Bioengineering of Improved Biomaterials Coatings for Extracorporeal Circulation Requires Extended Observation of Blood-Biomaterial Interaction under Flow
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) technology represents one of the most striking examples of progress in biomedical engineering. Procedures in cardiac surgery that rely on CPB can nowadays be regarded as safe, that is, they are associated with a low incidence of mortality [1–3]. These developments resulted, to a significant extent, from improvements in the polymeric biomaterials that constitute the inner surface of CPB circuits. Within CPB circuits, there is extensive blood contact with the tubing, the pump, and, particularly, the oxygen/carbon dioxide exchange membrane. It is well known that cellular components of the blood (particularly, leukocytes and platelets) may become activated, and that four different but partially overlapping plasma protein cascades will go into operation (intrinsic and extrinsic clotting cascade, complement system, and fibrinolytic protein system) [4]. For more than 4 decades, heparin has been used as the standard "anticoagulant" to counterbalance these effects. Improvements resulted from the use of surface coatings exposing heparin at the blood-biomaterial interface. These coatings reduce coagulation, inflammation, complement activation, and platelet activation [2, 4]. More recently, CPB equipment has been coated with poly(2-methoxy-ethylacrylate) (PMEA), based on the hypothesis that this material leads to improved blood compatibility compared to uncoated surfaces. PMEA is cheap compared to heparin-exposing coatings and was postulated to provide a useful alternative for patients with heparin-associated disorders [5–7].

However, serious complications may arise if extracorporeal circulation has to be sustained, that is, for several days. The most frequent problems stem from bacterial infection, hemolysis, thrombus formation within the circuit, and formation of circulating thrombotic emboli [8–10]. We became
intrigued by these problems, since they relate to long-term hemocompatibility of polymeric materials, which is in fact an unexplored territory in biomaterials science. Indeed, we noticed that the literature on blood compatibility of CPB circuits merely contains experimental data that correspond to short testing periods. For example, Weber et al. extensively studied hemocompatibility of 4 different biomaterials in a CPB model, but only up to 120 minutes [11]. We adhere to the idea that successful development of novel biomaterials or biomaterial coatings for CPB will depend on robust evaluation models in which the blood-biomaterial contact is maintained for several hours at least.

Herein we report a systematic methodological study in which two commercial surface-coated CPB tubings (heparin-coated tubings and PMEA-coated tubings) and one uncoated control were evaluated in contact with human whole blood under flow, for a period of 5 hours. We calculated that 5 hours of experimentation implies a level of blood-biomaterial contact that corresponds to at least 9 hours of operation in a typical CPB system (vide infra). Several assays were used to evaluate the blood (platelet counts and assays to determine hemolysis, platelet activation, leukocyte activation, and activation of the complement system). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to study deposition of blood components at the surface of the tubings. A full set of data was acquired for the three different materials, four donors and five time points (0, 75, 150, 225, and 300 minute). The three materials showed clear differences, in general pointing towards an inferior hemocompatibility of the PMEA coating. Moreover, two points with respect to bioengineering of improved coatings for long-term CPB emerged as follows: (i) since most of the differences between the three surfaces did not become apparent during the first 2 hours of experimentation, long-term (e.g., 5 hour) testing of blood-biomaterial interactions under flow is required; (ii) preferably, parallel tests with blood from several different donors should be performed, since the results from several assays appeared to be clearly donor-dependent.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tubings with a coating of PMEA were a generous gift of Terumo Europe NV (Leuven, Belgium). The internal diameter of the tubings was 0.476 cm. The same company also provided the uncoated tubings with identical internal diameter, which were used as controls. Tubings with a coating of heparin were obtained from Maquet Cardiopulmonary AG (Hirrlingen, Germany). The internal diameter was also 0.476 cm. All tubings were received in a sterile package and cut to length of (42.5 cm) immediately prior to the experiments. Lepirudin (Refudan) was purchased from Pharmion (Windsor Berkshire, UK). Bovine serum albumin (BSA), Na-citrate, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and Zymosan A were from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie B.V. (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands). 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), NaCl, KCl, and Glutaraldehyde 25% were from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Na₂HPO₄ and KH₂PO₄ were from Janssen Chimica (Beerse, Belgium). Ethanol 100% was from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). The chromogenic substrate S2238 was synthesized according to Rijkers et al. [12]. The following solutions were prepared: a lepirudin stock solution (lepirudin 200 μg/mL, NaCl 9 g/L), a HEPES/EDTA stock solution (HEPES 100 mM, EDTA 40 mM, pH 7.4), a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (NaCl 8 g/L, KCl 0.2 g/L, Na₂HPO₄ 1.44 g/L, KH₂PO₄ 0.24 g/L, pH 7.4), a CaCl₂ stock solution (0.5 M CaCl₂), a Na-citrate stock solution (Na-citrate 0.13 M), an S2238 stock solution (S2238 2 mM), and a stop buffer (NaCl 140 mM, HEPES 20 mM, EDTA 20 mM, BSA 1 mg/mL, S2238 stock solution 1/10, pH 7.5). Citrate, theophylline, adenosine, dipyridamole (CTAD) stock solution (BD Vacutainer CTAD Tubes) was a product from Becton Dickinson (Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands). The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for β-thromboglobulin (β-TG) was purchased from Diagnostica Stago (Asnières sur Seine, France) and ELISA kits for terminal complement complex (TCC) and myeloperoxidase (MPO) were from Hycult biotechnology B.V. (Uden, The Netherlands).

2.2. Equipment

Experiments were performed on a modified Chandler loop system, which was equipped with a broad wheel with a diameter of 13 cm [13]. On this wheel, 12 tubes could be rotated simultaneously. The rotating speed was set at 32 per minute. The rotating wheel and the mounted tubes were immersed in a water bath that was kept at 37°C throughout the entire experiment. The Chandler loop device was made by the mechanical workshop of the Instrument Development Engineering & Evaluation of the University Maastricht. Centrifugation was performed with an Eppendorf Centrifuge 5417C (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Platelets were counted on an automatic cell counter (Coulter AC-T diff, Beckman Coulter, Miami, Fl, USA). The absorbance of plasma-free hemoglobin (Hb) was determined on a spectrophotometer (Multiskan Spectrum Microplate Spectrophotometer, Thermo Labsystems, Vantaa, Finland). Microtiter plates were heated on a plate warmer (Single Micro-Hywel, Chromogenix, Milano, Italy). For both the ELISA assays and the thrombin generation time assay, the absorbances of the microtiter plates were determined spectrophotometrically on a microplate reader (ELx808 Absorbance Microplate Reader, BioTek Instruments, Inc., Vt, USA). Samples for SEM were coated with gold on a sputter coater (Sputter coater 108 auto/SE, Cressington Scientific Instruments Ltd., Watford, UK) and then analyzed with a scanning electron microscope (Philips XL30 Scanning Electron Microscope, Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

2.3. Experiments under flow conditions: the Chandler loop model
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every 5 minutes until 24 minutes of incubation, from then samples were taken every 2 minutes. Blood samples were kept on ice until further handling. At the end of the experiment, the blood samples were centrifuged at 10621 g for 5 minutes. Next, 200 μL plasma of each sample was loaded onto a microtiter plate that was kept on ice. After loading, the microtiter plate was heated for 5 minutes at 37°C. Finally, thrombin concentrations were measured using absorption spectrophotometry at 405 nm.

2.5. Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using Mann-Whitney analysis for between-group comparisons and the Wilcoxon test for paired observations for comparison within groups. A P-value less than .05 (two-tailed) was considered significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Analysis of the blood samples

3.1.1. Platelet counts

Figure 1 compiles the results on the platelet count experiments; note that data referring to the three different biomaterials, based on blood from four different donors and measured at five time points, are combined. This format is used consistently throughout this article. At the start of the experiment, the donors had platelet counts between 75,000 and 180,000 per μL, which is within the normal range. Some increased spreading is noted, especially in the counts that were measured after 225 or 300 minutes. The heparin-coated specimens and the uncoated controls show invariant platelet counts as a function of time. The PMEA coating, on the other hand, induces a decrease in the concentration of circulating platelets. For donor JB, this effect can be noticed already after 75 min, and the decrease goes on during the entire experiment. For the other donors, platelet counts start to decline only after 150 minutes (KS and SB), or after 225 minutes (WW). The largest drop in platelet count was found with the blood from donor JB in the PMEA-coated tube; the concentration of circulating platelets decreased by almost 60%, from ca. 140,000 per μL to ca. 60,000 per μL. At the end of the experiment, the concentration of circulating platelets was significantly lower in the PMEA-coated tubes compared to the heparin-coated tubes (79,917 ± 6,304 platelets/μL blood for PMEA-coated tubes versus 135,333 ± 10,623 platelets/μL blood for heparin-coated tubes (mean ± sem), see Table 1 (supplementary material), P = .001) and the uncoated controls (79,917 ± 6,304 platelets/μL blood for PMEA-coated tubes versus 133,833 ± 10,650 platelets/μL blood for uncoated controls (mean ± sem), see Table 1 (supplementary material), P = .001). This indicated that the PMEA coating has a propensity to activate contacting platelets. This is, most probably, not a direct effect, but an effect of plasma proteins adsorbed onto the PMEA surface. Activated platelets are known to adhere to adsorbed fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor, vitronectin, and fibronectin [20]. Consequently, this results in lower platelet counts. In addition, activated platelets adhere to each other via fibrinogen bridges, anchored by GPIIb/IIIa receptors [21]. Activated platelets also adhere to circulating leukocytes [22, 23]. Some of the surface-attached platelets partially detach, leaving adherent membrane fragments [24]. Other platelets are damaged by shear forces [25]. Recently, platelet counts were also used in a clinical study to evaluate hemocompatibility of heparin- and PMEA-coated CPB circuits. Kutay et al. reported a more significant depletion of circulating platelets in PMEA-coated circuits compared to heparin-coated circuits [26].

3.1.2. Hemolysis

Figure 2 shows the dataset resulting from our concentration measurements of extracellular (free) Hb. Rupture of erythrocytes (hemolysis) (e.g., due to collisions with the lumen of the tubes) leads to release of Hb into the plasma. The vertical axis in Figure 2 depicts the ratio free Hb : total Hb, expressed as a percentage. Clearly, hemolysis is very low, that is, most of the erythrocytes remained intact in all cases. Even in the most extreme situation (donor JB, PMEA coating, 300 minutes circulation), only 1 out of every 400 molecules Hb is free. Despite the occurrence of little hemolysis, it is clear that the level of free Hb in the plasma increases with circulation time, which was expected. The three coatings do not perform differently in this respect. No significant differences in the levels of plasma-free Hb were found between the different tubes. The hemolysis assay applied in this study was based on the Cripps method to measure levels of plasma-free Hb [15]. In a study by Malinauskas [27], this method was shown to be more precise and accurate than the chemical addition methods to measure levels of plasma-free Hb.

3.1.3. Activation of platelets: β-thromboglobulin

Figure 3 provides an overview of the concentration measurements of β-TG, which is a soluble marker for platelet...
activation [28]. Activated platelets release β-TG from their α-granules.

The β-TG concentration versus time profiles show an increasing trend, revealing that platelet activation progresses with time. With the blood of the donors WW and SB, this is found consistently for all coatings. The blood of donor JB follows this pattern, except in contact with PMEA: the concentration of β-TG then remains virtually unchanged. This is remarkable, since the platelet counts for this donor and this coating were found to decrease sharply (see Figure 1). Most likely, platelets of this donor adhere to PMEA without subsequent release of the granular contents. Donor KS is slightly aberrant. The levels of β-TG are relatively high, throughout all experiments, but it must be kept in mind that this donor also had the highest platelet counts (see Figure 1). In contact with the PMEA coating, a steep increase of the concentration of β-TG is found after 150 minutes of incubation. However, there were no significant differences in platelet activation levels between uncoated, heparin-coated, and PMEA-coated tubes. This indicates that immobilization of heparin or coating with PMEA does not help to reduce platelet activation within blood that contacts a PVC surface.

3.1.4. Activation of leukocytes: myeloperoxidase

During ECC, contact between the blood and artificial surfaces is known to induce an inflammatory response characterized by the activation of various leukocyte cell types [23, 29]. Of the various leukocytes, neutrophils are the most abundant; they play a central role in the inflammatory response to CPB [27]. MPO is a glycoprotein abundantly present in the primary granules of neutrophils; activated neutrophils release MPO by degranulation [23, 30, 31].

Figure 4 presents the data of the concentration measurements of MPO. A clear pattern emerging from the data is that for every donor, the concentration of MPO increases continuously. The rise in MPO levels was the highest in PMEA-coated tubes. This resulted in significantly higher levels of MPO compared to heparin-coated tubes, at time points 225 minute (165 ± 11.6 ng/mL for PMEA-coated tubes versus 131.5 ± 4.8 ng/mL for heparin-coated tubes (mean ± sem), see Table 1 (supplementary material), P = .01) and 300 minute (222.3 ± 11.5 ng/mL for PMEA-coated tubes versus 157.7 ± 3.6 ng/mL for heparin-coated tubes (mean ± sem), see Table 1 (supplementary material), P = .001), and to uncoated controls, at time point 300 minute (222.3 ± 11.5 ng/mL for PMEA-coated tubes versus 176.8 ± 9.8 ng/mL for uncoated controls (mean ± sem), see Table 1 (supplementary material), P = .01). These data indicate that the PMEA coating induces MPO release more abundantly as compared to both other surfaces. It is of interest to compare our data with a recent study of Lappegård et al. who also used the Chandler loop model to investigate neutrophil activation after blood-artificial surface contact [23, 29]. After 4 hours of blood circulation they found significantly lower levels of MPO release in heparin-coated tubes compared to uncoated controls. Lappegård et al., however, used a heparin coating based on covalently end point-attached heparin [29], while the heparin coating used in our experiments involved both covalent and ionic interactions of heparin with the surface.

Our results appear to be in line with a recent clinical study by Kutay et al. who compared the hemocompatibility of PMEA- and heparin-coated CPB [26]. MPO levels at the end of CPB were significantly higher in the PMEA-coated circuits compared to the heparin-coated circuits. Besides MPO, plasma levels of interleukin-8, a proinflammatory cytokine, were also quantified by ELISA. In the three different tubes, plasma levels of interleukin-8 remained undetectable until 225 minutes of incubation. At the end of the experiment, interleukin-8 generation did not differ significantly between the three tubes and plasma levels never exceeded 90 pg/mL (data not shown). Apparently, 5 hours of blood-biomaterial
contact in our model are not sufficient to use interleukin-8 as a discriminating marker for inflammatory responses.

3.1.5. Activation of the complement system: terminal complement complex

Complement activation has been studied extensively as a marker for hemocompatibility of artificial surfaces. Different components of the complement activation cascade can be used [31]. However, in a study by Gong et al. [32], generation of complement activation products in the Chandler loop model displayed component-specific responsiveness to the size of the gas surface and the biomaterial surface. Of the complement activation markers evaluated, generation of TCC was least influenced by the size of the gas surface and mainly dependent on the biomaterial surface. This prompted us to use TCC as a complement activation marker in our study. Figure 5 depicts the data of the concentration measurements of TCC. The levels of TCC were undetectable at the start of the experiments but increased steadily in all tubes throughout the experiment. At several time points, significantly higher levels of TCC could be detected in heparin-coated tubes compared to PMEA-coated tubes (at 75 minute: 7.5 ± 0.8 AU/mL for heparin-coated tubes versus 4.8 ± 0.2 AU/mL for PMEA-coated tubes (mean ± sem), see Table 1 (supplementary material), P = .001; at 150 minute: 11.5 ± 1.4 AU/mL for heparin-coated tubes versus 7.9 ± 0.4 AU/mL for PMEA-coated tubes (mean ± sem), see Table 1 (supplementary material), P = .024; at 225 minute: 14.7 ± 1.3 AU/mL for heparin-coated tubes versus 11.1 ± 0.7 AU/mL for PMEA-coated tubes (mean ± sem), see Table 1 (supplementary material), P = .027) and uncoated controls (at 75 minute: 7.5 ± 0.8 AU/mL for heparin-coated tubes versus 5.9 ± 0.7 AU/mL for uncoated controls (mean ± sem), see Table 1 (supplementary material), P = .036). This suggests that TCC generation proceeds faster in heparin-coated tubes compared to PMEA-coated tubes and uncoated controls. Several in vitro studies using the Chandler loop model reported prevention of TCC generation by heparin-coated PVC compared to uncoated PVC [20, 23, 29, 33]. However, none of these studies had blood circulation times of more than two hours. Also, these studies used heparin coatings which were structurally different from the heparin coating evaluated in our study. Weber et al. compared covalently heparin-coated tubes from four different manufacturers and also found marked differences in hemocompatibility [11].

3.2. Analysis of the inner surface

3.2.1. Scanning electron microscopy

A set of scanning electron micrographs was recorded (3 different materials, 4 donors, 5 different times of circulation in the Chandler loop system, and three samples of every tube were examined). In general, we observed that adhesion of blood components developed slowly and gradually as the experiments proceeded. However, the SEM data revealed a striking difference between uncoated PVC and heparin-coated PVC on one hand, and the PMEA-coated PVC on the other hand. Four micrographs, taken after 5 hours of blood circulation over the surfaces, are shown in Figure 6 to illustrate this difference. The uncoated PVC and heparin-coated PVC surfaces showed a remarkable resemblance. These surfaces were, to an extent of approximately 80%, devoid of any visible adherent blood components. There were, however, island-like regions, usually small (e.g., 10 × 10 μm) but sometimes larger (e.g., 100 × 200 μm). Enlarged images of these islands (see Figures 6(a) and 6(b)) revealed a flat patch-like structure, presumably composed of fibrin
threads. Some blood platelets were entrapped in the patch, in the case of the uncoated surface (see Figure 6(a)). For the heparin-coated surface, platelets as well as larger cells (presumably leukocytes) were entrapped in or adhered to the patch structure (see Figure 6(b)). Evaluation of the inner surface of the PMEA-coated surface showed radically different pictures as can be seen in Figures 6(c) and 6(d). Fibrin formation is evident on the uncoated and heparin-coated surfaces, but not for the PMEA-coating. Scattered over the PMEA-coated surface, we encountered isolated cells, or ensembles of a small number of cells (typically 2 or 3 cells). Presumably, the adhered cells are leukocytes; the diameter of these cells is 10–15 μm. Figure 6(d) shows a detailed SEM micrograph of a region that was relatively densely populated with adherent cells. It is seen that most of the cells extend pseudopodia, through which they are connected to one or more neighbors. Leukocyte activation induced by surface contact, leading to pseudopodia (see Figure 6(d)), can also explain why the MPO concentration was highest for the PMEA-coated PVC tube as compared to the other two tubes (see Figure 4).

### 3.2.2. Measurement of thrombin generation times

In view of the differences between these coatings encountered after prolonged blood contact in the Chandler loop, we decided to subject the surfaces also to the well-known thrombin generation assay [16–19]. Contact activation of the blood coagulation system is accompanied by a sudden increase of thrombin levels, after a lag-time that varies between approximately 5 minutes for highly thrombogenic materials to approximately 60 minutes for materials with extremely low thrombogenicity. Figure 7 shows the thrombin generation curves measured in triplicate with the uncoated, heparin-coated, and PMEA-coated PVC tubes. Thrombin generation occurred between 30 and 40 minutes in the uncoated PVC tubes. Coating of the PVC with immobilized heparin prolonged the thrombin generation time until 60 minutes. This clearly indicates the better antithrombogenic properties of the heparin-coated PVC tubes compared to uncoated PVC tubes. A remarkable finding, however, was that coating of PVC tubes with PMEA resulted in thrombin generation times comparable to those of the uncoated PVC tubes. Note that, in the case of the heparin-coated PVC, the thrombin concentration remains <2 nM, even after 60 minutes. The analysis was stopped after this time point since the assay is static. The red blood cells may show aberrant behavior after 1 hour of static conditions (Rouleaux formation), which compromises the reliability of the method. Comparing the thrombin generation curves, we conclude that thrombogenicity of the three different surfaces is as follows: uncoated PVC ≈ PMEA-coated PVC > heparin-coated PVC.
Figure 7: Thrombin generation curves measured for uncoated PVC (a), heparin-coated PVC (b), and PMEA-coated PVC (c) incubated with recalcified blood under static conditions. Experiments were performed in triplicate, that is, every blood sample was analyzed three times.

### 3.2.3. The Chandler loop model

After collecting all data, we wondered whether any correlation could be defined between the intensity of blood-biomaterial contact in the Chandler loop system, and that in real-life extracorporeal circulation in CPB. Assuming that the level of blood-biomaterial contact \( Q \) is proportional to the surface area of the artificial material \( S \) and the time of blood-biomaterial contact \( t \), and inversely proportional to the blood volume \( V \), it can be calculated for the Chandler loop system (with \( S = 40 \text{ cm}^2 \), \( V = 7\text{ mL} \), \( t = 5h \)), that \( Q = 28.6 \text{ h/cm} \). For a typical CPB system (with \( S = 25,000 \text{ cm}^2 \), \( V = 8,000 \text{ mL} \) (6 L of blood + 2 L of priming fluid)), it then follows that \( t = (28.6 \times 8,000)/25,000 \approx 9 \text{ h} \). In other words, this simple model indicates that 5 hours of experimentation in the Chandler loop corresponds with a level of blood-biomaterial contact that corresponds to at least 9 hours of operation in a typical CPB system. Most probably, the estimated 9 hours is an underestimation, since the blood cells in real-life CPB are oxygenated and the blood resides mostly within the patient’s vasculature. This probably implies that some recuperation of blood cells occurs during real-life CPB, while this is evidently not the case in our model system. Noteworthy, the extent of hemolysis encountered in our experiments was very low, that is, <0.3% after 5 hours of circulation, irrespective of the donor or (coated) surface.

### 4. CONCLUSION

Systematic evaluation of the blood biomaterial contact for the three different tubings, using relatively long periods of blood-biomaterial contact, was performed. The three different surfaces were as follows: uncoated PVC, heparin-coated PVC, and PMEA-coated PVC; the latter two are already in clinical use, as tubings in extracorporeal circulation equipment. Clear differences with respect to platelet counts, leukocyte activation (MPO release), and deposition of blood components at the inner surfaces were found. Most
of these differences became apparent only after the first 2–3 hours of experimentation. This underlines the importance of extended evaluation of blood-contacting biomaterials that are to be used in long-term applications, such as extended CPB. It is noteworthy that the PMEA-coated tubes showed a relatively low level of hemocompatibility. Compared with uncoated PVC and heparin-coated PVC, (i) a substantial drop of the platelet counts, (ii) activation of leukocytes and marked adherence of leukocytes at the inner surface, and (iii) a thrombogenicity comparable to uncoated PVC were observed. The present results lead to two important conclusions.

(1) The Chandler loop system is the most useful method for the evaluation of blood-biomaterial interactions, which is most probably relevant for the development of equipment for extracorporeal circulation. Extended experimentation times (e.g., 5 hours) are mandatory, since differences for various materials may masquerade during the first few hours.

(2) PMEA biomaterial is probably not optimal for use in extracorporeal circulation equipment; further improvements are necessary.
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