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The precise knowledge of the force and moment generated by the air squeezed under the read-write slider by the rotating disc is an engineering necessity in designing the air bearing surface slider. This paper reviews methods addressing the thin gas film bearings problem. It firstly reviews briefly the relatively well-known two methods of calculations of the microgas flows under flying head sliders, the generalized Reynolds equation, having given a number of useful results of slider design, and the DSMC method, which is precise and appropriate for the flow of complex configurations but is restricted to miniature (~micrometer) size sliders. The main purpose of the paper is to introduce to the reader an alternative method, the information preservation (IP) method, for use in simulation of the flows under air bearing surfaces. Some recent results of IP simulation of slider flows published on conference proceedings are introduced here.
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1. Introduction

The problem of thin gas film bearings in the gap between the flying head slider and the magnetic disc now has an increasing interest among scientists concerning computation of actual problems of micro gas flows. To calculate precisely the force and moment generated by the air squeezed by the rotating disc is essential in the design of the head slider. The typical slider length in disk drives is about 1 mm and the width is the same order of the length. The size of the clearances between the slider and the disc is much smaller and is constantly decreasing to increase the recording density. The flying height in the early stage of the disc recording head was of the order of 8~10 micrometer [1] and 1 micrometer [2] while the drives areal data densities of the order 100 Gbit/in² and 1 Tbit/in² in consideration require sliders to have a flying height of 5~10 nm and less (see e.g., [3]). The flow regime in the modern flying sliders is definitely beyond the slip flow regime, and application of the tools of computation in transitional flow regime is inevitable.

The thin film air flow between the slider air bearing surface and the disc is most appropriately described by the Reynolds equation which is a differential equation relating the pressure \( p \), density \( \rho \), platter velocity \( U \), and the height \( h \) of the gap, firstly developed by Reynolds for continuum fluid [4]. The derivation of it can be found in [5]. Burgdorfer [6] introduced the velocity slip correction to the Reynolds equation. Fukui and Kaneko [7, 8] developed a generalization of the equation suitable for the transitional regime. The work in [9] provides a simple and enlightening derivation showing that the equation is the expression of balance of the flow rate of the Poiseuille flow and the flow rate of the Couette flow, and it also shows how the equation can be extended to slip regime and transitional regime. The equation modified to include slip and transitional effects is still called Reynolds equation. It is essentially a mass conservation relation applied to the cross sections of the squeezed air flow and is obtained from the continuity equation by integrating it over the vertical direction with the employment of the momentum equation, and now the generalized Reynolds equation is in routine use to calculate the air bearing parameters in sliders with complicated air bearing surfaces (see e.g., [3, 10–13]). The direct simulation Monte-Carlo (DSMC) method [14] is appropriate for simulation of
thin film flows in sliders. But the low information-to-noise ratio for low Mach number flows makes the computational process very time-consuming and only simulation results of miniature (micrometer, not authentic millimeter) sizes are available [15–17]. The information preservation (IP) method [18, 19] was proposed to overcome the difficulty of low information-to-noise ratio of DSMC method. It was successfully applied to many low-speed microflows [20–26] and the IP results for channel flows compared well with DSMC results, experimental data, and exact kinetic theory [27]. The present paper firstly reviews briefly the successful development of the method in calculating the thin film flow of low information-to-noise ratio of DSMC method. It was shown [15] that the assumption of \( \partial p/\partial y = 0 \) is essentially necessary in the derivation:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial y} = 0
\]

in the form

\[
\frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial y} = - \left( \frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right)
\]

and integrating it over \( y \) across the whole flow region yields

\[
\int_0^h d(\rho y) = - \int_0^h \left( \frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right) dy.
\]

The left-hand side of (3) vanishes, as there is no fluid flown into or out of the walls. Interchanging the integration and differentiation gives

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^h \rho u dy + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho y) = 0.
\]

For thin film flow with the inertial terms neglected the steady momentum Navier-Stokes equation has the following form:

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right).
\]

Integration across the gap with the nonslip boundary conditions

\[
u|_{y=0} = U, \quad u|_{y=h} = 0
\]

yields the solution of the streamwise velocity component \( u \):

\[
u = U \left( 1 - \frac{y}{h} \right) - \frac{h \partial p/\partial x}{2\mu} y \left( 1 - \frac{y}{h} \right)
\]

Substituting (7) into (4) and accomplishing integration over \( y \), the following equation is attained (we emphasis here that the assumption of \( \partial p/\partial y = 0 \) is essentially necessary in the derivation):

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{h^2 \partial p}{\mu} \right) = 6 \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho h) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho hu) \right].
\]

This is the general form of the Reynolds equation for the two-dimensional case. By introducing \( X = x/L, H = h/h_o, P = p/p_o \), and the bearing number:

\[
\Lambda = \frac{6\mu UL}{P_d h_o^2}.
\]

Equation (8) for steady and two-dimensional case can be written in the normalized form [15]:

\[
\frac{d}{dX} \left( H^2 \frac{dP}{dX} \right) = \Lambda \frac{d}{dX} (PH).
\]

The first term of (7) is the slip-less solution of the velocity in the Couette flow when the upper plate is stationary and the lower plate moves towards the right with velocity \( U \) (see [9] compare with its (5.63) for \( \zeta = 0 \)), and the second term is the slip-less solution of the velocity in the Poiseuille flow when the x axis is aligned along the lower plate (see [9], the second term of (7) can be obtained from (5.69) in [9] by a simple translation of the ordinate \( y \). Equation (10) shows that the flow rate across any cross section is the sum of the flow rate of the Couette flow and the Poiseuille flow and this rate does not change from one cross section to another in steady flow.

In [9] (see (5.73) there) one sees that the flow rate of the Poiseuille flow with slip boundary condition surpasses that of the slip-less case by a factor:

\[
\frac{Q_{PSL}}{Q_{PCL}} = \left( 1 + 6 \frac{2 - \sigma}{\sigma} Kn \right).
\]

As for the Couette flow the flow rates have a specific feature, and in the slip and the transitional flow cases and the slip-less case they are identical and have the following value independent of the Knudsen number owing to the symmetry of the flow (see Figure 2):

**Figure 1: A schematic model of the thin film air bearing flow.**
From the flow rate expressions (11) and (12) for Poiseuille and Couette flows in the slip flow case one can conclude that in the slip flow regime the following Reynolds equation is obtained in place of (10):

$$\frac{d}{dX} \left[ \left( 1 + 6 \frac{2 - \sigma}{\sigma} Kn \right) H^3 P \frac{dP}{dX} \right] = \Lambda \frac{d}{dX} (PH), \quad (13)$$

where $Kn = \lambda/h$ is local Knudsen number. When the slip boundary conditions

$$u|_{Y=0} = \frac{d}{dY} u, \quad u|_{Y=h} = -\zeta \frac{d}{dY} u, \quad \zeta = \frac{2 - \sigma}{\sigma} \lambda \quad (14)$$

instead of the nonslip boundary condition (6) is employed in solving the momentum equation (5), and the resulted velocity profile is substituted into the mass conservation relation (4), one would arrive at the same slip corrected Reynolds equation (13) [6, 15].

Fukui and Kaneko [7] showed that the solution of the linearized Boltzmann equation for the thin film bearing problem can be decomposed into the solutions of the plane Couette flow and the plane Poiseuille flow. On this basis they derived the generalized Reynolds equation for the thin film air bearing problem by employing the flow rates of the fundamental Poiseuille and Couette flows solved by the linearized Boltzmann equation. This generalized Reynolds equation in the isothermal case can be written as [7],

$$\frac{d}{dX} \left[ \mathcal{Q}_{P,TR}(Kn) H^3 P \frac{dP}{dX} \right] = \Lambda \frac{d}{dX} (PH), \quad (15)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{P,TR}(Kn)$ is the flow rate in transitional regime (normalized by the slip-less value $Q_{P,C}$) calculated from the linearized Boltzmann equation for Poiseuille flow. A tabled database of the calculated values of $\mathcal{Q}_{P,TR}(Kn)$ for $\sigma = 1, \sigma = 0.9, \sigma = 0.8,$ and $\sigma = 0.7$ is provided in [8], and a fitted formula approximation for diffuse reflection ($\sigma = 1$) by Robert is recorded in [15] (there the second term on the right-hand side is misprinted as $6\sqrt{\pi Kn}$):

$$\mathcal{Q}_{P,TR}(Kn) = 1 + 6AKn + \frac{12}{\pi} Kn \log(1 + BKn), \quad (16)$$

where $A = 1.318889$ and $B = 0.387361$. Alexander et al. [15] used the DSMC method to simulate the short head length air bearing problem ($L = 5 \mu m, h_o = 50 \text{nm} = 0.05 \mu m, U = 25 m/s, \sigma = 1$) and found excellent agreement of the DSMC simulation with the generalized Reynolds equations (15) and (16). But at that time they described the latter as continuum hydrodynamic Reynolds equation corrected for slip (in fact only (13) is such an equation); now we have cognized that the generalized Reynolds equation is a global mass conservation equation, but at each cross section its flow is governed by exact kinetic theoretical equation which is appropriate for transitional regime. The comparison made in [15] for the cases (the ratio of the inlet to outlet heights is kept as 2 : 1)

$$L = 1.5 \mu m, h_o = 15 \text{nm} = 0.015 \mu m, U = 153.9 m/s, \sigma = 1.0$$

$$L = 5 \mu m, h_o = 50 \text{nm} = 0.05 \mu m, U = 25 m/s, \sigma = 0.7$$

$$L = 5 \mu m, h_o = 50 \text{nm} = 0.05 \mu m, U = 307.8 m/s, \sigma = 1.0$$

showed good agreement of the generalized Reynolds equation with the results of DSMC simulation; this just confirms that the generalized Reynolds equation is a mass conservation equation in form (although some framework of the N-S equation has been used) but in fact it balances the flow rates of Poiseuille flow and Couette flow calculated from the kinetic theory; so it has the kinetic theoretical merit and can be used to solve the air bearing problem in the entire transitional flow regime.

By analogy with the above derivation of Reynolds equation for the two-dimensional case, it is a simple matter to derive the unsteady and three-dimensional Reynolds equation in the following form (cf., e.g., the 3D Reynolds equation shown in [3]):

$$\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial T}(PH)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left[ \mathcal{Q}_{P,TR}(Kn) H^3 P \frac{dP}{dX} - \Lambda_1 PH \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left[ \mathcal{Q}_{P,TR}(Kn) H^3 P \frac{dP}{dZ} - \Lambda_3 PH \right], \quad (17)$$

where

$$T = t \omega,$$

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{\sigma \mu UL}{p_o h_o^2},$$

$$\Lambda_3 = \frac{\sigma \mu WL}{p_o h_o^2},$$

$$\sigma = \frac{12 \mu WL^2}{p_o h_o^2} + \frac{12 \mu UL^2}{p_o h_o^2} \quad (18)$$

$T$ is time normalized by $1/\omega$, $\omega$ being angular velocity of the rotating disc, $Z$, and $W$ is the dimensionless coordinate and velocity in the head width direction $z$.

Fukui and Kaneko [7] used the generalized Reynolds equation and obtained lubrication characteristic results
valid for large Knudsen numbers. By using Fukui–Kaneko’s
generalized Reynolds equations some useful results of the
slider design were obtained: Hu et al. [10] investigated
partial contact air bearing characteristics of tripad sliders.
Hu et al. [11] investigated the air bearing dynamics of
two configurations of authentic sized sub-ambient pressure
sliders and found the way to ensure the reliability of the
unloading performance of the types of sliders considered.
Tagawa et al. [12] computed the slider’s responses to
nanotextured disc surfaces. The computation techniques are
developed for solving the slider air bearing problem [3] and
new first- and second-order slip models are introduced to the

In deriving the Reynolds equation in the form of (17)
we have seen that it is essential to use the assumption
\( \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0 \) (see the note in the brackets before (8)). So the
Reynolds equation is applicable undoubtedly for cases, where
the assumption \( \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0 \) is valid, for example, for sliders
with smooth thin gas layers between the slider and the disc.

In applying the generalized Reynolds equation to the
modern authentic sliders having rails on the peripheries
(sometimes with thin terraces on them) and on the center
part of the slider and fully recessed regions (see, e.g., the
NSIC (National Storage Industry Consortium) slider cited
in [3]) one should have caution. The depth of the air
bearing surface varies drastically at the vertical wall profile
regions. This makes the pressure change drastically along the
vertical direction and causes perceivable gas flow along the
vertical wall direction. The condition \( \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0 \) exists no
more. The extension of the Reynolds equation in the form
of (17) to problems of modern configuration air bearing
surfaces is questionable and needs further validation. Some
comparisons with DSMC or other touch stone results or
experimental data are desirable. For comparison with DSMC
results even computation of small sized slider with complex
configurations is of value.

3. DSMC Method

The direct simulation Monte-Carlo (DSMC) method [14]
has been used for simulation thin film flows in sliders.
Alexander et al. [15] studied the gas flow under a flat
head surface above the moving drive platter and found
excellent agreement with Reynolds equation, but their
statement that the Fukui Kaneko’s generalized Reynolds
equation was a continuum hydrodynamic equation corrected
for slip was wrong, and their simulations were restricted
to small length sliders \( L = 5 \mu m \). Huang et al. [16]
extended the DSMC simulation from two-dimensional to
three-dimensional cases and again compared with Fukui–
Kaneko’s generalized Reynolds equations (they called it MGL
model) and found that, overall, the two solutions agree
well with each other and the agreement is better when the
spacing decreases to about 5 nm, that is, when the Knudsen
number is large. We stress here that the Fukui–Kaneko’s
generalized Reynolds equation is a global mass conservation
equation, but the detailed flow field is calculated using
the exact solution of the linearized Boltzmann equation.

So the agreement between the DSMC results and the results
of generalized Reynolds equation is not surprising. The size
simulated is again very small \( L = 4 \mu m \) in comparison with
the authentic size of the modern slider. Wang and Liu [17] by
using the DSMC method studied the pressure distribution
in head/disc interface at the same position of different disc
speeds and at different positions of the same disc speed
and the lift force change percentage when air flow velocity
changes or slider flying height changes. The size studied was
again of the order of \( 4 \mu m \), far smaller than the authentic
size of 1 mm. It is the low information-to-noise ratio for low
Mach number flows that makes the computational process of
DSMC very time-consuming and only simulation results of
miniature (not authentic) sizes are available. But the DSMC
method is valuable in the microgas flows under flying sliders,
even not being able to simulate authentic size, as it has the
testing merit, it can be used for small sized but complicated
configurations to testify other methods.

4. Information Preservation (IP) Method and
Test of It by Channel Flows

The information preservation (IP) method is proposed in
[18, 19] to treat the problems encountered by the DSMC
method of the huge ratio of the noise to the useful
information and the demand of extremely large sample
size. This is a method imbedded in the DSMC method in
which each simulated molecule is assigned two velocities:
thermal velocity \( c \) and information velocity \( u_i \). The former
is just the molecular velocity \( c \) in the DSMC method and
is used to calculate the motion, collision, and the reflection
of molecules at the surfaces following the same algorithms
and models as the DSMC method. Besides \( c \) we suppose
that each molecule carries the so-called information velocity
(IP velocity) \( u_i \) to record the collective velocity of the
everseous number of real molecules represented by each
simulated molecule. The IP velocities do not produce any
influence on the motion of molecules and are used only
for summation to obtain the macroscopic velocities; the
primitive information is taken from the oncoming flow
and the body surface. When the molecules reflect from the
surface, collide with each other, experience force action, and
enter from boundary, the IP velocities attain new values.
The IP method also assigns each cell the IP velocity \( U_i \) and
IP density \( \rho \). The comprehensive elucidation of the rules
governing the renewing of the information velocities \( u_i \) and
\( U_i \) and \( \rho \) can be found in [9] and [28]. In general 2D and 3D
cases the mass and momentum conservation equations for
\( U_i \) and \( \rho \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\int \int \int \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} dV &= \int \int \rho U_i \cdot ldS, \quad (19) \\
\int \int \int \frac{\partial \rho U_i}{\partial t} dV &= - \int \int pldS, \quad (20)
\end{align*}
\]

The integrals are taken over the whole volume and surface of
the cell concerned, respectively. After a time step \( \Delta t \) the cell
IP density attains increment according to (21):
\[
\Delta \rho = -\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta V} \int \rho \mathbf{u}_i \cdot ldS,
\]  
(21)
from where the density and pressure are also renewed: \( p = nkT \). The increment of the IP velocity \( \mathbf{u}_i \) is, according to (22),
\[
\Delta \mathbf{u}_i = -\frac{\Delta t}{\rho \Delta V} \int \rho ldS.
\]  
(22)
The IP velocity \( U_i \) of the cell is obtained as the average of \( \mathbf{u}_i \) in the cell.

Omitting description of IP simulation of many different flow fields we give here only some detailed simulation of micro channels, for the geometrical forms and flow patterns of two cases of channel and disc flow are similar. Besides there have been two means to test the calculation of the channel flow to show the validity of the IP method. Firstly abundant experimental results of pressure distribution and flow rated through the channel for Knudsen numbers in the transitional regime. And the degenerated Reynolds equation suited for channel flows has been suggested to be derived easily for the generalized Reynolds equation originally derived for the flows under flying sliders and has the merit of kinetic theoretical test stone. So we use both the experimental data and strict theoretical computations to show the validity of the IP method for calculation of the microflows.

The channel flow of fluids has a long history beginning with the famous Poiseuille flow, that is, the fluid flow driven by constant pressure gradient. But for gas because of the global mass conservation the pressure distribution along the channel could not be linear and the gas Poiseuille flow with constant pressure gradient is only a hypothetic flow. With the famous Poiseuille flow, that is, the fluid flow driven by constant pressure gradient. But for gas because of the parallelity of the two plates the value \( H \) is a constant and also can be dropped from the equation. So the generalized Reynolds equation for application to the microchannel problems is degenerated to the form:
\[
\frac{d}{dX} \left[ \Theta_{P,TR}(Kn) \rho \frac{dP}{dX} \right] = 0.
\]  
(23)
The values of \( P \) on the inlet and outlet of the channel are to be specified to make the microchannel problem solvable. For the case of diffuse reflection, the fitted formula approximation of \( \Theta_{P,TR}(Kn) \), (16), can be used, and the degenerated Reynolds equation attains the form:
\[
\frac{d}{dX} \left[ \int \left( 1 + 6AKn + \frac{12}{\pi} Kn \log(1 + BKn) \right) \rho \frac{dP}{dX} \right] = 0.
\]  
(24)
For the ease of integration the local Knudsen number \( Kn \) is most conveniently expressed through \( P \); for example, for hard sphere model it can be written as
\[
Kn = \frac{\lambda}{h} = \frac{C}{P},
\]  
(25)
where
\[
C = \frac{\mu}{p_0 h} \sqrt{\frac{\pi RT_0}{2}} = \frac{\lambda_0}{h} = Kn_{out},
\]  
(26)
for we have for hard sphere
\[
\lambda = \frac{\mu}{p} \sqrt{\frac{\pi RT}{2}}
\]  
(27)
(see [9, (2.222)]). \( p_0 \) is the pressure at the outlet, \( T_0 \) is the temperature of the gas, and \( \mu \) is the viscosity of the gas at \( T_0 \). The constant \( C \) has the physical meaning of the Knudsen number \( Kn_{out} \) at the outlet of the channel (see (25), at outlet \( P = 1 \)). Substituting (25) into (24), one arrives at
\[
\left[ P + 6AK + \frac{12}{\pi} C \log \left( 1 + \frac{BC}{P} \right) \right] \frac{dP}{dX} = C_{m,TR,CFR},
\]  
(28)
where \( C_{m,TR,CFR} \) is an unspecified constant to be determined from the integration and has the physical meaning of the flow rate across the channel normalized by the slipless flow rate value. Equations (23) and (24) are in fact the generalized Reynolds equation, degenerated for the microchannel flows from where pressure distributions can be calculated. The flow rate across the channel \( C_{m,TR,CFR} \) has also been integrated in closed [35]
\[
C_{m,TR,CFR} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - P_i^2 \right) + 6AKn_{o}(1 - P_i) + \frac{12Kn_{o}}{\pi} \times \left[ \log(1 + BKn_{o}) - P_i \log \left( 1 + \frac{BKn_{o}}{P_i} \right) \right.
\]  
\[+ BKn_{o} \log \left( 1 + BKn_{o}\right) \left( P_i + BKn_{o} \right)].
\]  
(29)
And the dimensional corresponding mass flow rate is

\[ Q_{m,TR,CFR} = -\frac{h^3}{12\mu R T} C_{m,TR,CFR} P_o^2 \frac{L}{p} \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

We emphasize here, that as the assumption \( \partial p/\partial y = 0 \) is strictly satisfied here for flat channel, the degenerated Reynolds equation has a strict kinetic theoretical foundation.

The microchannel flow problem was solved by IP method in [26]. The approach, where the pressure \( p \) is fixed at the ends as the same of the prescribed (experimental) condition and \( U_i \) is allowed to change continuously in the process of computation and finally to reach the steady solution, is used here. In the practice of general IP method the IP process has no reverse influence on the DSMC process. In the solution of channel flow, where the velocities on the boundaries are to be regulated during the simulation, the varying IP velocities on the boundaries are used to continuously adjust the boundary conditions of the DSMC–IP procedure. This enables the DSMC much quickly to have the correct value on the boundaries. To make the calculation process convergent it is essential to use the conservative form of the mass conservation equation. And a superrelaxation technique is employed to speed up the convergence process. For more detailed elucidation of the solution of the channel flow problem by the IP method see [9, 26]. Here only the comparison of some results of pressure distribution given by the IP method and the experimental data and the degenerated Reynolds equation are given (see Figures 3, 4, and 5).

As shown in the above comparisons the IP method gives pressure and mass flow results of microchannels in excellent agreement with the degenerated Reynolds equation and also experimental data; so it can be considered as a reliable tool in dealing with the microscale slow gas flows including the micro flows under flying sliders. The detailed IP method...
simulation of internal rarefied gas flows can be found in [28] and [9].

5. Microflows under Flying Head Slider Solved by Information Preservation Method

The IP method was applied to simulate the 2D flat slider problem [36]. The conservative scheme of continuum equation and the superrelaxation scheme suggested in [26] are also used here to accelerate the converging process. As the flat air bearing surface is inclined, except rectangular cells, some incised cells appear, and they are combined with the lower adjacent regular cells to avoid extreme small number of simulated molecules in one cell. At the same time a simple weighted averaging method is introduced to smoothen the no-physical oscillation of the cell density:

\[ \rho_{i,j}^\prime = c_1 \rho_{i,j} + (1.0 - c_1) \times \frac{\rho_{i-1,j} + \rho_{i+1,j} + \rho_{i,j-1} + \rho_{i,j+1}}{4}, \]

where \( c_1 \) is a weighting factor. The pressure distributions for different slider sizes have obtained by the IP method. The \( L = 5 \mu m \) result is in good agreement with DSMC method and the Reynolds equation. For \( L = 25 \mu m \) good agreement is obtained between the IP and Reynolds equation results. It is remarkable that while DSMC methods only provided results for \( L = 5 \mu m \), the IP simulation result for authentic size head slider \( L = 1 \) mm is in excellent agreement with Reynolds equation solution (see Figure 6). This is the only comparison of the Reynolds equation with other methods for authentic-sized slider.

In [37] the IP method was used to solve the flows under 3D flat slider and a miniature head slider of authentic complicated configuration. As flows under flat surfaces have been compared between the DSMC method and the Reynolds equation in [16], here only the comparisons of the IP method result with that of the DSMC method are cited from [37]. Figure 7 shows the pressure under the flat slider obtained by the two methods for flying height of 10 nm and the speed of \( U = 25 \) m/s. The length of the slider is 4 \( \mu m \) and its width is 3.3 \( \mu m \).

Note that the DSMC sample process starts and lasts 0.2 million time steps but for IP sample only 200 time steps are used. The total computation time (the time of convergence process plus the time of sampling process) of the DSMC method is about 100 times longer than the IP method. When the slider surface speed is lower, for example, when \( U = 2 \) m/s, the DSMC results with 0.6 million sample time steps still have remarkable fluctuations (see Figure 8).
The 3D slider with complex configuration simulated by IP method in [37] is shown in Figure 9. The flow field is divided into $200 \times 160 \times 40$ uniform cells, each containing about 20 molecules. The time step used is $7.41 \times 10^{-11}$s. The size of the slider is chosen as $4 \mu m \times 3.3 \mu m \times 0.5 \mu m$, so that the DSMC results can be obtained and used for comparison with the present IP results.

The simulated results of the pressure distribution by both IP method and DSMC method are shown in Figure 10. The general agreement is good with minor differences at the corner regions. In a figure (isoheight line, provided through private communication with Mr. Jun Li), one can clearly see that the pressure varies apparently in the vertical direction along the wall, which would violate the basic assumption that the vertical pressure gradient is constant in deriving the Reynolds equation. This indicates that the employ of Reynolds equation for complex configuration should be with caution. Work is under way to calculate the flow field under the complicated configuration slider of authentic size and will soon be published.

6. Conclusions

(1) The precise calculation of the thin gas film bearings characteristics is an engineering necessity in designing the air bearing surface slider. Various calculation methods are desirable to meet the need of design.

(2) The generalized Reynolds equation is now successfully been used to calculate the air bearing parameters in sliders with complicated air bearing surfaces and accomplished a number of design purposes. As the calculation of complex configuration, slider flows by both DSMC and IP method shows the modern complex configuration sliders may violate the condition $\partial p/\partial y = 0$ which is essential in deriving the Reynolds equation. Some comparisons of the Reynolds equation results with DSMC method or experimental data and some modification or improvement are desirable.

(3) The DSMC method is appropriate for simulation of thin film flows under sliders. But the computational process is very time-consuming and only simulation results of sliders of miniature (micrometer, not millimeter, i.e., authentic) sizes are available. The merit of DSMC in the microflows under flying slider is in that its results can be compared with other methods for small size and complicated configurations to check the other methods’ feasibility.

(4) The IP method has been tested in the problem of micro channel flows by DSMC, experimental and kinetic theoretical results. It was used to calculate the 2D and 3D flows under flat sliders. The result of simulation of flow under an authentic complex configuration slider of small size is in good agreement with the DSMC method. It is a promising alternative method in simulating the microflow field under authentic size, authentic configuration air bearing surfaces.
Nomenclature

A: Numerical constant
B: Numerical constant
c: Molecular thermal velocity
D: The width of the slider along z direction
h: Height of the gap between the head slider and the magnetic disc
h₀: Minimum height of the gap between the head slider and the magnetic disc
H: Dimensionless height h/h₀
L: The length of the slider along x direction
p: Pressure
p₀: Pressure at the front edge of the slider
Q: Flow rate
QP: Flow rate of the Poiseuille flow
QP,C: Flow rate of the Poiseuille flow for continuum flow case
QP,SL: Flow rate of the Poiseuille flow for slip flow case
QP,TR: Flow rate of the Poiseuille flow for transitional flow case
QP,TR: Dimensionless flow rate of the Poiseuille flow for transitional flow case QP,TR/QP,C
T: Time
tw: Dimensionless time tw (sometimes for temperature: p = nkT)
u: Gas velocity along x direction in Reynolds equation
uᵢ: Molecular information velocity in IP method
U: Boundary velocity of the magnetic plate along x direction in Reynolds equation
Uᵢ: Information velocity of cells in IP method
v: Gas velocity along y direction in Reynolds equation
w: Gas velocity along z direction (span wise direction) in Reynolds equation
W: Boundary velocity of the magnetic plate along z direction (span wise direction) in Reynolds equation
x: Length direction along the moving disc surface
X: Dimensionless coordinate x/L
y: Direction vertical to the moving disc surface
z: Width direction along the moving disc surface
Z: Dimensionless coordinate z/D
ρ: Density of the gas
μ: Viscosity of the gas
σ: Reflection coefficient
λ: Mean free path of the gas
A: Bearing number
Aᵢ: Bearing number calculated by Uᵢ
A₃: Bearing number calculated by Wᵢ
ζ: Factor proportional to λ
ω: Angular velocity of the rotating disc.

Abbreviations

DSMC: Direct simulation Monte Carlo
EDM: Electrodischarge machining
IP: Information preservation
LIGA: Lithographie Galvanoformung Abformung
MEMS: Micro-electromechanical systems
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