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Distribution of Genes and Repetitive Elements in the Diabrotica virgifera virgifera Genome Estimated Using BAC Sequencing
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Feeding damage caused by the western corn rootworm, Diabrotica virgifera virgifera, is destructive to corn plants in North America and Europe where control remains challenging due to evolution of resistance to chemical and transgenic toxins. A BAC library, DvvBAC1, containing 109,486 clones with 104 ± 34.5 kb inserts was created, which has an ∼4.56X genome coverage based upon a 2.58 Gb (2.80 pg) flow cytometry-estimated haploid genome size. Paired end sequencing of 1037 BAC inserts produced 1.17 Mb of data (∼0.05% genome coverage) and indicated ∼9.4 and 16.0% of reads encode, respectively, endogenous genes and transposable elements (TEs). Sequencing genes within BAC full inserts demonstrated that TE densities are high within intergenic and intron regions and contribute to the increased gene size. Comparison of homologous genome regions cloned within different BAC clones indicated that TE movement may cause haplotype variation within the inbred strain. The data presented here indicate that the D. virgifera virgifera genome is large in size and contains a high proportion of repetitive sequence. These BAC sequencing methods that are applicable for characterization of genomes prior to sequencing may likely be valuable resources for genome annotation as well as scaffolding.

1. Introduction

Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries are composed of physical constructs that contain large genomic DNA inserts and provide a tool for the molecular genetic research of organisms of interest. For instance, anonymous genetic markers linked to genes that control insecticide resistance traits have been identified on BAC clones, and, following subsequent sequencing of cloned inserts, allowed the characterization of gene(s) that influence the expression of these traits [1]. Furthermore, sequence data from BAC inserts provide a means to evaluate genome structure, including the estimation of repetitive element densities [2] and the relative gene content of a species [3]. BAC clones are also useful for the construction of physical maps that represent contiguous sequence from an entire genome or genomic regions, and these assemblies have proven useful for determination of minimum tiling paths prior to BAC-by-BAC sequencing of large or highly repetitive genomes [4]. Scaffolding takes advantage of paired BAC end sequence (BES) data which provide direct physical linkages between sequence tags [5] and may assist in the scaffolding of contigs assembled from mate paired reads from next generation sequencing technologies.

The western corn rootworm, Diabrotica virgifera virgifera (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), is a beetle native to North
America, which is adapted to feeding on a limited number of grasses including corn [6]. The ancestral geographic range of *D. virgifera virgifera* extended from present-day Mexico into the Southwest United States and Great Plains, but an eastward range expansion began in the 1940s that coincided with the widespread cultivation of continuously planted corn in the central United States [7, 8]. *D. virgifera virgifera* was accidentally introduced into Central Europe in the early 1990s [9], and subsequent transatlantic and intra-European introductions have contributed to its contemporary geographic range in Europe [10, 11]. *D. virgifera virgifera* has one generation per year. Individuals overwinter as diapausing eggs which hatch in the spring, and subterranean larvae feed on corn roots [12]. Root damage caused by *D. virgifera virgifera* reduces the plant's ability to absorb soil nutrients and compromises structural stability [13]. Upon pupation and emergence from the soil, adult corn rootworm beetles can persist in fields for up to 4 weeks, can reduce seed pollination rates through feeding damage to corn silks (stamen) and can vector maize chlorotic mottle virus [14] and stalk rot fungus [15].

Larval feeding damage can be suppressed by systemic seed treatments, soil-applied insecticides, or transgenic corn hybrids that express *Bacillus-thuringiensis*-(Bt) derived insecticidal proteins. However, resistance to both chemical and Bt toxins are documented [16–19]. *D. virgifera virgifera* populations have also been managed by an alternating corn-soybean crop rotation (grass-legume rotation) [20], which negates the need for insecticide applications. This control strategy is based on a strong female preference to oviposit in soil at the base of corn plants, and the cospecialization of larvae for feeding on grass roots. However, female *D. virgifera virgifera* phenotypes have evolved that are no longer specifically attracted to cornfields but will lay eggs near other plants [21–23]. In the subsequent crop production year, progeny of these variant *D. virgifera virgifera* females will emerge in and damage first-year corn crops. This adaptive loss of adult fidelity in oviposition behavior has defeated the use of corn-soybean rotation as an effective control practice in many corn growing regions of the United States [22].

The propensity for corn rootworm to adapt to control measures has raised concern among producers, scientists, and regulatory agencies, and the need to investigate the underlying genetic mechanisms for adaptation is critical to developing sustainable pest management approaches [7, 24, 25]. In anticipation of a recently initiated whole genome sequencing (WGS) effort for *D. virgifera virgifera* that aims to build a foundation for future genetic and genomics research [25], we have determined the haploid genome size and have estimated gene and repetitive fraction densities from BAC sequencing data. These data and resources will facilitate annotation and contig scaffolding efforts of the upcoming WGS project.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Genome Size Estimation

Three males from the inbred nondiapauing *D. virgifera virgifera* colony at USDA-ARS, North Central Agricultural Research Laboratory in Brookings, SD [26] were starved for 24 hr, and homogenized with a razor blade in 0.5 ml chopping buffer (15 mM HEPES, 1 mM EDTA, 80 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 300 mM sucrose, 0.2% Triton X-100, 0.5 mM spermine tetrahydrochloride, 0.25 mM PVP). Homogenate was filtered through 20 um nylon mesh, centrifugated at 100 × g for 5 minutes, and nuclei suspended in 0.5 ml propidium iodide (PI) staining buffer (10 mM MgSO₄, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM HEPES, 0.1% DL-dithiothreitol, 2.5% Triton X-100, 100 ug/mL propidium iodide). Nuclei from the *Zea mays* inbred line B73 (genome size 2.5 Gb) and *Glycine max* line Williams 83 (1.115 Gb) were similarly prepared. Propidium iodide stained nuclei were analyzed on a BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA) FACSCanto flow cytometer equipped with a 488 nm laser and 610/620 emission filter. Estimates for standards (B73 and Williams 83) and *D. virgifera virgifera* were performed in triplicate. The estimated *D. virgifera virgifera* genome size was calculated from PI signals [27] and converted to pg estimates [28].

#### 2.2. BAC Library Construction, End Sequencing, and Annotation

Genomic DNA was extracted from ~100 individuals of the *D. virgifera virgifera* nondiapauing strain, pooled, and fractionated by partial digestion with *Hind*III, fragments between ~100 and 150 kb were excised, and these inserts were ligated into the pCC1 BAC vector (Epigenetec, Madison, WI, USA). Constructs were used to transform the *Escherichia coli* strain DH10B T1 by electroporation. Transformants were plated on LB agar (12.5 µg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol, 80 µg mL⁻¹ X-Gal, and 100 0.5 mM IPTG) and a total of 110,592 BAC clones were arrayed on 288 individual 384-well plates to comprise the DvBVAC1 library. The mean insert size within DvBVAC1 was estimated by contour-clamped homogeneous electric field (CHEF) electrophoresis of NotI-digested BAC DNA from 96 clones on a 0.9% agarose in 0.5X TAE buffer gel ramp run with a pulse time 5–15 s at 5 V/cm for 24 hrs and 4°C. Insert size estimates were made by comparison to the MidRange II PFG Marker (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), and the fold genome coverage of DvBVAC1 was estimated according to Clark and Carbon [29]. BAC DNA from 1152 DvBVAC1 clones (plates 217, 218, and 227) were purified and sequenced and annotated as described by Coates et al. [2], and sequence data deposited into the GenBank genome survey sequence (GSS) database (accession numbers JM104642–JM106797).

#### 2.3. BAC Screening and Full Insert Sequencing

DNA from DvBVAC1 clones were pooled into matrix, row, and column pools according to Yim et al. [30] and used in PCR reactions as described by Coates et al. [31]. DNA from DvBVAC1 clones was purified using the Large Construct Purification Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturers instructions, and DNA preparations run on 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis. BAC DNA was used to create individual mid-tagged libraries (RL1 to RL10) and each was sequenced on Roche GS-FLX at the William H. Keck Center for Comparative and Functional Genomics at the University of Illinois.
Cross-match (http://www.phrap.org/), Roche-provided sff tools (http://454.com/products/analysis-software/index.asp) and custom Java scripts were used to identify trim sequencing adapters within sff file data and remove sequences of <50 nucleotides or with homopolymer stretches ≥60% of the raw read length. Processed sequence data was assembled into contigs using the Roche GS De Novo Assembler v 1.1.03 using default parameters (seed step: 12, seed length: 16, min overlap length: 40, min overlap identity: 90%, alignment identity score: 2, and alignment difference score: 23).

Cloning vector sequence was identified using VecScreen (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/VecScreen/VecScreen.html) and masked using Maskseq [32]. Contigs assembled from contaminating *Escherichia coli* DNA were identified by querying against the K-12 reference genome (GenBank accession NC_000913) using the blastn algorithm, and contigs that produced E-values ≤10−15 were removed manually. The remaining filtered BAC contigs were annotated using the MAKER 2 genome annotation pipeline [33] using coding sequence evidence from 17,778 *D. virgifera virgifera* ESTs (GenBank dbEST accessions EW76110.1–EW777358.1 [34] and CN497248.1–CN498776.1 [35]), protein homology by blastx searches of the UniProt/Swiss-Prot databases, and *T. castaneum* gene models using the AUGUSTUS web server [36]. Prior to any annotation, RepeatMasker and RepeatRunner were used to identify retroelement-like regions within the BAC full inserts by running against predefined RepBase and RepeatRunner *te* proteins provided by MAKER 2 [33]. MAKER 2 output was imported into the Apollo Genome Annotation and Curation Tool [37], where additional annotations were performed via blastx searches of the NCBI nr protein database (E-values ≤10−15).

Contigs from clones 142B02 and 156M20 represent partially overlapping homologous sequence and were assembled into a single reference using CAP3 [38] (default parameters). Processed 454 read data from libraries RL003 and RL007 were mapped to this assembled reference using the program LASTZ [39] (default parameters). LASTZ output was made in Sequence Alignment/Map (SAM) format which was used to create an indexing sorted alignment file (.BAI file) with the command line index tool from SAMtools [40]. Mapped read data was visualized using BAMview in the Artemis Genome Viewer [41].

2.4. Comparative Genomics and Annotation of Repetitive Elements. Genomic and EST sequences were separately aligned for cadherin orthologs from *D. virgifera virgifera* (GenBank accessions; mRNA EF531715.1 with DNA EF541349.1) and *T. castaneum* (gene model XM_966295.2 with DNA scaffold NC_007417 positions 19,140,127 to 19,1330,052) using the program Splign with the discontinuous megablast option [42]. Splign tab-delimited output was used to estimate mean intron and exon size. Additionally, a de novo prediction of *D. virgifera virgifera* repetitive sequence was made by assembling BAC end sequence (BES) data using CAP3 [38] (default parameters), and subsequently used to query the *D. virgifera virgifera* cadherin genomic DNA sequence (EF541349.1) for putative repetitive sequence using the blastn algorithm. Blastn output was filtered for E-values ≥10−40. De novo prediction of *D. virgifera virgifera* repetitive sequences were also made within our assembled BAC full inserts (GenBank accessions JQ581035–JQ581043) by querying accession EF541349.1 using identical parameters. BAC insert regions with similarity to the EF541349.1 sequence were excised from BES contigs and BAC full insert sequences (using a custom PERL script), mapped to EF541349.1 using LASTZ [39] (default parameters) and output handled as described previously.

A computational prediction of short repetitive DNA elements known as miniature inverted repeat transposable elements (MITEs) was made for *D. virgifera virgifera* BES contigs and singletons as well as GenBank accession EF541349.1 and *T. castaneum* scaffold NC_007417 positions 19,140,127 to 19,1330,052 using the MITE Uncovering System (MUST; http://csbl1.bmb.uga.edu/ffzhou/MUST/) [43] (default parameters except max DR length = 4 and Min MITE length = 150). The secondary structures of putative MITEs were confirmed by using the Mfold DNA Server (http://mfold.rna.albany.edu/?q=mfold/DNA-Folding-Form) [44] with conditions 25°C and 1.0 mM Mg2+.

3. Results

3.1. Genome Size Estimation. The *D. virgifera virgifera* haploid genome size was estimated at 71,144 ± 537 fluorescent units from propidium iodide (PI) stained nuclei, which compared to 69,319 ± 491 and 35,631 ± 687 units for the internal standards of known genome size, *Zea mays* (2.50 Gbp) and *Glycine max* (1.115 Gbp), respectively. Populations of nuclei from *Z. mays* and *D. virgifera virgifera* produced overlapping PI signals on a flow cytometer, but the size scatter component (SSC-A) indicative of nucleosome densities was used to separate the signals of independent PI readings (Figure 1). Subsequent calculations of PI to genome size ratios indicate an estimated *D. virgifera virgifera* haploid genome size of ∼2.58 Gb or 2.80 pg.

3.2. BAC Library Construction, End Sequencing, and Annotation. Blue-white screening indicated the ligation efficiency with the pCC1 vector was ∼99.25% and arraying of clones onto 384-well plates with ∼99.75% of the wells being successfully filled (Amplicon Express, personal comm.). From these data, ∼109,846 genomic clones were estimated within the 288 × 384 well plates of DvvBAC1. Insert DNA was isolated, digested with NotI, and separated by CHEF electrophoresis from 93 of 96 DvvBAC1 clones (96.9%), which indicated a mean pCC1 insert size of 104.4 ± 34.5 kb (Figure 2; not all data shown). From these data, we estimate that 11,496 ± 3,758 Mbp are within DvvBAC1, translating to ∼4.56 ± 1.49-fold genome coverage (1.49- and 0.97-fold genome coverage at 95 and 99% probability thresholds, resp.).

Paired end sequencing of 1152 DvvBAC1 clones generated 2304 raw reads, of which 2156 produced high quality sequence data (PHRED scores ≥20; NCBI dbGSS; accessions JM104642–JM106797). Paired BAC end sequence (BES) data
was obtained from 1037 of the 1152 clones (90.0%). Filtering for reads \( > 100 \) bp resulted in 1999 sequences averaging 579.0 ± 141.1 bp (1.17 Mb total; ~0.05% of the 2.58 Gb \( D. \) virgifera \( D. \) virgifera genome). Functional annotations were obtained for 599 of 1999 filtered BES reads (30.0%) using blastx results, of which 176 sequences received 620 gene ontology (GO) annotations (3.75 ± 1.83 GO annotations per annotated sequence; see Table S1 in Supplementary Material available online at doi:10.1155/2012/604076 which provides a list of putative genes, biochemical functions, and pathway assignments). At level 2, the distribution of GO terms among biological process (P), cellular component (C), and molecular function (F), respectively, showed cellular process, cellular component, and binding activity as most prominent (Figure S1). A total of 447 unique InterPro annotations were made (Table S1; 12 most frequent are listed in Table 1). Predicted functional gene annotations within catalytic activities at GO level F were corroborated by 154 reverse transcriptase (IPR005135), 12 endonuclease/exonuclease (IPR000477), 17 ribonuclease (IPR012337 and IPR002156), and 5 integrase (IPR017853) annotations in the InterProScan output. An analogous blastn search indicated that 210 sequences (14.3%) showed \( \geq 68.0\% \) similarity to the complete \( D. \) virgifera \( D. \) virgifera cadherin gene (GenBank Accession EF531715.1; \( E \)-values \( \leq 1.31 \times 10^{-11} \)), and 23 (1.6%) showed \( \geq 69.0\% \) similarity to the \( D. \) virgifera \( D. \) virgifera, \( D. \) barbieri, and \( D. \) virgifera zeae microsatellite sequences (\( E \)-values \( \leq 1.14 \times 10^{-11} \); Figure S2). In addition, a total of 45 annotations of DvBAC1 BES reads (3.1% of total) indicate an origin from the proteobacterial endosymbiont, \( Wolbachia \) (Figure S2; Table S1).

3.3. BAC Screening and Full Insert Sequencing. Screening of DvBAC1 identified clones containing sequence from eight EST markers (5.29 ± 2.98 hits; range of 1 to 9 hits per marker; data not shown). Eight of the 9 BAC inserts (88.9%) were successfully sequenced on the Roche GS-FLX. After raw data filtering, a total of 240,586 reads were assembled into 39 contigs that contained 642.0 kb of sequence (16.5 ± 18.9 kb per contig; Table 2). The annotation of BAC inserts using MAKER 2 predicted 37 putative genes and 48 retrotransposon-like protein coding intervals with 3 and 31 of these sequences supported by EST evidence, respectively.

Contigs from clones 142B02 and 156M20 represent homologous genomic regions within different clones and provide a measure of haplotype variation within the library. Sequences from these two clones shared 11 endogenous and 5 retroelement-like protein coding sequences, which represent homologous genome intervals from unique BAC inserts. Six contigs totaling 31.9 kb were aligned (Figure 3), and haplotype variation between inserts was shown via 3 SNPs within the 22.5 kb of CAP3 aligned sequence (SNP frequency \( \sim 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \)), protein coding sequences were 100% conserved, and no indels were present. Compared to the consensus, 2564 and 5467 bp regions were not represented within clones 142B02 and 156M20, respectively, and was verified by mapping reads to the CAP3 scaffolds (Figure 3). HindIII restriction site mapping showed that cut sites used in cloning may not have been the cause of sequence disparity. Additionally, the entire pCC1 cloning vector sequence was sequenced and masked from both clone 142B02 and 156M20 assemblies, indicating that insert boundaries did not give rise to the two gaps. Retroelement-like sequences were annotated within the two haplotype sequence gaps. These results also suggest that structural variation based on the integration/excision or random deletion of repetitive DNA elements may exist among \( D. \) virgifera \( D. \) virgifera haplotypes.

3.4. Comparative Genomics and Annotation of Repetitive Elements. Comparison of the cadherin gene intron and exon structure from \( D. \) virgifera \( D. \) virgifera and 7.1 kb \( T. \) castaneum orthologs indicated that the ~13.3-fold increase in the former is accounted for by intron sequence. Specifically, the \( T. \) castaneum cadherin has a mean intron size of 0.085 ± 0.189 kb, compared to 2.9 ± 1.5 kb in the \( D. \) virgifera \( D. \) virgifera cadherin, whereas respective total exon sizes of 4.9 kb (mean 180 ± 72.8) and 5.4 kb (mean 173 ± 71.2) were similar between species (Tables S2 and S3). The de novo prediction of repetitive elements by alignment of \( D. \) virgifera \( D. \) virgifera BES data and BAC full insert sequences resulted in 226 contigs and 1089 singletons (mean length of 761.0 ± 236.3 bp; maximum 2002 bp, mean depth = 3.3 ± 4.3 reads). Mapping de novo repetitive genome regions (150 from BES
Table 1: The number of InterPro accessions obtained during annotation of *D. v. virgifera* BAC end sequences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>InterPro entry</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>InterPro functional description(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPR015706</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>RNA-directed DNA polymerase (reverse transcriptase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR000477</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Reverse transcriptase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR009072</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Histone-fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR012337</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ribonuclease H-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR007125</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Histone core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR000558</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Histone H2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR005135</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Endonuclease/exonuclease/phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR011991</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Winged helix-turn-helix transcription repressor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR001878</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Zinc finger, CCHC-type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR005819</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Histone H5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR001584</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Integrase, catalytic core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR002156</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ribonuclease H domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR005818</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Histone H1/H5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data (mean 287.4 ± 131.9 bp) and 146 from BAC full inserts (348.2 ± 201.0 bp) to the *D. virgifera virgifera* cadherin gene sequence resulted in alignments mostly within introns, where the greatest read depth of 37 and 42 were in introns 2 and 12, respectively (Figure 4). Annotation of de novo assembled repetitive sequences indicated that 36 (15.9%) encoded reverse transcriptase, gag-pol, or other retrovirus-associated proteins. Histone-like proteins were encoded by contig 87 (histone H1), contig 110 and 149 (histone H2a), contigs 11 and 173 (histone H2b), and contig 214 (histone H3; remaining data not shown).

Predictions of transposable elements by MUST indicated 88 putative MITE-like sequences with direct repeats (DRs) of 2 nucleotides were located within the *D. virgifera virgifera* cadherin gene (Table S4), where 22, 18, and 11 of the DRs involved AT/TA, AA, and TT dinucleotides. Putative MITEs that occupy a total of 2.4 kb (mean = 278.9 ± 124.5 bp) are composed of 65.7 ± 0.1% A or T nucleotides and have predicted terminal inverted repeat (TIR) lengths of 11.9 ± 5.5 bp. Positions of MITE-like inserts were predicted to be within intron regions (Figure 4). Comparatively, the *T. castaneum* cadherin gene contained 12 putative MITE-like elements that were all predicted within intron regions (Table S5).

4. Discussion

4.1. Genome Size Estimation. The haploid *D. virgifera virgifera* genome size of 2.58 Gb (2.80 pg) is one of the largest estimated among beetle species ([45]; mean 0.891 ± 0.795 pg), which range from ~0.15 for *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (Coleoptera: Silvanidae) [46] to 3.40 Gb for *Chrysolina carnifex* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) [45]. Genome size heterogeneity among beetle species does not appear to be correlated with organism “complexity” (C-value paradox) [47], specialization [48], or increased gene content [49]. The relation between repetitive DNA content and genome size in Coleoptera is only available for the model species *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), where the ~0.200 Gb genome has an estimated 5110 repetitive elements [30] which comprise ~13 of the 0.160 Gb assembled sequence [49]. In contrast, our data suggest that the proportion of the *D. virgifera virgifera* genome consisting of repetitive DNA is much higher.

4.2. BAC Library Construction, End Sequencing, and Annotation. BAC libraries are genomic tools that are useful for the isolation of genes linked to a trait [50] as well as the generation of end sequences that provide estimates of genome structure and TE densities [2, 51, 52]. Despite their utility in genomics research, only one coleopteran BAC library has previously been reported, for *T. castaneum* [53]. The prediction of gene-coding regions from BAC end sequence from nonmodel species rely on functional annotation by homology-based identification with related genes in model organisms. This can result in vague or inaccurate gene definitions for nonmodel species [54], such as our *D. virgifera virgifera* dbGSS dataset. Despite the relative dearth in gene discovery by *D. virgifera virgifera* BES, 179 novel protein coding regions were annotated which will provide a resource for annotation of future WGS efforts. Studies with similarly low genome sequence coverage have been useful for initial descriptions of functional and repetitive elements [55].
Proteins encoded by DNA-based TEs and retrotransposons totaled \(\sim 16.0\%\) of BES reads and outnumbered endogenous genes by \(\sim 1.8\)-fold. Extrapolation suggests that retroelement-like TEs might occupy \(\sim 0.41\) Gb of the 2.58 Gb genome. Compared to \emph{T. castaneum} which has \(\sim 3.7\%\) of the genome assembly occupied by LTR- and non-LTR-retrotransposons [30], the \emph{D. virgifera virgifera} genome may have an \(\sim 4.3\)-fold higher retroelement content. Our investigations also indicate that small nonautonomous miniature inverted repeat transposable elements (MITEs) are present within the \emph{D. virgifera virgifera} genome.

### 4.3. BAC Screening and Full Insert Sequencing.

The Roche-454 GS-FLX provides a robust method for rapid sequence generation, from which single end read data were sufficient to assemble 8 of the 9 BAC plasmids we sequenced. Assembly of \emph{D. virgifera virgifera} BAC inserts into an average of \(\sim 5\) contigs per clone and encompassing 80.3 kb of total sequence was greater than that obtained following assembly of BACs from barley [23]. Annotations indicated that the number of TE-derived genes in assembled contigs were 1.3-fold higher than endogenous protein coding genes. This result differs from our estimate from BES data but may be influenced by sample number or by the effect of large TE-derived gene sizes on the probability of sampling from BES data. Regardless, full BAC insert sequences indicate that the \emph{D. virgifera virgifera} genome is comprised of a high proportion of TE-derived sequence but also suggests that DNA-based and retroelement-like TEs are localized within intergenic space. This preliminary genome sequencing evidence suggests that genic regions of the \emph{D. virgifera virgifera} genome can be assembled from short single-end NGS read data, but the use of longer read lengths and paired-end or mate-pair NGS strategies may result in increased contig size and/or scaffolding by the spanning of repetitive elements.

Comparison of the homologous regions within contigs from clones 142B02 and 156M20 provided a direct measure of local genome variation based upon insertion/deletion of large DNA regions may occur. Evidence suggests that these variations are not likely due to differences in read depth or effects of cloning due to variation in HindIII restriction sites. Interestingly, retroelement-like sequences were annotated within regions of haplotype variation and may indicate that microsynteny is altered through TE integration. Analogous

### Table 2: Summary of contigs per BAC that were assembled from Roche-454 sequencing data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mid-tag library</th>
<th>BAC clone</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Raw data (reads/kb)</th>
<th>Assembled data (reads/kb)</th>
<th>GenBank accession</th>
<th>Contig size (kb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RL001</td>
<td>40F02</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>23,300/10,298</td>
<td>21,525/97.8</td>
<td>JQ581035</td>
<td>19.6 ± 22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL002</td>
<td>89B10</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>8,701/7,408</td>
<td>7,277/111.7</td>
<td>JQ581036</td>
<td>29.7 ± 20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL003</td>
<td>142B02</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>29,444/12,894</td>
<td>19,447/30.5</td>
<td>JQ581037</td>
<td>4.3 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL005</td>
<td>191G22</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>64,410/28,909</td>
<td>8,348/104.0</td>
<td>JQ581038</td>
<td>17.3 ± 18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL006</td>
<td>163F14</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>9,495/3,523</td>
<td>22,530/101.7</td>
<td>JQ581039</td>
<td>25.4 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL007</td>
<td>156M20</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>16,427/7,179</td>
<td>9,435/24.6</td>
<td>JQ581040</td>
<td>4.1 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL008</td>
<td>222P02</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>43,702/20,431</td>
<td>0/0.0</td>
<td>FAILED</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL009</td>
<td>213A05</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>25,196/11,345</td>
<td>18,868/74.5</td>
<td>JQ581041</td>
<td>74.5 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL010</td>
<td>188M01</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>19,912/9,238</td>
<td>18,478/90.6</td>
<td>JQ581042</td>
<td>15.1 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>240,587/111,225</td>
<td>125,908 (15,738 ± 6286)/642.3 (80.3 ± 34.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.6 ± 20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: not applicable due to DNA sequencing failure.
haplotype variation was caused by movement of Helitron-like TEs in maize and SINEs in canine genomes. Our results similarly suggest that retroelement movement may be a source of haplotype variation in the D. virgifera virgifera genome but will require further investigation to realize the extent to which movements affect genome structure and function.

4.4. Comparative Genomics and Annotation of Repetitive Elements. Compared to T. castaneum, the orthologs of intronless histone encoding genes show no size increase within the D. virgifera virgifera genome, although intron-containing genes tend to show a dramatic increased size in D. virgifera virgifera. For example, the 94.6 kb D. virgifera virgifera cadherin gene is ~13.3-fold larger than the T. castaneum ortholog despite the coding regions being approximately the same length. Mapping of BES reads and computational prediction of MITE-like elements within the D. virgifera virgifera cadherin gene indicated that TEs and other repetitive elements have inserted within intron regions and are the cause of the comparative increase in gene size. TE integrations within introns are known to affect splicing efficiencies [57], but this remains to be investigated in D. virgifera virgifera. As stated previously, the insertion of large retroelements within gene coding regions was not predicted. The insertion of a repetitive DNA in the D. virgifera virgifera cadherin 5’-UTR suggests that the movement of TEs within the genome could alter gene expression and regulation. TE integrations are also known to cause chromosomal changes that alter gene expression [58]. The accumulation of these changes across the genome can lead to differential selection among local environments [39] or even contribute to the evolution of new species [60]. Knowledge of TE composition within a genome is a fundamental step in the study of relationships between structure and function that may form a basis for future comparative studies. We defined 296 small repetitive DNA elements and 48 large retroelement-like coding sequences within the D. virgifera virgifera genome. Although these elements were defined from only 1.15 Mb of genomic sequence, these predictions represent an initial resource for understanding the proliferation and phenotypic effects of repetitive DNA. The DvvBAC1 library has proven useful for the description of gene and repetitive element densities in the D. virgifera virgifera genome and will be a tool for the investigation of the genetic basis of problematic insecticide resistance and behavioral traits expressed by this crop pest species.
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