Accelerated Atherosclerosis in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: Role of Proinflammatory Cytokines and Therapeutic Approaches
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Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), a chronic multisystem autoimmune disease with a broad range of clinical manifestations, including photosensitive skin rashes, discoid lesions, arthritis/arthritis, nephritis, cardiac and pulmonary disease, and CNS disorders. The disease pathogenesis is attributed to circulating antinuclear autoantibodies against a variety of nuclear antigens (including dsDNA, the ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex Ro, the RNA-binding protein LA, RNPs, the RNA molecule/protein complex Sm, the C1 complement component subunit C1q, and phospholipids) and the dysfunction of T and B lymphocytes and dendritic cells [1].

Although the pathogenesis is believed to lie in the dysregulation of the immune system, the involvement of various organ systems often leads to secondary morbidities resulting from renal failure, hypertension, or CNS disorders, and more recently it is becoming increasingly clear that accelerated atherosclerosis associated with SLE may contribute to premature mortality [2].

Atherosclerosis (AT) is a chronic inflammatory disease of the arteries associated with various risk factors that promote lipid abnormalities (i.e., dyslipidemia), development and progression of atherosclerotic lesions, plaque rupture, and vascular thrombosis [3]. AT is enhanced in autoimmune diseases; noninvasive investigations show increases in intima-media thickness, carotid plaque, and coronary artery calcifications in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome (APS), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) compared to controls [4]. The reason for this accelerated process is still debatable and, although traditional risk factors (such as hyperlipidemia, smoking, obesity, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, postmenopausal status, and sedentary lifestyle) are more prevalent in those...
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Figure 1: Mechanisms leading to atherogenesis and Cardiovascular disease in SLE patients. ECs: endothelial cells; VSMCs: vascular smooth muscle cells; TNF: tumour necrosis factor; ILs: interleukins; IFN: interferon; BLyS: B lymphocyte stimulator.

patients than in general population, they do not seem to fully explain that enhanced risk [5].

Experimental studies and human observations suggest that innate and adaptive immune responses participate in the pathogenesis of both AT and autoimmune diseases. Actually, some autoantibodies, including oxidized low density lipoproteins (antioxidLDL), antiβ2-Glycoprotein 1 (antib2GPI), antiHeat shock proteins 60/65 (antiHSP60/65), and antioxLDL/β2GPI, have been shown to be associated to the pathogenesis of AT [6, 7]. However, their role in accelerated AT in APS and SLE patients is still controversial.

Identified additional factors for AT in patients with SLE include chronic inflammation and chronic exposure to steroid therapy. These factors can directly influence the development of AT through a variety of mechanisms such as immune complex generation, complement activation, alteration of the oxidant-antioxidant balance locally within the vessel wall, and changes in the production and activity of a complex network of cytokines [8–10] (Figure 1).

Characterization of the molecular and cellular basis of signalling abnormalities within the immune system that lead to auto reactivity and inflammation and their relationship to early atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease (CVD) development remain critical for understanding the pathogenesis of SLE.

2. Cytokines as Immune Mediators Involved in Atherosclerosis and CVD Development

The vascular inflammatory response involves complex interaction between inflammatory cells (neutrophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, and macrophages), endothelial cells (ECs), vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs), and extracellular matrix (ECM). Vascular injury is associated with increased expression of adhesion molecules by ECs and recruitment of inflammatory cells, growth factors, and cytokines, with consequent effects on ECs, VSMCs, and ECM. Cytokines include tumour necrosis factor, interleukins, lymphokines, monokines, interferons, colony stimulating factors, and transforming growth factors. Cytokines are produced by macrophages, T-cells and monocytes, as well as platelets, ECs and VSMCs [11]. Depending on their cellular source, cytokines are classified into type 1 cytokines, produced by Th1 T-helper cells, that include IL-2, IL-12, IFN-γ, and TNF-β; and type 2 cytokines, produced by Th2 T-helper cells that include IL-4, -5, -6, -10, and -13. Th1 cytokines tend to drive cellular inflammatory responses including macrophage activation. The Th2 cytokines play a role in distinct inflammatory processes, and may inhibit certain forms of autoimmunity [12]. Circulating cytokines interact with specific receptors on various cell types and activate...
signalling pathways leading to an inflammatory response involving cell adhesion, permeability, and apoptosis [11].

Cytokines are master regulators of the innate and adaptive immune response and, unsurprisingly, are known to regulate and, essentially, many stages of atherosclerosis [13, 14]. Many cytokines, such as Interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-10, interferon IFNα, and TNFα are expressed highly in atherosclerotic regions and exhibit pro- and antiatherogenic actions [13–15].

Innate cytokines such as IL-1 or TNFα may activate endothelial cells (ECs), vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs), monocytes/macrophages, lymphocytes (T, B, NK), dendritic cells, and mast cells. These vascular cells can actively contribute to the inflammatory cytokine-dependent response in the vessel wall by production of cytokines or eliciting responses to cytokines, or can be involved in cytokine-mediated interaction with invading cells such as monocytes, T-cells, or mast cells. Activation of these pathways results in accumulation of cells and increased LDL- and ECM-deposition which may facilitate subsequent invasions [11].

Numerous abnormalities of the cytokine network have been described in patients with SLE as well as in murine lupus models. Some of them were shown to play a pivotal physiopathological role in certain T-cell, B-cell or antigen presenting cell dysfunctions characteristic of the disease, while others are more likely to be innocent bystanders [16].

3. Cytokines Involved in the Pathogenesis of Atherosclerosis in SLE

Several cytokines with relevance to CVD has been proven to be related to the pathogenesis of AT in SLE. In the following paragraphs we highlight some of the most likely significantly involved.

Plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDC) activated by immune complexes containing nucleic acids secrete type I IFN (IFNα and IFNβ) in SLE. Type I IFN causes differentiation of monocytes to myeloid-derived dendritic cell (mDC) and activation of autoreactive T and B cells. Patients with SLE have an increased expression of type I IFN-regulated genes because of a continuous production of IFNα. Recent reports have demonstrated that elevated levels of type I IFNs (cytokines with potent antiproliferative and antiangiogenic effects, and associated with active SLE disease; and positivity for some autoantibodies) could lead to endothelial dysfunction through the promotion of a reduction in the number of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs, responsible for the neovascularization in sites of endothelial injury), thus contributing to the increased CV risk observed in SLE [17]. In that way, a recent study by Denny and coworkers [18] showed that SLE patients displayed not only significant decreases in the number of circulating EPCs, but also significant impairments in the capacity of EPCs/CACs—circulating angiogenic cells to differentiate into mature ECs and synthesize adequate levels of proangiogenic molecules vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and hepatic growth factor (HGF). Moreover, that study showed that lupus EPCs/CACs had increased IFNα expression. By contributing to endothelial disjunction/damage and inducing proinflammatory responses within the atherosclerotic plaque, IFNs could promote AT in patients with SLE.

The role of the type II interferon (IFNγ)—whose expression is significantly increased in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of SLE patients [19]—in the progression of atherosclerosis has been well debated due to evidence conveying both pro- and antiatherogenic actions of the cytokine. Since IFNγ, known to be a proinflammatory cytokine, can also display antiinflammatory properties [20], it is likely that it acts in both ways in AT. These conflicting actions may well be gene-specific and it is known that approximately a quarter of all genes within the transcriptome of the macrophage, a key immune cell involved in AT, is sensitive to IFNγ [21]. IFNγ has been shown to influence many features of atherosclerosis such as foam cell formation, the adaptive Th1-specific immune response and plaque development [22]. In the global context of AT, it is possible that its proatherogenic actions out-weight its antiatherogenic ones. Nevertheless, the precise role of this type of IFN in the development of AT in SLE patients remains to be analyzed.

IFNs are often profoundly dysregulated in SLE, and both IFNα and IFNγ have been shown to induce B lymphocyte stimulator (BlyS) expression. BlyS (also known as the B cell-activating factor belonging to the TNF family, or BAFF [23]) was identified as a novel TNF family ligand, and has proven to be a key factor in the selection and survival of B cells [23–26]. The BlyS protein is expressed by a wide variety of cell types, including monocytes, activated neutrophils, T cells and DCs [27–29]. Although standing levels of BlyS are constitutively generated, its expression and secretion can be potentiated by inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-2, TNFα, and IFNγ [28–31]. BlyS levels affect survival signals and selective apoptosis of autoantibody-producing B cells. High levels of BlyS may relax B cell selection and contribute to autoantibody production, exacerbating the SLE disease state. It has been hypothesised a potential cooperative action of BlyS and IFNs in the aetiology of SLE. Since BlyS is not known to have direct or immediate proinflammatory activities, changes in serum BlyS levels are unlikely to trigger acute inflammatory reactions and disease manifestations. However, it is possible than an increase in disease activity may lag behind increases in circulating BlyS levels due to indirect or “delayed” effects of BlyS in the systemic immune-inflammatory reactions of SLE. Nevertheless, the possible association between the overexpression of BlyS and the development and/or progression of AT or CVD in SLE patients remains elusive.

TNFα is both a proinflammatory and an immunoregulatory cytokine. TNFα has differential effects on monocytes, on B cells, on T cells, and on dendritic cells, as well as on the process of programmed cell death. TNFα is a growth factor for B lymphocytes, and B lymphocytes are able to produce significant amounts of TNFα in an autocrine loop [32]. TNFα may also exert a significant influence on B cells by its capacity to induce IL-6 [33]. Moreover, TNFα stimulation leads to increased production of IFNγ, a cytokine with a clear-cut pathological role in SLE, as previously described.
high triglyceride and low HDL levels [36]. Moreover, in a recent study by Rho and coworkers [37] it was established that data should be further confirmed in a new cohort of patients, as a previous study by Roman et al. [38] found no association among TNFα, IL6, or CD40L and the presence of carotid plaque in SLE.

Nevertheless, because of its wide involvement in the activity of monocytes, dendritic cells, and lymphocytes as well as in the expression of other inflammatory cytokines involved in AT development, TNFα may be considered a major factor in SLE-related CVD, acting both by contributing to hypertriglyceridaemia and by promoting atherosclerosis-related inflammation.

Interleukin-6 (IL-6) is a pleiotropic cytokine with a wide range of biological activities that plays an important role in immune regulation and inflammation. Furthermore an association between IL-6 and lupus was demonstrated in murine models of SLE and blocking IL-6 improved lupus in all models tested [39]. IL-6 is one of the most important B cell stimulating factors that induces the differentiation of T cells into effectors cells. Immunoglobulin and antiDNA antibody production in vitro by B cells from lupus patients has been demonstrated to be promoted by IL-6 and inhibited by antibodies against IL-6 or the IL-6 receptor. IL-6 is involved in the recruitment of inflammatory cells and lipid homeostasis and is associated with increased cardiovascular mortality and prognosis in the general population. Moreover, IL-6 drives C-reactive protein (CRP) production, which itself plays multiple roles, influencing key promoters of AT; moreover, it appears as an independent predictor of coronary events [40]. However, the role of IL-6 in the pathogenesis of SLE-related AT is also controversial. Some authors found elevated IL-6 levels only in cases with increased CRP, concluding that it is part of the acute phase response [41]. Others defend the idea that the relationship between IL-6 concentrations and the burden of AT in SLE patients represents more than an epiphenomenon, and that measurement of IL-6 provides supplementary information in this cohort of SLE patients [42].

IL-17 is a pro-inflammatory cytokine which participates in the defence against certain pathogens, primarily extracellular bacteria and fungi [43]. IL-17 is produced by several cell subsets including CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, NK cells and neutrophils [43]. In addition to its proinflammatory capacity, IL-17 exerts its effects through the recruitment of monocytes and neutrophils by increasing the local production of chemokines (IL-8, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, growth-related oncogene protein-alpha) [44–48], the facilitation of T cell infiltration and activation by stimulating the expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 [49] as well as the amplification of the immune response by inducing the production of IL-6, prostaglandin E2, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor [50, 51]. Additionally, IL-17 synergizes with other cytokines, in particular with IL-1β, TNFα, and IFNγ [52–55]. Th17 cells have been implicated in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases including rheumatoid arthritis [56] and multiple sclerosis [57], and recent evidence suggested that IL-17-mediated inflammation might play a role in the pathogenesis of SLE. Also abnormally high levels of IL-17 and IL-23 have been reported in human SLE sera [58], and more recently it has been provided evidence that IL-17 production by T cells is increased in SLE patients [59]. That study further described that double negative (C4-CD8-) T cells, which are expanded in the peripheral blood of patients with SLE [60], represent major producers of IL-17, and that they undergo a vigorous proliferative response following stimulation.

A very recent study [61] has demonstrated a concomitant presence of IL-17 and IFNγ in patients and clinical specimens of coronary atherosclerosis, the presence of IL-17/IFNγ dual-producing T cells within coronary plaques, and a synergistic effect of IL-17 and IFNγ on elicitation of proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine production by cultured human VSMC. Thus an association of this cytokine with human coronary AT has been already established. However, its role in SLE-related AT remains to be evaluated.

Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) has emerged as a potential link between SLE and atherosclerosis development [10, 62]. Increased serum levels of MIF have been detected in SLE patients compared with healthy control individual. MIF is a pleiotropic cytokine with roles in several inflammatory diseases. MIF induces the pro-inflammatory mediators TNFα, IL-1, IL-6 and MMPs. It can activate T cells, promote angiogenesis and induce proliferation of cells, while inhibiting p53 expression and apoptosis of the same cells [62, 63]. MIF can be induced by oxLDL, which is an initiating factor in atherogenesis, and so expression of MIF early on may enhance pro-inflammatory responses and lesion progression [63].

The interaction between CD40 and CD40L is also an integral part of the inflammatory pathway in the vascular system. CD40 ligation on cells of the vascular wall promotes mononuclear cells recruitment and contributes to thrombosis in the setting of atherosclerosis [64]. The co-stimulatory molecule CD40 ligand (CD40L, also called sCD154) is a member of the TNF family and participates in B cell differentiation and proliferation [65] as well as in antibody isotype switching [66]. The binding of CD40L to its receptor, CD40, is thought to also be involved in atherogenesis and atherosclerotic plaque rupture [67–69]. Some reports indicated elevated serum concentrations of CD40L in patients with SLE compared to matched control subjects [70, 71]. CD40L has been found to be over expressed in T cells of patients with SLE [72], and elevated concentrations of CD40 and CD40L have been found in atherosclerotic plaques in SLE patients [67].

An important outcome derived from the studies reported on this area is that only for a few cytokines there is sufficient consistent data allowing classifying them as typically
proatherogenic (IL6, IL17, IFNγ, TNFα, BAFF, MIF, etc) or antiatherogenic (IL-10), and that some cytokines (IFNγ, TNFα, IL4, IL-6) can exert pro- or antiatherogenic effects depending on the disease status. This knowledge can be used for improved early detection, prevention and treatment of atherosclerosis in SLE.

4. Genomics and Proteomics Biomarkers for SLE Atherosclerosis and Cytokine Involvement

Several genomic studies have been developed on SLE PBMCs [73–79] (Table 1). Genomic studies have consistently given strong support to the involvement of a dysregulation of IFN-dependent pathways in the pathogenesis of SLE [80, 81]. Analysis of SLE-upregulated genes showed a predominance of genes known to be upregulated in response to IFN. In some cases, expression results obtained using DNA microarrays were also confirmed by independent methods such as quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR [79, 82]. Additional changes that may be of great importance in determining the high thrombotic risk of SLE patients include the upregulation of other gene families such as TNF and TNF receptor, chemokines and chemokine receptors, cell surface activation antigens, FC receptors, metalloproteinases, and defensins [80]. Interestingly, many of the expression changes observed in PBMCs isolated from SLE patients were reproduced in healthy PBMCs cultured with IFNα [76]. The lack of detection of significant IFNα transcripts in SLE patient’s PBMCs supported that this cytokine may be mainly produced by plasmacytoid dendritic cells located in the patient’s tissues [76].

Recent searches for “lupus genes” through candidate single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) association scans, have further demonstrated that SLE is a disease with complex genetic inheritance and no single causative gene [86]. These studies have also given more proofs of the relationship between genetic profiles and development of AT and CVD in SLE patients. Among them, polymorphisms in the region of the TNFAIP3 gene were recently linked to SLE [83]. TNFAIP3 encodes the deubiquitinating enzyme A20, and endogenous inhibitor of the nuclear factor-kappaB (NFκB) pathway. NFκB is a transcription factor that is activated by TNF or IL-1/TLR signalling pathways, which induces transcription of proinflammatory genes. In AT, NFκB is activated at sites of the arterial wall that are prone to lesion development. SNPs in the TNFAIP3 gene region may cause reduced expression or reduced activity of A20 [83], therefore contributing to an uncontrolled inflammatory response and autoimmunity and potentially accelerated AT in these patients.

The proteomic analysis of plasma samples from SLE patients has allowed an important observation in order to understand the higher susceptibility of SLE patients to suffer CV disorders. Pavón et al. [87] have studied by 2-DE plasma samples from SLE patients and healthy controls of initially unknown haptoglobin (Hp) phenotype, and tryptic digests of the excised Hpa polypeptide chain spots were analyzed by MALDI-TOF/MS. Selected tryptic peptides were then sequenced by nano-(n)ESI-IT MS/MS. There were remarkable interindividual differences in the Hp patterns of SLE patients compared with those of healthy controls. Thus, Hpa1F protein was only present in one of the patients studied, whereas the Hpa2 isof orm was detected in all but one SLE patients studied, resulting in an Hp2 allele frequency significantly higher than that in healthy controls. Hp functions as an antioxidant and an essential endothelial protector by binding to free haemoglobin, avoiding oxidative stress [88]. Both the hemoglobin-binding and the antioxidant capacity of Hpa1 is higher compared with that of Hpa2 [89] and Hp genotype plays a critical role in the oxidative and inflammatory response to intraplaque haemorrhage [90]. Moreover, Hp genotype modulates the balance of inflammatory (Th1) and antiinflammatory (Th2) cytokines produced by macrophages exposed to free haemoglobin, which may have implications in understanding interindividual differences in the inflammatory response to haemorrhage [91]. Moreover, large-artery elasticity index and small-artery elasticity index were significantly lower and systemic vascular resistance was higher in homozygotes for the 2 allele (Hp 2-2) compared with patients with Hp 2-1 or Hp 1-1 phenotypes [92]. The knowledge of the Hp phenotypes and their PMF has led to the development of biological agents that are targeted at biomarkers including, inhibitors of cytokines (e.g., TNFα or IL-10), B-cell directed therapies, statins, and so forth.

5. SLE Treatment and Its Influence on Cytokine Expression and Atherosclerosis Development

The pharmacological management of SLE is challenging, owing to its unpredictable clinical course, the variable organ system involvement and the lack of clear understanding of disease pathogenesis.

Traditional management of SLE has included the use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, antimalarials, glucocorticoids, and immunosuppressive drugs such as azathioprine, methotrexate, cyclosporine A, cyclophosphamide, and mycophenolate mofetil [93–95]. Although many of these therapies have shown great efficacy, they are often associated with adverse effects. The development of safer therapies for SLE has led to recent emphasis on targeting selected pathways that can be important in the inflammatory response in SLE. In this context, a better understanding of lupus pathogenesis has led to the development of biological agents that are directed at biomarkers including, inhibitors of cytokines (e.g., TNFα or IL-10), B-cell directed therapies, statins, and so forth.
Table 1: Genomic markers of CVD risk and atherosclerosis in SLE. Some examples of genes from each category are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes/proteins associated with CVD and atherosclerosis</th>
<th>Technique utilized</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genes differentially expressed in PBMCs from SLE patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN target genes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT1</td>
<td>Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats-1</td>
<td>X06559</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1P3</td>
<td>Interferon, alpha-inducible protein (IFI-6-16)</td>
<td>U22970</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT4</td>
<td>Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 4</td>
<td>O14879</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLSCR1</td>
<td>Phospholipid scramblase 1</td>
<td>O15162</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1P2</td>
<td>Interferon, alpha-inducible protein (IFI-15K)</td>
<td>M21786</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRF7</td>
<td>Interferon regulatory factor 7</td>
<td>Q92985</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THBS1</td>
<td>Thrombospondin 1</td>
<td>J04835</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCGR1A</td>
<td>Fc fragment of IgG, high-affinity Ia receptor</td>
<td>M63830</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USP20</td>
<td>Ubiquitin specific protease 20</td>
<td>Y17457</td>
<td>Down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATK</td>
<td>Megakaryocyte-specific tyrosine kinase</td>
<td>S75164</td>
<td>Down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF and TNF receptor families</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFSF10</td>
<td>TNF-related apoptosis inducing ligand (TRAIL)</td>
<td>U37518</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFRSF10C</td>
<td>TRAIL receptor 3</td>
<td>O14798</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS</td>
<td>Fas (TNF receptor superfamily, member 6)</td>
<td>D31968</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemokines and chemokine receptors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCR7</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 7</td>
<td>L31584</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL8RB</td>
<td>Interleukin 8 receptor, beta</td>
<td>M99412</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell surface activation antigens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD69</td>
<td>CD69 molecule</td>
<td>Z30426</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fc receptors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCGRI A</td>
<td>Fc-gamma receptor I A1</td>
<td>M63830</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCGR2A</td>
<td>Fc fragment of IgG, low affinity Ia, receptor (CD32)</td>
<td>A21604</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalloproteinases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP3</td>
<td>Matrix metallopeptidase 3</td>
<td>J04732</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP9</td>
<td>Matrix metallopeptidase 9</td>
<td>D10051</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defensins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFA3</td>
<td>Defensin 3, neutrophil-specific</td>
<td>L12691</td>
<td>Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) association scans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>SNPs</td>
<td>Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFAIP3</td>
<td>De-ubiquitinating enzyme A20</td>
<td>rs13192841  rs2230926  rs6922466</td>
<td>Reduced expression and activity of A20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRF5</td>
<td>IFN-regulatory factor 5</td>
<td>rs2004640</td>
<td>Increased risk for SLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT4</td>
<td>Transcription factor</td>
<td>rs7574865</td>
<td>Increased risk for SLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In SLE, the loss of self-tolerance leads to persistence and activation of auto-reactive B cells and T cells with consecutive abnormal secretion of cytokines and production of autoantibodies, which are thus interesting target candidates for the development of novel SLE therapies. Several cytokines have been identified as major targets in SLE, leading to the development of numerous monoclonal antibodies, some of them currently used in therapy or under clinical evaluation [96].

A therapeutic option in patients with SLE is inhibition of IFNα. Three general approaches could be used to target IFNα: (1) reduce extra-cellular levels of IFNα; (2) reduce signalling from the IFNα receptor; (3) reduce the activity of the mediators of IFNα activity. Each approach has been shown to display pros and cons and implications for efficacy and safety needs to be weighed. Nevertheless, recent data from a phase 1 clinical trial suggests that administration of neutralizing monoclonal antibodies against IFNα can ameliorate disease activity [97].

The foregoing evidence clearly implicates TNF in the accelerated atherogenesis and other cardiovascular events associated with autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and SLE. Several TNF antagonists are currently licensed for the treatment of RA, including infliximab, adalimumab (a fully humanized monoclonal antibody) and etanercept (a fusion protein of human soluble TNF receptor and the Fc component of human IgG). All of these biologic agents have been shown to be effective in controlling disease activity, as well as reducing systemic inflammation [98–100], surrogate vascular and metabolic markers [101, 102], and CVD events [103].

Limited studies have evaluated the effect of antiTNF therapy in SLE, and are discussed in a recent revision by Karim et al. [104]. The study by Aringer et al. [105] looked at six patients with active SLE treated with infliximab plus azathioprine or methotrexate. Disease activity declined during therapy despite the fact that levels of anti-dsDNA antibodies and cardioplipin increased in four out of six patients. Four out of six patients who had lupus nephritis improved their proteinuria significantly. There were associated infections including urinary tract infection in three patients, one of whom also had Escherichia coli septicaemia, and a prolonged suspected viral fever in another patient. In the follow-up study Aringer et al. [106] also showed an increase in antibody detection to DNA, histone, chromatin and IgM cardioplipin, despite an overall reduction in disease activity. TNFα antagonist therapy has also been associated with a lupus-like syndrome; most of these case reports occurred in patients receiving either etanercept or infliximab [107].

A small open trial used antiIL-10 monoclonal antibodies in six patients with active SLE [108]. Ten patients received intravenous IL-10 monoclonal antibody 20 mg for 21 days and were followed for 6 months. The SLEDAI score decreased significantly by day 21, and was maintained at 6 months. Skin and joint symptoms improved in all patients. Prednisolone dose reduced significantly over the 6-month period.

Another approach was recently developed, based on active immunotherapy, which consists of inducing antibodies able to neutralize the interaction of the self-cytokine to its receptor. In that way, in a model of RA, it was demonstrated that vaccination with a biologically inactive but immunogenic human TNFα derivative (keyhole limped hemocyanin-human TNFα heterocomplex), led to the production of high titters of antibodies that neutralize human TNFα bioactivity [109].

Other novel cytokines that might have a role, as implicated by vascular epidemiologic and pathophysiologic studies, could also be tested in the near future in the SLE context, including IL17 or IL23, which are being investigated in clinical trials for managing of RA. Despite the positive results found in these studies with anticytokine therapies, numerous side effects have also been demonstrated. As cytokine network dysregulation is highly complex in SLE, further investigations are needed to evaluate whether this strategy may be advantageous in SLE in the future.

B-cell directed therapies are promising treatments for SLE patients that do not respond to the first-line therapies. Rituximab is a chimeric antiCD20 monoclonal antibody which depletes B cells from the peripheral circulation, but allows regeneration from stem cells. CD20 is expressed at various stages of B-cell development from pre-B cells up to mature B cells, but not on plasma cells and hematopoietic stem cells. The exact mechanisms of action in autoimmune disease is however as yet unknown. Rituximab causes lysis of B cells mediated by complement and by Fc-receptor-bearing cytotoxic cells, and also causes apoptosis. It has a variable effect on immunoglobulin levels with variable reduction of autoantibody titres. It is possible that rituximab has additional effects on the immune system [110–112]. Several groups have published uncontrolled studies of rituximab treatment in SLE with positive results [113–119]. Adverse events most frequently were infections and a risk of relapse significantly elevated.

A very recent study has investigated the changes in the lipid profile of SLE patients after B-cell depletion therapy [120]. They reported that rituximab acted positively on known risk factors for premature AT such as HDL cholesterol, the total cholesterol/HDL ratio and Tissue Factor (TF) levels. This improvement in the lipid abnormalities coincided with decreased disease activity as measured by the BILAG index and a fall in the antidsDNA antibody levels. Since rituximab has not known direct effects on lipid metabolism, it seems likely that the positive effects in the lipid profile of that set of patients is indirectly mediated through a reduction in the inflammatory activity of the disease. Larger prospective studies should evaluate if this favourable effect contributes to a lower incidence of CV events.

Several studies have demonstrated increased levels of hypomethylated CpG-containing DNA in sera from patients with SLE, which may contribute to the initiation and/or perpetuation of the disease. Thus, recent therapies have been focused on the use of synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides (ODN) capable of “neutralizing” or “inhibiting” immune responses by inhibiting the activity elicited by this altered DNA. Among them, phosphorothioate ODN mimic the immunosuppressive activity of the repetitive TTAGGG motifs present in mammalian telomeres. These TTAGGG
multimers block the production of pro-inflammatory and T helper type 1 cytokines elicited when immune cells are activated by a wide variety of Toll-like receptor ligands (TLRs), polyclonal activators, and antigens. The suppressive activity of such ODN has been attributed to their interference with the phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1) and STAT4, thereby blocking the inflammation mediated by STAT-associated signalling cascades. These findings suggest that TTAGGG multimers may find broad use in the treatment of diseases characterized by over-exuberant/persistent immune activation. [121].

*Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIgs)* therapy has been also used for the treatment of SLE. Various mechanisms of IVIgs have been shown to play a role in the modulation of SLE: IVIgs suppresses the expansion of autoreactive B lymphocytes through signalling the FcγRIB, idiotype-mediated inhibition of B cell receptors and neutralisation of cytokines such as the B cell survival factors (B cell activation factor—B cell activating factor belonging to the TNF family (BlyS) and A proliferation-inducing ligand (APRIL))- [122]. In case reports and in open trials, high-dose IVIgs (2 g/Kg over a 5-day period) has consistently been shown to be a beneficial and safe adjunct therapeutic agent for over 20 manifestations in patients with SLE [123]. The use of IVIgs seems to be particularly successful in those patients with active disease and concomitant sepsis [MJ Cuadrado, personal communication].

*Statins* may also play an important role in the treatment of SLE patients regarding the prevention of cardiovascular disease as well as the immunomodulation over the chronic inflammatory activity of the disease. The pleiotropic properties of statins, in addition to their effects on the suppression of cholesterol synthesis, include direct influence on endothelium, plaque formation and thromboxan synthesis as well as direct and indirect immunomodulatory activities.

Statins have further demonstrated their antithrombotic/antiinflammatory effects on APS patients. In a preliminary study, our group evaluated the effects of Fluvastatin treatment on monocyte expression and regulation of the main proteins involved in the characteristic procoagulant/proinflammatory state of APS patients [124]. Twenty patients with APS and previous history of thrombosis received Fluvastatin (20 mg/day) for one month. After one month of Fluvastatin treatment, monocytes from APS patients showed a significant inhibition of TF, protease-activated receptors (PAR)-1 and PAR-2, VEGF and Flt1 expression. Proteomic analysis showed significant changes in the protein patterns of monocytes from APS after Fluvastatin administration, particularly on proteins involved in thrombotic development: inhibiting Annexin II and RhoA expression and increasing that of Protein Disulphide Isomerase. The data of this study supported that Fluvastatin have multiple profound effects in monocyte activity, which are directly related to thrombosis prevention in APS patients. A recent study by Ferreira et al. [125] demonstrated a surprisingly reduction of SLE activity measured by SLEDAI scale after atorvastatin therapy in addition to the improvement of the endothelial-dependent vasodilatation in SLE patients after a 8 weeks controlled trial. In support for this observation, Kotyla et al. [126] observed similar reduction of SLEDAI in the group of female patients treated with another statin: simvastatin. Reduction of SLEDAI was accompanied by a prominent suppression of TNFα concentration in sera of patients treated. That phenomenon was observed just after 4 weeks of treatment with simvastatin at a dose of 20 mg. They also reported improvement of endothelial function, leading to the thesis that restoration of endothelial function was not restricted to the single compound (atorvastatin) but may be recognized as a class of drug effect. Since TNFα is believed to mediate endothelial damage, that authors also speculated that suppression of TNFα levels after statin therapy might be one mechanism via which restoration of endothelial function occurs.

Because contradictory results were obtained in NZB/W mice that were given atorvastatin, either orally or intraperitoneally [84], and no significant effects of fluvastatin on cardiac events in renal transplant recipients with SLE has been observed in a very recent study [127], the preliminary positive findings must be confirmed by multicentre and long-term studies to define whether statin treatment in SLE patients is indeed associated with a relevant reduction of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality as well as with an amelioration of the inflammatory status and if this drug category should be broadly indicated for SLE patients.

In sum, cytokines identified as major players in the pathophysiology of SLE, which have been selected as targets for the development of novel therapies (including IFNs, TNF or Th1 cytokines), have also proved to influence the development of AT and CVD in that autoimmune disease. Therefore, therapies directed to reduce or eliminate their expression would give a double benefit for SLE patients: the control of the disease, and the prevention of AT and CVD development.

### 6. Conclusions

In spite of the significant and continuous advances performed in the discovery of the cellular and molecular mechanisms responsible for SLE-related AT, relevant questions remain still unanswered: what are the direct links between chronic inflammation and the initiation and progression of vascular stiffening and AT? What are the safest and most effective pharmacologic approaches to limit inflammation even in the absence of disease-related activity?

Cytokine upregulation constitute a major mechanism that links increased atheroma formation in patients with SLE. However, because of the cytokines’ function overlap, their redundant activity, and their synergistic or antagonistic activity, (which may depend on the cell type, the local environment, the presence of synergistic or competing factors, and tissue responsiveness to each cytokine), their pathophysiological role may be difficult to assess.

A plethora of new treatment approaches using antibodies or small molecule inhibitors specifically targeting cytokines, cellular receptors, or signalling mechanisms has emerged in recent years. All of them have shown limited effectiveness, and, in a similar way to what happened with the “traditional treatments” administered to SLE patients, showed a number of adverse effects. Nevertheless, the knowledge continues
increasing, and there are two lessons to learn from the experience to date: (1) that no single treatment is effective and safe enough; and (2) that every single patient shows a cellular and molecular profile that physicians should seriously take into consideration.

Anti-inflammatory therapeutic strategies in the setting of SLE-related AT need to consider a network of interactive cytokines rather than individual proinflammatory factors in isolation. Genetic profiles may also be relevant to the severity of the disease and the response to each specific drug. Probably the best therapeutic strategy would imply a combination of immunosuppressant and antiinflammatory drugs, which should further be administered taking into account the cellular and molecular profile specific of each SLE patient.
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