Higher Molecular Weight Polyethylene Glycol Increases Cell Proliferation While Improving Barrier Function in an In Vitro Colon Cancer Model
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Polyethylene glycol (PEG) has been previously shown to protect against enteric pathogens and prevent colon cancer invasion. To determine if PEG could indeed protect against previously observed pro-invasive effects of commensal \textit{E. coli} and EPEC, Caco-2 cells grown in an in vitro model of colon cancer were infected with strains of human commensal \textit{E. coli} or EPEC and treated with 10% PEG 3350, PEG 8000, and PEG 20,000, respectively. At 24 hours after infection, MMP-1 and MMP-13 activities, cell cluster thickness, depth of invasion, and proliferation were determined using standard molecular biology techniques and advanced imaging. We found that higher molecular weight PEG, especially PEG 8000 and 20,000, regardless of bacterial infection, increased proliferation and depth of invasion although a decrease in cellular density and MMP-1 activity was also noted. Maximum proliferation and depth of invasion of Caco-2 cells was observed in scaffolds treated with a combination of commensal \textit{E. coli} strain, HS4 and PEG 8000. In conclusion, we found that PEG 8000 increased cell proliferation and led to the preservation of cell density in cells treated with commensal bacteria. This is important, because the preservation of a proliferative response in colon cancer results in a more chemo-responsive tumor.

1. Introduction

Colon cancer remains the third leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide [1]. Colon cancers are unique in so far as they arise in a microenvironment teaming with bacteria. In the absence of colorectal cancer or colonic inflammation, intestinal bacteria are involved in the promotion of nutrition, lymphoid development, competition with pathogenic bacteria, and with tissue repair [2–5]. They may also play a role in oncologic surveillance and induce apoptosis to prevent abnormal colonic proliferation [6].

The symbiotic relationship between the cells of the colon and the commensal bacteria adjacent to them is normally maintained by five major “firewalls.” These are the mucus layer atop of the epithelial cells [7, 8], the epithelia themselves, subepithelial macrophages, dendritic cells, and mesenteric lymph nodes [9]. However, with the occurrence of colon cancer, barrier function between the epithelial cells and commensal bacteria surrounding them is lost. As such, these previously noninvasive bacteria gain the ability to invade into the epithelial layer both enzymatically and nonenzymatically, further disrupting cellular function.

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is used clinically to treat chronic constipation and to prep individuals for colonoscopy and various other gut imaging procedures [10, 11]. PEG has been used as a vehicle to successfully deliver chemotherapy in an \textit{in vitro} murine model of colon cancer [12]. Recently, it has been noted that PEG has profound chemopreventive properties in both experimental models of colon carcinogenesis [13] and in human population studies [14]. PEG’s ability to act as a chemo-preventive agent is most likely due to its ability to induce apoptosis in damaged cells [13, 15, 16].
Furthermore, PEG has also been shown to reinforce epithelial barrier function in the colon in experimental models of colitis [17]. PEG molecules have been known to adhere to proteins and surfactant phospholipids thereby forming barrier-like structures [18–20].

While the most widely available form of PEG is the molecular weight of 3350, both high and low molecular weight forms of PEG have been shown to be of potential clinical importance. For example, PEG 15–20, a low molecular weight PEG, has been shown to protect against radiation-induced intestinal injury via its ability to bind lipid rafts and prevent their coalescence [21]. Further, PEG 8000 has demonstrated functionality as a barrier inhibiting interactions between colonizing microbes and their epithelial cell targets, thereby forming a mucin-like layer [22].

Under specific conditions the commensal bacterial strains are able to overcome protective host responses and exert pathologic effects that may aid in the onset of colon cancer [23]. Pathogenic E. coli strains, specifically Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC), have been known to attack to intestinal epithelial cells and cause epithelial cytoskeletal changes and disruption of surrounding microvilli [24]. Similarly, the invasive characteristics of malignant colonic epithelia further disrupted the gut barrier function in the event of colon cancer.

On the basis of the literature to date, we hypothesized that PEG might also help restore the symbiotic relationship between commensal bacteria and malignant colonic epithelia, thereby decreasing their proinvasiveness with the occurrence of colon cancer. To test this, we utilized an in vitro colon cancer model, PEG of various molecular weights (MW 3350, 8000, and 20,000) and both commensal and pathogenic bacteria as described below.

2. Experimental Procedures

2.1. Reagents and Supplies. All cell culture reagents excluding fetal bovine serum (FBS), from Gemini Bio-products (West Sacramento, Calif, USA), were obtained from Mediatech, Inc. (Herndon, VA). Caco-2 cells were purchased from ATCC. Cells were maintained in dishware from BD Falcon (Lincoln Park, NJ, USA). Type I rat tail collagen was purchased from BD Bioscience (Bedford, Mass, USA). MTT assay components and phalloidin was obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, Calif, USA). All other supplies were molecular biology grade and were from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA).

2.2. Cell Culture. Caco-2 cells were cultured in D-MEM/F-12 50/50 1X with L-glutamine and 15 mM HEPES supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

2.3. Creation of 3D Scaffolds. Type I collagen gel scaffolds were created and seeded with cells. Type I collagen was placed into a solution of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide combined with 10X Hanks buffered salt solution (HBSS). Media was added to this mixture and then was neutralized using 0.1 M acetic acid to create a gel of 1.2 mg/mL. 800 µL of the collagen gel mixture was placed into each well of a 12-well plate and incubated for one hour prior to seeding. Cells were seeded at a density of 250,000 cells/cm².

2.4. Infection of Scaffolds. Scaffolds were infected with either commensal or pathogenic E. coli strains. Bacteria were grown overnight in LB Broth on a bacterial shaker at 37°C. 1 mL of bacterial culture was added to 5 mL of serum-free media and placed on a shaker at 37°C for two hours. Bacterial concentration was determined by measuring the optical density (OD) at 600 nm. Bacteria were added to the scaffolds at an MOI = 30 per cell in serum-free DMEM/F-12. Samples were then incubated at 37°C for two hours. Bacteria containing media was then removed and the scaffolds were treated with gentamicin at a concentration of 50 µg/mL for two hours. Following removal of antibiotic containing media, scaffolds were incubated overnight in fresh serum-free media containing 10 µg/mL gentamicin.

2.5. Multiphoton Microscopy. Multiphoton imaging holds inherent advantages for imaging living tissues by improving depth penetration and reducing photodamage [25]. Two-photon or multiphoton imaging allows the mapping of fluorophore distribution inside tissue down to a depth of over 500 µm providing subcellular level tissue morphological information. Samples were seeded onto 1.2 mg/mL concentration type I collagen with an approximate scaffold thickness of 5 mm and imaged using multiphoton microscopy. Cells were seeded onto scaffolds and infected according to the protocol mentioned before. Scaffolds were then washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), fixed overnight with 10% neutral buffered formalin. Scaffolds were then blocked for 30 minutes with serum free protein block and stained for actin using phalloidin. Scaffolds were then incubated with 300 nM DAPI in PBS for 5 minutes to stain nuclei.

Seeded cells and actin cytoskeleton were imaged by a laser scanning multiphoton confocal microscope with 60x oil objective (NA = 1.40). DAPI was visualized by using multiphoton laser excitation at 700 nm and emission at 450 nm, for which the femtosecond laser beam (80 MHz, 0.5 mW) pumped from a mode-locked titanium sapphire laser (MaiTai, Spectra-Physics Inc., Calif, USA), was coupled with visible laser (Bio-Rad, UK) into an inverted laser scanning confocal microscope (Nikon TE2000-U, Japan). Reflection signals from the collagen fibers were excited and acquired at a wavelength of 488 nm.

2.6. Multiphoton Image Analysis. Multiphoton images were analyzed to determine depth of invasion, cluster thickness, and cluster density.

To determine depth of invasion, commercially available software, Lasersharp 2000, was used. Briefly, the lowest point with actin (red) and DAPI (blue) staining within the scaffold was measured for each sample.

To determine the cluster thickness, the multiphoton images were tilted to visualize the scaffold in z-direction. The thickness was determined by measuring a portion of the scaffold where the cells were most densely packed.
To measure the cluster density, the number of cells were counted in a 100 µm × 100 µm area within the scaffold.

2.7. Proliferation Assay. Cell proliferation was determined using a standard MTT assay kit. To determine the degree of proliferation following treatment with commensal E. coli strains, EPEC, or following treatment with each of the various bacteria in the presence of PEG 3350, PEG 8000, or PEG 20,000, we used a standard MTT assay. This particular method is useful for determining proliferation in these types of 3D cultures, because it does not require disruption of the cells from their scaffold. Each experiment was performed a minimum of three times.

All components used were free of phenol red, since it may interfere with the accuracy of the assay. To do this, the media was removed from each chamber and the gels were washed with PBS. 100 µL fresh media and 20 µL of dye (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) in PBS was added to each chamber. The gels were incubated at 37 °C for four hours. After four hours, 50 µL from each chamber was added to 100 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in a small vial and incubated for fifteen minutes. Absorbance readings were taken at 550 nm for each sample to determine the cell proliferation values.

2.8. Matrix Metalloprotease Activity. MMP-1 and 13 activities were determined using a commercially available fluorometric assay designed to quantitatively measure enzyme activity. Briefly a monoclonal antibody specific for each MMP-1 or MMP-13 was precoated onto a microplate. Standards and samples were placed into the wells, and the MMP of interest was bound by the immobilized antibody. After washing away unbound substances, an activation reagent (APMA) was added to standards and samples. Following a wash, a fluorogenic substrate linked to a quencher molecule is added. Active enzymes cleave the peptide linker between the fluorophore and the quencher molecule. A fluorescent signal proportional to the amount of enzyme activity is produced due to this cleavage.

3. Results

3.1. Higher MW PEGs Increase Proliferation. Cellular proliferation increased slightly over control in response to the two commensal E. coli strains, 264 and HS4 (Figure 1). Specifically, proliferation increased 1.2-fold in response to treatment with strain 264 and 1.5-fold in response to treatment with HS4. HS4 bacterial strain by itself increased proliferation. However, proliferation was attenuated by incubation of the cells with EPEC that led to a reduction in cellular proliferation as compared with control by nearly two thirds.

PEG 8000 and PEG 20,000 alone increased cell proliferation four and three fold over control, respectively. Treatment with PEG 3350 did not significantly alter proliferation.

When cells were treated with bacteria and PEG, cellular proliferation increased significantly. Specifically, combination of PEG 8000 and HS4 increased proliferation by sixfold and combination of HS4 with PEG 20,000 increased proliferation twofold. Proliferation remained constant when treated with PEG 3350 regardless of bacterial strain (Figure 1).

3.2. Higher MW PEGs Decrease Cell Cluster Thickness. As described in the methods section of this paper, cell cluster thickness refers to the bulk of cells in a scaffold. In collagen scaffolds infected with both bacterial strains and PEG 8000 cells were more dispersed and were not as tightly packed as seen in the control scaffolds treated with PEG 8000 alone. This was particularly true when samples were infected with HS4 (Figure 2). Collagen scaffolds were divided into approximately 16 stacks (each stack was approximately 5 µm) to better visualize the penetration depth. In the control sample, which was treated with PEG 8000 alone, cells penetrated to approximately 10 stacks (~50 µm). When incubated with bacterial strain 261, decreased cluster thickness to approximately 8 stacks (40 µm). When infected with bacterial strain HS4, cluster thickness remained fairly constant in spite of higher proliferation rate (Figure 1).

Cell cluster thickness decreased when treated with different molecular weight PEG (Figure 3). The control sample where no PEG was added, the cluster thickness was approximately 100 µm. When samples were treated with PEG 3350, the cluster thickness decreased to approximately 70 µm, and when treated with PEG 8000, the cluster thickness decreased to approximately 50 µm.

3.3. Quantitative Analysis of Depth of Invasion. As described in the methods section of this paper, depth of invasion refers to how far the cells penetrate into the scaffold. The difference between this and cell cluster thickness is that in depth of invasion describes the lowest point in the scaffold at which the cellular signal is detected. The quantification of depth

![Figure 1: PEG 8000 increases proliferation of Caco-2. Proliferation of cells on the scaffold was observed using MTT proliferation assay as described in Methods. Higher molecular weight PEGs, 8000 and 20,000, increased proliferation regardless of bacterial infection. Maximum proliferation was noted when cells were treated with HS4 and PEG 8000. Standard Student’s t-test was applied to the samples and P < 0.05 were obtained for samples treated with PEG 8000 and HS4 indicated by an asterisk (*).](image)
of invasion is essential in determining the proinvasive effect of each of the variables on Caco-2 cell behavior. In the data presented above, it appears that Caco-2 cells may be more aggressive when treated with higher molecular weight PEG and the commensal bacterial strain, HS4 (Figures 1 and 2).

A threefold increase in cell penetration depth was observed in samples infected with either commensal or pathogenic bacteria alone. Also, higher molecular weight PEG alone, especially PEG 8000, increased depth of invasion by twofold (Figure 4).
3.4. Quantitative Analysis of Cell Density. As described in the methods section of this paper, cell density refers to the number of cells within a given area. The difference between this and cell cluster thickness is that cell density is the number of cells within a 100 mm × 100 mm (both in Z and Y directions) scaffold matrix whereas cell cluster thickness was measured in Z-direction only.

Each bacterial strain and each PEG, when observed independently, significantly reduced the number of cells in a given area (Figure 5). This was particularly true when cell-containing scaffolds were infected with the commensal E. coli, HS4. Specifically, a sixfold reduction in cell density was observed. Cell density in samples infected with either 261 or EPEC was reduced by approximately 50% relative to control. Treatment with any of the three bacterial strains, 261 and HS4, reduced cell density by approximately 50%.

The combination of PEG with bacterial strains increased cell density. This was particularly true when cells were treated with a combination of PEG 8000 and HS4, which led to a threefold increase in cell density when compared to samples infected with HS4 alone (Figure 5).

3.5. PEG 8000 Decreases MMP-1 Activity in Cells Exposed to Commensal and Pathogenic E. coli. Since MMP-1 is involved in the initial breakdown of collagen during tumor growth and invasion [26], an increase in collagenase activity is expected when cells become more invasive. In our model system, PEG 3350 and PEG 20,000 increased MMP-1 activity in uninfected samples. However, a decrease in MMP-1 activity was observed when samples were treated with PEG 8000 alone. Infecting with bacterial strains alone did not significantly reduce MMP-1 activity. However, treating samples with a combination of PEG and any of the two commensal bacterial strains, 261 and HS4, reduced MMP-1 activity significantly. Specifically, a greater than twofold decrease in MMP-1 activity was observed in samples infected with commensal bacterial strain (HS4 or 261) and treated with PEG 3350 or PEG 8000 (Figure 6). While PEG 3350 and PEG 20,000 did lead to a slight decrease in MMP-1 activity during EPEC infection, only PEG 8000 led to a true decrease in activity, which was nearly fivefold over control.

3.6. PEG Has No Effect on MMP-13 Activity. No significant change in MMP-13 activity was observed when infected with commensal and pathogenic E. coli strains alone. A slight increase in MMP-13 activity was observed in samples treated with PEG alone. This was especially true when samples were treated with PEG 20,000 (Figure 7). Infection with commensal bacteria or EPEC slightly decreased MMP-13 activity. This was not statistically significant.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, we demonstrated that cell proliferation and depth of invasion were most increased when treated with higher molecular weight PEGs and commensal bacteria. These findings were somewhat surprising to us but were
balanced by our finding of decreased cluster thickness, that is, a decrease in the number of cells in a given area and decreased MMP-1 activity. We hypothesize that this occurred because of the structural properties of these higher molecular weight PEGs rather than because of their molecular weight per se.

The structural properties of PEG act by increasing the volume of macromolecules surrounding the cells. Increasing the amount of macromolecules surrounding the cells aids in the formation of bundled F-actin [27]. Also, in the presence of inert macromolecular “crowders” it has been shown that the association rate constant of actin monomers to an actin filament increases [28]. Furthermore, the bundling of actins has been shown to increase proliferation in colonic epithelial cells [29]. Hence, it is safe to assume that the overcrowding caused by PEG molecules aids in actin bundling which in turn increases the proliferation rate of Caco-2 cells. The proliferative response we observed in this in vitro model in response to the two commensal strains of E. coli and to EPEC is consistent with previously published results [30]. The slight reduction in proliferation in response to EPEC is also not surprising considering that EPEC is known to cause cellular apoptosis [31–33].

With the increased in cell proliferation, an increase in depth of invasion of Caco-2 cells was also observed. But this is not important and is merely reflective of increased proliferation. What is more important is that cell cluster thickness decreased in response to a high molecular weight PEG milieu. This is critical, because the cells are packed more tightly. In other words, there is evidence suggesting that gut barrier function is being restored by higher molecular weight PEGs, particularly PEG 8000. This is supported by a recent study in a rat colitis model that demonstrated that PEG increased colonic surface hydrophobicity, diminished luminal bacterial load, and reduced chemically induced mucosal damage and inflammation [17].

In summary, we have demonstrated that a significant increase in proliferative response occurs in an in vitro colon cancer model when treated with PEG 8000 and commensal E. coli strains. Our finding suggests that PEG 8000 may potentially be a valuable therapeutic agent that could be used in conjunction with standard chemotherapy. Further studies will be needed to understand the protective role of high molecular weight PEGs, particularly PEG 8000, in colon cancer and their potential for making tumors more chemo-sensitive by increasing cell proliferation.
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