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T Cells as Vehicles for Cancer Vaccination
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The success of cancer vaccines is dependent on the delivery of tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) within lymphoid tissue in the context of costimulatory molecules and immune stimulatory cytokines. Dendritic cells (DCs) are commonly utilized to elicit antitumor immune responses due to their attractive costimulatory molecule and cytokine expression profile. However, the efficacy of DC-based vaccines is limited by the poor viability and lymph-node migration of exogenously generated DCs in vivo.

Alternatively, adoptively transferred T cells persist for long periods of time in vivo and readily migrate between the lymphoid and vascular compartments. In addition, T cells may be genetically modified to express both TAA and DC-activating molecules, suggesting that T cells may be ideal candidates to serve as cellular vehicles for antigen delivery to lymph node-resident DCs in vivo. This paper discusses the concept of using T cells to induce tumor-specific immunity for vaccination against cancer.

1. Properties of an Effective Cancer Vaccine

Therapeutic cancer vaccines aim to induce antitumor immune responses through the generation of cytotoxic T cell responses to tumor-associated antigens (TAAs). TAAs are proteins that are either uniquely expressed (e.g., cancer testes antigens, mutated proteins, and viral antigens) or expressed to a higher degree (e.g., overexpressed proteins and differentiation antigens) by tumor cells [1]. The success of a cancer vaccine is contingent on (1) efficient antigen delivery to sites of T cell priming within lymphoid tissue and (2) antigen presentation in the context of costimulatory molecules and immunostimulatory cytokines.

To achieve these goals, a variety of cancer vaccination strategies have been tested clinically, ranging from simple peptide vaccines to more sophisticated approaches using plasmid DNA, viruses, tumor cells, and dendritic cells (DCs) [2]. To date, DC-based vaccine approaches have been most extensively pursued because DCs are considered to be the most potent professional antigen presenting cells (APCs) due to their superior ability to take up, process, and present antigens [3]. Immature DCs reside in peripheral tissues and augment antigen presenting capacity upon activation via the upregulation of MHC class I and II as well as the costimulatory molecules CD80, CD86, and CD83. Concomitantly, activated DCs upregulate CCR7 expression and migrate to T cell zones within lymph nodes, where T cell priming occurs [4]. DCs pulsed with tumor antigens have led to protective immunity and tumor regression in mouse models of cancer [5], and there are a multitude of completed and ongoing vaccine trials in humans that have demonstrated T cell mediated immune responses following DC vaccination.

2. Limitation of Current Strategies

Although much excitement has been generated recently over the FDA approval of sipuleucel-T following prolonged survival in prostate cancer patients [6, 7], the efficacy of DC-based vaccines remain limited in several regards. First, DCs are a terminally differentiated cell type that cannot be expanded ex vivo, resulting in limited numbers of cells with which to vaccinate patients. Furthermore, upon administration of DCs to patients, the vast majority of cells are sequestered at the injection site and fail to migrate to draining lymph nodes [8, 9]. DC viability as well as peptide-MHC complex integrity is lost after 24–48 hours [10], and sequestration allows ample time for DC dedifferentiation, which may result in immune tolerance rather than activation
Attempts to bypass cellular sequestration such as intranodal DC injection are technically difficult as evidenced by an injection accuracy of only 50% despite ultrasound guidance at the hands of an experienced radiologist [12]. The net effect of these limitations is poor antigen delivery to lymphoid tissue and antigen presentation in the absence of immune-stimulatory signals. These limitations have most likely contributed to the low objective clinical responses observed in DC-based vaccine trials. In the case of melanoma, an immunogenic tumor where TAAs have been clearly identified and infiltrating lymphocytes are often observed, clinical response rates may be as high as 10% [13]. However, the overall efficacy of DC-based vaccines has been disappointing when tumor types other than melanoma are taken into account, producing clinical response rates of only 4% [2, 14]. The limited success of DC vaccines can to some extent be attributed to suboptimal vaccination strategies performed in phase I clinical trials, such as the use of immature DCs, lack of vaccine adjuvants, and targeting of single MHC class I-restricted epitopes. Despite an increased understanding of necessary vaccine components, the therapeutic efficacy of DC vaccines is yet to improve significantly. Thus, while DC vaccination remains an attractive strategy, its therapeutic efficacy may be limited, and alternative vaccine approaches should continue to be pursued.

3. Targeting DC In Vivo

Much research has focused on various methods of DC maturation ex vivo to maximize the expression of costimulatory molecules, proinflammatory cytokines, and lymphotropic chemokine receptors to optimize their function in vivo. However, recent evidence has suggested that ex vivo-derived DC vaccines may play a limited role in the priming of T cells in vivo [15]. Antigen delivered by short-lived migratory DCs can be processed by endogenous DCs within the lymph node [16]. The immune effects of exogenous DC vaccination have been demonstrated to be contingent on the transfer of antigen to endogenous DCs but not B cells, and antigen transfer is not due to antigen diffusion, but rather DC-DC molecular transfer [17]. Importantly, vaccination with apoptotic or necrotic DCs abrogated vaccine effects, indicating that viable DCs are needed to migrate to lymphoid tissue and actively deliver antigen. The selective ablation of endogenous lymphoid-resident DCs abrogated T cell responses following DC vaccination, demonstrating the pivotal role this subset of DCs plays in this phenomenon [18].

Murine lymphoid-resident DCs are characterized by the expression of both CD11c and CD8α, and the human equivalent to murine CD8α+ DCs has been recently identified and is characterized by the expression of Clec9A (DNGR-1), BDCA3, and XCR1 [19–22]. The CD8α+ DC subset has been demonstrated to play an important role in the priming of CD8+ T cells due to a unique capacity to cross-present antigens via MHC class I [23–26] and produce high levels of IL-12 following Toll-like receptor activation [27, 28]. CD8α+ DCs are strategically poised to engulf antigen entering the lymph node from the blood and lymphatics as well as from DCs migrating from the periphery into the lymph nodes. Indeed, migratory DCs have been demonstrated to transfer antigen to lymphoid-resident DCs and have led to CD8+ T cell priming following herpes simplex virus [29] and influenza infection [30].

Appreciation for the important role CD8α+ DCs play in CD8+ T cell priming has spawned new targeted vaccine strategies that aim to direct antigen specifically to DCs in vivo, and thereby circumvent the various limitations of exogenous DC vaccination [31]. One attractive approach is to administer antigen conjugated to antibodies specific to surface receptors shared by DCs and other cell types, such as the mannose receptor or Fcγ receptors. The specificity of DC-targeting can be narrowed by targeting more DC-restricted receptors. Many of these receptors belong to the C-type lectin receptor (CLR) family, such as DEC-205 and DC-SIGN. Several CLR’s have been identified to be expressed uniquely on the surface of CD8α+ DCs, which allows selective targeting of this particular DC subpopulation. Both DEC-205 [32] and Clec9A (DNGR-1) [33, 34] have successfully served as targets for antibody-mediated antigen delivery in vivo.

4. T Cells for Targeting DCs In Vivo

Although DC-targeted strategies using antibody-conjugated antigens are attractive for large-scale clinical application, this method of vaccination often requires the coadministration of immune adjuvants that lack clinical approval, such as agonistic anti-CD40 antibodies. Cellular vehicles like DCs are attractive options for vaccination because in addition to the expression of antigen these cells express the necessary costimulatory molecules and proinflammatory cytokines necessary for the generation of effective cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) responses. However, as stated previously, exogenously generated DCs fail to efficiently deliver antigen to lymphoid tissue. Therefore, a cell type that can efficiently migrate to lymphoid tissue following infusion would be an attractive vehicle for antigen delivery to lymphoid-resident DC in vivo.

One potential cell type with lymphotropic properties is the T cell. Subsets of T cells efficiently migrate from the vasculature to the vicinity of CD8α+ DCs in the lymphatic compartment [35]. Naïve or in vitro-expanded nonpolarized T cells efficiently migrate to lymphoid tissues although T cell polarization toward a type-1 or type-2 phenotype appears to inhibit lymph node migration [35]. To deliver tumor antigens, T cells can be surface-loaded with tumor peptides or be genetically modified to express whole TAAs. In contrast to DCs, T cells can be expanded to large numbers ex vivo to provide an abundance of autologous antigen delivery vehicles to allow for the administration of large vaccinating cell doses and increased frequency of vaccination.

The potential use of T cells as antigen delivery vehicles for vaccination was made apparent following the adoptive transfer of herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) gene-modified T cells to human subjects [36, 37]. Infusion of T cells genetically modified with the foreign protein HSV-TK induced robust CD4+ and CD8+ anti-HSV-TK T cell responses which led to the destruction of transferred cells
In addition, HSV-TK gene modified T cells generated memory T cells which led to a boosted T cell response upon additional administrations of HSV-TK T cells. The diversity and stability of the T cell response to HSV-TK generated by gene-modified T cells suggested that antigen delivery by T cells could function as a potential vaccination approach for targeting viral or tumor antigens.

T cells genetically modified to express viral proteins, such as influenza A matrix protein, have been demonstrated to enhance the in vivo persistence of adoptively transferred virus-specific T cells [38]. Although this finding suggests a role for T cell-based vaccine approaches to boost adoptively transferred T cells, much broader vaccine applications could be attained following the demonstration that infusion of antigen-loaded T cells could lead to the priming of T cell responses to TAAs, which are most commonly weakly immunogenic self-antigens. Russo et al. demonstrated that T cells modified to express the melanoma TAA tyrosinase-related protein 2 (TRP-2) could lead to the priming of TRP-2-specific T cell responses following infusion [39]. Vaccination using TRP-2-modified T cells led to the establishment of protective immunity and long-term memory responses in B16F10 melanoma tumor-bearing mice. The authors were able to demonstrate that CD8α+ DCs underwent maturation following the phagocytosis of genetically modified T cells, which could subsequently cross-present TRP-2 antigen and prime TRP-2-specific T cell responses. Importantly, the authors demonstrated that selective ablation of DCs prior to vaccination with T cells modified to express ovalbumin could not induce the expansion of adaptively transferred CFSE-labeled OT-I T cells in vivo, validating that the observed vaccine effects were not mediated by direct antigen presentation by T cells but rather antigen uptake and subsequent presentation by endogenous DCs. These promising results subsequently led to a clinical trial in which 10 melanoma patients were administered MAGE-A3 modified lymphocytes [40]. MAGE-A3-specific T cell responses were detected in 3/10 patients following vaccination. Although the clinical outcomes following this vaccination strategy were underwhelming, this study demonstrated the feasibility of this approach for human application.

5. Genetic Modifications to Enhance the Immune Response Following T Cell Vaccination

Although T cells may efficiently deliver antigen to lymphoid tissue in vivo, delivery of antigen in the absence of concurrent DC maturation would likely lead to inefficient T cell priming or even induce tolerance. The generation of effector T cell responses requires concurrent activation by costimulatory molecules and proinflammatory cytokines at the time of antigen presentation. Although T cells are not themselves considered professional APCs, upon activation T cells upregulate MHC class I and II molecules [41], the costimulatory molecules CD80, CD83, and CD86 [42, 43] as well as secrete proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-2, IFN-γ, and TNF-α [44]. T cells can induce the proliferation of resting T cells in mixed lymphocyte reactions [45] and preferentially induce cytotoxic T cell responses [46]. T cells are capable of presenting both pulsed and transduced viral or tumor peptide antigens and can process full-length antigens expressed from vectors [47, 48]. Taken together, these observations suggest T cells may function independently of DCs as APCs. However, the antigen presenting role T cells play in vivo is likely insignificant compared to that of professional APCs, due to the relatively lower expression of costimulatory molecules and the complete lack of type-1 polarizing cytokines, such as IL-12. Hence, concurrent DC activation at the time of vaccination is likely necessary to induce effective CTL responses to antigen delivered by T cells.

In addition to antigen expression, T cells may be further modified to express molecules that induce DC maturation (Figure 1). Maturation of DCs is most often mediated through the activation of Toll-like receptors (TLRs). TLR-ligands are well-conserved features of bacteria and viruses known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). DCs express many different TLRs that can recognize a variety of PAMPs, such as lipopolysaccharide, double-stranded RNA, and unmethylated CpG dinucleotides.

Flagellin is one TLR ligand of interest, because it is one of the few TLR ligands that is a protein, allowing for transgenic expression by T cells. Flagellin is the major protein constituent of bacterial flagellum and is recognized by TLR5. TLR5 is expressed on the surface of DCs isolated from lymph nodes [49]. Flagellin has been demonstrated to enhance the priming of antigen-specific CD4+ T cells [50] which results in a strong humoral response to produce protective antibodies [51], and flagellin fusion proteins have been shown to augment the generation of antigen-specific CD8+ cytotoxic T cell responses [51]. Flagellin, is a foreign protein, thus anti-flagellin immune responses could potentially lead to the elimination of flagellin-expressing T cells and limit the effectiveness of vaccine boosting. However, preexisting immunity to flagellin does not appear to limit its effectiveness as a vaccine adjuvant [52, 53].

Various endogenous proteins, such as heat shock proteins (HSPs), have been found to bind TLRs and lead to DC maturation. HSPs play a central role in intracellular protein folding and transport. They are an abundant intracellular protein not expressed on the cell surface under normal physiologic conditions. The presence of HSPs in the extracellular compartment has been demonstrated to both facilitate antigen uptake and presentation as well as act as a danger signal to indicate cellular destruction due to bacterial or viral infections or mechanical damage [54]. HSP-peptide complexes can be internalized by professional APCs via receptor-mediated endocytosis [55–57] leading to the induction of not only helper CD4+ T cell responses via MHC class II presentation, but also CD8+ CTL responses via antigen cross-presentation on MHC class I molecules. Furthermore, several HSPs, such as HSP60, HSP70, HSP90, gp96, and calreticulin, have been shown to induce DC maturation via recognition by TLR2 and TLR4 [58]. Surface expression of HSP70 and gp96 by tumor cells has been found to induce DC maturation and lead to antitumor immune responses in vivo [59, 60], and transgenic expression of gp96 in mice leads to systemic autoimmunity [61]. Such findings suggest that HSP
expression could augment responses to TAAs delivered by T cells.

TLR-independent DC maturation can be achieved via the CD40 receptor. CD40 is a cell-surface receptor belonging to the tumor necrosis factor-receptor family and is expressed by a variety of cell types including B cells, monocytes, and DCs as well as endothelial and epithelial cells [62]. The natural ligand for CD40, CD154 (CD40L), is transiently expressed by CD4+ T cells and serves as a positive feedback signal for DC activation following T cell activation. CD40 cross-linking induces DCs to upregulate MHC class II and costimulatory molecule expression [63] as well as produce high levels of proinflammatory cytokines [64]. CD40 signaling results in CD8+ T cell priming independent of helper CD4+ T cells [65], and antibodies to CD40 can evoke strong antitumor CD8+ T cell responses in vivo [66–68]. CD154 expression on the surface of activated CD4+ T cells is tightly regulated, being expressed only transiently for <24 hours [69]. Therefore, stable CD154 expression may be attained by transgenic modification of T lymphocytes. Using retroviral-mediated gene modification, Higham et al. modified tumorspecific CD8+ T cells to express CD154 [70]. Despite stable gene integration, transgenic CD154 expression remained tightly regulated with decreasing expression 72 hours after transduction. The authors were able to increase transgenic CD154 expression by deletion of the intracellular domain of CD154 and reactivation of T cells. CD154-expressing T cells were subsequently demonstrated to mature DCs in vitro as well as activate tolerogenic DCs within tumor draining lymph nodes in vivo. Such an approach could be envisioned to facilitate DC activation for the purpose of enhancing immune responses to antigens delivered by T cells.

6. Summary

For cancer vaccines, delivery of antigen in the context of immune stimulatory signals that activate lymph-node resident DCs is a critical step in generating a robust antitumor immune response. Because of their natural lymph-node tropism and that they can be easily expanded ex vivo and genetically modified to alter biologic function, T cells represent a novel and flexible platform for cancer vaccine design. In addition to transgenic expression of tumor antigens and DC activating molecules, T cells may be further modified to secrete cytokines (e.g., IL-2, IL-7, IL-12, IL-15, and IL-21), improve tissue-specific migration via expression of chemokine receptors (e.g., CCR7, CXCR4, and CCR2), and express molecules that suppress immune regulatory cells, including CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ regulatory T cells. In contrast to more conventional DC vaccine strategies, we propose that using T cells to deliver tumor antigens into lymphoid organs, while providing essential immune activating signals required for the induction of antitumor immune responses, may ultimately improve the efficacy of cell-based cancer vaccines.
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