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Breast cancer is a complex and heterogeneous disease that arises from epithelial cells lining the breast ducts and lobules. Correct adhesion between adjacent epithelial cells is important in determining the normal structure and function of epithelial tissues, and there is accumulating evidence that dysregulated cell-cell adhesion is associated with many cancers. This review will focus on one cell-cell adhesion complex, the tight junction (TJ), and summarize recent evidence that TJs may participate in breast cancer development or progression. We will first outline the protein composition of TJs and discuss the functions of the TJ complex. Secondly we will examine how alterations in these functions might facilitate breast cancer initiation or progression; by focusing on the regulatory influence of TJs on cell polarity, cell fate and cell migration. Finally we will outline how pharmacological targeting of TJ proteins may be useful in limiting breast cancer progression. Overall we hope to illustrate that the relationship between TJ alterations and breast cancer is a complex one; but that this area offers promise in uncovering fundamental mechanisms linked to breast cancer progression.

1. Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common form of cancer among women in North America and the majority of European nations. Each year, it is diagnosed in an estimated 1 million women worldwide, and is the cause of death of over 400 000 [1]. The incidence of breast cancer increases with age and doubles every 10 years until the menopause, supporting a link with hormonal status [2]. Specific life events associated with an enhanced breast cancer risk include reproductive factors, nulliparity, radiation exposure, hormonal status, obesity, family history, and many others [3, 4].

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease in which genetic and environmental factors interact to initiate carcinogenesis. However, 10% of all breast cancer cases have a strong hereditary component in which half carry a deleterious mutation in the high penetrance genes BRCA1 or BRCA2. These account for over 50% of familial breast cancer cases and confer a lifetime risk of 60–80% [5]. In its simplest forms, breast cancer can be subclassified into preinvasive and invasive disease categories. Neoplastic conversion to invasive cancer likely occurs sometime during the preinvasive histological phases of usual hyperplasia, atypical hyperplasia, and ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) [6–11]. One hypothesis suggests the existence of genetically distinct subgroups of DCIS, only some of which subsequently progress to invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) [12–14]. An alternate theory proposes that DCIS progresses from low to high grades and then to invasive cancer with progressive accumulation of genomic changes. However, the large extent to which the genome is altered in DCIS indicates that genomic instability most likely precedes phenotypic evidence of invasion, and highlights the importance of environmental components on the development of invasive cancer [6].

Recent data have shown significant reductions in the mortality rates of breast cancer, which have been mainly attributed to improved screening techniques, improved surgical and radiotherapy interventions and also the utilization of traditional chemotherapies in a more efficacious manner. Large-scale translational research studies have also identified many important new biomarkers predictive of poor prognosis in breast cancer patients [15–17]. However, much remains
to be understood about the development and progression of breast cancer. Our review will address the contribution of altered epithelial cell-cell adhesion to the development and progression of breast cancer, with particular emphasis on the role of the tight junction (TJ) adhesion complex in these processes.

2. TJs and Physiological Cell-Cell Adhesion

Cell-cell adhesion is necessary for the assembly of coherent sheets of barrier-forming epithelial cells that line the breast ducts and lobules. However cell-cell contacts are far from being static structures which maintain barriers by simply holding cells together. In fact cell-cell contacts undergo constant remodelling to allow the extrusion of apoptotic cells as well as the incorporation of newly differentiated epithelial cells, derived from progenitor cells, without loss of barrier function [18]. Cell-cell contacts must also be remodelled depending on the developmental stage of the breast, whether in response to increased proliferative demands of puberty and pregnancy, increased differentiation during lactation, or increased apoptosis in conjunction with gland remodelling during involution [19]. Finally, during wound healing, epithelial cells can undergo coordinated movement and proliferation to bridge the wound, and establish new cell-cell contacts with epithelial cells from the opposing side of the wound [20].

Epithelial cell-cell contacts consist of three main adhesive structures: tight junctions (TJs), adherens junctions and desmosomes, as well as gap junctions for cell-cell communication (Figure 1). In polarized epithelial cells the tight junction and adherens junction are asymmetrically distributed at the apical region of the lateral membrane forming the apical junctional complex, which encircles the apex of the cells and marks the border between the apical and basolateral membrane domains [21]. These adhesive structures are composed of integral membrane proteins that link the neighbouring cells through homophilic and heterophilic interactions, and the presence of cytoplasmic scaffolding proteins that organise signalling complexes and anchor cell-cell contacts to the actin cytoskeleton (or intermediate filaments in the case of desmosomes) [22].

In this review we will first outline the protein components of the TJ and discuss the biological roles of the TJ complex, review how alterations in these roles could facilitate breast cancer initiation or progression, and finally mention pharmacological approaches towards targeting TJ proteins that could have value in limiting breast cancer progression.

2.1. Protein Components of the TJ. Proteins within TJ can be grouped into integral membrane proteins, scaffolding proteins, or signalling proteins as outlined in what follows.

2.1.1. Integral Membrane Proteins. The first protein to be discovered at the tight junction, occludin [23], is a 68 kDa transmembrane protein with two extracellular loops and a long cytoplasmic tail containing several protein-binding domains. It exists in unphosphorylated and serine/threonine and tyrosine phosphorylated forms, with the degree of phosphorylation affecting tight junction assembly, transepithelial resistance, and localisation of occludin to the tight junction [24, 25]. Several enzymes are involved in regulating these phosphorylation events, including PKC [26], CK2 [27], and the nonreceptor tyrosine kinase c-Yes [24].

The claudin family of TJ transmembrane proteins consists of 24 members between 20 and 27 kDa in size, mostly with short cytoplasmic tails which bind to the PDZ (PSD-95, Dlg, and ZO-1) domains of other TJ proteins including ZO-1, -2, and -3 [28]. Various claudins are expressed in a tissue-specific manner, with the subtle differences in their extracellular loops determining ion selectivity of the paracellular pathway [29, 30].

The junctional adhesion molecule (JAM) family consists of “JAM-A, -B, -C, -L and JAM-4,…” which are found at TJs of epithelial/endothelial cells and on various hematopoietic cells [31–33]. They contain an extracellular region with two Ig-like domains, a single transmembrane domain, and a short intracellular tail with a PDZ binding motif through which JAM-A interacts with the PDZ proteins AF6, Par-3, CASK, MUPP1, and ZO-1 [34–38].

The coxackie and adenovirus receptor (CAR) is a 46 kDa integral membrane protein with one transmembrane region, a long cytoplasmic tail, and an extracellular region composed of two Ig-like domains. The carboxy terminal domain of CAR contains a PDZ binding motif which interacts with ZO-1, MUPP-1, MAGI, and PICK1 [39–41]. CAR is required for MUPP1 localization at the tight junction [39].

Crumbs3 (CRB3) is a single-pass membrane protein located at the apical cell membrane with a small fraction in the upper part of tight junctions of epithelial cells. It is involved in the establishment of cell polarity in mammalian epithelial cells and regulates the morphogenesis of tight junctions. CRB3 interacts with PAR6, PALS1, and PATJ [42–45].

![Figure 1: Epithelial cell-cell adhesion complexes.](image-url)
Tricellulin is a 66 kDa membrane protein which has multiple phosphorylated states but exists predominantly in its unphosphorylated form. It is enriched only at tricellular tight junctions, where it enforces the barrier function of epithelial cell sheets [46].

2.1.2. Scaffolding and Signalling Proteins. Zona ocludens (ZO) family members ZO-1, ZO-2, and ZO-3 belong to the MAGUK family of TJ-associated scaffolding proteins. ZO proteins interact with each other [47, 48] as well as with cingulin [49], claudin-1–8 [28], actin [47], α-catenin [50], and occludin [47]. Interactions vary between family members, as illustrated by the fact that ZO-3 can interact with PATJ [51] while only ZO-1 can interact with JAMs A-C [34, 37], EGFR [52], and AF-6 [53]. In addition, ZO-1 binds the Y-box transcription factor ZONAB and the heat shock protein Apg-2 [54, 55].

Afadin (AF-6) is a Ras-binding, PDZ domain-containing scaffolding protein which interacts with nectin, JAM-A, ZO-1, profilin, ponsin, Rap1, and signal-induced proliferation-associated protein 1 (SPA-1, a Rap1 GTPase activating protein) [35, 56–58]. The nectin-AF-6 complex is involved in the formation of adherens and tight junctions.

The membrane associated guanylate kinase inverted (MAGI) family consists of MAGI-1, MAGI-2, and MAGI-3. MAGI-1 was first identified in mouse as a protein interacting with K-RasB [59]. MAGI-2 was initially identified in rat as a protein interacting with N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDA-Rs) and neuronal cell adhesion proteins [60]. MAGI-3 was identified in a two-hybrid screening as a protein interacting with the tumour suppressor PTEN [61], and Receptor Tyrosine Phosphatase beta [62].

Cingulin is a 140–160 kDa protein consisting of a globular “head” domain, a coiled-coil “rod” domain, and a globular “tail” domain. In vitro binding studies have revealed that cingulin interacts with various components of tight junctions including JAM, ZO-1, ZO-2, ZO-3, myosin and F-actin, suggesting a role for cingulin as a linker between the TJ membrane and F-actin [49, 63–65]. Cingulin also functions to sequester and inactivate the RhoA activator GEF-H1 at TJs, resulting in inhibited RhoA signalling and G1/S phase transition [66, 67]. Loss or mutation of cingulin does not perturb the formation of tight junctions, but results in increased claudin-2 expression and cellular proliferation, which are dependent on increased RhoA activity [68].

Amot is a scaffolding protein with a coiled-coil region and a PDZ binding motif [69], which forms a complex with the Rho GTPase-activating protein Rich1 and is targeted to the tight junction interaction with PATJ or MUPP1 [70, 71]. Two Amot-like proteins, JEAP and MASCOT, have also been identified as TJ proteins [72, 73] which interact with MUPP1 [70].

Atypical protein kinase C is located at the TJ and plays a crucial role in maintaining tight junction structure and cell polarity through phosphorylation and stabilization of junction-associated proteins [74, 75]. Activation of classical protein kinase C and novel protein kinase C has been shown to disassemble TJs [76].

2.2. Biological Functions of the TJ Complex

2.2.1. Gate and Fence Function. In polarized epithelial cells the TJ forms a belt-like structure at the apical-most region of the lateral membrane, and represents a boundary between apical and basolateral membranes [77]. The main functions attributed to TJs are the regulation of paracellular permeability (gate or barrier function), and the formation of a physical barrier preventing intramembranous movement of lipids and proteins (fence function). Gate function regulates the passage of ions and solutes across epithelial sheets in an organ-specific manner, and can be modified depending on the specific requirements of the organ [30, 78]. Fence function is required to maintain asymmetric distribution of membrane components and to develop membrane polarity [77]. Epithelial barrier function relies heavily on the claudin family of TJ proteins, which form strands controlling selective permeability by forming size- and charge-selective aqueous pores [30, 78, 79]. Epithelial fence function on the other hand is not solely reliant on one subset of integral membrane proteins but instead requires cooperation between integral membrane proteins and several TJ scaffolding proteins and signalling molecules [80].

2.2.2. Regulation of Adhesion and Migration. Epithelial cells control adhesion to the basement membrane and extracellular matrix to maintain an intact barrier that can reseal quickly in response to injury. Breaching of the epithelial barrier stimulates cells to extend protrusions into the wound space, which can result in TJ disruption and release of proteins such as PATJ, Par3, aPKC [81], Cdc42, and Par6 [82] from their scaffolds. Retargeting these (and other) proteins to the migrating edge helps polarize migrating cells in the direction of movement via reorientation of the Golgi, centrosome and the microtubule cytoskeleton along the axis of migration [82, 83].

2.2.3. Regulation of Polarity and Differentiation. TJs regulate epithelial polarity by controlling the assembly of three main polarity complexes; the CRB3 complex, the Par complex, and the Scrib complex, which will be discussed in the following section. The apical junctional complex serves to restrict the movement of these complexes in order to form distinct apical and basolateral domains. Apico-basolateral polarity allows for terminal differentiation of epithelial barriers by apical orientation of the trans-Golgi network, which can sort membrane proteins toward either apical or basolateral membranes. Specialized membrane trafficking leads to the accumulation of receptors and channels in either apical or basolateral membranes, allowing electrochemical gradients to develop across epithelial sheets [84, 85].

From the afore-mentioned information, it can be observed that TJ proteins exert fundamental influences over cellular processes that regulate polarity, differentiation and migration; all of which are processes central to cancer progression. Therefore, TJ and other cell-cell adhesion proteins are gaining increasing attention in breast cancer research [86–89]. Most work to date has focused on adherens junction
proteins (such as cadherins) in breast cancer progression; and in fact loss of E-cadherin is a defining feature of lobular breast carcinoma [90, 91]. However, TJ proteins have also been found to be dysregulated in several human cancers including breast, and have been suggested as promising targets for cancer detection, diagnosis, and therapy [88]. In this review, we will attempt to summarize current knowledge on the impact of TJ proteins on breast cancer progression; based on the ability of TJs to control polarity, differentiation, and migration.

3. The Contribution of TJ Alterations to Breast Cancer

Our review will focus on three aspects whereby functional alterations in TJs may impact breast cancer progression by altering cell polarity, cell fate, and cell migration. For a broad overview of TJ alterations in cancer metastasis of other tumours, the reader is directed to a recent review [92].

3.1. TJ-Mediated Alterations in Polarity—Role in Breast Cancer Progression

Formation of the TJ adhesion belt allows the targeting of scaffolding proteins which regulate the cellular polarity machinery. This machinery is composed of three polarity complexes which identify separate regions of the cell. These polarity complexes were originally identified in C. elegans and Drosophila, but have been found to be highly conserved in mice and higher mammals. The CRB complex identifies the apical region due to apical concentration of CRB3 [93], which is targeted to the tight junction by PATJ and ZO-3 [51, 94]. The Par complex localizes at TJs through interactions between Par-3 and JAM-A [38]. Finally, the Scribble (Scrib) complex identifies the basolateral region of the cell, and is targeted to adherens junctions through interaction of Scrib and Dlg with E-cadherin [95–98].

The CRB complex is the most apically located polarity complex in epithelial cells, and acts as an apical anchor for the targeting of cytoplasmic proteins during polarisation [93]. It is composed of the transmembrane protein CRB3 and the scaffolding proteins PALS1 and PATJ. Several components of the CRB complex are reportedly dysregulated in breast cancer. For example, CRB3 and PATJ expressions were shown to be repressed by the transcription factor ZEB1, which is upregulated in invasive ductal and lobular breast cancers [99]. ZEB1 has been implicated in epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), a dedifferentiation programme associated with cancer metastasis in which epithelial junctions and cell polarity are disrupted, contributing to increased cell motility [100]. PATJ also binds a negative regulator of mTOR called TSC2, which regulates survival, apoptosis, and cell cycle progression [101–103]. The mTOR pathway has been shown to be frequently deregulated in various cancers including breast [16, 18]. Massey-Harroche et al. reported that PATJ knockdown in intestinal epithelial cells resulted in the upregulation of the mTOR pathway [104]; and it is possible that loss of PATJ in cancers such as breast could facilitate tumour progression by allowing the prosurvival effects of mTOR activation to go unchecked.

PATJ is also important for the proper localisation of claudin-1, ZO-3, CRB3, occludin, aPKC, and ZO-1 at TJs [51, 94, 105]. Loss of PATJ could, therefore, also promote “leaky” junctions, resulting in increased access of luminal growth factors to the basolateral epithelial surface. It is intriguing to speculate that this leakiness could promote tumour progression by feeding the developing tumour, as proposed in the nutritional model of carcinogenesis [106]. This along with the dysregulation of aPKC has the potential to induce substantial increases in proliferation as well as a loss of polarity, all of which are hallmarks of cancer progression.

Other members of the CRB polarity complex could also play a role in breast cancer progression. Knockdown of the PALS1 binding partner lin-7 in renal epithelial cells was shown to reduce expression levels of PALS1, PALS2, Dlg2, Dlg3, and PATJ [107]. A resulting failure to recruit aPKC to TJs resulted in reduced epithelial barrier function, delayed polarization, and impaired lumen clearance in three-dimensional morphogenesis models [107, 108]. Loss of PALS1 also resulted in defects in E-cadherin trafficking [109], which, taken together, suggests that analogous disruption of the CRB3 complex during breast cancer could impair barrier function and polarity, and potentially facilitate occlusion of breast duct lumens with tumour cells.

The PAR complex is made up of Par3, Par6, aPKC, and Cdc42/Rac1. Recently, Par6β was reported to be transcriptionally upregulated in breast cancer tissues by quantitative PCR [110]. Interestingly, MCF-10A breast epithelial cells overexpressing Par6 polarized normally in three-dimensional culture models, but showed higher proliferation rates which were dependent upon Par6 interactions with aPKC and Cdc42 [110]. Increased Par6 signalling has also been reported in MCF-10A cells overexpressing activated ErbB2 [111, 112], the growth factor receptor which is amplified in 25–30% of breast cancers and which identifies a subtype of highly aggressive tumour [113]. Activation of ErbB2 in these cells induces the formation of multicellular structures with abnormal filled lumens, in a manner dependent on interactions of ErbB2 with the Par6-aPKC complex [112]. Mutation of Par6 in cells overexpressing activated ErbB2 was observed to restore lumen formation, suggesting an inhibitory tone of Par6-ErbB2 interactions on apoptotic clearance of developing lumens [112]. The role of Par6 in apoptosis is suggested to be due to the activation of aPKC by Par6 [114]. Par6-aPKC interactions have also been shown to activate Rac1 in non-small cell lung cancer cells, resulting in anchorage-independent growth and invasion through activation of matrix metalloproteinase-10 (MMP-10) expression [115, 116]. Thus dysregulation of Par6 in cancer cells has the potential to impact tumour progression via direct effects on polarity, migration, and even apoptosis.

In contrast to Par6, Par3 expression has been found to be reduced in oesophageal squamous cell carcinomas in association with lymph node metastasis and poor differentiation [117]. Certain forms of EMT have also been shown to downregulate Par3 expression, with Par3 overexpression capable of rescuing the loss of E-cadherin during EMT in a rat kidney epithelial model [100]. Given the putative link between EMT induction and breast cancer progression, it
will be interesting to uncover whether Par3 expression might also be lost in breast cancer. Since Par3 regulates Par6, loss of Par3, in turn, is likely to exert an influence over the control of proliferation, polarity, and apoptosis resistance by Par6 signalling in cancer cells.

The scribble complex is an evolutionarily conserved complex consisting of three members, Scrib, lethal giant larvae homolog (LGL), and discs large homolog (DLG). Loss of function mutations of scrib, DLG, and LGL in Drosophila have demonstrated abnormal cell polarity with increased proliferation without tumour cell overgrowth, possibly due to increased apoptosis [118–120]. Scrib has been shown to colocalise with DLG and E-cadherin at adherens junctions [98], and is required for formation of this junction and proper localisation of DLG and LGL as well as apical targeting of CRB3 [97, 121]. Scrib staining was shown by immunohistochemistry to be reduced and mislocalized in human breast cancer tissues [98, 122]. Zhan et al. have suggested a role for Scrib in breast cancer development by reducing apoptosis in c-myc over-expressing breast epithelial cells [122]. Activation of c-myc enhanced the formation of a Scrib complex which activated the small GTPases Rac and Cdc42 and increased the expression of a proapoptotic protein Bim. Conversely, loss of Scrib suppressed the ability of c-myc to induce Bim expression [122]; suggesting a mechanism for reduced apoptosis and increased resistance of breast cancer cells to cytotoxic stresses in the event of Scrib loss.

LGL is a cytoplasmic protein which is targeted to the lateral epithelial membrane during polarisation [123]. Like CRB3 and Patj, LGL1 is repressed by the transcription factor ZEB1, whose expression is upregulated in several forms of breast cancer [99]. Therefore, dysregulation of LGL may play a role in EMT events associated with breast cancer progression. Alterations in the final member of the Scrib complex, DLG, may also play a role in cancer progression. DLG3 has been shown to be reduced in gastric carcinoma [124]; whilst overexpression of DLG1 and DLG3 inhibits cellular proliferation via a block in G1/S phase transition of the cell cycle [125, 126]. DLG4 interacts with Frizzled proteins to regulate the WNT signalling pathway [127], inappropriate activation of which has been implicated in oncogenesis due to myriad influences on cell adhesion, migration, proliferation, and cell death [128]. DLG1 and DLG3 also regulate WNT signalling through DLG3-mediated β-catenin degradation and the binding of DLG1 to APC and by modulating the antiproliferative effects of APC [125, 126, 129]. In unpolarized cells, DLG1 is ubiquitinated and degraded, and only upon junctional formation is it hyper-phosphorylated and stabilised [130]. This indicates that the integrity of cell-cell contacts regulates the Scrib polarity complex and that disruption of this complex promotes dysregulated growth and resistance to apoptosis.

As illustrated above, alterations in CRB3, Scrib, and Par polarity complexes can promote proliferation, cell cycle progression and evasion of apoptosis as a result of disrupted apical-basolateral polarity in a variety of models. Although several of the seminal observations were originally made in Drosophila and C. elegans, many findings have since been confirmed in higher mammals and during in vitro studies on human breast and other carcinoma cell lines. Thus insights from simple organismal models of polarity are highly relevant not only to the control of normal human physiology by the polarity machinery but also to the development of many cancers including breast. However, abnormalities in the polarity machinery are only one of several ways in which TJ dysfunction can impact upon breast cancer progression. The role of TJ-mediated alterations in cell fate will be discussed in the following section.

3.2. TJ-Mediated Alterations in Cell Fate—Role in Breast Cancer Progression. Although cancer is frequently considered as a disease of abnormal proliferation, cancer progression is not determined solely by proliferative advantage within tumour cells. Other factors such as apoptosis resistance and the ability to bypass senescence pathways contribute to an environment supporting breast cancer progression. The role of individual TJ proteins in modulating these aspects of breast cancer progression will be addressed in what follows.

Occludin expression is known to be downregulated in several cancers including breast [131]; its loss correlating with glandular dedifferentiation and progression of human endometrial, colorectal, and lung carcinomas [132–134]. In recent studies, occludin overexpression was found to promote detachment-induced apoptosis (anoikis) in AC2M2 murine breast carcinoma cells, while endogenous occludin re-expression correlated with downregulation of apoptosis-inhibitory genes (bcl-2, survivin) and upregulation of apoptosis-inducing genes (apaf-1, bax) [135]. TUNEL assays also revealed that HeLa cells constitutively overexpressing wild-type occludin exhibited increased sensitivity to oxidant-induced apoptosis. Occludin overexpression was also shown to induce premature senescence in AC2M2 cells, as assessed by increased senescence-associated β-galactosidase enzymatic activity and the upregulation of negative cell cycle regulators such as p16INK4A, p21Waf1/Cip1, and p27Kip1 but not p53 [131]. The ability of cells to autoinduce growth arrest based upon the expression of TJ proteins such as occludin could have a profound inhibitory effect on tumour growth, and illustrates how significant a loss of such proteins could be for tumour progression.

Similarly to occludin, claudin-1, -4, -6 have also been reported as downregulated in breast cancer [131, 136–139]. Suppression of endogenous claudin-6 expression by siRNA in MCF7 breast cancer cells increases resistance to oxidant-induced apoptosis and anoikis, thereby promoting colony formation in two- and three-dimensional cultures [140]. In a complementary approach, forced induction of claudin-1 expression in MDA-MB-361 breast cancer cells resulted in elevated apoptosis in three-dimensional cultures. Enhanced apoptosis correlated with increased spheroid size, suggesting a positive effect of nutrient and growth factor diffusion into spheroids [136]. This supports the hypothesis that cancer formation may be promoted in premalignant epithelial tissues that have become chronically leaky to growth factors [141]. Claudin-1 may also play a role in the control of cell fate, with observations of increased expression in senescent...
breast epithelial cells [139] and reduced expression in invasive breast cancers.

In an interesting contrast, expression of claudin-3, -4 and -7 have actually been observed to increase in both breast and ovarian cancers [88, 142–145]. Overexpression of claudin-3 and -4 in HOSE-B ovarian cells enhanced cell survival in clonogenic assays [88], further supporting a role for either upregulation or downregulation of key claudins in the controlling cancer cell fate.

Occludin-interacting proteins ZO-1 and ZO-2 have also been shown to be repressed with cancer progression [52, 146, 147], where decreased ZO-1 staining correlates with decreased glandular differentiation of breast tumour specimens [146]. ZO-1 and ZO-2 regulate cell cycle progression and proliferation in a cell density-dependent manner [148–151] through transcription factors such as ZONAB. ZONAB localizes to the nucleus and the TJs in proliferating MDCK cells [151], but is not detectable in the nucleus of nonproliferating high-density cells [148]. Evidence indicates that cytoplasmic ZONAB immunoprecipitates with both CDK4 and cyclin D1 and assists in the nuclear accumulation of cdk4, promoting G1/S phase transition and cell cycle progression [148]. ZONAB also upregulates ErbB2 expression [151], which (as discussed earlier) could profoundly impact progression of a subset of breast cancers. ZO-2 blocks cell cycle progression by downregulating cyclin D transcription and inhibiting cdk2 and cdk4 [150, 152]. ZO-2 also controls cyclin D expression and interacts with the transcription factors jun, fos, and C/EBP to regulate proliferation [150]. Thus, it can be observed that ZO proteins control cellular proliferation in a density-dependent manner by sequestering transcription factors at the tight junction. Loss of ZO proteins during breast cancer may, therefore, promote proliferation via a loss of control over cell cycle progression.

Finally, in addition to the many TJ structural proteins which exert regulatory control over cell fate, signalling proteins affiliated with the TJ complex could also play a part in breast cancer progression. For example, many small GTPases have been described to affiliate with the TJ complex [153]. As key signalling molecules which regulate actin dynamics, GTPases profoundly impact processes that are central to cancer initiation and progression [154]. For example, RhoA promotes cell cycle progression through the regulation of p21 and p27 levels [155]. TJs regulate RhoA activity by cingulin-mediated sequestration of the RhoA activator GEF-H1 and inhibition of G1/S phase transition [67]. Increased GEF-H1 levels can arise by mutations in p53 [156], a frequent genetic alteration observed in breast cancer.

These alterations suggest a relationship between TJ alterations and the malignant potential of several carcinomas, via deficits in controlled proliferation, regulated cell cycle progression and apoptosis. This suggests that the dysregulation of cell-cell contact machinery may be a prerequisite for cancer progression in order to turn off specific epithelial regulatory pathways. The loss of membrane polarity via tight junction abnormalities may also alter cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix interactions, and might, therefore, facilitate migration, invasion, and the development of metastasis, which will be reviewed in the following section.

3.3. TJ-Mediated Alterations in Cell Migration—Role in Breast Cancer Progression. As discussed earlier, altered cell-cell adhesion contributes to a loss in polarity and contact inhibition, culminating in uncontrolled proliferation during breast cancer initiation. There is also evidence that altered cell adhesion plays a fundamental role in breast cancer progression by freeing tumour cells from both neighbouring cells and the underlying matrix; and in parallel by conferring a motile or migratory advantage to cells during invasion and metastasis [19, 157, 158]. In this section, we will attempt to summarize current knowledge on the impact of TJ proteins on breast cancer progression.

3.3.1. TJ Integral Membrane Proteins. Occludin has been linked with cancer progression in endometrial carcinoma; where decreasing expression was correlated with increasing grade, myometrial invasion, and lymph node metastasis [132]. Forced expression of occludin in breast cancer cells has been shown to decrease cancer cell migration and invasion both in vitro and in vivo [135]. Interestingly, the occludin gene can be silenced by hypermethylation, and it may be that the methylator phenotype promotes tumourigenic, invasive, and metastatic properties of cancer cells [135].

A wealth of evidence has also implicated the claudin family in breast cancer cell migration. Tumours with low expression of claudin-3, -4 and -7 and high expression of claudin-6 increased cellular adhesion and migration via deficits in controlled proliferation, regulated cell cycle progression and apoptosis. This suggests that the dysregulation of cell-cell contact machinery may be a prerequisite for cancer progression in order to turn off specific epithelial regulatory pathways. The loss of membrane polarity via tight junction abnormalities may also alter cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix interactions, and might, therefore, facilitate migration, invasion, and the development of metastasis, which will be reviewed in the following section.

Other than claudin-1, the loss of several other family members has been reported in breast cancer [142, 162–166]. Osanai et al. demonstrated that decreased expression of claudin-6 in breast cancer cells (by siRNA or epigenetic silencing) increases MMP activity, likely facilitating increased cancer cell migration and invasion [140]. Subsequent reintroduction of claudin-6 increased cellular adhesion and
abrogated enhanced invasion and migration. Expression of claudin-7 has been shown to be reduced in IDC cells compared to those of the normal breast [164], and reduced in fine needle aspirates from IDC patients [166]. In both studies, loss of claudin-7 expression also correlated with increasing tumour grade and metastatic disease. In an intriguing study, treatment of MCF7 breast cancer cells expressing high levels of claudin-7 with HGF/scatter factor (which decreases cell-cell adhesion) led to a dramatic decrease in claudin-7 expression, further linking the loss of claudin-7 and cell cohesion in breast cancer [164]. Most recently, forced expression, further linking the loss of claudin-7 and cell-cell adhesion (which decreases claudin-7 expression) led to a dramatic decrease in claudin-7 expression, further linking the loss of claudin-7 and cell cohesion in breast cancer [164].

In contrast to the reduced expression of several claudins, claudin-3 and -4 have in fact been found to be elevated in breast cancer at both mRNA and protein level [143–145, 167]. Interestingly, overexpression of either claudin-3 or claudin-4 in human ovarian epithelial cells has been reported to increase migratory and invasive capabilities [88]. As discussed later in this review, the ability of both claudins-3 and -4 to function as receptors for Clostridium perfringens enterotoxin (CPE) [168] may provide a unique targeting mechanism to eliminate cancer cells overexpressing these proteins.

JAM proteins regulate numerous cellular adhesive processes including intercellular junction assembly [169], cell morphology [170], and leukocyte migration [171, 172]; while JAM-A dysregulation has recently been implicated in breast cancer [173, 174]. JAM-A has been shown to regulate epithelial cell morphology and enhance β1-integrin expression through modulation of Rap1 GTPase activity [170]. Since loss of tissue architecture and cell polarity is a prerequisite for breast cancer invasion and metastasis [175], disruption of JAM proteins may, therefore, play key roles in disease progression. Indeed, disruption of JAM-A in a colonic carcinoma cell line was shown to convert cells from a stationery, polarized state to a migratory phenotype [176]. Recently, Naik et al. reported that JAM-A overexpression decreased migration and invasion in breast cancer cell lines, while knockdown of JAM-A expression enhanced invasiveness [174]. It was hypothesized, therefore, that the loss of JAM-A may correlate with poor clinical prognosis. However, a subsequent study by McSherry et al. revealed a significant association between high JAM-A gene and protein expression and poor survival in 2 large cohorts of human invasive breast cancer tissue specimens [173]. Furthermore, knockdown or antagonism of JAM-A significantly decreased migration in MCF7 breast cancer cells expressing high endogenous levels of JAM-A. The apparent conflict between these two studies may be resolved by the fact that underexpression of JAM-A is likely to impair cellular adhesion and polarity (favouring tumour initiation), whereas overexpression of JAM-A could promote integrin-mediated migratory events that favour tumour progression. These data clearly implicate an imbalance of JAM-A expression patterns in breast cancer, and, as discussed later, may also form an interesting therapeutic target.

3.3.2. TJ Adaptor and Signalling Proteins. Few studies have specifically focussed upon the involvement of TJ adaptor and signalling proteins in breast cancer progression. However, ZO-1 loss has been linked to poor prognosis in breast cancer, with significantly reduced levels of TJ-associated ZO-1 in patients with metastatic disease compared to those remaining disease-free [177]. Polette et al. also showed that expression of the matrix metalloproteinase MT1-MMP in invasive breast tumour cell lines is correlated with cytoplasmic localization of ZO-1 and with occludin loss [178]. ZO-1 has also been shown to be reduced or lost in ductal breast cancer tissues, in parallel with increased dedifferentiation [146]. Reduced ZO-1 expression has been significantly associated with reduced E-cadherin expression; whose loss is inextricably linked with lobular breast cancer [86]. Furthermore, ZO-1 has been reported to play an important role in controlling expression of the ErbB2 gene [179]. Downregulation of another family member, ZO-2, has also been reported in breast carcinoma [180]. In addition, ZO-2 has been reported as crucial for the tumour-inducing capabilities of the Adenovirus type 9 E4 protein. Expression of mutant ZO-2 protein lacking the E4 binding site inhibits E4-mediated tumour initiation in mammary glands [180, 181]. Taken together, it can, therefore, be considered that ZO proteins play important roles in the migratory events associated with breast cancer progression.

The Par complex (Par3-Par6-aPKC) promotes normal junction assembly by regulation of actin dynamics and is known to be altered in many cancers including breast (reviewed in [111]). Indeed, as previously addressed in this review, Par6, through association with aPKC and ErbB2, has been shown to disrupt apical-basal polarity and protect cells from apoptosis [112]. Other potential links between Par proteins and breast cancer involve EMT and the regulation of Rho family small G proteins including Rac [182], Rho [183], and Cdc42 [184]. For example, Par6 reportedly interacts with TNFα in inducing EMT and TJ loss via degradation of RhoA [185]. In vitro experiments have revealed that the Par complex along with Rac signalling stabilizes front-rear polarization of noncontacting keratinocytes, thereby stimulating chemotactic migration [182]. Indeed, important biological processes such as migration and invasion are highly regulated by the Rho family. The Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) Tiam has been shown to increase with increasing breast tumour grade or cell line invasiveness [183]; and Tiam-mediated Rac1 activation has been correlated with tumour cell migration and invasion in vitro [186]. Integrin-mediated adhesion through Rho family GTPase activity has been reported as essential in regulating cell polarity and membrane protrusiveness [41]. Specifically, Rac1 and Cdc42 have been linked with integrin-mediated motility and invasion through PI3K signalling in breast cancer cell lines [187]. Furthermore, the Rap GTPase Rap1 has been identified as a crucial signalling element downstream of β1 integrin [170], responsible for regulating breast acinar structure and inducing mammary gland lumen formation [188]. Yet another signalling protein downstream of TJs, PKC [189], has also been linked to cancer initiation and progression. PKC overexpression and altered localization
has been demonstrated in breast cancer [190], and PKC signalling is required for EGF-induced chemotaxis of human breast cancer cells [191].

This wealth of evidence indicates the potential involvement of several TJ adhesion cascades in the migratory events associated with breast cancer progression. Further studies on these proteins will allow a more comprehensive understanding of their behaviour and contributions to tumour progression, ultimately defining candidate breast cancer prognostic markers. The study of compounds designed to specifically target and block the action of adhesion proteins involved in cancer invasion could be of substantial therapeutic benefit in preventing breast cancer invasion.

4. TJs and Breast Cancer Drug Therapies

Targeted therapeutic agents for breast cancer represent a growing proportion of new drugs entering clinical testing. Since carcinogenesis is a multistep process characterized by alterations in many key growth and development pathways, there are numerous opportunities for pharmacologic targeting. Selection of appropriate drug targets and the ability to effectively deliver drugs to those targets are pivotal issues in drug development. This section will review current knowledge on TJs as breast cancer drug targets, and as targets for therapeutic modulation of cancer drug delivery.

In spite of the regulatory influences exerted by TJs on diverse processes relevant to cancer progression (as discussed in previous sections), there are currently no cancer therapies on the market which specifically target TJs. However, clues to potential TJ targets of value have come from many sources, including translational research studies involving patient databases. For example, overexpression of claudin-3 and -4 proteins has been demonstrated in over 90% of primary breast carcinomas in a patient group of 188 [192], and in 60–80% of breast tumours in a tissue microarray of 314 patients [193]. Claudin-3 and -4 overexpression has also been noted in other neoplasias including ovarian, prostate, pancreatic, and endometrial [193–196]. These proteins form an intriguing potential target for cancer therapies, since both claudin-3 and -4 have been identified as the receptor for Clostridium perfringens enterotoxin (CPE) and the only claudin family members capable of mediating CPE binding and cytolysis [195–198].

CPE is a well-known virulence factor responsible for the gastrointestinal symptoms associated with C. perfringens type A food poisoning. By inducing permeability alterations in host intestinal epithelial cells, CPE induces cell death and epithelial desquamation. CPE is thus a multifunctional toxin with cytotoxic, TJ-damaging, and proinflammatory activities [199, 200]. This ability of CPE to rapidly and specifically lyse cells expressing claudin-3 or -4 could potentially be exploited in the treatment of breast cancers overexpressing these proteins. Accordingly, it has been shown that claudin-3 and -4 expressing breast cancer cell lines grown in cell culture and as xenograft tumours underwent rapid and dose-dependent cytolysis in response to CPE treatment [143]. Even more promisingly, administration of CPE has been shown to reduce the growth of claudin-4 overexpressing human ovarian and pancreatic tumours [201]. Thus, local delivery of native CPE may be useful in the treatment of preneoplastic lesions such as DCIS and in neoadjuvant settings such as the locoregional control of locally advanced breast carcinoma, as well as in tumour downstaging to allow breast conservation therapy [143]. In addition to this, the documented ability of CPE to downregulate the epithelial barrier through interference with claudin-3 and -4 may enhance local drug delivery for other treatment modalities. However, at least two caveats must be noted. Firstly (as discussed in prior sections), loss of TJ-based adhesion may imbalance cellular polarity which by itself is likely to be protumourogenic. Secondly, claudin-3 and -4 are expressed in several normal human tissues including gut, lung, and kidney; therefore the potential high toxicity of CPE at doses used for systemic cancer therapy in animal models might limit its use in humans to local treatments [194, 202].

Claudin-3 and -4 overexpression in breast cancer could alternatively be targeted by toxin- or radionuclide-conjugated antibodies, which would either destroy the cancer cells directly or target them for attack by the host immune system. The high sequence identity between claudin-3 and -4 may allow generation of antibodies recognizing both proteins. Potential indications for anticlaudin antibody-based therapeutics include carcinomas of colorectal, breast, ovarian, and prostate origin [203].

In common with the discovery of claudin-3 and -4 as the CPE receptor, other TJs are known to be hijacked as pathogen receptors. The TJ protein CAR acts as the primary site for adenovirus attachment during infection, a feature which has been exploited as a delivery mechanism for gene therapies [204, 205]. CAR expression has been shown to significantly increase in breast tumour tissue along with increasing tumour grade [206]. Breast tissue samples showing elevated CAR expression have been associated with poor patient prognosis [206]. While the biological roles of CAR are incompletely defined, emerging evidence suggests that it may function in regulating cell proliferation [207]. Whether CAR overexpression in breast cancer could be successfully targeted by nonpathogenic components of the virus in order to diminish cancer cell proliferation remains an intriguing question.

Another TJ protein of interest for breast cancer drug discovery is occludin. Gumbiner et al. showed that occludin homotypic interactions and turnover, but not synthesis, could be affected by treating cells with peptides to the extracellular loop of occludin [208, 209]. Nusrat et al. also identified occludin peptides capable of binding TJ structural and signalling proteins [210], and demonstrated that the second extracellular loop of occludin regulates cellular transformation by oncogenes such as Raf-1 [211]. Given that occludin has been reported to be dysregulated in some breast cancers, it is intriguing to speculate that occludin could be a target for peptide-based cancer drugs.

Another TJ protein implicated in breast cancer progression is ZO-1, which as noted, has sequence homology with the Drosophila tumour suppressor Dlg, implying that ZO-1 could possess similar functions as a tumour suppressor
Figure 2: The potential influence of TJ proteins on breast cancer development. Breast cancer predominantly begins in luminal epithelial cells lining the normal breast ducts (a). Alterations in TJ proteins may lead to the initiation of breast tumourigenesis in at least two ways. Firstly, TJ alterations may favour decreased cellular apoptosis and increased proliferation leading to uncontrolled growth, such as is seen in ductal carcinoma in situ lesions (b). Secondly, TJ alterations may decrease cell adhesion and increase motility, facilitating cancer cell migration as seen during invasion and basement membrane breakdown in early primary invasive breast carcinoma (c). Breast carcinoma likely requires coordinated efforts of both increased proliferation and increased motility to progress to metastatic stages (d).

To conclude this section, we note that therapeutic modulation of breast cancer via selective targeting of tight junction structural proteins is in its infancy. At present, CPE offers the best-developed strategy via targeting of claudin-3 and -4. While many signalling proteins and enzymes loosely affiliated with the TJ plaque may prove easier pharmacological targets, full discussion of this topic is beyond the scope of this review. Interested readers are directed to a comprehensive review by Schneeberger and Lynch [214]. It is clear however that further investigations into the cell biology of tight junctions are necessary to provide insights into putative future applications of TJ components as candidates for drug discovery to prevent or limit breast cancer progression.

5. Conclusions

Finally, we summarize the role of TJs in breast cancer initiation and progression as follows (see Figure 2). During the initiation phase of cancer, fundamental alterations in the TJ complex may impair its functional control over important cellular processes such as polarity and cell fate determination, or cell motility characteristics. Dysregulation of either of these aspects likely contributes to the pathologies which we recognise as ductal breast carcinoma in situ or invasive ductal cancer gene in mammalian epithelia [19]. Martin et al. observed decreased ZO-1 staining in several invasive breast cancer cell lines supporting the tumour-suppressive characteristics of ZO-1 [177].

Even more recently, it has been observed that increased JAM-A expression in human breast cancer tissues correlates with poor patient prognosis [173]. Since this mechanism is thought to involve promotion of integrin-mediated migratory events at the cell-matrix interface, it is, therefore, interesting to speculate that targeting JAM-A dimerization to reduce signalling could be a promising and novel target to reduce breast cancer cell motility during the early stages of invasion or metastasis.

A final point regarding TJs as breast cancer therapeutic targets relates to drug delivery. In order for therapeutic agents to reach their target in vivo, they must cross epithelial and/or endothelial barriers. Since the TJ is the primary regulator of paracellular transport across such cells [212], successful drug delivery may require modulation of TJ proteins to allow drug molecules to pass [213]. However, as before, it must be noted that disruption of TJ proteins purely for drug delivery purposes may itself promote cancer progression by upsetting homeostatic mechanisms of polarity, differentiation, cell fate, and migration which are tightly regulated by TJs in normal tissues.
cancer. Further dysregulation of a combination of these (and other) events is likely to be required for the most serious step of breast cancer progression, the transition to a metastatic phenotype.

It must be noted that there is not a simple relationship between TJ protein loss or gain and breast cancer. As we have described in this review, both loss and gain of TJ proteins can impart a growth advantage to breast cancer cells, as well as increased resistance to apoptosis, loss of polarity, and increased migratory or invasive characteristics. Through these important regulatory influences on polarity, cell fate and cell movement, we suggest that an intact and functional TJ complex acts as a barrier to the initiation and progression of breast cancer. However, any imbalance in the protein components of this complex (whether increased or decreased) will, in turn, imbalance the strict homeostatic control required to maintain breast tissue in its differentiated state, increasing the risk of inducing a pathologically differentiated state such as breast cancer.
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