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Methyl Mercury Exposure at Niigata, Japan: Results of Neurological Examinations of 103 Adults
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1. Introduction

Large-scale poisonings caused by methyl mercury (MeHg) have occurred in Japan (Minamata in the 1950s and Niigata in the 1960s) and Iraq (in the 1970s) [1–3]. These poisonings provided substantial data regarding the neurologic signs caused by MeHg: sensory disturbance, ataxia, constriction of the visual field, speech disturbance, and hearing impairment [1–7]. In Minamata, the etiologic agent (MeHg) was not acknowledged until three years after official identification of the exposed cases (in 1956); thus, there were few attempts to evaluate the association between MeHg exposure and neurologic signs (i.e., dose-response relationships). In contrast, in the settings of Niigata and Iraq, MeHg was recognized as an etiologic agent in the early phase of the poisonings [1, 5, 7], which provided the occasions to examine the dose-response relationships. The findings from these two poisonings therefore provided significant insights for World Health Organization (WHO) conclusion on mercury and health [1, 8].

Indeed, WHO published two reports of Environmental Health Criteria in 1976 and 1990 based on the findings from the Japanese and Iraqi outbreaks [1, 8–10]. Then, WHO concluded that "Certain groups with high fish consumption..."
may attain a high blood methyl mercury level (about 200 µg/liter, corresponding to 50 µg/g of hair) associated with a low (5%) risk of neurological damage to adults” [9]. The reports also mentioned that “No adverse effects have been detected with long-term daily methyl mercury intakes of 3–7 µg/kg body weight (hair mercury concentrations of approximately 50–125 µg/g)” [9]. In the process of making the conclusion, WHO reports cited publications from Niigata which mentioned that the mercury content in hair samples from 30 initial patients, officially certified as having MeHg poisoning, ranged from 52 µg/g to 570 µg/g [3, 11, 12]. However, the publications did not consider any cases who were diagnosed later and/or exposed low level of MeHg (hair mercury level less than 50 µg/g), possibly distorting the conclusion of WHO.

Early on in the Niigata poisoning in 1965, there were two extensive surveys of people living along the Agano River and the adjacent areas, which included assessment of hair mercury concentration. In the present study, we targeted 103 adults from the surveys and examined whether neurological signs related to MeHg exposure were observed below hair mercury concentration of 50 µg/g as well as the distributions of the neurological signs among the participants. Because MeHg exposure through fish consumption has attracted intense public health interest [13], the findings from Niigata would be valuable.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study Areas and Subjects. In Niigata Japan, the first case of MeHg poisoning was found in January, the second in April, and the third in May, of 1965. Seven patients were confirmed before June and all lived around the lower stream of the Agano River. Their exposure was due to consumption of fish and shellfish contaminated by MeHg discharged from a chemical factory (Showa Denko Kanose Factory) located on the upper reaches of the Agano River [3, 5, 6, 11, 12, 14]. The total mercury content of fish samples collected in June 1965 from the Agano River was elevated (barbel, 21.0–23.6 ppm; snakehead mullet, 12.3 ppm; chub, 4.6–8.38 ppm) and one eel captured near Tsugawa town (a town along the Agano River) had 41.0 ppm [7].

In June 1965, two surveys were carried out for all officially registered inhabitants of five administrative districts located within 15 km from the mouth of the river where the seven patients lived. The administrative districts were composed of the parts of 2 cities, 2 towns, and one village, consisting of 65 settlements. The first survey was conducted in 6 settlements where the first 7 patients lived between June 14, 1965 and June 18, 1965 by medical doctors, interns, and students from the Niigata University Medical Department. The second survey was performed between June 21, 1965 and June 24, 1965 by the Niigata Prefecture Sanitation Department in the remaining 59 settlements of the districts. The second survey included public health nurses from the prefectural health centers as well [5, 7, 15].

The sampling process of the study subjects from these two surveys is shown in Figure 1. In the first survey, 2,813 inhabitants were interviewed using a standardized questionnaire to determine the extent of their MeHg exposure. The survey focused on symptoms related to MeHg exposure and fish consumption. Subjects with symptoms suggestive of MeHg exposure were subsequently examined neurologically. The second survey was done in the same fashion on 19,888 inhabitants of the lower reaches of the Agano River basin.

From these interviews, 1,386 persons were identified as being exposed because they had symptoms or findings suggestive of MeHg exposure; because other family members had symptoms related to MeHg poisoning; or because they consumed river fish in large quantities. Subsequently, their total hair mercury concentration was measured [5, 7]. After that, in July 1965, Niigata Prefecture restricted the taking of fish and shellfish on the lower reaches of the Agano River.

Among 1,386 persons, 109 subjects who had symptoms related to MeHg poisoning as chief complaints voluntarily consulted at either (or both) of two medical institutions (Nuttari clinic and Kido hospital) for medical care for the first time during the period of 1965 to 1986. The two institutions are medical institutions which have followed MeHg poisoning cases in Niigata just after the outbreak in 1965, cooperating with Niigata University. Most of the patients still consult at either (or both) of the two medical institutions for medical care. In the present study, we included 103 adults (≥20 years old) and considered the neurological manifestations observed at their first consultation.

2.2. Measurement of MeHg in Hair. The subjects had their hair collected before the restriction of fish and shellfish (in July 1965) and were believed to be consuming the contaminated fish and shellfish when mercury was measured. The mercury content of hair samples was measured using 3 different techniques: the Dithizone method, Neutron Activation Analysis, and Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy at the Niigata University [5, 7]. We report the values without any interpolation.

2.3. Measurement of Outcomes (Diagnosis of Neurological Signs from MeHg Exposure). The 103 subjects were evaluated using a standard neurological examination by at least two well-trained physicians including two of the authors in the present study (T. Sekikawa and H. Saito) between 1965 and 1986. In particular, one of the authors (H. Saito) has been treating the MeHg poisoning cases since the outbreak in 1965 at the two medical institutions up to the present and was involved in all of the neurological examinations. Thus, neurological examination was standardized over the study period and between the examiners. Data were collected on neurological signs related to MeHg poisoning, namely, sensory disturbance of the distal extremities, perioral area, and whole body; ataxia; visual constriction; hearing impairment; speech disturbance; disequilibrium. However, not all subjects underwent all neurological tests: data on whole body sensory disturbance, visual constriction, hearing impairment, speech disturbance, and disequilibrium were missing for 7, 8, 9, 7, 1 study subjects, respectively. In the previous study in
Minamata, it was noted that sensory disturbance of the bilateral distal extremities could be used as the gold standard for the diagnosis of Minamata Disease (methyl mercury poisoning-related neurological disorders) in the exposed areas [16, 17].

Tactile sensation was estimated using a small paint brush and pain sensation was tested using a roulette wheel. Visual fields were examined by confrontation. Hearing impairment was examined by tuning fork of 512 Hz and a ticking watch. Speech disturbances were examined by phonation of labial and lingual sounds. Ataxia was determined by 3 tests; Adiadochokinesis, Finger-Nose, and Heel-Knee. Disequilibrium was tested by 3 tests; Romberg test, Mann test, and standing on one foot. Mann test is used to investigate the loss of motor coordination. The procedure is as follows: the subject stands with both legs on a straight line putting one toenail behind the heel of the other foot and looks straight ahead with eyes open and then closes both eyes. The subject repeats the procedure reversing the positions of his feet. Mann test is judged positive when the subject sways. For ataxia and disequilibrium, each test was scored as positive (+), equivocal (±), or negative (−). If one test in the group was positive that category was classified as positive. If there were no positives in the three tests and there was one and over (±) in the category it was scored as equivocal. When all 3 tests were negative, the category was classified as negative. When the categories were classified as positive or equivocal, ataxia and disequilibrium are scored positive in this study. The subjects were all examined between June 1965 and July 1986. In the present study, we examined neurological symptoms and signs at the first consultation for the two medical institutions (i.e., the closest findings to the hair mercury measurement of June, 1965).

**3. Analysis**

First, we described the demographic characteristics of the subjects by exposure category (0–≤20 µg/g, 20–50 µg/g, 50–100 µg/g, 100–200 µg/g, >200 µg/g).
50–100 µg/g, and >100 µg/g). We then compared the prevalence proportions of neurological signs between the exposure categories. We estimated the adjusted prevalence odds ratios of exposure using a logistic regression model, employing the lowest measured category as reference. We adjusted for age and sex. We also conducted statistical tests for trend. In a sensitivity analysis, we restricted the subjects whose hair mercury concentration was measured by the Dithizone method, which the previous publications from Niigata adopted, and repeated the same analysis. All confidence intervals were calculated at the 95% level. PASW software version 18.0 was used for all analyses.

4. Results

The distribution of the 103 subjects among 1,386 persons (who were measured mercury concentration) separated by the exposure category is shown in Table 1. The persons who had lower level of mercury concentration in hair tended not to be consulted at the medical institutions. The characteristics of the study subjects separated by the exposure category are also shown in Table 2. The subjects in the highest exposure category (>100 µg/g) tended to be younger in 1965, men, and examined earlier after their signs appeared. About seven-tenths of the samples (69.9%) were measured using the Dithizone method, while about one-fourth (25.2%) by Neutron Activation Analysis, and only 5 samples (4.9%) by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy.

Figure 2 and Table 3 show the frequency of neurological signs related to MeHg poisoning separated by the hair mercury content group. Distal sensory disturbance of the bilateral extremities was most frequently detected (95.1%), followed by disequilibrium (86.3%), hearing impairment (75.5%), and ataxia (70.9%). Half of the subjects manifested sensory disturbance of the perioral area (57.3%) and visual constriction (44.2%). All of the subjects who had a perioral sensory disturbance had a sensory disturbance of the bilateral extremities. Even the subjects who had hair mercury levels less than 50 µg/g had a variety of neurological signs related to MeHg poisoning.

When we estimated the adjusted prevalence odds ratios (Table 3), the associations between exposure and neurological signs were not apparent because neurological signs were detected even in the low exposure categories. Statistical dose-response relationships were not apparent except perioral sensory disturbance ($P = 0.04$).

Even when we restricted the subjects whose hair mercury concentration was measured by the Dithizone method, the 28 subjects (Table 2) with hair mercury concentration less than 50 µg/g had the neurological signs related to MeHg poisoning.

5. Discussion

In the present study, we examined whether neurological signs related to MeHg exposure were observed below hair mercury concentration of 50 µg/g as well as the distributions of the neurological signs in Niigata, Japan. We then found that there were the 48 subjects with hair mercury concentration less than 50 µg/g had the neurological signs related to MeHg poisoning, that is, some of the subjects with the typical neurological signs had hair mercury levels less than 50 µg/g. Moreover, among the neurological signs, sensory disturbance of the bilateral distal extremities, disequilibrium, hearing impairment, and ataxia were observed more frequently.

Although the previous studies conducted in the same exposed area (Niigata) suggested that the hair mercury content from the initial patients ranged from 52 µg/g to 570 µg/g [11, 12, 14], the present study provided an additional finding that the subjects who had similar neurological signs were detected even among the 48 subjects below hair mercury concentration of 50 µg/g. Although we do not have information about the neurological signs of 48 subjects at survey time (in June 1965), they had a variety of neurological signs related to MeHg poisoning when we examined. This is probably because our study included the subjects who manifested the signs later and who had less severe signs in the low exposure level compared to the previous studies.

Given the sampling process of the present study (only 7.4% of the hair-mercury-measured subjects) (Figure 1 and Table 1), there may be a question about inferring that the persons who have hair mercury concentration less than 50 µg/g really manifest the typical neurological signs induced by MeHg exposure. However, the notion that persons with the low level of exposure manifest such neurological signs could be supported by the following evidence: first, the prevalence of such neurological signs among unexposed population is rare (e.g., prevalence of sensory disturbance of the bilateral distal extremities ranged from 0 to 1.1% [18–22]).

Second, as mentioned, it was noted that sensory disturbance of the bilateral distal extremities could be used as the gold standard for the diagnosis of Minamata Disease (methyl mercury poisoning-related neurological disorders) in the exposed areas [16, 17]. This evidence was supported by the findings that sensory disturbance had the lowest threshold [1]; milder cases only had sensory disturbance [1, 8]; sensory disturbance was the most persistent neurologic sign [18]; the prevalence of sensory disturbance of the bilateral distal extremities was low (as mentioned). Therefore, it could be considered that the subjects in the present study who had hair mercury concentration less than 50 µg/g manifested the typical neurological signs because of MeHg exposure.

Moreover, even if we assumed that persons who did not consult at the medical institutions did not have any typical neurological signs, the prevalence of the neurological signs would be still higher than that of general population. For example, 23, 23, 20, and 32 subjects had sensory disturbance of the bilateral distal extremities in each exposure category (0–<20, 20–50, 50–100, and >100 µg/g) (Table 3); thus, the prevalence of the neurological sign was 2.5, 7.5, 22.0, and 52.5% in each category assuming that persons who did not consult at the medical institutions did not have any typical neurological signs (Table 1). The prevalence, in particular in the categories of hair mercury levels less than 50 µg/g, is still higher than that of general population mentioned above (0 to 1.1% [18–22]). The present study therefore supports that long-term exposure to MeHg at levels below the current
Table 1: Distribution of the 103 subjects among 1,386 persons (whose hair mercury concentrations were measured) separated by the exposure category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0–20 µg/g (n = 926)</th>
<th>20–50 µg/g (n = 308)</th>
<th>50–100 µg/g (n = 91)</th>
<th>&gt;100 µg/g (n = 61)</th>
<th>Total (n = 1386)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persons who did not consult two medical institutions</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects in the present study</td>
<td>24 + 1* (2.7%)</td>
<td>24 + 11 (8.1%)</td>
<td>21 + 3 (26.4%)</td>
<td>34 + 1 (57.4%)</td>
<td>103 + 6 (7.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number after the plus sign shows the number of persons younger than 20 years old. Consequently, 103 subjects were included in the present study.

Source: Ministry of Health and Welfare.

Table 2: Characteristics of subjects by hair mercury content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All (n = 103)</th>
<th>0–20 µg/g (n = 24)</th>
<th>20–50 µg/g (n = 24)</th>
<th>50–100 µg/g (n = 21)</th>
<th>&gt;100 µg/g (n = 34)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years (1965) ± SD</td>
<td>44.5 ± 12.9</td>
<td>45.3 ± 12.9</td>
<td>44.8 ± 12.4</td>
<td>49.5 ± 13.1</td>
<td>40.6 ± 12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>61 (59.2%)</td>
<td>11 (45.8%)</td>
<td>12 (50.0%)</td>
<td>12 (57.1%)</td>
<td>26 (76.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>42 (40.8%)</td>
<td>13 (54.2%)</td>
<td>12 (50.0%)</td>
<td>9 (42.9%)</td>
<td>8 (23.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date symptoms began</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964–1973</td>
<td>72 (69.9%)</td>
<td>10 (41.7%)</td>
<td>18 (75.0%)</td>
<td>13 (61.9%)</td>
<td>31 (91.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964–1970</td>
<td>26 (25.2%)</td>
<td>13 (54.2%)</td>
<td>6 (25.0%)</td>
<td>5 (23.8%)</td>
<td>2 (5.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years (±SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>that symptoms began</td>
<td>45.5 ± 12.8</td>
<td>46.0 ± 12.8</td>
<td>46.5 ± 13.5</td>
<td>51.4 ± 13.0</td>
<td>40.4 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years of assessment of neurologic signs ± SD</td>
<td>50.7 ± 13.0</td>
<td>53.9 ± 12.4</td>
<td>52.4 ± 12.5</td>
<td>56.3 ± 11.7</td>
<td>43.6 ± 11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hair mercury content ± SD (µg/g)</td>
<td>107.6 ± 123.1</td>
<td>13.2 ± 4.5</td>
<td>32.6 ± 8.5</td>
<td>72.6 ± 13.9</td>
<td>248.8 ± 121.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair mercury content (µg/g) (min-max)</td>
<td>4.2–570.0</td>
<td>4.2–19.5</td>
<td>20.5–46.6</td>
<td>56.0–100.0</td>
<td>104.0–570.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis method of hair mercury (1965)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dithizone</td>
<td>72 (69.9%)</td>
<td>10 (41.7%)</td>
<td>18 (75.0%)</td>
<td>13 (61.9%)</td>
<td>31 (91.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutron activation</td>
<td>26 (25.2%)</td>
<td>13 (54.2%)</td>
<td>6 (25.0%)</td>
<td>5 (23.8%)</td>
<td>2 (5.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic absorption</td>
<td>5 (4.9%)</td>
<td>1 (4.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (14.3%)</td>
<td>1 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: standard deviation.

Figure 2: Hair mercury content and frequency of neurological signs. Dis.dom.bil. S.D.: distal dominant bilateral Sensory Disturbance S.D.: sensory disturbance.
WHO limits could cause neurologic signs, in particular, sensory disturbance, which is consistent with the view of the National Research Council (US) [23] and the previous studies conducted in Brazil [24] and Minamata [10].

The finding that the dose-response relationship was observed for perioral sensory disturbance (Table 3) was consistent with the previous study in Minamata [10]. The reason why the dose-response relationships were not observed for other neurological signs could be explained by the sampling process. It is likely that the subjects who did not have the neurological signs in the lower level of the mercury exposure did not consult the doctors, probably diminishing the dose-response relationships.

There is a concern that the hair mercury level measured in June 1965 may not reflect the peak exposure of the subjects. However, the hair mercury concentration of the subjects was measured at the relatively early phase of the outbreak. In addition, all of the hair samples were collected before the restriction of fishing; thus, the subjects were considered to be consuming the contaminated fish (at that time) and their hair mercury values were thought to be close to the peak exposure value. Thus, such a concern would not invalidate our result.

In the present study, a sensory disturbance of the bilateral distal extremities was most frequently detected (95.1%), followed by disequilibrium (86.3%), hearing impairment (75.5%), and ataxia (70.9%). Half of the subjects had sensory disturbance of the perioral area (57.3%) and visual constriction (44.2%). This tendency that the sensory disturbance was the most prevalent sign is consistent with the previous studies in Niigata [25] and Minamata [4] which examined the prevalence of the neurological signs among the exposed subjects.

The possible limitation of the present study is that there were three different methods for hair mercury measurement. Hoshino et al. [26] measured total mercury content in hair samples from 3 Niigata Minamata disease patients and their 17 family members by using two techniques (the Neutron Activation Analysis and the Dithizone Method) and showed that the values were the same between the two methods. Moreover, Tsubaki [14] measured same samples by using the Dithizone Method and Atomic Absorption Analysis, then they showed that the values from the two methods were similar. Moreover, restraining the subjects whose hair mercury concentration measurement would not explain the present results. Moreover, restricting the subjects whose hair mercury concentration was measured by the Dithizone Method did not alter the results in the sensitivity analysis.

As mentioned, another limitation is the sampling process of the present study. We could not obtain the information about health status of the 1277 persons who did not consult the doctors. This may affect the dose-response relationships and the obtained prevalence of the neurological signs in each exposure category. However, this limitation does not affect the present finding that there were subjects with the neurological signs related to MeHg poisoning in the exposure category of hair mercury levels less than 50 µg/g.

6. Conclusions

The present study, conducted in Niigata Japan, showed that the subjects with the neurological signs related to MeHg poisoning had hair mercury levels less than 50 µg/g and suggested the possibility that long-term exposure to MeHg at levels below the current WHO limits could cause neurologic signs, in particular, sensory disturbance. Among the neurological signs, sensory disturbances of bilateral extremities were the most common. These findings may provide additional evidence for MeHg toxicity from contaminated seafood intake.

| Table 3: Adjusted PORs* and their 95% C.I.s between mercury content and neurological signs. |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Dis.dom.bil. S.D. n (%) 1.0–50 µg/g | 0.0–20 µg/g | 20–50 µg/g | 50–100 µg/g | >100 µg/g | P value for trend |
| PORs and 95% CIs 1% | 10 (41.7) | 12 (50) | 16 (76.2) | 21 (61.8) | 0.74 |
| Visual constriction n (%) | 11 (45.8) | 11 (45.8) | 7 (37.1) | 13 (43.3) | 0.89 |
| Hearing impairment n (%) | 16 (72.7) | 19 (86.4) | 15 (78.9) | 20 (64.5) | 0.25 |
| Speech disturbance n (%) | 3 (13) | 4 (16.7) | 4 (23.5) | 8 (25) | 0.45 |
| Disequilibrium n (%) | 20 (83.3) | 21 (87.5) | 16 (80) | 31 (91.2) | 0.66 |

*Prevalence odds ratios were estimated using 0–20 ppm as a reference and were adjusted for age and sex.

Abbreviations: C.I.: confidence interval; Dis.dom.bil.: distal dominant bilateral; NE: not estimatable; POR: prevalence odds ratio.
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