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MicroRNAs (miRNAs) have rapidly emerged as biologically important mediators of posttranscriptional and epigenetic regulation in both plants and animals. miRNAs function through a variety of mechanisms including mRNA degradation and translational repression; additionally, miRNAs may guide gene expression by serving as transcription factors. miRNAs are highly expressed in human brain. Tissue and cell type-specific enrichments of certain miRNAs within the nervous system argue for a biological significance during neurodevelopmental stages. On the other hand, a large number of studies have reported links between alterations of miRNA homeostasis and pathologic conditions such as cancer, heart diseases, and neurodegeneration. Thus, profiles of distinct or aberrant miRNA signatures have most recently surged as one of the most fascinating interests in current biology. Here, the most recent insights into the involvement of miRNAs in the biology of the nervous system and the occurrence of neuropathological disorders are reviewed and discussed.
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1. Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small, noncoding oligoribonucleotides of ~21-22 nt which regulate gene expression through the assembly of an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). In particular, the downstream effects of miRNAs relate to the fate of target mRNA, which may be subjected to endonucleolytic cleavage, enrolled into a faulty translational process or, as surprisingly shown in most recent studies, translationally enhanced [1–12]. Each of the hundreds of miRNAs present in mammalian genomes can potentially modulate an impressively large number of target genes, thereby depicting a highly versatile network with the capacity to effectively control and modify the biochemical wiring and, in turn, the phenotypic outcome of a cell [1, 8].

It is now well established that miRNAs are involved in disparate physiological functions, such as developmental transitions and neuronal patterning, apoptosis, fat metabolism, and regulation of hematopoietic lineage differentiation. For example, miRNAs are key regulators of the nervous system in the worm and brain morphogenesis in the fish and show distinct expression patterns during mammalian brain development [13].

A clear understanding of the functional impact of miRNAs on brain neurodegeneration is an intriguing, yet rather elusive, matter of study. However, the current literature shows clear evidence that tightly controlled miRNA expression is required for proper neurodevelopment and, conversely, that specific miRNA dysregulation is likely linked to the pathogenesis of neurodisorders.

2. miRNA Biogenesis

Biogenesis and silencing mechanisms of miRNAs were recently revisited by Carthew and Sontheimer, who have highlighted common themes and unique features of both
miRNA- and siRNA-related pathways (see Figure 1 and [14]). In either context, the molecular events that span from miRNA transcription towards RNA degradation are complex and imply an intricate interplay of molecular events to ensure accurate and efficient regulation of gene expression [16].

In mammals, 80% of miRNA genes are located within introns of longer primary transcripts that can be either protein-coding or mRNA-like transcripts; the majority of these are produced by RNA polymerase II [17–20], while a minor group of genes, characterized by Alu sequences, is instead transcribed by Pol III [21]. Thus, Pol II-associated transcription factors may regulate the expression of the majority of miRNA genes in a tissue- and/or cell-specific fashion [22].

While transcription of intergenic miRNA genes implies usage of own promoters, intronic miRNAs are transcribed with their host genes and seem to be cotranscriptionally processed prior to the removal of the host intron [18]. Typically, primary miRNA transcripts or pri-miRNAs are composed of a double-stranded stem of 33 base pairs, a terminal loop, and two flanking, single-stranded segments which are subject to cleavage, in the nucleus, by a protein complex called Microprocessor. This is composed of a nuclear member of the RNA III family (Drosha) associated with a cofactor (DGCR8) for efficient and precise processing of pri-miRNAs into 60–70 nt, hairpin-like precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs) [23–27].

Interestingly, several pre-miRNAs, known as mirtrons, originate directly from the splicing of pri-miRNAs and are subsequently processed without a requirement for Microprocessor activity. Evidence suggests that this alternative pathway, although rather uncommon, has emerged throughout metazoans prior to the advent of Drosha [28–30].

Through the exportin-5 pathway, pre-miRNAs are then transferred to the cytoplasm where they are further processed by Dicer, a second RNase III complexed with the human immunodeficiency virus transactivating response RNA-binding protein, TRBP [31, 32]. Dicer binds the 3' overhang of the dsRNA and then excises the terminal loop to produce a mature, single-stranded miRNA duplex of approximately 22 bp. This duplex is ephemeral, in that it is rapidly unwound as soon as it associates with an Argonaute protein (Ago). Only one strand of the original dsRNA molecules is incorporated into RISC while the ejected strand, unlike during the siRNA unwinding mechanism, is not degraded by the associated Ago [7, 14–32]. Finally, miRNAs trigger gene silencing through partial base-pairing with the 3'-UTRs of protein-coding mRNAs, thereby preventing translation of targeted mRNAs and/or accelerating their degradation [15, 33].
The existence of stringent regulatory mechanisms affecting the biogenesis of miRNAs suggests that this pathway plays a crucial role in the control of gene expression and, further downstream, the definition of biological outcomes. In this regard, several examples of double-negative feedback loops have been described, showing that the expression of miRNA genes can be controlled by their own targets [14].

### 3. miRNAs Play Major Physiological Roles in Neural Development

In *Drosophila*, multiple miRNAs interact with different 3′ UTR binding sites to play a cooperative role in the post-transcriptional regulation of nerfin-1, a nuclear regulator of axon guidance, within both the developing central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral nervous system. In species of this organism, the high degree of evolutionary conservation of miRNA-binding sites provides evidence that regulation of the onset and extinction dynamics of nerfin-1 expression is common to all members of the *Drosophila* genus [46].

As shown in Table 1, the effects of miRNA-mediated modulation of gene expression during multiple stages of neuronal development, from early neurogenesis to synaptogenesis, have been well documented across the animal kingdom [34–45, 47–61].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Reference number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-1ab-4-5p</td>
<td><em>Drosophila</em></td>
<td>UBX</td>
<td>Regulation of Hox gene involved in the development of halters</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR10a</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>HOXA1</td>
<td>Downregulation of HOXA1 gene expression</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lsy-6</td>
<td>C. elegans</td>
<td>Cog-1</td>
<td>Required to specify ASEL sensory neuron identity</td>
<td>[36, 37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-273</td>
<td>C. elegans</td>
<td>Die-1</td>
<td>Expressed in ASEL; suppresses ASEL identity</td>
<td>[36, 38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-196</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>HOXB8</td>
<td>Downregulation of HOXC8, HOXD8, and HOX7</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-124</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>SCP1</td>
<td>Neural induction in the spinal cord of developing embryos</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-124</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>SOX9</td>
<td>Regulation of the neurogenesis in the SVZ stem cell niche and neurite outgrowth in neuronal differentiation</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-132</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>P250GAP</td>
<td>Regulation of the neuronal morphogenesis and circadian clock</td>
<td>[42, 43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-219</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>SCOP</td>
<td>Regulation of the circadian period length</td>
<td>[36, 43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-133b</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>Pitx3</td>
<td>Regulation of the maturation of midbrain dopaminergic neurons</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-134</td>
<td>Rodents</td>
<td>LimK1</td>
<td>Modulation of the size of dendritic spines</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conceivably, miRNAs affect patterning mechanisms that specify the fate of neural cells at specific times and within proper locations. For example, an investigation of the expression of 104 miRNAs during murine brain development showed that these were distributed according to specific temporal expression patterns; in particular, the expression of 12 miRNAs was significantly upregulated during embryonic stages while markedly decreased during brain development. The involvement of modulated miRNAs was recapitulated by computational screens aimed at target identification, which revealed that 10 of 12 miRNAs are likely associated with neurogenesis [70].
In some instances, the physiological role of a number of miRNAs in neurodevelopment is well-documented. For example, miR-124 controls neurite outgrowth in differentiating mouse P19 cells [71] and stimulates neuronal differentiation in the developing chick spinal cord by counteracting the antineural activity of one of its targets, namely, the small C-terminal domain phosphatase 1 (SCP1) [40]. Furthermore, functional studies in vivo have recently demonstrated that miR-124 controls adult neurogenesis in the mouse subventricular zone via a time-regulated control of neuroblast generation from transit-amplifying precursors. In particular, neuronal differentiation is promoted through downregulation of the transcription factor Sox9, shown to be one of the targets of miR-124 [41, 72]. A second example relates to miR-132, which oversees dendritic morphogenesis by inhibiting translation of the synaptic protein p250GAP, suggesting a key role of miR132 p250GAP pathway in synapse growth and plasticity [42, 43, 73]. Additionally, miR-133b regulates maturation and function of midbrain dopaminergic neurons through a negative feedback affecting the paired-like-homeodomain transcription factor Pitx3 [44], while miR-134 activity leads to dendritic spine development through downregulation of the Lim domain kinase-1 [45].

miRNAs may also play significant roles in apoptosis, which is crucial in neurogenesis and during the subsequent, continued expansion of the brain size following a massive loss of neurons (i.e., 20%–80%) typical of embryonic development [74]. It was proposed that several aspects of loss of neurons (i.e., 20%–80%) typical of embryonic development are assigned to only very few brain-specific miRNAs, increasing evidence suggests key roles in normal development, differentiation events, and homeostasis, as well as in related pathological conditions [11, 13, 33, 36, 46, 47, 52, 100].

4. miRNA and Neurodegenerative Diseases

Neurodegenerative diseases result from dysfunction, progressive deterioration, and extensive loss of neurons in the central and/or peripheral nervous system [10, 100]. In this regard, Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [101–104], Parkinson’s disease (PD) [105–107], prion diseases [108], amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) [109, 110], and hereditary spastic paraplegia [111, 112] may have a genetic or sporadic etiology. Instead, Huntington’s disease (HD) [113, 114] and metabolic disorders with neurological involvement, such as the GM2-gangliosidoses [115–119], can only be genetically transmitted.

There is now compelling evidence that dysregulation of miRNA networks is implicated in the development and onset of human neurodegenerative diseases (see Table 2 and [12, 120]). This, in turn, may provide the opportunity to elucidate underlying disease mechanisms and open up novel strategies for therapeutic applications.

4.1. Alzheimer’s Disease. AD is the most common form of dementia. While several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the disease’s etiology, the causes of AD and means of stopping its progression are still elusive matters [101–104, 121–125]. Features of the disease encompass neuronal loss, intraneuronal neurofibrillary tangles (i.e., aggregates of the microtubule-associated protein tau following hyperphosphorylation), and extracellular deposits of amyloid plaques (i.e., deposits of Aβ-peptide) [102, 121–125].

Only 10%–15% of AD cases represent an inheritable disease which follows an autosomal dominant Mendelian pattern, while the majority arise sporadically. Apparently, the disease may be caused by a genetic predisposition, as shown by the identification of specific DNA mutations in a large number of families [101, 122–125]. Despite a variable etiology, a common pathogenetic cascade resulting from distinct gene defects and/or unknown environmental factors cannot be ruled out. For example, accumulation of the Aβ peptide, the cause of which is unknown, is consistently observed. In approximately 30% of sporadic AD patient samples, the expression of BACE1 protein, a secretase associated with the formation of Aβ-peptide, is significantly increased [126].

In AD, several miRNAs exhibit abnormal expression levels, supporting a dysfunctional orchestration of gene expression [79, 80, 127, 128]. Interestingly, Boissonneault et al. have recently found that miR-298 and miR-328 bind to the 3’-UTR of BACE1 mRNA, thereby producing a regulatory effect on enzyme expression in cultured neuronal (N2a) cells. Presence of both miR-298 and miR-328 in the hippocampus of APPswe/PS1 mice, a well-documented model for AD, and the observation that their levels of expression decrease with aging suggest that altered levels of these miRNAs may deregulate BACE1 and, in turn, lead to increased Aβ formation and disease progression [81].

Moreover, BACE1 can be controlled by the miR-29a/b-1 cluster, consistent with their inverse pattern of expression observed in sporadic AD patients; in addition, a causal correlation was shown in vitro between this cluster and the appearance of the Aβ peptide [12, 79, 80]. miRNAs may also be involved in the neuroinflammatory process associated
Table 2: miRNAs involved in neurological diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>microRNA</th>
<th>Neurological disease</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Reference number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-29a/b-1</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-128a</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>upregulation</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-298</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-328</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-146a</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>upregulation</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-133b</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-19</td>
<td>Spino cerebellar ataxia type 1</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-101</td>
<td>Spino cerebellar ataxia type 1</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-130</td>
<td>Spino cerebellar ataxia type 1</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-9</td>
<td>Huntington’s disease</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[85, 86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-1</td>
<td>Tourette syndrome</td>
<td>deregulation</td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-206</td>
<td>Tourette syndrome</td>
<td>deregulation</td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>upregulation</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-124</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-137</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-124a</td>
<td>Medulloblastoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-34a</td>
<td>Neuroblastoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-184</td>
<td>Neuroblastoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-15a</td>
<td>Pituitary adenoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[93–95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-16</td>
<td>Pituitary adenoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[93–95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-221</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>upregulation</td>
<td>[88, 96–98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-128</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>upregulation</td>
<td>[88, 96–98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-181a</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>upregulation</td>
<td>[88, 96–98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-181b</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>upregulation</td>
<td>[88, 96–98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-181c</td>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[88, 96–98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-9</td>
<td>Medulloblastoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-125a</td>
<td>Medulloblastoma</td>
<td>downregulation</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with deposition of the Aβ-peptide. In this regard, the NF-kB-sensitive miRNA-146a, which targets complement factor H, an important repressor of inflammatory responses in the brain, was found to be up-regulated in AD [82].

Finally, a recent work from Carretti et al. shows that miR-128a regulates the cochaperone BAG2 and, in turn, a pathway of degradation for microtubule-associated tau proteins with a propensity for misfolding. BAG2 would normally direct tau toward an ubiquitin-independent pathway and selectively reduce the levels of sarkosyl-insoluble protein [129]. Thus, the observation that miR-128a is upregulated in AD [80] may highlight a molecular mechanism that underlies tau inclusions in neurodegeneration. Taken together, these findings suggest a mechanistic involvement of miRNAs in both the amyloid and tau hypotheses for AD pathogenesis.

4.2. Parkinson’s Disease (PD). PD is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder, characterized by resting tremor, muscular rigidity, bradykinesia, and impaired balance and coordination [105–107, 130–132]. Other symptoms include dysautonomia, dystonic cramps, and dementia. Typical pathological features are loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra (SN) and presence of Lewy bodies, which consist of intracellular inclusions affecting surviving neurons in various areas of the brain [130–132]. Several gene loci have been implicated in autosomal, dominant forms of PD. These include PARK1 and PARK4 (due to a mutation or a triplication of the α-synuclein gene [SNCA] on 4q21 and 4p15, resp.), PARK3 on 2p13, PARK5 (due to a mutation in the UCHL1 gene) on 4p14, PARK8 (due to a mutation in the LRRK2 gene) on 12q12, PARK10 on 1p, PARK11 on 2q, and PARK13 (due to a mutation in the HTRA2 gene) on 2p12 [105–107, 133, 134].

The implication of miRNAs in PD is intriguing. In murine models, the competence of embryonic stem cells to differentiate into midbrain dopamine neurons in vitro was shown to be disrupted by Dicer deletion and subsequent suppression of miRNA biogenesis, suggesting a physiological role for miRNAs in cell differentiation and/or survival. These results were confirmed in vivo, using mice conditional for Dicer, which exhibited impaired locomotor activity that recapitulated motility problems observed in PD patients. Through a subtractive approach, performed by comparing miRNA expression profiles in normal human adult versus PD patients midbrains, it was shown that miR-133b is specifically missing in PD and that, based on both overexpression and inhibitory tests in vitro, is likely implicated...
in the maturation and function of dopaminergic neurons [44, 83]. A markedly reduced expression of miR-133b was found in Aphakia mice [44], a dopaminergic neuron deficiency model, which lack Pitx3 [135], a homeobox transcription factor required for neuron survival and normal motor activity susceptible to polymorphisms associated with sporadic PD [136]. Together, these observations suggest a relationship between miR-133b and Pitx3, which operate through a negative feedback loop, wherein Pitx3 promotes the expression of miR-133b that, in turn, downregulates Pitx3 [83]. While these results point to a functional role of the miR-133b/Pitx3 system in ensuring correct dopaminergic function, miR-133b knock-out mice, which are currently unavailable, would establish the extent of miR-133b impact on PD etiology.

On the other hand, a more recent study showed that deletion of Dicer in dopaminocinceptive neurons of the murine striatum led to aberrant anatomical features (smaller brain, reduced neuron size, astrogliosis) and motor impairments (clasping and ataxia) but, surprisingly, not neurodegeneration [137]. As dysfunction, but not necessarily loss, of dopaminocinceptive neurons was previously implicated in PD [138], these observations, taken together, suggest that the link between Dicer, miRNAs, and neurodegeneration is restricted to dopaminergic neurons, thereby pointing to distinct functional roles in dopaminocinceptive cells.

Finally, Wang et al. found that in PD brains and in vitro cell models disruption of the binding site for miRNA-433 led to increased translation of fibroblast growth factor-20 (FGF20). Notably, an FGF20 polymorphism at 8p21.3–433 led to increased translation of fibroblast growth factor and expression of polyglutamine effects of polyglutamine 22 was previously identified as a PD risk factor correlated with PD etiology. In particular, FMRP is linked to the miRNA function, miR-133b knock-out mice, which are currently unavailable, would establish the extent of miR-133b impact on PD etiology.

5. Other Neurological Diseases

5.1. Fragile X Mental Retardation. The Fragile X syndrome is one of the most common forms of inherited, X-linked dominant mental retardation affecting approximately one in every 4000 males and 8000 females [147, 148] with reduced penetrance of 80% and 30%, respectively [148, 149]. The clinical presentations of Fragile X syndrome include mild to severe mental retardation, that is reflected by IQ values ranging between 20 and 70, some abnormal facial features affecting jaw and ears as well as macroorchidism in postpubescent males [149].

The gene responsible for the Fragile X syndrome, FMR1, encodes a protein, FMRP, that interacts with target RNAs [150] and is implicated in mRNA transport and translational control [148]. In particular, FMRP is linked to the mRNA pathway in light of its association with RISC, as shown in Drosophila [151, 152], and with Argonaute proteins, Dicer and miRNAs, as shown in mammals [153–158]. Indeed, FMRP can act as a miRNA acceptor for Dicer and facilitate the assembly of miRNAs [154, 159, 160]. Thus, the neurodegenerative outcome caused by mutations in FMR1 may give rise to a host of secondary effects mediated by the action of FMRP on associated RNA targets.
The molecular mechanisms which underlie the pathogenesis of this disorder have yet to be elucidated. However, Xu et al. reported that miR-124a, a nervous-system-specific miRNA, is modulated, at least partially, by the *Drosophila* homolog of mammalian FMRP (dFMR1), which was found to associate with miR-124 in vivo [161]. That FMRP could utilize specific miRNAs to regulate the translation of target mRNAs was also confirmed by a recent *Drosophila* study, in which the bantam miRNA was shown to interact with dFMR1 to regulate the fate of germline stem cells [162].

Further, miR-184 was found to be repressed by MeCP2, a protein that binds to methylated DNA forms and plays an important role in synaptic plasticity [163]. This observation points to a link between miRNA and DNA methylation pathways in the dysregulation of synaptic plasticity, a feature for which there is growing evidence of an important role played by miRNAs [164] and that is observed in the Fragile X syndrome.

5.2. Tourette Syndrome. The paradigm for a disease caused by a specific miRNA is the G to A transition in the 3′ UTR of the myostatin/growth differentiation factor 8 gene in Texel sheep [87]. This mutation creates a target site for miR-1 and miR-206, which are highly expressed in the skeletal muscle. The downstream effect is the translational inhibition of the myostatin gene, which normally limits muscle growth but in the sheep contributes to muscular hypertrophy. Based on this finding, it may be postulated that a search of human SNP databases will reveal mutations that are potentially able to create or destroy putative miRNA target sites and thereby contribute to phenotypic variation.

Conceivably, some of these mutations may affect neuronal miRNAs. One such example is a rare sequence variant of SLIT and Trk-like 1 (SLITRK1), a candidate gene for Tourette syndrome located on chromosome 13q31.1 which is involved in neural development [165]. Two independent instances of the same mutation in the binding site for the miRNA hsa-miR-189 were detected among a population of unrelated individuals with Tourette syndrome, while absent in 3600 control chromosomes. That this mutation may be implicated in Tourette's syndrome is supported by circumstantial evidence showing an overlapping expression pattern of SLITRK1 mRNA and hsa-miR-189 in several brain regions implicated in the disease [165].

5.3. miRNAs and Tumours of the Nervous System. Several studies found that a high proportion of genomic loci containing miRNA genes exhibit DNA copy number alterations in common cancers [166] and miRNA misexpression has also been described in tumours of the nervous system (see Table 2 and [88–92, 96, 167–170]). miRNAs have been shown to act either as tumor suppressors or oncogenes and, depending on the mRNA target, may accelerate the oncogenic process [167]. A suppressor effect was observed in pituitary adenomas, the most common tumors of the central nervous system, in which down-regulation of miR-15a and miR-16 correlates with tumor size [93–95]. Other miRNAs, such as the miR-155 and miR17-92 cluster, have an oncogenic effect [171, 172]. The consequence of an upregulation of miR-21 has been characterised in glioblastoma tumor cells [88], wherein the knockdown of miR-21 led to increased apoptotic cell death, suggesting that this miRNA may act as an antiapoptotic player [88, 96, 173]. In addition, miRNA profiling in glioblastoma cells has shown high levels of miR-221, miR-128, miR-181a, and miR-181b and low levels of miR-181c [88, 97, 98].

miRNA expression analysis may also be used for medulloblastoma prognosis. Down-regulation of miR-9 and miR-125a was observed in aggressive brain malignancy, which results in the activation of medulloblastoma cell growth and arrest of apoptosis by activation of the proproliferative truncated TrkC isoform [99].

Based on these findings, the potential to modulate multiple messages at the same time via miRNA technology would therefore represent an intriguing prospect for cancer treatment.

6. Concluding Remarks and Prospects

Contemporary science has embraced RNA as a central element of cellular biology. In addition to the canonical role as an intermediate carrier of information, this molecule may in fact perform catalytic, structural, and regulatory tasks. Hence, over the last decade, unravelling the unique versatility of RNA has renewed impetus towards the concept of an “RNA world”, which refers to a self-sustaining replication system, antecedent to DNA and proteins, that was engaged during a hypothetical stage at the origin of life [174–177]. Along with the most recent, stunning advances in RNA biology on several fronts, the discovery of gene expression regulators has opened up a large window into the RNA world. Three main categories of small RNAs, namely, short-interfering, micro- and piwi-interacting RNAs, have emerged as regulatory players within a structurally and functionally sophisticated, and to some extent overlapping, context [14, 178].

Unlike most of the siRNAs, which silence the same locus from which they derive, the effect of miRNAs is to repress genes unrelated to their own loci. Thus, miRNAs are subject to precise sequence requirements for the necessary interaction with heterologous targets. Several approaches exist that can be employed to obtain comprehensive miRNA profiling in cells or tissues [179]; however, the significance of a specific profile may be difficult to interpret, in light of the hundreds of target sequences in the human genome that may be associated with any particular miRNAs. In this regard, computational predictions and simulations have a fundamental impact on experimental miRNA research, considering that the downstream effect of a given miRNA will result from the complex modulation of multiple targets along different pathways prone to cross-talk. Conceivably, experimental and bioinformatic models will continue to evolve to offer large-scale screenings for the identification of the most likely miRNA target(s) under a specific developmental, physiological, environmental, or pathological status.
Currently, functional characterization of specific miRNAs is facilitated by the existence of first-class reagents such as miRNA mimics and inhibitors, available through several specialized vendors. These reagents, appropriately modified to optimize correct strand utilization by RISC (mimics) and ensure tight binding (inhibitors), can be used to either increase or decrease the activity of specific miRNAs. Corresponding applications can be exceptionally informative with respect to studies on gain (loss)-of-function effects, development of high-throughput screens to select species involved in normal and pathological cellular pathways, and the identification of targets.

However, despite the significant progress in miRNA research in the field of neurodevelopment and neurological diseases, it is still elusive as to whether any of the miRNAs implicated in a neuropathological process is directly involved in the etiology or progression of the disorder. Indeed, aberrant expression of a miRNA could simply be circumstantial. This causality issue can be addressed, for example, through an accurate determination of the frequency of specific miRNA mutations, the definition of temporal and spatial miRNA profiles within multiple pathways in vitro, and the development of appropriate in vivo models.

Based on their functional role in fine-tuning metabolic pathways and genetic networks, miRNAs appear to be suitable tools for use in diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy. The problem is to demonstrate which miRNA sequences should be considered drug discovery targets.

Systemic delivery is another hurdle that must be dealt with. Initially, this problem was common to all RNA-based therapeutics, including antisense oligos and siRNAs (reviewed in [180]). However, second-generation antisense technologies have shown that drug delivery issues can be overcome, as shown by systemic drug distribution following subcutaneous administration.

Specific antisense oligos called antagonirs could be used to affect the activity of miRNA. In this regard, treatment of a mouse model of heart disease with an antagonist against miR-21 prevented heart failure [181], and antagonirs to target glioma angiogenesis has recently been proposed [182]. miRNA-based therapeutics have great potential because of their capability to efficiently silence multiple messages concurrently within an entire disease pathway. Instead, conventional therapies directed at single targets require administration of a plurality of drugs giving rise to complex drug interaction and patient compliance issues.
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