Gene Therapy for Cardiovascular Disease
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The last decade has seen substantial advances in the development of gene therapy strategies and vector technology for the treatment of a diverse number of diseases, with a view to translating the successes observed in animal models into the clinic. Perhaps the overwhelming drive for the increase in vascular gene transfer studies is the current lack of successful long-term pharmacological treatments for complex cardiovascular diseases. The increase in cardiovascular disease to epidemic proportions has also led many to conclude that drug therapy may have reached a plateau in its efficacy and that gene therapy may represent a realistic solution to a long-term problem. Here, we discuss gene delivery approaches and target diseases.

**INTRODUCTION**

Gene transfer enables the overexpression of candidate therapeutic genes either locally or systemically. Cardiovascular disease targets under investigation include therapeutic angiogenesis in ischaemic myocardium and limb muscles, treatment of hypertension, vascular bypass graft occlusion, and prevention of postangioplasty restenosis (Table 1). Cardiovascular diseases are diverse and as such have unique traits requiring precise tailoring of gene therapy strategies to a particular disease. Those features which may vary include mode of delivery, type of vector, length of gene expression, and target tissue. Unlike other inherited genetic defects which may require more long-term gene transfer, transient, nonintegrative gene expression has been shown to be sufficient to promote neovascularization in the case of angiogenesis [1]. This may also apply to antiproliferative strategies for the prevention of neointima formation postangioplasty, for the prevention of in-stent restenosis, or for gene therapy of coronary artery bypass graft failure [2, 3]. However, complex diseases with substantial polygenic influences such as essential hypertension will require sustained gene overexpression.

**STRAATEGIES FOR GENE DELIVERY**

The breadth of applications for vascular gene therapy is accompanied by a need to deliver the therapeutic gene(s) to diverse vascular cell types including vascular smooth muscle (SMC), endothelium, myocardium, or tissues that influence lipid metabolism. Vector systems and gene delivery technologies must therefore be developed for individual applications. The four main modes of introducing therapeutic genes into the vasculature are _ex vivo_ gene transfer to vessel segments and gene delivery using cell-based, systemic, and local delivery approaches.

*Ex vivo gene therapy*

Where appropriate, _ex vivo_ genetic modification of vascular tissue is preferred as this allows the delivery of therapeutic genes to the target tissue in a safe and efficient manner. This is the method of choice for gene therapy of vein graft failure. During coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG), there is direct access to the vein in the clinical setting, enabling incubation and subsequent transduction with the vector before grafting. In this way, systemic release of the vector is avoided therefore decreasing potential deleterious transgene expression at distal sites. Additionally, the immune system response to the virus is diminished, since at the time of grafting all excess virus has been removed.

*Cell-based genetic modification*

Cell-based gene therapy involves the harvesting of cells from patients, _ex vivo_ transduction to express therapeutic genes, and subsequent reimplantation of genetically-modified autologous cells. There have been very few studies of this kind. Perhaps the best example of this approach is the _ex vivo_ approach used by Grossman et al [4] to treat familial hypercholesterolaemia. They transduced hepatocytes with retroviruses expressing a wild-type copy of low density lipoprotein receptor (LDL-R). Upon reimplantation, a reduction in the total cholesterol level from 671 to 608 was observed for one year [4]. Despite the partial success of this approach, there has been little enthusiasm for this cell-based strategy. In a different approach, injured rat carotid arteries were seeded with
Table 1. Gene therapy strategies for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Therapeutic approach</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atherosclerosis</td>
<td>Antiatherogenic</td>
<td>LCAT, apoAI, apoE mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vein graft failure, ischaemia, thrombosis</td>
<td>Diffusible/secreted gene products</td>
<td>VEGF, FGF, eNOS, antithrombotic agents, SOD, heme oxygenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vein graft failure</td>
<td>Inhibitors of smooth muscle cell</td>
<td>p53, TIMPs, Rb, p21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombosis</td>
<td>Prevention of thrombus formation</td>
<td>TFPI, tPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restenosis</td>
<td>Suicide genes</td>
<td>Tk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>Antisense oligonucleotides</td>
<td>Angiotensinogen, AT1 receptor, ACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vein graft failure</td>
<td>Decoys</td>
<td>Soluble VCAM, E2F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>Reduction in blood pressure</td>
<td>Kallikrein, ANP, eNOS, endothelin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SMCs overexpressing the tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase (TIMP)-1, a significant decrease in neointimal hyperplasia was observed confirming that this technique can be used to exploit a biological effect [5].

**Local gene delivery in vivo**

As many target vascular tissues are inaccessible by systemic vector administration, such as the ischaemic myocardium or atherosclerotic coronary arteries, local delivery devices have achieved substantial attention and development as means of delivering vectors in a safe, selective, and efficient manner. Catheter design has rapidly evolved since its first attempts at arterial wall gene transfer [6]. Delivery catheters can be used under X-ray fluoroscopy guidance for gene delivery and by means of a contrast medium, only the lumen of the vessel is visible. Development of this process using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) will provide valuable information on the vessel wall structure and interactions between genes and atherosclerotic lesions [7]. Another example of localised vascular gene transfer is by the use of stents [8]. Stents are commonly used devices that provide a scaffold structure to hold diseased arteries open. This can be exploited as a medium through which to deliver genes. Although stents have provided an effective treatment for stenotic saphenous aortocoronary bypass grafts, procedure-related complications have been observed in 20% of cases [9]. A recent study in a pig model demonstrated stable in vivo transgene expression in the vasculature over a 4-week period from a fibronectin-coated stent platform seeded with green fluorescent protein (GFP) transduced autologous SMC [10]. However, adherence of cells to stent surfaces under flow conditions is particularly poor with certain cell types such as endothelial cells [11]. Stents designed with a distal-embolic protection device in conjunction with a covering of therapeutic genes could be used to prevent adverse coronary events. A wide array of mechanical devices for gene delivery have been investigated for local gene therapy and include double balloon, channel balloon, and hydrogel coated catheters, microporous coated stents, microspheres, and nipple catheters (for review see [12]).

**Systemic gene delivery**

Following in vivo administration of viral vector systems, liver sequestration predominantly occurs, precluding efficient vascular gene delivery through this route of administration [13, 14]. Due to the efficient uptake of systemically administered vectors by the liver, cardiovascular gene therapeutic strategies are limited for this mode of delivery due to the inadequacies in vector technology. However, the liver can be used to modify lipid metabolism [15] or as a factory through which to flood the bloodstream with soluble therapeutic proteins. Systemic gene therapy has been explored for the treatment of hypercholesterolaemia and hypertension (for review see [16]). As an example, systemic injection of adenovirus-apoE into the tail vein of atherosclerotic apoE−/− mice caused an immediate fall in plasma cholesterol levels and a sustained reduction in atherosclerosis [15].

**Vectors for Gene Therapy**

**Viral vectors**

Successful gene therapy requires the fulfilment of several criteria, namely, the appropriate therapeutic gene and most importantly a suitable vector for delivery and subsequent efficient expression of the transgene. The ideal gene transfer vector should be nonpathogenic, efficiently transduce target cells, and elicit a minimal immunogenic response whilst providing the required time in vivo. At present, no single viral vector fulfils all the criteria for use in cardiovascular gene therapy and the advantages/disadvantages of each are summarised in Table 2. Examples of the therapeutic use of vectors are given in the relevant section describing cardiovascular disease.

The vast majority of gene transfer studies, not just those pertaining to cardiovascular diseases, have focussed
on the use of adenoviruses. Adenovirus (Ad) is by far the most commonly applied and well characterised of the existing viral vectors from an experimental or clinical standpoint. Indeed, of all ongoing gene therapy clinical trials almost 28% can be attributed to Ad-based protocols with less than 8% treating vascular disorders (http://www.als.org). Ad-mediated gene therapy is dependent on the level of the coxsackie-adenovirus receptor (CAR) and integrins (αvβ3 and αvβ5) expressed on the target cell (Figure 1a). In the context of the cardiovascular system, Ad infection levels are relatively low when compared to the hepatic system, however, many successful gene therapy applications have been documented using local delivery to target tissue and clinical trials are ongoing [12]. Ad can be produced to a high titer and will infect both nondividing and dividing cells. However, Ad can result in a marked inflammatory and immunogenic response limiting gene expression, which remains relatively transient but can be overcome with gutless Ad vectors [15].

The last few years have seen an increase in studies with adeno-associated virus (AAV)-based vectors and consequently AAV has emerged as an important vector system for gene therapy. rAAV is a replication-defective virus that is unable to replicate without a helper virus (Figure 1b). It can be stripped of its viral genes to allow a virus coat that can be packaged with a transgene cassette of up to 4.5 kbp. Unlike other viral vectors, AAV has the ability to integrate into the genome therefore allowing long-term expression of the transgene, although in nondividing cells it appears that rAAV vectors can exist as high-molecular weight episomal DNA [17]. wtAAV integrates stably into chromosome 19 [18] however, rAAV vectors do not specifically integrate at this site as rep protein is required to produce this site specificity [19]. This problem can be overcome by inserting a truncated rep protein, which allows the rAAV to restore its ability to integrate at the chromosome 19 site [20]. Single intramuscular injection of AAV-LacZ into immunocompetent mice produced detectable expression for more than 1.5 years without any evidence of a cellular immune response [21]. The lack of immunogenicity of AAV is an important feature of the vector and gives it a major advantage over adenoviruses (Table 2).

### Nonviral vectors

Of the cardiovascular trials running in 2001, 47% used either plasmid DNA or liposome carriers [1]. One approach involves the use of synthetic antisense oligonucleotides, designed to attenuate detrimental proteins [22]. Cis-element double-stranded oligodeoxynucleotides (also known as decoys) have been employed to modulate gene expression through the removal of trans-acting factors from endogenous cis-elements [23]. This is not only a powerful gene therapy strategy but also enables the study of endogenous gene regulation both in vitro and in vivo. For the cardiovascular system, ultrasound can enhance gene therapy of naked plasmid DNA and has been shown to increase biological effects of therapeutic genes such as p53 [24]. Gene transfer of recombinant human VEGF cDNA in a rabbit model of arterial balloon injury led to reendothelialization which was 95% complete within 1 week [25]. In turn, intimal thickening and the occurrence of thrombotic occlusion were diminished with an associated restoration in endothelial cell-dependent vasomotor reactivity. The treatment of peripheral vascular disease has seen the intramuscular injection of plasmid DNA encoding vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) to increase collateral vessel development and tissue perfusion in the muscle [26]. Importantly, in a clinical trial using ex vivo E2F decoy oligodeoxynucleotide transfection of human vein grafts, Mann et al [42] have shown this strategy to reduce proliferation and hence the occurrence of vein graft occlusion. In addition, no clinical complications were associated with the procedure.

### CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE TARGETS FOR GENE THERAPY

Many diseases affecting the cardiovascular system are amenable to gene therapy protocols. Indeed, success has been achieved experimentally. Here, we briefly review the therapeutic strategies relating to the treatment of ischaemia, late vein graft failure, atherosclerosis, thrombosis, and hypertension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viral vectors</th>
<th>Integration</th>
<th>Long-term gene expression</th>
<th>Immune response</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Broad tropism, easy to produce high titre stocks, widely characterised in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeno-associated virus (AAV)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Limited cloning capacity, nonpathogenic, integrate randomly in the absence of the rep gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentivirus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Retrovirus-derived, pseudotyping with heterologous coat proteins improves biosafety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrovirus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Only infects dividing cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Key features of gene therapy viral vectors.**

---

Lack of immunogenicity of AAV is an important feature of the vector and gives it a major advantage over adenoviruses (Table 2).
Figure 1. (a) Adenoviral (Ad) attachment and internalisation is mediated through the knob protein of the fiber binding to CAR, followed by interaction of the penton base at the base of the fiber shaft with \( \alpha_v \beta_3 \) integrins on the cell surface. Following internalisation, the virus is localised within cellular endosomes which upon acidification allows the virions to escape and traffic to the nucleus. Ad-mediated infection is therefore, dependent on levels of CAR with hepatocytes being highly permissive as shown with reasonable levels of transduction in endothelial cells (EC). (b) AAV2 binds to the primary receptor heparin sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG) on the cell surface and internalization is assisted by the secondary receptors \( \alpha_v \beta_5 \) integrins and fibroblast growth factor receptor 1. Transduction of vascular cell, in particular EC, is very poor compared with permissive cell types such as HeLa. Transduction of both cell types with rAAV2-eGFP clearly shows the difference in transduction efficiency.

**Ischaemia**

Peripheral ischaemic diseases are commonly associated with the lower extremities and can be characterized by an impaired blood supply resulting from narrowed or blocked arteries, which subsequently starve tissues of the necessary nutrients and oxygen. Similarly, inadequate blood flow to the heart gives rise to myocardial ischaemia. This may occur if coronary flow is reduced by the presence of an atherosclerotic plaque, a blood clot or an artery spasm. Surgical bypassing and percutaneous revascularization have alleviated many of the symptoms but is not suitable for all patients due to the extension of arterial occlusion and microcirculation impairment. Amputation and heart transplants are the only forms of treatment for ischaemia and therefore gene therapy provides an alternative solution [27, 28]. The two main therapeutic genes under investigation are the angiogenic growth factors (VEGF) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF). Research has focused on delivering these agents to the site of ischaemia (Table 1). VEGF is a heparin binding glycoprotein, which is a principal angiogenic factor for endothelial cells. The delivery of VEGF to target cells lends itself to gene transfer since it is naturally secreted from cells and therefore can achieve its biological effect with a limited number of transfected cells (Figure 2). Gowdak et al [29] demonstrated that intramuscular injection of AdVEGF121 resulted in significant lengthening of arterioles and capillaries of nonischaemic limbs in the rat and rabbit. Furthermore, tissue perfusion in animals receiving gene delivery two weeks prior to experimental induction of skeletal muscle ischaemia by removal of the femoral artery was preserved [29]. Clinically, Ad-mediated transfer of VEGF has been demonstrated to improve the endothelial function and to lower the extremity flow reserve in patients with peripheral arterial disease. In this case, AdVEGF121 was delivered intramuscularly.
and endothelial function determined 30 days postinjection [30].

In a phase-I clinical trial involving a group of 21 patients given AdVEGF121 by direct myocardial injection into the ischaemic region, no adverse effects were detected locally or systemically. Furthermore, angiography suggested an improvement in the area where the vector had been delivered and patients described alleviation in angina symptoms [31]. More recently, the AGENT trial has addressed the safety and anti-angiogenic effects of administering Ad-FGF4 in patients suffering from angina. Single intracoronary infusion of Ad-FGF4 was shown to result in improved exercise times assessed using the exercise treadmill test compared to the placebo group and no adverse side effects [32]. A single intramuscular injection of AAV-VEGF has been shown in the rat ischemic hindlimb model to produce an increase in capillary growth, a significant increase in mean blood flow of the ischemic limb, and a higher average skin temperature [33]. An intracardiac injection of AAV-VEGF165 has also been shown to induce angiogenesis in the ischemic myocardium without any evidence of angioma formation [34].

Although VEGF has positive effects on the promotion of angiogenesis, there are pertinent safety considerations. It has been shown that VEGF may enhance atherosclerotic plaque development through an increase in focal macrophage levels [35]. Macrophages then induce those growth factors and cytokines, which mediate intimal hyperplasia and contribute to plaque instability through enhancing levels of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and other hydrolytic enzymes. Other potential risks of therapeutic angiogenesis include the production of non-functional, leaky vessels, and stimulation of angiogenesis in tumours [36]. Animal studies in mice highlight the need for regulated expression of VEGF as persistent unregulated VEGF expression following intraventricular injection resulted in the formation of intramural vascular tumours at the site of myoblast implantation [37]. The development of tissue-specific vectors and promoters may help to minimise the risks from these adverse reactions.

**Protection from reperfusion injury**

Reperfusion of ischemic myocardium resulting from dissolution of the blockage by clinical intervention, may in turn further injure the damaged tissue as a result of reperfusion injury. Reperfusion leads to oxidative stress in the tissue and hence may itself require intervention. Hypoxic regulatable elements and overexpression of agents, which scavenge free radicals or reduce oxidative stress, have been targeted using gene therapy protocols (Table 1). To produce long-term myocardial protection Melo et al [38] used AAV to deliver the cytoprotective heme oxygenase gene by intramyocardial injection into rat hearts. They found that eight weeks after administration of the AAV-hHO-1 when acute coronary artery ligation was performed the treated rats had a dramatic reduction in myocardial infarction size [38]. Phillips et al [39] also devised a cardioprotective strategy using a “vigilant vector” AAV construct. This involves a heart specific promoter, MLC2v, which only expresses mRNA in the heart. The vector also includes a hypoxia regulatory element (HRE) which can act as an “on” switch so that production of the transgene antisense AT1R only occurs when ischaemia is detected. This would result in long-term protection of cardiac function during bouts of ischaemia [39]. In an acute model of oxidative stress, the effects of expression of superoxide dismutase (SOD) from adenoviral vectors was investigated [40]. High doses of Ad-SOD3 (3 × 10^{10} pfu) resulted in a 3-fold elevation of serum SOD activity and was protective against hepatic ischaemia-reperfusion injury.

**Late vein graft failure**

As one of the most commonly performed surgical procedure at some 400,000 cases worldwide each year, coronary artery bypass grafts (CABG) are effective at relieving symptoms of angina and prolong life for those patients with multiple vessel disease. Vein grafts are inserted into the arterial circulation and undergo a sequence of
adapting physiological changes. Early thrombotic occlusion occurs in 10% of grafts with patency rates of 50% over 10 years due to the onset of intimal thickening and atheromas [3]. Early thrombosis occurs in the first few weeks after grafting, particularly at the distal anastomosis due to vessel wall injury. Drug treatments include aspirin and other antiplatelet agents, which reduce but do not eliminate early occlusions but are often associated with hemorrhagic side effects. Late vein graft failure is characterized by progressive medial thickening and neointima formation. Therefore, vein graft failure limits the clinical success of coronary bypass grafting in terms of symptoms and mortality.

Remodelling of the vascular wall by MMPs promotes SMC migration and proliferation, ultimately leading to neointima formation and a narrowing of the vessel lumen [3]. The main therapeutic targets in the context of late vein graft failure are those affecting SMC migration and proliferation. Vein graft lends itself ideally to gene therapy, as there is a clinical therapeutic window whereby surgically prepared vein can be genetically modified prior to grafting. Many candidate therapeutic target genes have been studied experimentally with the aim to prevent the formation of neointimal lesions associated with late vein graft failure (Table 1). SMC proliferation and migration and matrix degradation are integral to lesion formation and hence, among those classes of gene investigated are antiproliferative, proapoptotic, antiinflammatory, or antimigratory agents (for review see [41]). The PREVENT clinical trial [42] aimed to assess the efficacy of intraoperative gene therapy in patients receiving bypass vein grafts. By blockading the cell transcription factor E2F with decoy oligodeoxynucleotides at the time of grafting, they were able to significantly reduce the incidence of post-operative occlusion. E2F upregulates up to a dozen cell cycle genes and its inhibition inhibits target cell cycle gene expression and DNA synthesis. The success of this trial in reducing bypass graft failure in a high risk cohort underlines the important role that gene therapy could play in the prevention of vein graft failure.

MMPs have been shown to be an integral part of neointima formation, and overexpression of the naturally occurring tissue inhibitors of MMPs (TIMPs) is a possible approach. Ad-mediated transfer of TIMPs has been demonstrated in a number of models of vein graft failure. In a mouse model, local delivery of Ad-TIMP-2 was found to reduce vein graft diameter [43]. In contrast, using the pig saphenous vein-carotid artery interposition graft model, George et al [46] demonstrated that while Ad-mediated TIMP-2 delivery was ineffective at reducing vein graft neointima formation, TIMP-3 had a profound inhibitory effect on lesion formation (Figure 3). This was attributed, in part, to its proapoptotic effect in the medial and neointimal layers. Encouragingly, the results from the porcine model were translated into a human ex vivo model of vein graft failure with an 84% reduction in neointima formation following local delivery of Ad-TIMP-3 [46]. Similarly, TIMP-1 was also shown to

Figure 3. Gene therapy by overexpression of TIMP-3. Following Ad-mediated gene delivery to vascular smooth muscle cells, TIMP-3 is secreted and is found located with the extracellular matrix (ECM). From here, TIMP-3 is available to exert two distinctly different phenotypes through its metalloproteinase inhibitory effects. (a) Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs, including collagenases, stromelysins, gelatinases, and membrane-type metalloproteinases [MT-MMPs]) are upregulated following vascular injury. TIMPs, through their native MMP inhibitory activity, are able to bind to and retard pro-MMP-to-active enzyme conversion and combined with the ability to block active MMP activity, matrix proteolysis and hence cell migration is inhibited [45]. (b) TIMP-3, uniquely amongst the TIMP family, is also able to promote smooth muscle cell death through death receptor-induced caspase activation and induction of apoptosis [46]. Micrographs courtesy of Mark Bond, Bristol Heart Institute, UK.
have an inhibitory effect on lesion formation in this model [47].

Endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) is important to vascular homeostasis and plays a vasoprotective role by inhibiting platelet and leukocyte adhesion, inhibiting SMC proliferation and migration, and in turn promoting endothelial survival. Local eNOS delivery would, in theory, arrest the proliferative response to vascular injury. Nitric oxide (NO) bioactivity is substantially reduced postbypass graft surgery whilst levels of NO scavenging superoxide are increased. It is therefore likely that the loss of NO may contribute to vascular remodelling events in the vein graft [48]. West et al [49] showed that Ad-nNOS gene transfer in a rabbit vein graft model favourably affected vein graft remodelling by inhibiting the early inflammatory changes and reducing late intimal hyperplasia [49]. They observed an increase in NOS activity, a reduction in adhesion molecule expression and inflammatory cell infiltration, and a reduction in basal superoxide generation.

Targeting SMC proliferation has also been shown to be effective using gene therapy protocols. In a rabbit model of vein grafting, Ad-mediated expression of a constitutively active form of the retinoblastoma gene product (AdΔRb) reduced neointima formation four weeks after surgery by 22% [50]. In the human saphenous vein model of vein grafting, targeting SMC by overexpression of wild-type p53 both induced apoptosis and inhibited SMC migration resulting in a reduction in lesion formation [51]. Likewise, overexpression of C-type natriuretic peptide, which inhibits SMC growth by Ad resulted in accelerated graft re-endothelialisation and reduced thrombosis and neointima formation [52]. Kibbe et al [53] inhibited intimal hyperplasia in porcine vein grafts by incubation with Ad-iNOS for 30 minutes prior to surgery. This effect was sustained up to 21 days postgrafting [53]. While these strategies all show short-term effects, long-term studies are fundamentally important owing to the long-term nature of bypass graft failure.

**Thrombosis**

Defects in the vessel wall, namely, endothelial cell dysfunction can result in a reduction of antithrombotic activity leading to clot formation. The two main groups of anti-thrombotic genes are those with antiplatelet or anticoagulant activity. Prostacyclin (PGI2), nitric oxide (NO) and thrombin inhibitors all act through the inhibition of platelet adhesion and aggregation, in conjunction with the prevention of vascular SMC proliferation and vasoconstriction. The anti-thrombotic treatment, tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), which has anticoagulant properties and is used to lyse existing clots, may be a useful therapeutic gene for antithrombotic therapy (Table 1). The short half-life of tPA could be overcome with sustained overexpression from a gene therapy vector. Other anticoagulant gene products include hirudin, thrombomodulin, antistasin and, tissue factor pathway inhibitor (TFPI). Hirudin is perhaps the most potent inhibitor of thrombin, the enzyme responsible for fibrinogen cleavage, platelet activation, and SMC proliferation [54]. The advantage of local expression of antithrombotic therapeutic genes is that not only is thrombolysis promoted at specific sites in the artery, but also the side effects of the conventional anticoagulant are avoided. Clinical conditions amenable to antithrombotic gene therapy include CABG, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, peripheral artery angioplasty, and intravascular stenting. Intravascular clot formation is a major cause of acute myocardial infarction and contributes to the majority of sudden deaths in patients with coronary artery disease. Successful thrombolysis for acute thrombosis is dependent on prompt treatment and delays in vector administration and expression of antithrombotic factors suggests that antithrombotic gene therapy is more likely to play a role in the prevention of reocclusion and chronic arterial narrowing. Cyclo-oxygenase-1 (COX-1), the rate limiting enzyme in the synthesis of PGI2, was overexpressed by local delivery of Ad to porcine carotid arteries immediately postangioplasty. This was shown to increase the levels of PGI2 and, in turn, inhibit thrombosis in injured vessels [55]. By manipulating the coagulation cascade integral in thrombus formation, a number of positive gene therapy studies have been reported. In a rabbit stasis/injury model of arterial thrombosis, local overexpression of thrombomodulin using Ad was assessed. In addition to reducing thrombosis, the vector did not induce inflammatory damage at the site of delivery [56]. Work by the same group targeting tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA) also demonstrated effective prevention of thrombus formation [57]. Local gene transfer of TFPI into rabbit carotid arteries using an Ad vector prior to experimental thrombosis induction completely inhibited the formation of thrombi without affecting systemic coagulation status [58]. Similar data were described in the porcine carotid artery model following local delivery of Ad-TFPI [59].

**Atherosclerosis**

Due to the complexity and interplay of genetic and environmental factors in the development of atherosclerosis, it is unlikely that localized gene therapy will be a useful approach in the primary prevention of the disease. Inefficient intravascular gene transfer efficiency through atherosclerotic lesions and lipid-rich atheromas has been attributed to the very low numbers of transfactable cells and the high connective tissue content [60]. An extensive list of therapeutic genes exists for the treatment of atherosclerosis including LDL- or VLDL-receptor gene transfer to overcome LDL-receptor efficiency, a major inherited genetic defect and a determinant of atherosclerosis. Patients with defective enzymes vital for lipoprotein metabolism such as lipoprotein and hepatic lipases would benefit from gene transfer of DNA producing the correct enzymes. A reduction in the level of atherogenic
apoprotein (apo) B100 is possible after gene transfer of the apoB mRNA editing enzyme, whilst lipoprotein A could be lowered with synthesis inhibiting ribozymes. Apolipoprotein AI (apoAI) and lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) are important factors in the removal of excess cholesterol and the subsequent reduction in the incidence of atherosclerotic lesions (Table 1). Through in vitro bicistronic expression of these two genes from AAV plasmid vectors, it was shown that increased synthesis of apoAI and LCAT could play a role in reducing atherosclerotic risk [61].

The apoE<sup>−/−</sup> transgenic mouse is a well-established experimental model for atherosclerosis as it develops severe hypercholesterolaemia and atherosclerotic lesions similar to humans. Harris et al (2002) found that they could detect apoE mRNA in the muscle from a single intramuscular injection into the apoE<sup>−/−</sup> mouse, but could not detect circulating recombinant apoE in the plasma [62]. However circulating antibodies were detected against the human apoE. The most significant finding of this study was three months after administration of the AAV-apoE they found a significant reduction (approximately 30%) in atherosclerotic plaque density in the aortas of treated animals compared to the controls. These results suggest that only low levels of apoE are required to produce protection against atherosclerosis.

Attenuation of lesion development has been demonstrated using Ad-mediated overexpression of heme oxygenase-1 [63], TIMP-1 [64], platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase (PAF-AH)—the enzyme responsible for the inactivation of PAF [65] and apoE itself, administered intravenously [66]. Of particular significance, Kim et al [15] recently described the lifetime correction of hypercholesterolaemia in apoE<sup>−/−</sup> mice following a single intravenous injection of a helper-dependent Ad vector. Follow-up of 2.5 years old mice demonstrated 100% coverage of the aorta with atherosclerotic plaques in control mice with almost no lesion development in treated animals [15].

**Hypertension**

Systemic hypertension is a common multifactorial disorder primarily manifesting itself as chronic high blood pressure and is a major risk factor for atherosclerosis, peripheral vascular disease stroke, and many other complications associated with structural damage to the cardiovascular system. Drugs for controlling high blood pressure are effective over a 24-hour period, are nonspecific and cause side effects. It is well established that the hyperactive renin-angiotensin system (RAS) is a key factor in primary hypertension and gene therapy strategies have concentrated on those genes in the RAS controlling regulation of blood pressure.

Due to its multifactorial nature, gene therapy for hypertension has yet to be demonstrated clinically. Many gene therapy interventions have, however, been employed successfully in the laboratory (for review, see [67, 68, 69]). Targeting elements involved in the oxidative stress pathway (Table 1), Alexander et al [70] and Fennell et al [71] have demonstrated an improvement of endothelial function in the SHRSB with local delivery of Ad-eNOS [70] or Ad-extracellular SOD [71]. Angiotensin II-induced hypertension and accompanying endothelial dysfunction were studied by Nakane et al [72]. Gene transfer of Ad-eNOS but not SOD (copper/zinc or extracellular SOD) was shown to restore endothelial function ex vivo in aortic rings from treated rabbits [72]. Ad-eNOS delivery into the rostral ventrolateral medulla of SHRSB and WKY rats resulted in a significant reduction in blood pressure in both rats [73]. A continuous supply of tissue kallikrein by a single intramuscular injection of Ad produced a significant delay of elevated blood pressure for five weeks in the SHR [74]. An increase in vasodilator proteins such as kallikrein, eNOS, and atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) in animal models has correlated with a reduction in blood pressure [75].

Antisense targeting angiotensinogen and the angiotensin type-1 (AT1) receptor attempted to decrease those genes responsible for vasconstriction [76]. AAV-plasmids have been used to deliver antisense AT1-R to SHR rats [76]. They showed that a single intracardiac injection was sufficient to reduce blood pressure by 30 mm Hg when compared to the controls over a five-week period [76]. The effect of AAV-AGT-AS on the development of hypertension in SHR rats has also been examined. The rats were injected with AAV-AGT-AS five days after birth as the development of hypertension in SHR rats commences between the eighth and tenth week after birth. A significant slowing of the development of hypertension for six months was observed but there was no complete inhibition of the rise in blood pressure [77].

**THE FUTURE OF GENE THERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE**

Due to the complexity of cardiovascular disorders, a major stumbling block may be the identification of the best gene to treat the disease. It is most likely that a cocktail of therapeutic genes rather than a single particular gene will be the most effective treatment of certain cardiovascular diseases such as hypertension and atherosclerosis. In order for gene therapy to become a reality in the cardiovascular clinic, effective therapeutic genes and suitable vectors must be identified and developed. Results from the first clinical trials have indicated that vascular gene transfer is not only safe but may have therapeutic benefits when administered intravascularly or intramuscularly.
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