mg cell DM/min) in percent of the value of the control group after 3 and 24 h, respectively.

$^3$Percentage of live cells (green) of total cells (green, yellow, and red) as determined with the LIVE/DEAD BacLight Kit.
M. ruminantium was demonstrated to be dependent on SFA concentration, SFA type, and incubation temperature (37°C versus 50°C). The present study showed for the first time with a ruminal methanogen, M. ruminantium, that supplementation of SFAs can also damage the cell membrane and trigger K⁺ efflux. The identification of the detailed mechanism on how SFAs are detrimental to the methanogens needs further studies.
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