Research Article

Computer-Based Annotation of Putative AraC/XylS-Family Transcription Factors of Known Structure but Unknown Function

Andreas Schüller, Alex W. Slater, Tomás Norambuena, Juan J. Cifuentes, Leonardo I. Almonacid, and Francisco Melo

Molecular Bioinformatics Laboratory, Millennium Institute on Immunology and Immunotherapy; Departamento de Genética Molecular y Microbiología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Alameda 340, 8331150 Santiago, Chile

Correspondence should be addressed to Francisco Melo, fmelo@bio.puc.cl

Received 28 September 2011; Revised 9 December 2011; Accepted 13 December 2011

Academic Editor: Sergio Pantano

Copyright © 2012 Andreas Schüller et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Currently, about 20 crystal structures per day are released and deposited in the Protein Data Bank. A significant fraction of these structures is produced by research groups associated with the structural genomics consortium. The biological function of many of these proteins is generally unknown or not validated by experiment. Therefore, a growing need for functional prediction of protein structures has emerged. Here we present an integrated bioinformatics method that combines sequence-based relationships and three-dimensional (3D) structural similarity of transcriptional regulators with computer prediction of their cognate DNA binding sequences. We applied this method to the AraC/XylS family of transcription factors, which is a large family of transcriptional regulators found in many bacteria controlling the expression of genes involved in diverse biological functions. Three putative new members of this family with known 3D structure but unknown function were identified for which a probable functional classification is provided. Our bioinformatics analyses suggest that they could be involved in plant cell wall degradation (Lin2118 protein from *Listeria innocua*, PDB code 3ouu), symbiotic nitrogen fixation (protein from *Chromobacterium violaceum*, PDB code 3oio), and either metabolism of plant-derived biomass or nitrogen fixation (protein from *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*, PDB code 3mn2).

1. Introduction

Due to recent advances in high-throughput structure determination, structural genomics initiatives are proceeding fast. The Protein Structure Initiative (PSI) reports the determination of over 5,500 proteins structures in its Structural Genomics Knowledgebase as of November 2011 [1]. A total of 3,020 proteins (>50%) are of unknown function or only minimally characterized [1]. Hence, there is an urgent need for computational annotation methods of these structures of unknown function. We present here an integrated bioinformatics method for the functional annotation of transcription factors that combines sequence-based relationships and three-dimensional (3D) structural similarity of transcriptional regulators with computer prediction of their cognate DNA binding sequences. We applied this method to the AraC/XylS family of transcription factors.

AraC/XylS is a large family of transcriptional regulators found in many bacteria controlling the expression of genes with diverse biological functions involved in metabolism, stress response, and virulence [2–5]. Most members of this family are comprised of 250 to 300 amino acids and contain two domains: a conserved DNA binding domain (DBD) of ca. 100 residues found at the C-terminus of most regulators and a variable domain thought to be responsible for effector binding or multimerization [2]. A few exceptions include regulators which are considerably smaller (ca. 150 residues) containing only the DBD domain (e.g., MarA and SoxS) [6], substantially larger (e.g., HrpB, 477 residues), or that contain the DBD at the N-terminus (e.g., Rob) [6] or in the central domain (e.g., Ada) [7].

The DBD assumes a conserved tertiary structure of two helix-turn-helix (HTH) domains, each made up of three

α -helices, which are connected by a longer central α -helix [8]. The two HTH domains bind to the major groove of two adjacent turns on the same side of the DNA helix. Residues stabilizing the hydrophobic core are highly conserved, while the overall sequence identity is low (approximately 24%). Key residues of the N-terminal HTH domain are more variable and this domain is thought to define the individual DNA binding specificities of the family members, while the C-terminal HTH is more conserved and provides improved binding affinity [2]. The multifunctional protein Ada is an exception to this general topology, as its N-terminal domain (N-Ada) contains a DNA repair domain with a distinct fold joined to a single AraC-like HTH domain by a flexible linker [7, 9]. In contrast to the conserved DBD, the effector and multimerization domain is variable among family members and its molecular function is not always well understood [2].

Historically, members of the AraC/XylS family were assigned to one of the three general categories “metabolism”, “stress response”, and “virulence” based on the genes that they regulate [2, 3, 10]. The metabolism group includes regulators involved in carbohydrate metabolism (e.g., ChbR and AraC from *E. coli*), metabolism of benzene derivatives (XylS from *Pseudomonas putida*), alkane metabolism (AlkR from *Acinetobacter*), and amine metabolism (FeaR from *E. coli*). Regulators of the stress response group are involved in the direct or indirect response to stress factors such as Ada (alkylating agents in *E. coli*), AdiY (acid resistance in *E. coli*), MarA (multiple antibiotic resistance in *E. coli*), SoxS (oxidative stress in *E. coli*), and RipA from *Corynebacterium* (iron limitation stress). The third group of pathogenesis or virulence contains family members, such as VirF which regulates the expression of proteins of the type III secretion system (TTSS) in *Shigella flexneri*, Rns from *E. coli* which regulates cell adhesion proteins, and Caf1R from *Yersinia pestis* which is involved in capsule formation [11]. Here, we have included a fourth category, dubbed bacteria-plant interaction, which includes RhrA from *Rhizobium meliloti* that regulates iron concentration required for nitrogen fixation by production of a siderophore and Y4fK from *Rhizobium sp. (strain NGR234)*, which induces formation of nodules in plant roots where nitrogen fixation takes place.

In a recent update on the AraC/XylS family of transcriptional regulators, Ibarra et al. identified 58 well-characterized members and found a total of 1,974 known and putative members in 149 bacterial genomes [3]. They generated dendrograms from the multiple sequence alignment of the DBD to functionally classify putative family members.

The aim of the present study was the development of a bioinformatics method for the functional annotation of putative transcriptional regulators with solved tertiary structure but unknown function. We applied this method to members of the AraC/XylS family of transcriptional regulators. Similar to Ibarra et al., we analyzed the DBD to perform a functional classification. However, our method is not restricted to sequence information only but rather incorporates primary and tertiary structure information from the transcriptional regulator protein sequence, structure, and DNA binding site. We performed three-dimensional (3D) structure similarity searches against the whole Protein

Data Bank (PDB) and identified three putative new members of the AraC/XylS family with uncharacterized function. Detailed structural analyses and sequence comparison between these three proteins and 62 well-characterized AraC/XylS family members suggest that they could be involved in plant cell wall degradation (Lin2118 protein, PDB code: 3oou), symbiotic nitrogen fixation (PDB code: 3oio), and either metabolism of plant-derived biomass or nitrogen fixation (PDB code: 3mn2). Structure-based DNA binding site prediction for these transcription factors is concordant with these functional assignments.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Structural Similarity Search. Scanning of the complete PDB for target proteins sharing a similar tertiary structure with a given query protein was performed with the web server COPS–TopSearch of the Center of Applied Molecular Engineering (University of Salzburg) available at <http://www.came.sbg.ac.at/> [12]. TopSearch is a fast three-dimensional (3D) search method that relies on the TopMatch structure alignment software to rank protein structures available in the PDB (target structures t) according to their absolute similarity $S(q,t)$ to a provided query structure q [13, 14]. The absolute similarity is defined as the number of structurally equivalent residue pairs or the length of the structural alignment. Starting with MarA from *E. coli* (PDB code: 1bl0), the top 100 most similar proteins were manually inspected based on their structural alignment with the query and functional annotations available from the PDB and the UniProt database (<http://www.uniprot.org/>) [15]. The search was repeated for each known and putative AraC/XylS family member identified. Structures were manually modified to contain the DNA binding domain only, where necessary. More than 70,000 protein structures (PDB release: 6 June 2011) were searched for similarity and a total of thirteen (10 known and 3 putative) structures of AraC/XylS-family transcription factors were identified.

2.2. Multiple-Sequence Alignment. Protein sequences that belong to the HTH-AraC family (PFAM family PF00165) were retrieved from the UniProt database [15, 18]. Only those entries marked as reviewed by UniProt and with experimental demonstration of their functional role were selected. A total of 62 sequences fulfilled the criteria (Table S1 see in Supplementary Material available online at doi:10.1155/2012/103132.). Sequences from four PDB structures (PDB codes: 3lsg, 3mn2, 3oio, and 3oou) identified from the structural similarity search were also incorporated into this dataset.

A multiple-sequence alignment was constructed with the MAFFT 6 web server available at <http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/> [19]. The gap penalty was set to a value of 3.0. Based on the multiple sequence alignment and available structural information, we defined a region of 100 amino acids as the DBD (corresponding to Ile-13 to Thr-112 in the sequence of MarA from *E. coli*, PDB code: 1bl0). Assignment of biological roles (regulated biological processes) to the

sequences was transferred from evidence described in the literature where possible, following a similar nomenclature to that already proposed [2, 3].

2.3. Dendrogram Generation. The multiple-sequence alignment obtained as described previously was used to build a dendrogram of HTH-AraC family members with experimental evidence of their biological role. The tree was constructed employing the maximum likelihood optimization criteria with the software MEGA5 [20]. The WAG amino acid substitution model was selected using the ProtTest server and applied as previously described [21, 22]. Bootstrap values were calculated with one hundred replicates. Phylogenetic tree images were produced with the iTOL web server tool [23] available at <http://itol.embl.de/>.

2.4. Multiple-Structure Alignment. PDB files were manually modified to include only amino acids of the defined 100-residue region of the DBD. Then, a multiple-structure alignment of the DBD was constructed with the SALIGN module from the MODELLER version 9.9 software package [16, 25]. The SALIGN module reports a table with the number of equivalent C_{α} positions (the alignment length; 3.5 Å cut-off), the root mean squared (RMS) distance of equivalent positions, and the sequence identity of equivalent residues for all pairs of proteins, as well as the multiple-sequence alignment (MSA) derived from the multiple optimal superposition of protein structures. A dendrogram of the structure-derived MSA was generated as described previously.

2.5. Comparative Modeling. MODELLER version 9.9 was employed to generate full-atom 3D comparative models of protein-DNA complexes [25]. The complex of MarA with the 22-mer DNA binding site *mar* (PDB code: 1bl0) was defined as template. DNA was treated as rigid body (nucleotides were defined as “block residues”) and ten models were initially generated. For each target, a single model with reasonable orientation of key residues of helices three and six was finally selected by visual inspection and energy minimized with the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) version 2010.10 [17]. Hydrogen atoms were added, nucleotide atoms were fixed, protein backbone atoms were restrained by a quadratic force term, and the protein part was energy minimized with the AMBER99 [26] force field and a Born solvation term until a gradient of 0.05 kcal/(mol Å) was reached.

2.6. DNA Binding Site Prediction. The prepared comparative models were further processed to construct static protein-DNA models with varied DNA sequence. Briefly, the protein part and the DNA backbone were fixed and DNA bases were permitted to vary, thereby preserving the double-helix structural parameters of the initial model. The software 3DNA [27] was employed to build a new DNA double helix with varied sequence while at the same time retaining the helical parameters of the original DNA structure. For each initial model, 10,000 models with randomized DNA sequences were built. Next, from the Protein-DNA Interface

database [28], a nonredundant set of 208 protein-DNA complexes was obtained. This set was used to derive statistical distance-dependent pairwise potentials at the protein-DNA interface. The statistical potential parameters were the same as those previously described [29, 30]. These potentials were then utilized to score the observed protein-DNA interactions in the comparative models. From each ensemble of random models, sets of low-energy structures were selected according to the 0.5% lower tail of each distribution of energy scores. Position-weight matrices (PWMs) were finally derived from the low energy score sets, and sequence logos were generated with the software WebLogo [31]. PWMs were converted into linear vectors, the all-against-all Euclidean distances calculated for all possible pairs of them and recorded as a distance table, which was finally used to perform a hierarchical clustering with Ward's method.

2.7. Genomic Context of Predicted DNA Binding Sites. Genomes and annotations were downloaded from the EMBL suite of databases and web servers (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/genomes/>). High-scoring binding sequences were mapped with the software bowtie version 0.12.7 [33], allowing up to three mismatches. SeqMonk version 0.16.0 (<http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/seqmonk/>) and the EMBL genome browser, Genome Reviews [34], were used to determine the neighboring genes of the putative binding sites. The six genes closest to the binding site, including those overlapping with the binding sites, were selected for further analysis. To establish functional relations for each gene, we employed the databases UniProt (<http://www.uniprot.org/>) [15], InterPro (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/>) [35], and KEGG (<http://www.genome.jp/kegg/>) [36].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. PDB Search for AraC/XylS Family Members. Employing the fast web server COPS-TopSearch of the Center of Applied Molecular Engineering (University of Salzburg) [12], we identified ten structures of proteins known to be members of the AraC/XylS family and three structures of uncharacterized proteins (Table 1). The ten known family members include MarA (PDB codes: 1bl0 [8], 1xs9 [37]), Rob (PDB code: 1d5y [38]), and Ada (PDB codes: 1u8b and 1zgw [7], 1wpk [9]), all involved in stress response, AraC (PDB code: 2k9s [39]) and YesN (PDB code: 3lsg) related to carbohydrate metabolism (though the function of YesN is not well characterized), GadX (PDB code: 3mkl) involved in acid resistance, and TcpN, also called ToxT (PDB code: 3gbg [40]), which is involved in virulence. The three uncharacterized, putative members include the Lin2118 protein from *Listeria innocua* (PDB code: 3oou), a protein from *Chromobacterium violaceum* with the gene name *argR* (PDB code: 3oio), and a protein from *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* (PDB code: 3mn2).

Structural similarity, as quantified by the number of equivalent residue pairs (length of the structural alignment, cf. Materials and methods), of ten of the thirteen structures is high (Table 2 and Figure 1). The average number of

TABLE 1: Known and putative TFs of the AraC/XylS family identified by a structural similarity search in the PDB.

Name (PDB code)	UniProt ID	DNA complex ^(a)	Technique (Res.) ^(b)	Species	Biological process
MarA (1bl0)	P0ACH5	Yes	X-ray (2.30 Å)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Multiple antibiotic resistance
MarA (1xs9)	P0ACH5	Yes	NMR	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Multiple antibiotic resistance
Rob (1d5y)	P0ACI0	Yes	X-ray (2.70 Å)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Antibiotic resistance, organic solvent tolerance and heavy-metal resistance
Ada (1u8b)	P06134	Yes	X-ray (2.10 Å)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Repair of and response to alkylated DNA
Ada (1zgw)	P06134	Yes	NMR	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Repair of and response to alkylated DNA
Ada (1wpk)	P06134	No	NMR	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Repair of and response to alkylated DNA
GadX (3mkl)	B1X7X1	No	X-ray (2.15 Å)	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Acid resistance
AraC (2k9s)	P0A9E0	No	NMR	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Transport and catabolism of L-arabinose
TcpN or ToxT (3gbg)	A5F384	No	X-ray (1.90 Å)	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i>	Biosynthesis and assembly of the toxin-coregulated pilus
YesN (3lsg)	Q8RGT8	No	X-ray (2.05 Å)	<i>Fusobacterium nucleatum</i>	Possibly involved in plant cell wall degradation
Lin2118 protein (3oou)	Q92A04	No	X-ray (1.57 Å)	<i>Listeria innocua</i>	Unknown
3oio	Q7NTG7	No	X-ray (1.65 Å)	<i>Chromobacterium violaceum</i>	Unknown
3mn2	Q6NCA5	No	X-ray (1.80 Å)	<i>Rhodopseudomonas palustris</i>	Unknown

^(a) Indicates whether the 3D protein structure was cocrystallized with DNA or not.

^(b) Numbers in parenthesis refer to the resolution of crystal structures.

FIGURE 1: Structural alignment of three putative AraC/XylS family members (PDB codes: 3mn2, 3oio, and 3oou; gold ribbons) with MarA (PDB code: 1bl0; red ribbons). The DNA molecule of the MarA structure is shown for illustration (gray); however 3mn2, 3oio, and 3oou were crystallized without DNA. Numbers of α -helices are given next to the respective α -helix. The alignment was generated with SALIGN [16] and the figure was created with the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) [17].

equivalent C_{α} positions of ten structures without Ada is 89.5 (of a maximum of 100.1), the average root mean squared (RMS) distance is 1.9 Å, and the mean sequence identity of

equivalent residue pairs is 23%, which indicates high structural similarity and moderate levels of sequence identity. We removed the three structures of Ada from this analysis, since this protein consists of only a single HTH domain joined to an unrelated DNA repair domain and thus has a structural coverage of roughly 50% with the other structures. We scanned the PDB with any of the ten non-Ada structures as query structure and retrieved the remaining nine structures ranked as top hits in all cases. Two of the uncharacterized proteins (PDB codes: 3oou, 3oio) are currently classified as members of the AraC family in the Pfam database [18] and our structural analysis confirms membership to this family. Structure 3mn2 with unknown function was titled as “probable AraC family” by the authors of the crystal structure, and through our structural analysis, we confirm this membership, as well. It should be noted that the gene of the 3oio protein was named *argR*. The gene product of *argR* is the transcriptional regulator arginine repressor (ArgR), which controls the expression of operons involved in arginine biosynthesis. However, structural alignment of 3oio with the DNA binding domain of ArgR (e.g., PDB code: 3fhz) reveals only moderate similarity (equivalent residue pairs: 40). ArgR binds DNA as a hexamer and its DBD consists of a single three-helix domain with low sequence identity (15%) to 3oio. Based on this structural analysis, we conclude that 3oio

TABLE 2: Matrix of equivalent residue pairs of available AraC/XylS 3D structures^(a).

	1bl0	1xs9	1d5y	3oou	2k9s	3gbg	3mkl	3mn2	3oio	3lsg	1u8b	1wpk	1zgw	
1bl0	100	100	100	96	84	81	88	82	94	97	47	44	42	1bl0
	0.0	0.7	0.9	1.8	1.9	2.2	1.9	2.2	1.9	1.3	1.6	2.2	2.3	
	100%	100%	50%	18%	16%	15%	16%	14%	19%	25%	15%	13%	15%	
1xs9		100	100	93	82	78	88	84	93	97	48	45	45	1xs9
		0.0	1.1	1.7	1.8	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.4	1.7	2.3	2.3	
		100%	50%	18%	16%	15%	16%	14%	19%	25%	15%	13%	15%	
1d5y			100	95	80	81	88	88	95	97	45	44	41	1d5y
			0.0	1.5	1.7	2.1	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.2	1.7	2.3	2.3	
			100%	20%	21%	16%	11%	16%	21%	25%	25%	18%	17%	
3oou				100	72	84	87	88	87	97	47	42	37	3oou
				0.0	2.0	2.3	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.4	1.7	2.3	2.3	
				100%	23%	16%	17%	20%	20%	31%	15%	13%	11%	
2k9s					101	89	91	84	95	86	45	41	33	2k9s
					0.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.6	
					100%	21%	24%	22%	25%	26%	23%	10%	9%	
3gbg						99	90	84	95	81	35	36	23	3gbg
						0.0	1.5	2.2	1.6	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.6	
						100%	28%	15%	20%	23%	8%	3%	6%	
3mkl							99	96	95	88	45	32	35	3mkl
							0.0	1.7	1.3	1.7	1.9	2.4	2.5	
							100%	21%	26%	23%	19%	10%	8%	
3mn2								102	100	85	44	40	28	3mn2
								0.0	1.6	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.8	
								100%	28%	15%	21%	12%	13%	
3oio									100	93	51	45	35	3oio
									0.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.7	
									100%	18%	33%	22%	21%	
3lsg										100	45	48	41	3lsg
										0.0	1.5	2.4	2.2	
										100%	15%	13%	19%	
1u8b											52	41	36	1u8b
											0.0	2.5	2.2	
											100%	62%	44%	
1wpk												60	28	1wpk
												0.0	2.5	
												100%	28%	
1zgw													53	1zgw
													0.0	
													100%	

^(a) The top number indicates the number of equivalent C_α positions of the aligned structures (length of the alignment). The middle number denotes the root mean square (RMS) deviation of equivalent C_α atoms (3.5 Å distance cutoff) and the lower number denotes the sequence identity of equivalent residues. Structures were aligned with SALIGN [16]. Structures of unknown biological role are indicated in bold.

is more likely a member of the AraC/XylS family rather than of the ArgR family of transcriptional regulators.

3.2. Functional Annotation. Despite their similar structures, the transcriptional regulators of the AraC/XylS family are known to act on various genes involved in distinct biological processes. To attempt a possible functional classification of the new putative family members on sequence level, we

followed an approach similar to that of Ibarra et al. [3]. We compiled a list of 62 well-characterized AraC/XylS family members with known biological roles (Supplementary Table S1) and generated a maximum likelihood dendrogram (Figure 3) from the multiple-sequence alignment (MSA) of the DNA binding domains (DBDs) of the family members (Figure 2). The sequences of the three putative family members (PDB codes: 3mn2, 3oio, and 3oou) and the

FIGURE 2: Multiple-sequence alignment of the DNA binding domain of 62 AraC/XylS family members with experimental evidence of their biological role. Four proteins found by the structural similarity search and whose function is unknown or poorly characterized were included in this multiple sequence alignment. Each sequence label contains the general functional category, the UniProt accession code, and the protein name. Functional categories are BPI: bacteria-plant interaction; M: metabolism; S: stress response; V: virulence and Unk: unknown. One or two functional categories were assigned. The sequences are sorted according to the tree order (see Figure 3). Secondary structure elements are given at the top (according to MarA (PDB code: 1bl0)). The alignment was plotted with JalView version 2.6.1 [24]. Color legend: light blue: hydrophobic; green: polar and aliphatic; turquoise: polar and aromatic; red: basic; purple: acidic; orange: glycine; yellow: proline.

sequence of the poorly characterized protein YesN from *F. nucleatum* (PDB code: 3lsg) were added to the MSA and dendrogram in order to permit annotation of their biological roles based on their neighborhood in the tree.

The putative AraC/XylS family member Lin2118 protein from *Listeria innocua* (PDB code: 3oou) is found in a branch together with YesN and YesS from *Bacillus subtilis*. In the next neighboring branch, we find the sequence of YesN from *Fusobacterium nucleatum subsp. Nucleatum* (PDB code: 3lsg). YesS is a probable transcription factor regulating a pathway responsible for rhamnolacturonan depolymerization, which is a carbohydrate product of plant cell wall degradation [41]. YesN is a member of the two-component regulatory system *yesM/yesN*. Its biological role is not well understood. Genes upregulated by YesN, which include *yesS*, are thought to be involved in rhamnolacturonan degradation in *Bacillus subtilis*, and an ortholog of YesN from *Paenibacillus sp.* is thought to be involved

in hemicellulose degradation [42–44]. A similar role of the Lin2118 protein (PDB code: 3oou) in plant cell wall degradation seems, therefore, likely. The three proteins, YesN from *B. subtilis*, YesN from *F. nucleatum* (PDB code: 3lsg), and Lin2118 from *L. innocua* (PDB code: 3oou), share a common additional response regulator receiver domain of the CheY-like superfamily at their N-terminus. This domain contains a phosphoacceptor site that is phosphorylated by histidine kinase homologs, for instance, YesM [43]. The common regulatory domain further supports a possible involvement in the biological process of plant cell wall degradation.

The sequence of structure 3oio from *Chromobacterium violaceum* is found in a common branch together with Y4fK, encoded on the pNGR234a plasmid of *Rhizobium sp.* (strain NGR234), and RhrA, encoded on the plasmid pSymA of *Rhizobium meliloti*. Y4fK activates the transcription of *nod* genes that play a role in the formation of plant root

FIGURE 3: Dendrogram of AraC/XylS family members annotated with functional categories. A dendrogram of 62 AraC/XylS family members and four proteins found by structural similarity search and whose biological role is unknown or poorly characterized is presented (marked with an asterisk). The tree was constructed using the maximum likelihood optimization criteria and a bootstrap test was conducted with 100 replicates. Bootstrap values greater than 50 are shown as number in the corresponding nodes of the tree. Leaves contain the UniProt or PDB accession code and the protein name. Two classification levels are included in this dendrogram. The inner color strip represents a primary classification (functional category) that contains four general classes as in Figure 2. The outer circle contains several colored shapes that represent a secondary and more specific classification scheme, which provides more detail to the biological process associated with each protein. One or two functional categories were assigned.

nodules, where symbiotic nitrogen fixation takes place [45]. RhrA activates the expression of a siderophore necessary to maintain low levels of iron, required for efficient nitrogen fixation. Both plasmids are essential for the symbiosis between plant and bacteria in the rhizosphere [46, 47]. Based on these similarities, and the fact that the habitat of *Chromobacterium violaceum* is primarily soil and water, a tentative role in symbiotic nitrogen fixation seems possible.

The last putative AraC/XylS member (PDB code: 3mn2) from *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* is located in between the previously described nitrogen fixation branch of the dendrogram and a branch that contains the regulators ThcR, EutR, and HrpB. ThcR from *Rhodococcus erythropolis* is responsible for degradation of a thiocarbamate herbicide [48], and EutR from *Escherichia coli* is involved in metabolism of ethanolamine derived from phosphatidylethanolamine contained in biological membranes. EutR was also linked to virulence in both animals and plants [49]. Finally, HrpB, which is from the phytopathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*, activates the expression of a type III secretion system required for plant host cell infection [50, 51]. It is known that symbiotic *rhizobia* and phytopathogenic bacteria share a common mechanism for plant host recognition [52], which could explain the observed proximity of the two branches associated to symbiotic and pathogenic behavior.

A pathogenic role of *R. palustris* has not been reported. *R. palustris* is a free living bacterium and is capable of acquiring carbon from many types of green plant-derived compounds. In addition, the bacterium is able to assimilate atmospheric nitrogen, albeit not in symbiosis with plants, as in the neighboring nitrogen fixation branch of the dendrogram [53]. *R. palustris* is one of the most metabolically versatile bacteria known [53] and one of a few prokaryotes described so far that possess three types of nitrogenases [54]. Prediction of a possible biological role of the putative AraC/XylS transcription factor with PDB code 3mn2 is not straightforward, due to the heterogeneity of the available data. A tentative role in either metabolism of plant-derived biomass or nitrogen fixation seems possible.

3.3. Structural Comparison. Taking advantage of the protein structures available, we extended the sequence-based functional annotation with structural data. All thirteen identified AraC/XylS structures were aligned with the software SALIGN [16] and an MSA was derived from the resulting structural alignment (Figure 4(a)). The structures aligned nicely with a typical fold: two HTH domains of each three α -helices are connected by a longer, central α -helix. The two HTH domains themselves are superimposable. One α -helix of each HTH domain (α -helix three and six) inserts into the major groove of DNA establishing base-specific contacts (Figure 1). The orientation of the C-terminal HTH domain (HTH2) is conserved while the N-terminal domain (HTH1) is more variable. Domain rotation of up to approximately 30 degrees with respect to MarA (PDB code: 1bl0) is observable for HTH1. The position of α -helix two is shifted by up to 3.5 Å in some structures and the position of the connecting loops

to helices one and three is variable. On sequence level, the average pairwise sequence identity of HTH1 is 17%, while it is 27% for HTH2. These observations are in agreement with the current hypothesis that DNA binding affinity and specific recognition by different regulators are governed by HTH1, while HTH2 might additionally enhance affinity and binding site discrimination through a more conserved mechanism common to regulators of the AraC/XylS family [2, 38, 55]. The reported variability of HTH1 could further indicate a possible induced fit mechanism upon DNA binding [39].

Conserved residues in the multiple sequence alignment in Figure 4 are mostly related to hydrophobic amino acids stabilizing the hydrophobic core of the two HTH domains or small amino acids at the beginning and end of α -helices. A prominent exception are the three conserved residues Leu-28, Leu-30, and Val-33 (1bl0 numbering, Figure 4(a)). Due to the structural flexibility of α -helix two, these residues are shifted by one or two positions in the MSA in Figure 4 for MarA (PDB code: 1bl0) and Rob (PDB code: 1d5y), in contrast to all other structures. In the case of MarA and Rob, these residues are pointing toward the solvent, whereby they point inside, toward the hydrophobic core in all other structures. It is conceivable that this conformational change is part of the already mentioned induced fit mechanism. MarA and Rob structures were crystallized in complex with DNA, in contrast to the other bipartite HTH structures. However, in structures of Ada (PDB codes: 1u8b, 1zgw), which were solved in complex with DNA, these residues point inward as well. Another possible explanation is that this conformational change relates to the biological role of the respective transcriptional regulator. MarA and Rob are closely related regulators involved in stress response. However, movement of α -helix two seems to be unrelated to both conditions (biological role; complexed with DNA). The observed flexibility could thus also be an artifact of the structure determination experiment.

We further calculated the electrostatic potential surfaces of the AraC/XylS protein structures with the software DelPhi version 4 (Supplementary Figure S1). We observed a general dipolar character of the protein structures, with a positive potential on the side facing the DNA double helix and a negative potential on the opposite side. However, the detailed distribution of potential charges was generally diverse, and any relation to the biological role of the transcription factors was not obvious.

To perform a more systematic structure-based analysis of the relationship of bipartite HTH AraC/XylS family members, we generated a dendrogram from the structure-derived MSA (Figure 4(b)). We compared this structure-based dendrogram with the sequence-based dendrogram in Figure 3. Figure 4(c) shows an extract of the latter dendrogram containing the same AraC/XylS members as in Figure 4(b), for easier comparison. The two trees are highly similar with only a minor topology change involving branch GadX/TcpN. Consistency of the structure and sequence-based MSAs and dendrograms provides further support for the provided functional annotation.

FIGURE 4: Structure-derived multiple-sequence alignment and dendrogram of known and putative AraC/XylS-family transcription factors. (a) The structures were aligned with SALIGN [16] and a multiple sequence alignment was derived from equivalent residue positions. Secondary structure elements are given at the top. Residues marked with a gray-shaded box are engaged in DNA base interactions, those marked with an asterisk contact the DNA sugar-phosphate backbone, and a hash sign denotes residues of the hydrophobic core. Numbering scheme and annotations are according to MarA protein (PDB code: 1bl0). Colors are as in Figure 2. (b) Maximum likelihood dendrogram derived from the structure-based MSA in (a) generated with the software MEGA5 [20]. Distances in the dendrogram refer to the number of amino acid substitutions per site. Only structures with two HTH domains (omitting Ada) were included. (c) For comparison, a more concise view of the dendrogram in Figure 3 is given, showing only relevant proteins.

3.4. DNA Binding Site Prediction. All analyses up to this point focused on the transcription factor proteins (sequence and structure). We will now turn to their cognate DNA binding sequences. Since the DNA binding sites for most identified AraC/XylS members are unknown, we devised a computational method to predict these sequences. The modeling of transcription factor-DNA complexes puts a strong focus on the DNA binding interface of the proteins and thus highlights key residues of helices three and six involved in specific base recognition.

In this structure-based approach, we generated initial comparative models based on MarA (PDB code: 1bl0) as a template structure and replaced the DNA sequence of the crystallized DNA molecule, while retaining the helical parameters of the original DNA structure. A total of 10,000 models with randomized full duplex DNA sequences were generated for all structures except Ada, which lacks the second HTH domain. A statistical potential was employed to score these protein-DNA models and position weight matrices (PWMs), along with sequence logos, were generated from top-scoring complexes (Figure 5(a)). A dendrogram was produced from the PWMs based on their Euclidean distance (Figure 5(b)).

We included the PWM generated from 24 known DNA binding sequences of the *marA/rob/soxS* regulon as a positive control [32]. The results show that our structure-based modeling/scoring approach is capable of reproducing the experimentally determined DNA binding sequence of MarA and Rob reasonably well. As expected, the PWMs of MarA and Rob form a distinct cluster together with the known MarA/Rob/SoxS binding sequences in the dendrogram (Figure 5(b)). Another cluster is formed by the two putative AraC/XylS family members with PDB codes 3mn2 and 3oio. This result is in agreement with the relative proximity of the two proteins observed in our DBD sequence-based dendrogram (Figure 3). A third cluster contains the remaining structures of YesN (PDB code: 3lsg), Lin2118 (PDB code: 3oou), GadX (PDB code: 3mkl), AraC (PDB code: 2k9s), and TcpN (PDB code: 3gbg). Despite distinct biological roles, these proteins were organized in the same major branch of the sequence-based dendrogram, as well.

A functional classification based only on the binding site prediction was not feasible, mainly due to the small amount of available structures (ten in total) and the small amount structures complexed with DNA (three in total).

FIGURE 5: Sequence logos of predicted DNA binding sites and hierarchical clustering of the corresponding PWMs. (a) Sequence logos generated from an ensemble of top-scored random DNA sequences of protein-DNA complexes modeled after MarA (PDB code: 1bl0). M2002 denotes a set of 24 known binding sequences of the *marA/rob/soxS* regulon reported by Martin and Rosner in 2002 [32]. (b) Hierarchical clustering generated by calculating the Euclidean distance of the PWMs and applying the minimum variance clustering method (Ward's method). Three clusters are highlighted.

In addition, a more stringent calibration of our structure-based method on available binding site data is required in the future. However, the similar grouping of the transcriptional regulators in the sequence-based, structure-based, and DNA binding site-based dendrograms is promising and increases the confidence of the functional annotation of the three putative AraC/XylS members. It should also be noted that the comparison of DNA binding specificity treats function on a molecular level, whereas the analysis of the sequence-based dendrogram treats function on a level of biological processes. The two functional levels are connected in the next section by matching the predicted binding sites against the genomes of the source organism and analyzing the genetic context of the matched binding sites.

An interesting observation is the preference of thymine in position five of the predicted binding sequences of the Lin2118 protein (PDB code: 3oou). This position corresponds to a cytosine in the crystal structures of MarA (C-32) and Rob (C-7). In these two structures, we observe a specific hydrophobic interaction of a tryptophan residue (Trp-42 in MarA and Trp-36 in Rob) with the base of this cytosine nucleotide in the major groove. This tryptophan residue is conserved in family members related to stress response and in fact seems to be a unique characteristic of this group (Figure 2). This is remarkable as tryptophan has a low statistical propensity to interact with DNA, but its propensity to interact with either cytosine or thymine is similar (Refs: [56] and A. Schüller, unpublished data). In the Lin2118 protein (PDB code: 3oou) this tryptophan residue is substituted by valine (Val-36). Valine has an over threefold increased propensity to interact with thymine in comparison with any of the other bases, and this preference is reflected in the corresponding predicted binding sequences of the Lin2118 protein.

3.5. Genomic Context of Predicted DNA Binding Sites. We further analyzed the predicted DNA binding sites by mapping the predicted nucleotide sequences against the genomes of the respective source organism. We included the three putative AraC/XylS members (PDB codes: 3oou, 3mn2, and 3oio) and the poorly characterized transcriptional regulator YesN (PDB code: 3lsg) in this analysis. A total of 195 top-scoring sequences were retrieved for the four structures and, of these, only 6 sequences could be mapped to their respective genomes, allowing up to three mismatches. A detailed analysis of the genomic context of the binding sites (three genes upstream and three genes downstream) is provided in the Supplementary Material (Figure S2 and Table S2).

The transcriptional regulator YesN (PDB code: 3lsg; *F. nucleatum*) is thought to be involved in plant cell wall degradation [43, 44]. Mapping 39 high-scoring, predicted DNA binding sites of YesN against the genome of *F. nucleatum* retrieved a single match. 1,438 base pairs (bp) upstream of the binding site we found the xylose repressor gene *xylR*. XylR is a transcriptional regulator of the xylose operon that contains genes required for degradation of xylose, the most abundant sugar monomer of hemicelluloses [57]. The

vicinity of a gene related to hemicellulose depolymerization is consistent with the proposed biological process of plant cell wall degradation.

64 high-scoring binding sites were generated for the Lin2118 protein from *L. innocua* (PDB code: 3oou). Based on its vicinity to YesN and YesS in the dendrogram of AraC/XylS family members, we proposed an involvement in plant cell wall degradation for this protein. Of the 64 binding sites, only two matched against the genome of *L. innocua*. 1,404 and 1,026 bp downstream of the first matched site we found the two genes *crcB1* and *crcB2*, respectively (Supplementary Figure S2). It has been shown in *E. coli* that overexpression of these *crcB* homologs (along with *crcA* and *cspE*) protected cells from the DNA decondensing agent camphor [58]. Camphor is a terpenoid found in *Lauraceae* and *Lamiaceae* families of angiosperms. Although unrelated to plant carbohydrate metabolism, the two genes are involved in the response to an antimicrobial substance produced by certain plants.

The second binding site is located in a cluster of three genes with similarity to proteins of the phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent sugar phosphotransferase system (PTS), which is involved in the uptake and phosphorylation of specific carbohydrates from the extracellular environment. The predicted binding site matched inside the *lin2833* gene that encodes a protein similar to domain IIA of enzyme II. Enzyme II is a carbohydrate-specific permease responsible for sugar uptake and a component of PTS [59]. The other two genes have similarity with domains IIB (*lin2831* gene, 1,610 bp upstream) and IIC (*lin2832* gene, 166 bp upstream) of enzyme II (Supplementary Figure S2). The identified enzyme II domains are of the cellobiose-specific subfamily. Cellobiose is a major component of cellulose found in plant cell walls. These data agree well with a possible role in plant cell wall degradation.

Based on our AraC/XylS-family sequence analysis we predicted a role in symbiotic nitrogen fixation for the uncharacterized protein with PDB code 3oio from *C. violaceum*. 72 sequences were predicted as high-scoring DNA binding sites, of which only two sequences mapped against the genome of *C. violaceum*. In the vicinity of the first binding site, we found the *ibeB* gene (726 bp upstream), which by homology encodes an outer membrane efflux protein (OEP) (Supplementary Figure S2). IbeB is a member of the NodT subfamily of the resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) type efflux systems involved in Nod factor secretion, which are important for nodulation in species of *Rhizobium* [60]. Root nodules are specialized plant organs where symbiotic nitrogen fixation takes place. This finding is well in agreement with a proposed biological role in nitrogen fixation.

In the genetic context of the second binding site, we identified the gene locus CV_3010 (1261 bp upstream) (Supplementary Figure S2). By sequence similarity, this gene contains a molybdopterin cofactor binding domain found in a variety of oxidoreductases. Main members of this family are nitrate reductase and sulfite oxidase. The presence of an assimilatory nitrate reductase domain is consistent with a proposed biological role in nitrogen fixation [61].

Twenty high-scoring binding site sequences were predicted for the uncharacterized protein with PDB code 3mn2 from *R. palustris*, which produced a single match in the genome. Based on our analysis of DBD sequences, we proposed a tentative biological role in either metabolism of plant-derived biomass or nitrogen fixation. The locus Rpal_1214 is found 1,510 bp upstream of the binding site and encodes a DSBA oxidoreductase (Supplementary Figure S2) that is involved in cellular respiration [62]. Nitrogen fixation is an energetically expensive process. It has been shown, in another strain of *R. palustris*, that genes involved in the electron transfer of cellular respiration increase their expression under conditions of high nitrogen fixation [63]. Electron transfer has been proposed as rate-limiting for nitrogenase activity [64]. Moreover, between 95 and 295 genes of *R. palustris* that are not directly associated with nitrogenase synthesis and assembly were induced under nitrogen-fixing conditions [54]. We found a second gene 2,688 bp upstream of the predicted DNA binding site (locus Rpal_1216, Supplementary Figure S2), which encodes a flavoenzyme ferric reductase. This protein is involved in the electron transfer system and might be related to obtaining energy for nitrogen assimilation. However, proteins associated with cellular respiration may be induced by a multitude of pathways and a false positive binding site prediction cannot be ruled out.

In summary, by matching high-scoring predicted binding sites of uncharacterized transcriptional regulators against their host genomes, in five of six cases we were able to identify related genes in the genetic context of the binding sites. It should be noted that the majority of the analyzed genes encode hypothetical proteins identified by sequence similarity/homology lacking experimental validation. However, the fact that database annotations of these genes are consistent with the biological roles that we proposed based on the analysis of AraC/XylS-family DBD sequences is promising. Yet, the presented analysis is, by no means, complete. Of the 4^{22} ($\approx 2 \times 10^{13}$) possible binding site sequences we generated a subset of 10,000 sequences, which is a mere 6×10^{-8} percent of the possible sequence space. This number was slightly increased by allowing up to three mismatches; however, we expect a large number of false negatives, that is, undetected binding sites. Increasing the sampling rate and switching to an optimized sampling algorithm will improve this limitation in the future.

4. Conclusions

We presented an integrated bioinformatics method that combined sequence-based relationships, structural similarity, and prediction of DNA binding sites of transcriptional regulators. The advantage of the proposed method is the utilization of information derived from different structural levels (primary and tertiary) and different biological entities (proteins and DNA binding sites). The prediction of DNA binding sequences is a promising approach to complement available information, where experimental data are scarce. Mapping of the predicted binding sites to the genomes of the source organisms and analysis of the genetic context

was in good agreement with sequence-derived functional annotations. Although the presented method needs further refinement and validation in the future, the consistency of the presented results is promising. We applied the method to the AraC/XylS family of transcriptional regulators and predicted the biological roles of the three putative new family members with PDB codes 3mn2, 3oio, and 3oou, which originated from structural genomics initiatives. Our bioinformatics analyses suggest that they could be involved in plant cell wall degradation (PDB code 3oou), symbiotic nitrogen fixation (PDB code 3oio), and either metabolism of plant-derived biomass or nitrogen fixation (PDB code 3mn2).

The utilization of combined information derived from structure-based and sequence-based analysis of transcription factor proteins and binding sites is proposed as a convenient way to assign a biological role to structures of unknown function and may guide biologists in conducting proper experimental characterization.

Acknowledgments

A. Schüller is grateful for a FONDECYT (Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico, Chile) postdoctoral research grant (no. 3110009), and A. W. Slater is grateful for a CONICYT (Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica, Chile) graduate scholarship. This paper was funded by grants from FONDECYT (no. 1110400) and ICM (Iniciativa Científica Milenio, Chile; no. P09-016-F).

References

- [1] H. M. Berman, J. D. Westbrook, M. J. Gabanyi et al., "The protein structure initiative structural genomics knowledgebase," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. D365–D368, 2009.
- [2] M. T. Gallegos, R. Schleif, A. Bairoch, K. Hofmann, and J. L. Ramos, "AraC/XylS family of transcriptional regulators," *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 393–410, 1997.
- [3] J. A. Ibarra, E. Pérez-Rueda, L. Segovia, and J. L. Puente, "The DNA-binding domain as a functional indicator: the case of the AraC/XylS family of transcription factors," *Genetica*, vol. 133, no. 1, pp. 65–76, 2008.
- [4] R. G. Martin and J. L. Rosner, "The AraC transcriptional activators," *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 132–137, 2001.
- [5] S. M. Egan, "Growing repertoire of AraC/XylS activators," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 184, no. 20, pp. 5529–5532, 2002.
- [6] M. N. Alekshun and S. B. Levy, "Regulation of chromosomally mediated multiple antibiotic resistance: the mar regulon," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 2067–2075, 1997.
- [7] C. He, J. C. Hus, J. S. Li et al., "A methylation-dependent electrostatic switch controls DNA repair and transcriptional activation by *E. coli* Ada," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 117–129, 2005.
- [8] S. Rhee, R. G. Martin, J. L. Rosner, and D. R. Davies, "A novel DNA-binding motif in MarA: the first structure for an AraC family transcriptional activator," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 95, no. 18, pp. 10413–10418, 1998.

- [9] H. Takinowaki, Y. Matsuda, T. Yoshida, Y. Kobayashi, and T. Ohkubo, "The solution structure of the methylated form of the N-terminal 16-kDa domain of Escherichia coli Ada protein," *Protein Science*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 487–497, 2006.
- [10] R. Tobes and J. L. Ramos, "AraC-XylS database: a family of positive transcriptional regulators in bacteria," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 318–321, 2002.
- [11] J. Yang, M. Tauschek, and R. M. Robins-Browne, "Control of bacterial virulence by AraC-like regulators that respond to chemical signals," *Trends in Microbiology*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 128–135, 2011.
- [12] S. J. Suhler, M. Wiederstein, M. Gruber, and M. J. Sippl, "COPS—a novel workbench for explorations in fold space," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. W539–W544, 2009.
- [13] M. J. Sippl, "On distance and similarity in fold space," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 872–873, 2008.
- [14] M. J. Sippl and M. Wiederstein, "A note on difficult structure alignment problems," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 426–427, 2008.
- [15] R. Apweiler, M. J. Martin, C. O'Donovan et al., "Ongoing and future developments at the Universal Protein Resource," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 39, supplement 1, pp. D214–D219, 2011.
- [16] M. A. Marti-Renom, M. S. Madhusudhan, and A. Sali, "Alignment of protein sequences by their profiles," *Protein Science*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1071–1087, 2004.
- [17] *Molecular Operating Environment (MOE)*, Chemical Computing Group, Montreal, Canada.
- [18] R. D. Finn, J. Mistry, J. Tate et al., "The Pfam protein families database," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. D211–D222, 2010.
- [19] K. Katoh, K. Misawa, K. I. Kuma, and T. Miyata, "MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 30, no. 14, pp. 3059–3066, 2002.
- [20] K. Tamura, D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei, and S. Kumar, "MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods," *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 2731–2739, 2011.
- [21] H. C. Wang, K. Li, E. Susko, and A. J. Roger, "A class frequency mixture model that adjusts for site-specific amino acid frequencies and improves inference of protein phylogeny," *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, vol. 8, no. 1, article 331, 2008.
- [22] F. Abascal, R. Zardoya, and D. Posada, "ProtTest: selection of best-fit models of protein evolution," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 2104–2105, 2005.
- [23] I. Letunic and P. Bork, "Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 127–128, 2007.
- [24] A. M. Waterhouse, J. B. Procter, D. M. A. Martin, M. Clamp, and G. J. Barton, "Jalview Version 2-A multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1189–1191, 2009.
- [25] A. Sali and T. L. Blundell, "Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 234, no. 3, pp. 779–815, 1993.
- [26] J. Wang, P. Cieplak, and P. A. Kollman, "How well does a restrained electrostatic potential (RESP) model perform in calculating conformational energies of organic and biological molecules?" *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 1049–1074, 2000.
- [27] X. J. Lu and W. K. Olson, "3DNA: a versatile, integrated software system for the analysis, rebuilding and visualization of three-dimensional nucleic-acid structures," *Nature Protocols*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 1213–1227, 2008.
- [28] T. Norambuena and F. Melo, "The Protein-DNA Interface database," *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 11, article 262, 2010.
- [29] F. Melo and E. Feytmans, "Novel knowledge-based mean force potential at atomic," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 267, no. 1, pp. 207–222, 1997.
- [30] E. Capriotti, T. Norambuena, M. A. Marti-Renom, and F. Melo, "All-atom knowledge-based potential for RNA structure prediction and assessment," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1086–1093, 2011.
- [31] G. E. Crooks, G. Hon, J. M. Chandonia, and S. E. Brenner, "WebLogo: a sequence logo generator," *Genome Research*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1188–1190, 2004.
- [32] R. G. Martin and J. L. Rosner, "Genomics of the marA/soxS/rob regulon of Escherichia coli: identification of directly activated promoters by application of molecular genetics and informatics to microarray data," *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 1611–1624, 2002.
- [33] B. Langmead, C. Trapnell, M. Pop, and S. L. Salzberg, "Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome," *Genome Biology*, vol. 10, no. 3, article R25, 2009.
- [34] P. Kersey, L. Bower, L. Morris et al., "Integr8 and Genome Reviews: integrated views of complete genomes and proteomes," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 33, pp. D297–D302, 2005.
- [35] S. Hunter, R. Apweiler, T. K. Attwood et al., "InterPro: the integrative protein signature database," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. D211–D215, 2009.
- [36] M. Kanehisa, S. Goto, Y. Sato, M. Furumichi, and M. Tanabe, "KEGG for integration and interpretation of large-scale molecular data sets," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. D109–D114, 2011.
- [37] B. Dangi, A. M. Gronenborn, J. L. Rosner, and R. G. Martin, "Versatility of the carboxy-terminal domain of the α subunit of RNA polymerase in transcriptional activation: use of the DNA contact site as a protein contact site for MarA," *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 45–59, 2004.
- [38] H. J. Kwon, M. H. J. Bennik, B. Demple, and T. Ellenberger, "Crystal structure of the Escherichia coli Rob transcription factor in complex with DNA," *Nature Structural Biology*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 424–430, 2000.
- [39] M. E. Rodgers and R. Schleif, "Solution structure of the DNA binding domain of AraC protein," *Proteins*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 202–208, 2009.
- [40] M. J. Lowden, K. Skorupski, M. Pellegrini, M. G. Chiorazzo, R. K. Taylor, and F. J. Kull, "Structure of Vibrio cholerae ToxT reveals a mechanism for fatty acid regulation of virulence genes," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 7, pp. 2860–2865, 2010.
- [41] A. Ochiai, T. Itoh, A. Kawamata, W. Hashimoto, and K. Murata, "Plant cell wall degradation by saprophytic Bacillus subtilis strains: gene clusters responsible for rhamnogalacturonan depolymerization," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 73, no. 12, pp. 3803–3813, 2007.
- [42] K. Kobayashi, M. Ogura, H. Yamaguchi et al., "Comprehensive DNA microarray analysis of Bacillus subtilis two-component regulatory systems," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 183, no. 24, pp. 7365–7370, 2001.
- [43] V. Chow, G. Nong, and J. F. Preston, "Structure, function, and regulation of the aldouronate utilization gene cluster from Paenibacillus sp. strain JDR-2," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 189, no. 24, pp. 8863–8870, 2007.

- [44] S. Poncet, M. Soret, P. Mervelet, J. Deutscher, and P. Noirot, "Transcriptional activator YesS is stimulated by histidine-phosphorylated HPr of the *Bacillus subtilis* phosphotransferase system," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 284, no. 41, pp. 28188–28197, 2009.
- [45] K. M. Vlassak, C. Snoeck, E. Luyten, P. de Wilde, P. van Rhijn, and J. Vanderleyden, "The *Rhizobium* sp. BR816 NodD3 gene is regulated by a transcriptional regulator of the AraC/XylS family," in *Biological Nitrogen Fixation for the 21st Century*, C. Elmerich, A. Kondorosi, and W. E. Newton, Eds., Proceedings of the 11th International Congress on Nitrogen Fixation, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, July 20–25, 1997, Springer, 1998.
- [46] H. Blanca-Ordóñez, J. J. Oliva-García, D. Pérez-Mendoza et al., "pSymA-dependent mobilization of the *Sinorhizobium meliloti* pSymB megaplasmid," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 192, no. 23, pp. 6309–6312, 2010.
- [47] C. Schmeisser, H. Liesegang, D. Krysiak et al., "*Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234 possesses a remarkable number of secretion systems," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 75, no. 12, pp. 4035–4045, 2009.
- [48] I. Nagy, G. Schoofs, F. Compernelle, P. Proost, J. Vanderleyden, and R. De Mot, "Degradation of the thiocarbamate herbicide EPTC (S-ethyl dipropylcarbamothioate) and biosafening by *Rhodococcus* sp. Strain NI86/21 involve an inducible cytochrome P-450 system and aldehyde dehydrogenase," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 177, no. 3, pp. 676–687, 1995.
- [49] D. A. Garsin, "Ethanolamine utilization in bacterial pathogens: roles and regulation," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 290–295, 2010.
- [50] D. Büttner and U. Bonas, "Getting across—bacterial type III effector proteins on their way to the plant cell," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 21, no. 20, pp. 5313–5322, 2002.
- [51] S. Cunnac, C. Boucher, and S. Genin, "Characterization of the cis-acting regulatory element controlling HrpB-mediated activation of the type III secretion system and effector genes in *Ralstonia solanacearum*," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 186, no. 8, pp. 2309–2318, 2004.
- [52] M. J. Soto, J. Sanjuán, and J. Olivares, "Rhizobia and plant-pathogenic bacteria: common infection weapons," *Microbiology*, vol. 152, no. 11, pp. 3167–3174, 2006.
- [53] F. W. Larimer, P. Chain, L. Hauser et al., "Complete genome sequence of the metabolically versatile photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodospseudomonas palustris*," *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 55–61, 2004.
- [54] Y. Oda, S. K. Samanta, F. E. Rey et al., "Functional genomic analysis of three nitrogenase isozymes in the photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodospseudomonas palustris*," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 187, no. 22, pp. 7784–7794, 2005.
- [55] K. L. Griffith and R. E. Wolf, "A comprehensive alanine scanning mutagenesis of the *Escherichia coli* transcriptional activator SoxS: identifying amino acids important for DNA binding and transcription activation," *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 322, no. 2, pp. 237–257, 2002.
- [56] N. M. Luscombe, R. A. Laskowski, and J. M. Thornton, "Amino acid-base interactions: a three-dimensional analysis of protein-DNA interactions at an atomic level," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 29, no. 13, pp. 2860–2874, 2001.
- [57] G. Y. Heo, W. C. Kim, G. J. Joo et al., "Deletion of xylR gene enhances expression of xylose isomerase in *Streptomyces lividans* TK24," *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 837–844, 2008.
- [58] O. Sand, M. Gingras, N. Beck, C. Hall, and N. Trun, "Phenotypic characterization of overexpression or deletion of the *Escherichia coli* crcA, cspE and crcB genes," *Microbiology*, vol. 149, no. 8, pp. 2107–2117, 2003.
- [59] P. Sliz, R. Engelmann, W. Hengstenberg, and E. F. Pai, "The structure of enzyme IIA(lactose) from *Lactococcus lactis* reveals a new fold and points to possible interactions of a multicomponent system," *Structure*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 775–788, 1997.
- [60] R. Rivilla, J. M. Sutton, and J. A. Downie, "*Rhizobium leguminosarum* NodT is related to a family of outer-membrane transport proteins that includes TolC, PrtF, CyaE and AprF," *Gene*, vol. 161, no. 1, pp. 27–31, 1995.
- [61] L. P. Solomonson and M. J. Barber, "Assimilatory nitrate reductase: functional properties and regulation," *Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 225–253, 1990.
- [62] M. Deshmukh, S. Turkarlan, D. Astor, M. Valkova-Valchanova, and F. Daldal, "The dithiol:disulfide oxidoreductases DsbA and DsbB of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* are not directly involved in cytochrome c biogenesis, but their inactivation restores the cytochrome c biogenesis defect of CcdA-null mutants," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 185, no. 11, pp. 3361–3372, 2003.
- [63] F. E. Rey, E. K. Heiniger, and C. S. Harwood, "Redirection of metabolism for biological hydrogen production," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. 1665–1671, 2007.
- [64] H. S. Jeong and Y. Jouanneau, "Enhanced nitrogenase activity in strains of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* that overexpress the rnf genes," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 182, no. 5, pp. 1208–1214, 2000.