Research Article

Enhancement of 2,3-Butanediol Production by *Klebsiella oxytoca* PTCC 1402

Maesomeh Anvari¹ and Mohammad Reza Safari Motlagh²

¹ Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, P.O. Box 41335-3516, Rasht, Iran

² Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, P.O. Box 41335-3516, Rasht, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to Mohammad Reza Safari Motlagh, ssafarimotlagh@yahoo.com

Received 1 November 2010; Accepted 17 December 2010

Academic Editor: J. Birchler

Copyright © 2011 M. Anvari and M. R. Safari Motlagh. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Optimal operating parameters of 2,3-Butanediol production using *Klebsiella oxytoca* under submerged culture conditions are determined by using Taguchi method. The effect of different factors including medium composition, pH, temperature, mixing intensity, and inoculum size on 2,3-butanediol production was analyzed using the Taguchi method in three levels. Based on these analyses the optimum concentrations of glucose, acetic acid, and succinic acid were found to be 6, 0.5, and 1.0 (% w/v), respectively. Furthermore, optimum values for temperature, inoculum size, pH, and the shaking speed were determined as 37°C, 8 (g/L), 6.1, and 150 rpm, respectively. The optimal combinations of factors obtained from the proposed DOE methodology was further validated by conducting fermentation experiments and the obtained results revealed an enhanced 2,3-Butanediol yield of 44%.

1. Introduction

2,3-Butanediol, otherwise known as 2,3-butylene glycol (2,3-BD), is a valuable chemical feedstock because of its application as a solvent, a liquid fuel, and a precursor of many synthetic polymers and resins [1]. A wide variety of chemicals can also be easily prepared from 2,3-butanediol [2]. Currently, the manufacturing of 2,3-butanediol is still growing by an annual rate of 4–7% due to the increased demand for polybutylene terephthalate resin, γ -butyrolactone, spandex, and their precursors [3].

Interest in microbial production of 2,3-butanediol has been increasing recently due to extensive industrial application of this product [4]. Many bacterial species produce 2,3-butanediol by fermentation, but the best producers seem to be *Klebsiella oxytoca* [5], *Enterobacter aerogenes* [6], *Bacillus polymyxa* [7], and *Bacillus licheniformis* [8].

This work primarily aimed at optimizing the process variables for production of 2,3-butanediol in using statistical optimization technique for multivariable effect. The classical method of optimization involves varying the level of one parameter at a time over a certain range while holding

the rest of the test variables constant. This single-factor-at-a-time strategy is generally time consuming and requires a large number of experiments to be carried out. Taguchi's method is based upon an approach, which is completely different from the conventional practices of quality engineering. This methodology emphasizes integrating quality into products and processes, whereas usual practice relies upon inspection [9]. In the present study, we optimized 2,3-butanediol production under submerged culture conditions by *Klebsiella oxytoca* PTCC 1402 using Taguchi methodology.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Microorganism. Bacterial strain used in this study was *Klebsiella oxytoca* PTCC 1402, obtained from the Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology (IROST). The strain was maintained on nutrient agar slants at 4°C and subcultured monthly. The preculture medium was nutrient broth containing 2.0 g/L yeast extract, 5.0 g/L peptone, 5.0 g/L NaCl, and 1.0 g/L beef extract, sterilized at 121°C for 15 min.

2.2. Taguchi Methodology. Taguchi method of design of experimental (DOE) involves establishment of a large number of experimental situations described as orthogonal array (OA) to reduce experimental errors and to enhance their efficiency and reproducibility of the laboratory experiments [10]. The first step is to determine the various factors to be optimized in the culture medium that have critical effect on the 2,3-butanediol production. Factors were selected and the ranges were further assigned based on the group consensus consisting of design engineers, scientists, and technicians with relevant experience. Based on the obtained experimental data, seven factors having significant influence on the 2,3-butanediol production were selected for the present Taguchi DOE study to optimize the submerged culture condition. Seven factors (glucose, acetic acid, succinic acid, temperature, pH, mixing intensity, and inoculum size) which showed significantly influence on the 2,3-Butanediol production [1, 4, 6, 11, 12] were considered in the present experimental situation (Table 1).

The next step was to design the matrix experiment and to define the data analysis procedure. The appropriate OAs for the control parameters to fit a specific study were selected. Taguchi provides many standard OAs and corresponding linear graphs for this purpose [13]. In the present case, the three levels of factors variation were considered and the size of experimentation was represented by symbolic arrays L18 (which indicates 18 experimental trails). Seven factors with three levels were used and are depicted in Tables 1 and 2.

In the design OA, each column consists of a number of conditions depending on the levels assigned to each factor. Submerged fermentation experiments were carried out in cotton plugged 500 ml erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 ml of production medium ((g/100 ml of distilled water) glucose (3.0, 6.0, and 9.0), yeast extract 1, acetic acid (0.1, 0.5, and 1), succinic acid (0.5, 1.0, and 1.5), $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{HPO}_4$ 2.4, $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 0.088, KCl 0.18, EDTA 0.051, $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 2.25×10^{-3} , $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 0.75×10^{-3} , $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ 0.28×10^{-3} , and sodium citrate 0.0295 dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water and pH adjusted by adding NaOH or HCl prior to sterilization, 15 min, 121°C. Glucose was sterilized separately).

Submerged fermentation experiments were performed for 2,3-butanediol production with *Klebsiella oxytoca* PTCC 1402 employing selected 18 experimental trails (Table 2) in combination with 7 factors at three levels (Table 1) and the result was calculated from each set as 2,3-butanediol yield (g product/g substrate) and shown in Table 2.

2.3. Analysis. Cell concentration of the inoculum was determined by optical density measurement at 620 nm using a calibration curve to relate this parameter to cell mass dry weight. 2,3-Butanediol concentrations were determined by a Fractovap 4200 gas chromatograph (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy) using a Chromosorb 101 column (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA) operated with N_2 as the carrier gas, at 250°C injector temperature, 300°C detector temperature, and 175°C column temperature, and using n-butanol as the internal standard. Glucose was assayed through the use of a glucose kit.

TABLE 1: The selected fermentation factors and their assigned levels.

No.	Factor	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
a	Glucose (% w/v)	3.0	6.0	9.0
b	Acetic acid (% w/v)	0.1	0.5	1.0
c	Succinic acid (% w/v)	0.5	1.0	1.5
d	pH	6.1	6.8	7.5
e	Temperature (°C)	28	32	37
f	Mixing intensity (rpm)	120	150	180
g	Inoculum size (g/L)	2	5	8

2.4. Software. Qualitek-4 software (Nutek Inc., MI) for automatic design of experiments using Taguchi approach was used in the present study. Qualitek-4 software is equipped to use L-4 to L-64 arrays along with selection of 2 to 63 factors with two, three, and four levels to each factor. The automatic design option allows Qualitek-4 to select the array used and assign factors to the appropriate columns. The obtained experimental data was processed in the Qualitek-4 software with bigger and better quality characteristics for the determination of the optimum culture conditions for the fermentation, to identify individual factors influence on the 2,3-butanediol production and to estimate the performance (fermentation) at the optimum conditions.

3. Results and Discussion

Submerged fermentation experiments studies with the designed experimental condition showed significant variation in the 2,3-butanediol yield (Table 2). Production levels were found to be very much dependent on the culture conditions. Variation of values in 2,3-butanediol yield at assigned levels by *K. oxytoca* PTCC1402 was depicted in Table 3 and Figure 1.

The difference between average value of each factor at higher level and lower level indicated the relative influence of the effect at their individual capacities. The positive or negative sign denoted variation of yield values from level 1 to 2 or 3. Glucose (carbon source) and acetic acid showed positive impact with increase in their concentration, while incubation temperature and inoculum size had negligible impact on 2,3-butanediol yield, whereas medium pH had negative influence (Figure 1). Subsector level data denoted that pH factor caused negative influence on 2,3-butanediol yield, while the rest of the selected factors showed positive effect with change in fermentation parameter values from level 1 to 2 (Table 3). Similarly, further increase in parameter values to level 3 varied the 2,3-butanediol yield (Table 3). These data further confirmed that the physiological factor and their concentrations were important in achieving better 2,3-butanediol production. Such variation was also noted with 2,3-butanediol production by other microbes [1, 6].

Among the factors studied, glucose showed stronger influence compared to other factors followed by acetic acid, succinic acid, and mixing intensity in the 2,3-butanediol yield. Individually at level stage pH has the highest effect in level 1 whereas glucose and temperature have high effects

TABLE 2: The experimental setup (L-18 orthogonal array).

Expt. no.	Factor levels							2,3-butanediol yield (g product/g substrate)
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.120
2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	0.341
3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	0.204
4	2	1	1	2	2	3	3	0.272
5	2	2	2	3	3	1	1	0.432
6	2	3	3	1	1	2	2	0.303
7	3	1	2	1	3	2	3	0.404
8	3	2	3	2	1	3	1	0.186
9	3	3	1	3	2	1	2	0.076
10	1	1	3	3	2	2	1	0.129
11	1	2	1	1	3	3	2	0.293
12	1	3	2	2	1	1	3	0.244
13	2	1	2	3	1	3	2	0.297
14	2	2	3	1	2	1	3	0.420
15	2	3	1	2	3	2	1	0.322
16	3	1	3	2	3	1	2	0.138
17	3	2	1	3	1	2	3	0.308
18	3	3	2	1	2	3	1	0.222

TABLE 3: The main effects of the factors at the assigned levels on 2,3-butanediol yield.

Factors	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	L2 – L1	L3 – L2
Glucose	0.221	0.340	0.222	0.119	−0.119
Acetic acid	0.226	0.329	0.228	0.102	−0.101
Succinic acid	0.231	0.323	0.230	0.091	−0.093
pH	0.293	0.250	0.240	−0.043	−0.011
Temperature	0.243	0.243	0.298	0.000	0.054
Mixing intensity	0.238	0.301	0.245	0.062	−0.056
Inoculum size	0.235	0.241	0.308	0.006	0.067

in levels 2 and 3 respectively on 2,3-butanediol yield. With increasing glucose concentration the yield decreased and these results show that the fermentation time gradually grows and the conversion yield lowers with increasing the starting substrate level, which is in agreement with what is observed for most fermentation processes [6]. To explain such a yield decrease, additional determinations were performed to detect the possible formation of by-products, already observed by Raspoet in various *B. licheniformis* strains [14]. It was demonstrated that, whenever the overall yield of diol lowered, the formations of acetate, ethanol, format, glycerol, and lactate were favored and these by-products became even predominant. These results agree with well-known shifts in the fermentation products that occur in many microorganisms under conditions of high availability of the energy source [1].

It is reported that 2,3-butanediol production can be increased by addition of different organic acids, because they are intermediate metabolites for 2,3-butanediol production [15]. Nakashimada et al. found that addition of

acetate, propionate, pyruvate, and succinate enhanced 2,3-butanediol production. Among the organic acids giving an enhanced 2,3-butanediol production, acetate seemed to be the most appropriate additive because it gave the highest 2,3-butanediol production [16]. While acetate at high levels may be inhibitory to *Klebsiella oxytoca*, low levels of acetate stimulate 2,3-butanediol production [15]. Stormer noted that acetate in its ionized form induces acetolactate synthase formation and thereby enhances the catalysis of pyruvate to 2,3-butanediol [17]. The production of 2,3-butanediol by *K. oxytoca* NRRL B-199 was enhanced in the presence of low levels (>8 g/l) of lactate [18]. *Klebsiella oxytoca* ATCC 8724 grew well on xylose with 10 g/l succinate and produced additional 2,3-butanediol [19]. The production of 2,3-butanediol by *E. cloacae* NRRL B-23289 was also enhanced by the supplementation of acetate, lactate, and succinate [2]. New finding suggested that some amount of ethanol is formed by acetate reduction. Relative to this, a previous report demonstrated that acetate is converted to butanediol by condensation with pyruvate after

FIGURE 1: Impact of selected fermentation-factor-assigned level on 2,3-butanediol yield by *K. oxytoca*. X-axis represents assigned levels of selected factor and Y-axis represents 2,3-butanediol yield. (a) Glucose, (b) acetic acid, (c) succinic acid, (d) pH, (e) temperature, (f) mixing intensity, and (g) inoculum size G (---) indicates average 2,3-butanediol yield during experimentation and (—) indicates individual factors contribution 2,3-butanediol yield during experimentation.

the reduction of acetate to acetaldehyde [16]. Our findings confirm increasing effect of acetic acid on 2,3-butanediol yield. In the study 2,3-butanediol yield of *K. oxytoca* at initial substrate concentrations was considerably enhanced by the addition of 0.5% acetic acid to the media.

In the case of succinic acid when the initial concentration of acid was great, the greater the maximum butanediol yield was great too. With continuous increasing of succinic acid concentration the yield of butanediol produced as a result of additional succinic acid decreased.

Increasing of temperature and inoculum size has resulted in increasing 2,3-butanediol production. Perego et al. in an optimization study on 2,3-butanediol production by *B. licheniformis* (NCIMB 8059) found that butanediol production has a progressive increasing, when temperature was increased from 34 to 37°C. Conversely, they all sharply decreased over 37°C, likely due to the well-known thermal inactivation of biosystems at temperature higher than the optimum. Thus supporting the assumption of considering 2,3-butanediol production as a process controlled enzyme [1]. On the other hand carbon consumption depended on the culture temperature [12].

An optimization study of glucose fermentation by *B. licheniformis*, likely performed using a factorial experimental design, demonstrated that an increase in the inoculum size had positive effect on the yield as well [8].

Mixing intensity is another important factor for 2,3-butanediol production. Saha and Bothast postulates that aeration may be of value in removing carbon dioxide produced in the process and thus have a stimulatory effect on the fermentation [2]. Although 2,3-butanediol is a product of anaerobic fermentation, aeration is known to enhance its production [20]. In the case of mixing intensity increase to level 2 resulted in increase and subsequent increase to level 3, showed decrease in 2,3-butanediol yield. This may respond to the other constitutive effect of culture media.

Table 4 indicates the interaction between two selected factors. The interaction was measured based on severity index value calculated by software program. This value between two selected factors varied (1–53%) with factor to factor (Table 4).

It is clear that the interaction between two least 2,3-butanediol yield influential factors (at their individual levels) showed the highest severity index and vice versa with two highest influential factors (at their individual levels) (Table 4). For example, the severity index between two least impact factors, mixing intensity versus inoculum size, was found to be 53.31%, while the severity index between two higher impact factors, glucose versus succinic acid, was noted to be only 4.56%. These results further confirmed that each studied factor was important in 2,3-butanediol yield and the influence of one factor on 2,3-butanediol yield was dependent on the condition of the other factor in optimization of 2,3-butanediol yield by *K. oxytoca*, although they have different influences at their individual levels.

ANOVA data indicated percentage contribution of selected parameters on 2,3-butanediol yield, which varied with factor to factor. Glucose, acetic acid, succinic acid,

FIGURE 2: The relative influence of factors and interaction.

and inoculum size were observed to be major influential parameters and contributed to more than 80% of total 2,3-butanediol yield (Table 5).

By studying the main effects of each of the factors, the general trends of the influence of the factors towards the process can be characterized. The characteristics can be controlled such that a lower or a higher value in a particular influencing factor produces the preferred result. Thus, the levels of factors, to produce the best results, can be predicted. ANOVA with the percentage of contribution of each factor with interactions is shown in Table 5. It can be observed from the table that glucose is the most significant factor for the 2,3-butanediol yield. Acetic acid and succinic acid are the next most important significant factors in the 2,3-butanediol yield. The least influential factors among the selected parameters include pH, incubation temperature, and mixing intensity under the studied experimental setup. The error observed (0.521%) was very low which indicated the accuracy of the experimentation (Figure 2).

Table 6 represents the optimum conditions required for the maximum 2,3-butanediol yield by this bacterial strain. Based on software prediction, the average performance of this strain in 2,3-butanediol yield was observed to be 0.261 (Table 6).

However, fermentation-optimized factors contribution in enhancing the 2,3-butanediol yield was noted to be 0.358. The data also suggested that glucose, acetic acid, and succinic acid play a vital role contributing approximately 59% in 2,3-butanediol yield under the optimized conditions (Table 6). Temperature, mixing intensity, and inoculum size also contributed to the tune of 33.5% in total 2,3-butanediol yield, while the pH of the medium contributed to only 7.5% (Table 6) under optimized environment. The experimental data showed an enhanced 2,3-butanediol yield of 0.467 from 0.261 (44% improvement in butanediol yield) with the modified culture conditions.

The study of interactive influence of selected factors (Table 6) revealed a unique relationship such as showing low influence on product production at individual level and higher severity index at interactive level (Table 4), indicating the importance of parameter optimization on any product production and the role of various physicochemical parameters including carbon source, organic acids concentration, mixing intensity, temperature, and pH of the medium in

TABLE 4: The estimated interaction of severity index for different parameters.

Interacting factors	Column*	SI (%)*	Col.*	Opt.○
Mixing intensity * inoculum	(f * g)	53.31	15	(2,3)
Glucose * inoculum	(a * g)	49.90	10	(2,1)
Acetic acid * mixing intensity	(b * f)	40.23	4	(2,1)
Temperature * mixing intensity	(e * f)	37.70	1	(3,2)
Glucose * pH	(a * d)	37.16	7	(2,3)
Succinic acid * mixing intensity	(c * f)	33.24	3	(2,2)
Acetic acid * temperature	(b * e)	30.56	5	(2,2)
pH * inoculum	(d * g)	29.35	13	(1,3)
Succinic acid * pH	(c * d)	27.40	1	(2,3)
Glucose * mixing intensity	(a * f)	26.09	5	(2,1)
Temperature * inoculum	(e * g)	25.44	14	(3,1)
Acetic acid * inoculum	(b * g)	17.74	11	(2,3)
pH * mixing intensity	(d * f)	17.53	2	(1,2)
Acetic acid * succinic acid	(b * c)	13.53	7	(2,2)
Succinic acid * temperature	(c * e)	10.32	2	(2,3)
Glucose * acetic acid	(a * b)	8.45	1	(2,2)
Succinic acid * inoculum	(c * g)	8.40	12	(2,1)
Acetic acid * pH	(b * d)	7.82	6	(2,3)
Glucose * succinic acid	(b * c)	4.56	6	(2,2)
pH * temperature	(d * e)	3.65	3	(1,3)
Glucose * temperature	(a * e)	1.53	4	(2,3)

*Columns represent the column locations to which the interacting factors are assigned.

*SI: interaction severity index (100% for 90° angle between the lines, 0% for parallel lines).

*Col. Shows the column that should be reserved if this interaction effect were to be studied (2-L factors only).

○Opt. indicates the factor levels desirable for the optimum conditions (based strictly on the first two levels).

TABLE 5: Analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Factors	DOF	Sum of squares (S)	Variance (V)	F-ratio (F)	Pure sum (S')	Precent (P%)
Glucose	2	0.056	0.028	492.233	0.056	29.893
Acetic acid	2	0.041	0.020	365.194	0.041	22.162
Succinic acid	2	0.034	0.017	297.380	0.034	18.035
pH	2	0.009	0.004	82.273	0.009	4.945
Temperature	2	0.012	0.006	107.866	0.012	6.503
Mixing intensity	2	0.014	0.007	123.254	0.014	7.439
Inoculum size	2	0.019	0.009	173.59	0.019	10.502
Other/error	3	-0.001	-0.001			0.521
Total	17	0.185				100

microbial metabolism. Such factor-mediated regulation of microbial fermentation was observed with many microbial species on any product [21].

4. Conclusions

Culture conditions and media composition optimization by a conventional one-at-the-approach led to a substantial increase in 2,3-butanediol yield. However, this approach not only is cumbersome and time consuming but also has the limitation of ignoring the importance of interaction of various parameters. Taguchi approach of OA experimental design for process optimization, involving a study of a given system by a set of independent variables (factors) over a specific region of interest (levels) by identifying the influence

TABLE 6: The optimal conditions and their performance in production of 2,3-butanediol.

Factors	Level description	Level	Contribution
Glucose (% w/v)	6	2	0.079
Acetic acid (% w/v)	0.5	2	0.068
Succinic acid (% w/v)	1.0	2	0.061
pH	6	1	0.030
Temperature (°C)	37	3	0.036
Mixing intensity (rpm)	150	2	0.037
Inoculum size (g/L)	8	3	0.047

of individual factors, establishes the relationship between variables and operational conditions and finally establishes

the performance at the optimum levels obtained. In this methodology, the desired design is sought by selecting the best performance under conditions that produces consistent performance leading to a more fully developed process. The obtained optimal culture condition for the 2,3-butanediol production from the proposed methodology was validated by performing the experiments with the obtained conditions.

Acknowledgment

This experiment was supported by the Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch, Iran.

References

- [1] P. Perego, A. Converti, and M. Del Borghi, "Effects of temperature, inoculum size and starch hydrolyzate concentration on butanediol production by *Bacillus licheniformis*," *Bioresource Technology*, vol. 89, no. 2, pp. 125–131, 2003.
- [2] B. C. Saha and R. J. Bothast, "Production of 2,3-butanediol by newly isolated *Enterobacter cloacae*," *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 321–326, 1999.
- [3] D. H. Mallonee and R. A. Speckman, "Development of a mutant strain of *Bacillus polymyxa* showing enhanced production of 2,3-butanediol," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 168–171, 1988.
- [4] J. Qin, Z. Xiao, C. Ma, N. Xie, P. Liu, and P. Xu, "Production of 2,3-butanediol by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* using glucose and ammonium phosphate," *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 132–136, 2006.
- [5] B. P. Grover, S. K. Garg, and J. Verma, "Production of 2,3-butanediol from wood hydrolysate by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*," *World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 328–332, 1990.
- [6] P. Perego, A. Converti, A. Del Borghi, and P. Canepa, "2,3-butanediol production by *Enterobacter aerogenes*: selection of the optimal conditions and application to food industry residues," *Bioprocess Engineering*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 613–620, 2000.
- [7] C. de Mas, N. B. Jansen, and G. T. Tsao, "Production of optically active 2,3-butanediol by *Bacillus polymyxa*," *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 366–377, 1988.
- [8] S. S. Nilegaonkar, S. B. Bhosale, C. N. Dandage, and A. H. Kapadi, "Potential of *Bacillus licheniformis* for the production of 2,3-butanediol," *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 408–410, 1996.
- [9] D. C. Hao, P. H. Zhu, S. L. Yang, and L. Yang, "Optimization of recombinant cytochrome P450 2C9 protein production in *Escherichia coli* DH5 α by statistically-based experimental design," *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1169–1176, 2006.
- [10] D. C. Montgomery, *Design and Analysis of Experiments*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 2004.
- [11] S. Ghosh and T. Swaminathan, "Optimization of process variables for the extractive fermentation of 2,3-butanediol by *Klebsiella oxytoca* in aqueous two-phase system using response surface methodology," *Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Quarterly*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 319–325, 2003.
- [12] B. Marwoto, Y. Nakashimada, T. Kakizono, and N. Nishio, "Enhancement of (R,R)-2,3-butanediol production from xylose by *Paenibacillus polymyxa* at elevated temperatures," *Biotechnology Letters*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 109–114, 2002.
- [13] G. Taguchi, S. Chowdhury, and Y. Wu, *Taguchi's Quality Engineering Handbook*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 2004.
- [14] D. Raspoet, B. Pot, D. De Deyn, P. De Vos, K. Kersters, and J. De Ley, "Differentiation between 2,3-butanediol producing *Bacillus licheniformis* and *B. polymyxa* strains by fermentation product profiles and whole-cell protein electrophoretic patterns," *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 1991.
- [15] E. K. C. Yu and J. N. Saddler, "Enhanced production of 2,3-butanediol by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* grown on high sugar concentrations in the presence of acetic acid," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 777–784, 1982.
- [16] Y. Nakashimada, B. Marwoto, T. Kashiwamura, T. Kakizono, and N. Nishio, "Enhanced 2,3-butanediol production by addition of acetic acid in *Paenibacillus polymyxa*," *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, vol. 90, no. 6, pp. 661–664, 2000.
- [17] F. C. Stormer, "Evidence for regulation of *Aerobacter aerogenes* pH6 acetolactate forming enzyme by acetate ion," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 898–902, 1977.
- [18] N. Qureshi and M. Cheryan, "Effect of lactic acid on growth and butanediol production by *Klebsiella oxytoca*," *Journal of Industrial Microbiology*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 453–456, 1989.
- [19] M. A. Eiteman and J. H. Miller, "Effect of succinic acid on 2,3-butanediol production by *Klebsiella oxytoca*," *Biotechnology Letters*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 1057–1062, 1995.
- [20] N. B. Jansen, M. C. Flickinger, and G. T. Tsao, "Production of 2,3-butanediol from D-xylose by *Klebsiella oxytoca* ATCC 8724," *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 362–369, 1984.
- [21] P. A. Nagarjun, R. S. Rao, S. Rajesham, and L. V. Rao, "Optimization of lactic acid production in SSF by *Lactobacillus amylovorus* NRRL B-4542 using Taguchi Methodology," *Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 38–43, 2005.