Evaluation of Mammary Cancer in 7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene-Induced Wister Rats by Asymmetrical Temperature Distribution Analysis Using Thermography: A Comparison with Serum CEA Levels and Histopathology
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1. Introduction

Breast cancer is an uncontrolled growth of breast cells originating from breast tissue, most commonly from the inner lining of milk ducts or the lobules as a result of mutations in the genes responsible for regulating the growth of cells and keeping them healthy. Worldwide 23% (1.38 million) of the total new cancer cases and 14% (458,400) of the total cancer deaths occurred in 2008. The estimated number of new breast cancer cases has been raised from about 641,000 cases in 1980 to 1.6 million cases in 2010 and 625,000 deaths in 2010 [1]. In India, the number of new breast cancer cases is about 100,000 per year and this is expected to rise to 250,000 new cases per year by 2015 [2]. In mammography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasonography and fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC), diagnosis is based on the anatomical changes of the breast, which may take up to ten years for the tumor to grow to a sufficient size to be detectable. Regular screening mammograms and computed tomography (CT) itself are cancer carcinogens. Early stage diagnosis of breast cancer is very difficult using mammographic screening. Sometimes MRI fails to distinguish between cancer tissue...
and edema fluid and not diagnosing ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). Many calcifications cannot be diagnosed by sonography. Scintimammography (SMM), single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and positron emission tomography (PET) can be used only as adjunct breast cancer imaging tools. These modalities cannot replace invasive procedures, as they have poor sensitivity to detect tumor deposits less than 1 cm [3]. Rather than anatomical changes, in digital infrared imaging, diagnosis based on the principle of analyzing temperature variation associated with metabolic activity and vascular circulation changes in the affected area [4]. IR imaging is being widely used for diagnosis, to study the progress of treatment of many disorders mainly in the wide area of rheumatology [5], dermatology, orthopedics, and circulatory abnormalities [6]. Thermography was widely used in diagnosis, prognosis of several diseases, and to study progress of treatment with animal model. Capsaicin can be used as a potential therapeutic adjuvant which significantly enhances the heating effects of biological tissues during tumor hyperthermia. Increase in temperature induced by intraperitoneal injection of capsaicin was more obvious than the other two methods of locally smeared with and subcutaneous injection of capsaicin [7]. Dynamic thermal imaging has been used intraoperatively during neurosurgical interventions, including real-time assessment of cerebral vessel patency and cerebral perfusion, monitoring of flap perfusion, and determination of tumor margins during resectional surgery [8–10]. The interpretation of cancer in human was proven on scoring 5 IR signs by the analysis of ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curve and AUC (area under the ROC curve) by the univariate logistic regression model for each IR sign and an age-adjusted multivariate logistic regression model [11]. Leonardo S. Motta and et al. designed an automatic approach to eliminate human factors and guarantee repeatability and robustness of the results and by preventing errors caused by fatigue [12].

Thermographic imaging could detect temperature changes as small as 0.1°C decrease on the skin surface at an early stage of tumor and it was proven in xenografts (MDAMB-231, MCF7) induced nude mice model [13]. In contrast, the temperature and thermal conductivity in the cancerous breast were higher than the normal breast in human due to angiogenesis and higher metabolic rate of cancerous cells [14–16]. 13762 MAT mammary adenocarcinoma animal models were used for examining the effects of subcutaneous tumor growth on skin temperature and whether angiogenesis-induced changes in vascular density plays a role in any surface temperature changes [17]. DMBA induced animal model closely mimics human breast cancer as it actively metabolizes with a capacity for damaging the DNA molecule, the main event in carcinogenesis initiation [18–20]. Selenium in serum and neoplastic tissue in breast cancer actively metabolites with a capacity for damaging the DNA molecule, the main event in carcinogenesis initiation [18–20]. Selenium in serum and neoplastic tissue in breast cancer actively metabolizes with a capacity for damaging the DNA molecule, the main event in carcinogenesis initiation [18–20]. Selenium in serum and neoplastic tissue in breast cancer actively metabolizes with a capacity for damaging the DNA molecule, the main event in carcinogenesis initiation [18–20]. Selenium in serum and neoplastic tissue in breast cancer actively metabolizes with a capacity for damaging the DNA molecule, the main event in carcinogenesis initiation [18–20]. Selenium in serum and neoplastic tissue in breast cancer actively metabolizes with a capacity for damaging the DNA molecule, the main event in carcinogenesis initiation [18–20]. Selenium in serum and neoplastic tissue in breast cancer actively metabolizes with a capacity for damaging the DNA molecule, the main event in carcinogenesis initiation [18–20]. Selenium in serum and neoplastic tissue in breast cancer actively metabolizes with a capacity for damaging the DNA molecule, the main event in carcinogenesis initiation [18–20]. Selenium in serum and neoplastic tissue in breast cancer actively metabolizes with a capacity for damaging the DNA molecule, the main event in carcinogenesis initiation [18–20].

standard and also with serum CEA levels to study the progress of tumor growth.

2. Methodology

2.1. Animals. Female Wister rats (n = 8) weighing 300–350 g and aged between 12 to 13 weeks were obtained from Kings Institute of Preventive Medicine, Guindy, Chennai, India. All animal experimental protocols used in our study were approved by the SRM University Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee. The animals were caged individually and kept at constant environmental and nutritional conditions throughout the experimental period with room temperature 23 ± 2°C and humidity (50–55%) with 12 h light/12 h dark cycle and were fed a standard pellet diet and with water ad libitum. In group I (n = 6), chemical carcinogen DMBA was induced and the other group was control group II (n = 2).

2.2. Breast Cancer Induction by Chemical Carcinogen. 20 mg of 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA) purchased from Sigma Chemicals was mixed with 0.5 ml sunflower oil and 0.5 ml saline to induce breast cancer in each rat. A single dose injection of DMBA was given subcutaneously into the right flanks only in test group I. 0.5 ml of sunflower oil and 0.5 ml of saline alone injected in the left flanks control side in all six rats and group II animals (control) were injected with 0.5 ml of sunflower oil and 0.5 ml of saline in both right and left flank region.

2.3. Infrared Thermal Imaging of Animals. Infrared camera (FLIR T400, FLIR Systems, Boston, MA, USA) with wide temperature range of −4 to 2192°F (−20 to 1700°C), FOV 25° × 19°, and thermal sensitivity of 0.1°C was used in this study. As a baseline anterior to posterior view of whole body, thermal images of all the rats were taken under standard conditions at constant distance of (12 cm). Then immediately after chemical carcinogen injection starting from the first day thermal images was taken every day over a period of nine weeks.

2.4. Asymmetrical Temperature Distribution Analysis and Color Histogram Analysis. When the images are relatively symmetrical, small asymmetries may indicate suspicious region. Based on this physiology, tumor region can be identified by the analysis of asymmetrical temperature distribution. For asymmetrical temperature distribution analysis, the whole animal thermal image was divided into six region of interests (ROI), with size approximately 2 cm × 2 cm, as lower flanks region:right flank and left flank; abdomen region:right abdomen and left abdomen: shoulder region:right shoulder and left shoulder. In all these six regions average skin temperature was measured using FLIR 1.2 software in the specified ROIs. RGB color histogram of all the ROIs of one chemical carcinogen tumor induced rat was plotted using MATLAB 7.0.1 software.

2.5. Biomarker CEA Test. 2 ml of blood was collected from each rat and serum was separated. Carcinomaembryonic antigen levels (ngm/ml) in the serum of experimental animals
were estimated by the ELISA kit after the MC has developed to a palpable size in the ninth week.

2.6. Histopathological Study. Tumors were removed from sacrificed rats and immediately fixed in 10% formalin fixative for 24 h. The tissues were then dehydrated in ascending series of alcohol, kept in 1:1 mixture of absolute alcohol and benzene, and then in benzene for 1 h each. Finally, tissue pieces were embedded in paraffin wax and 7 micron thick sections were cut and spread on glass slides, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, slides mounted in DPX, and viewed under light microscope and photographed.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Mean and standard deviation of skin temperature of ROIs for all the rats in group I were calculated for every week. Student’s t test was performed using SPSS 10.0 software to analyze the difference in symmetrical temperature distribution between right and left regions. Serum CEA levels are also reported as mean ± SD for (n = 6) group I and group II (n = 2).

3. Results

3.1. Tumor Induced Lower Flank Region. Mean and standard deviation of temperatures for different regions were calculated every week and tabulated. Table 1 shows the skin temperature distribution comparisons between tumor induced lower right flank region and control lower left flank region. In the base line image, that is, before the chemical carcinogen induction, calculated mean and SD values of skin temperature at right flank and left flank were 38.98 ± 0.28 and 39 ± 0.13, respectively. Percentage of asymmetrical temperature difference between right and left flanks was 0.05% and there was no significant difference between (i.e., P = 0.854) right and left flank regions. It showed symmetrical temperature distribution in the flank region before chemical carcinogen induction. Immediately after chemical carcinogen induction, mean and SD values of skin temperature at right flank and left flank were 36.62 ± 0.2 and 36.8 ± 0.24, respectively, and asymmetrical temperature difference 0.49% was observed. But there was no significant difference between (i.e., P = 0.105) right and left flank region. After the first week of induction, significant temperature difference (<0.001) was observed clearly till ninth week which indicates the asymmetrical temperature distribution in the tumor induced lower flank region. Temperature difference about (0.2 to 0.6°C) was found in the tumor induced region between lower right flank and left flank region. Percentage of asymmetrical temperature variation observed was 0.5% to 2%. Greater difference in temperature of 1.73% was observed in the ninth week.

3.2. Abdomen Region. Similarly temperature distributions in the right and left abdomen region were also compared. From Table 1, it was observed that there was no significant difference in temperature distribution between the right abdomen and left abdomen region, before chemical carcinogen induction (P = 0.43), immediately after carcinogen induction (P = 0.76) and also after the tumor induction in all the weeks throughout the study period. Percentage asymmetrical temperature difference was <0.51% in all the weeks. The temperature difference was very less, that is, <0.19°C.

3.3. Shoulder Region. Temperature distributions in the right and left shoulder region were also compared and tabulated in Table 1. There was no significant difference in temperature distribution between the right shoulder and left shoulder region, before chemical carcinogen induction, that is, in the baseline thermal image (P = 0.56), immediately after carcinogen induction (P = 0.461) and also after the tumor induction in all the weeks throughout the study period. Percentage asymmetrical temperature difference was <0.44% in all the weeks.

Average skin temperature of all the tumor induced groups calculated weekly was plotted separately in all the three regions (flank, abdomen, and shoulder region) to visualize right and left side temperature variations. From Figures 1(b) and 1(c), it was clear that in abdomen and shoulder region, right and left side mean temperature curves were overlapping, whereas in tumor induced flank region difference in temperature distribution was found (Figure 1(a)) between right and left sides in all the weeks.

Percentage of asymmetrical temperatures distribution over the period of study still ninth week of the entire region were plotted. From Figure 2, temperature variation between tumor induced lower right and left flank region was about 0.5 to 2%, whereas the temperature variation was very less in the other region (abdomen and shoulder region) about less than 0.5% throughout the period of study. Which clearly indicates that asymmetrical temperature distribution got in tumor induced lower flank region where as in other abdomen and shoulder region it is symmetrical.

3.4. Tumor Development versus Temperature Variation. To see the progress of tumor development, the thermogram ion images were converted into medical images which assign different colors to different span of temperatures using FLIR software. Figure 3 represents infrared images generated from a single animal taken on different weeks after subcutaneous injection of DMBA carcinogen. The box in each image (approximately 2 cm × 2 cm) corresponds to the different region of split. Progress reduction in skin temperature was observed which can be identified by the changing of color from orange into red (Figures 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d)) in the tumor induced lower right flank region where as in other regions symmetrically there was no color change.

3.5. Asymmetrical Color Histogram Analysis. The color image was separated into different components as red, green, and blue with different bins and the respective histogram was plotted using MATLAB program for all the six ROIs and compared symmetrically. Asymmetrical green component, that is, the green pixel distribution was between 100 and 150 (Figure 4(a)) in the lower right flank, region, while in the lower left flank region it was 175 to 230 (Figure 4(b)) in group I mammary cancer induced rat. Whereas histogram of other abdomen and shoulder region (Figures 4(c), 4(d), 4(e), and 4(f)) is symmetrical, that is, pixel density distribution
Table 1: Comparison of mean skin temperature region-wise (flank region, abdomen region, and shoulder region).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Tumor induced site</th>
<th>Nontumor site</th>
<th>Percentage of asymmetrical temperature difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base line (Before induction)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower right flank region temperature (°C)—mean ± SD</td>
<td>Lower left flank region temperature (°C)—mean ± SD</td>
<td>Difference in temperature between right flank and left flank region (°C)</td>
<td>Right abdomen region temperature (°C)—mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediately after induction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td>36.32 ± 0.5</td>
<td>36.35 ± 0.55**</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>35.61 ± 0.08</td>
<td>35.84 ± 0.11*</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td>35.18 ± 0.59</td>
<td>35.52 ± 0.66**</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td>35.54 ± 0.74</td>
<td>36.1 ± 0.74*</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>36.41 ± 0.65</td>
<td>36.82 ± 0.57**</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>37.09 ± 0.64</td>
<td>37.33 ± 0.56*</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>36.71 ± 0.32</td>
<td>37.05 ± 0.39*</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>36.55 ± 1.06</td>
<td>36.81 ± 1.07*</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value <0.001 between lower right flank region and lower left flank region, **P value <<10^{-2} between lower right flank region and lower left flank region.

Temperature distribution was symmetrical (difference in temperature between right and left side was not significant) in the baseline image before induction and also immediately after induction on zeroth day in all the regions and the value of asymmetrical temperature difference percentage was <0.5%. Then starting from the first week till ninth week after tumor induction asymmetrical temperature distribution was observed (difference in temperature was significant) in the lower flank region. The value of percentage of asymmetrical temperature difference in the tumor induced lower flank region was in the range of 0.5% to 2% whereas in abdomen and shoulder region it was <0.5%.
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Mean ± SD temperature values (week wise) for 6 tumor induced rats from the specific ROIs of right and left side for all regions (lower flank region, abdomen region, and shoulder region) were plotted. There was difference in temperature observed between right and left flank regions. Tumor induced right side (lower right flank side) temperature was less in all the weeks. There was no difference in temperature observed between right and left side of abdomen and shoulder regions.

Figure 1: Average skin temperature in different regions (a) in the tumor induced lower flank region, (b) in the abdomen region, and (c) in the shoulder region. Mean ± SD temperature values (week wise) for 6 tumor induced rats from the specific ROIs of right and left side for all regions (lower flank region, abdomen region, and shoulder region) were plotted. There was difference in temperature observed between right and left flank regions. Tumor induced right side (lower right flank side) temperature was less in all the weeks. There was no difference in temperature observed between right and left side of abdomen and shoulder regions.

Figure 2: Percentage asymmetrical difference in skin temperature comparison between lower flank (tumor induced region), abdomen, and shoulder regions. The value of asymmetrical difference in skin temperature between left and right side ranges from 0.5 to 2.0% in the tumor induced flank region, whereas in the nontumor site (abdomen and shoulder regions) it was less than 0.5%.
3.6. CEA Results. Serum CEA level measured using ELISA kit also showed significant difference between mammary cancers induced group I and control group II. The mean tumor size was about 1.35 cm in group I and the value of CEA level was $0.426 \pm 0.05$ (ngm/ml). In control group II CEA level was $0.186 \pm 0.01$ (ngm/ml).

3.7. Histopathology Results. Histopathological results showed ductal carcinoma (grade III) in ($n = 3$) rats in chemical carcinogen induced group and grade IV metastases spread carcinoma in $n = 3$ rats and mean tumor size was about 1.35 cm. Figure 6(a) shows histopathology slides with different magnification of a rat with the infiltrating ductal carcinoma with size 1.5 cm (comedo carcinoma) showing several layers of neoplastic cells and central necrosis of single rat mammary tissue. Arrow indicating typical ductal cells arranged in tubular pattern. Single lymph nodal with metastasis was present. Tumor showed ductular and cribriform pattern more than 50% ductal differentiation. Figure 6(b) shows microscopical section of another rat’s mammary tissue which showed lobules of breast parenchyma with attached skeletal muscle. Single lymph node included in this section showed metastatic deposits.

4. Discussion

In this study, whole-body thermal images of the rats with induced tumor were obtained dynamically without anesthesia in order to rule out heat loss associated with anesthetic drugs. D. Colman et al. reported a significant amount of heat loss in an anesthetized Wister rat. The decrease in skin temperature, compared to the base line measurement after 30 minutes of induction, were found to be $1.75^\circ C$, $2.17^\circ C$, and $1.9^\circ C$ for the anesthetic drugs halothane, isofluorane, and sevoloflurane, respectively,[23]. In another study of 13762 MAT mammary adenocarcinoma induced rat model [17], it was observed that when the rat was anesthetized using ether, the measured skin temperature at the induced tumor site was lesser by $1^\circ C$ than other non-tumor parts of rat.

In a xenograft induced breast tumor nude mice model using MDA-MB-231 and MCF7, the induced breast tumor had a lesser skin temperature on 6th day of tumor induction,
Figure 4: RGB histogram of single rat thermal image in different regions (a) lower right (tumor induced) flank region, (b) lower left flank region, (c) right abdomen region, (d) left abdomen region, (e) right shoulder region, and (f) left shoulder region. Red and blue pixel distributions were symmetrical between right and left sides in tumor induced flank region except green pixel distribution (asymmetrical). All red, green, and blue pixel distributions were symmetrical between corresponding right and left sides in nontumor sites (abdomen and shoulder regions).
Figure 5: RGB histogram of single rat (control group II) thermal image in different regions (a) lower right flank region, (b) lower left flank region, (c) right abdomen region, (d) left abdomen region, (e) right shoulder region, and (f) left shoulder region. Red, blue, and green pixel distributions were symmetrical between right and left sides in all the regions (flank, abdomen, and shoulder).
when comparing to nontumor parts of the mice. It was reported that the measured skin temperatures at the tumor site were lesser by 1.5°C and 3°C in MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 induced tumor mice models, respectively, [13]. In the present study, it was observed that right side of flank region, at which tumor was induced, had lesser skin temperature on 7th day of tumor induction, when comparing to baseline measurements as well as other non-tumor parts of the rat. Further, during the third week after tumor induction, the measured mean skin temperature at the tumor site (right flank region) was lesser by 3.8°C, when compared to non-tumor left flank region, which is agreed well with the xenograft induced mice models [13].

In a study of breast tumor in women using thermography, it was reported that the measured skin temperature at the tumor site was higher than the non-tumor part of the breast [24]. This finding was contradict with the findings of breast tumor rat models, in which the measured skin temperatures were lesser at the induced tumor site than its normal counterparts of the body [13, 17] and also in our study.

In the present study, the baseline measurements (before tumor induction) showed that the mean and SD value of skin temperature of whole-body rat was 38.98 ± 0.28. After tumor induction by chemical carcinogen, the measured mean and SD skin temperatures of the whole-body rat was found to be decreased progressively and it was 35.18 ± 0.59 during third week after tumor induction. The reason for reduction in mean whole-body skin temperature of the tumor bearing rat comparing to the baseline measurements may be due to the following: (i) poor functioning of newly developed blood vessels, and (ii) decreased blood flow at the tumor site by an autonomic nervous system [13, 17]. In the present study, the tumor induced right flank side of the rats showed a decrease in mean skin temperature values throughout the study period of nine weeks, when compared to the corresponding nontumor left flank side. The measured asymmetrical temperature difference at tumor bearing right flank side of the rats was ranged from 0.5 to 2.0%, and it was statistically significant ($P < 0.001$). On the other hand, the non-tumor regions (abdomen and shoulder) of the rats showed a decrease in mean skin temperature values when compared to baseline measurements, but it was symmetrical in nature between right and left side of the rats and the measured skin temperature difference was less than 0.5%.

Increase in temperature was observed from fourth week. This may be due to metastasis condition, that is, because of the spreading of cancer to other regions. This increase was because of angiogenesis and higher metabolic rate of well-developed cancerous cells.

From the first week to fourth week of the study, asymmetrical variation of skin temperature was visually observed (by noting changes in colors of the thermogram) in all the rats (Figures 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d)). In the induced tumor

---

**Figure 6:** (a) Single rat with ductal carcinoma slides at different magnification. Arrow indicates ductal cells arranged in tubular pattern and tumor with 50% ductal differentiation, (b) Single rat with metastasis stage carcinoma slides at different magnifications. Arrow indicates lymph node with metastasis deposits.
site of the rat (right lower flank region), the skin temperature was found to be decreased progressively from first to fourth weeks of the study. It was observed visibly by noting the color changed from orange in the first week (Figure 3(a)) to light orange in the second week (Figure 3(b)), to red color in the third week (Figure 3(c)) and to red with center pink color in the fourth week (Figure 3(d)). On the other hand, in the non-tumor sites of the rat (abdomen and shoulder region), symmetrical nature of color variations were observed at right and left side of the rats. In human study, thermal images were analyzed for asymmetry between the patient’s breasts based on different methods like Hurst coefficient [25], lacunarity coefficients [26], and by statistically texture analysis [27] to characterize the breast’s texture.

Thermal texture mapping (TTM) was found to be superior method for detection of malignant among the benign diseases of breast than mammography and ultrasound images [28]. In human breast tumor model, an increase in skin temperature in the tumor region was demonstrated by a shift in the number of pixels from blue color to red color that can be calculated by either the number of pixels or by the percentage of pixel distribution in the image. A healthy woman seems to be fairly balanced between the three primary colors, while the woman with breast tumor appears to have higher percentage of red color than blue color [29]. This higher percentage of red color may be an indication of rise in skin temperature; on the other hand, the lower percentage of green color may be an indication of decrease in skin temperature. Kapoor et al. [30] proposed an automatic pattern classification, which clearly diagnoses the pathological changes of the breasts based on pixel distribution asymmetries [30]. The red-green-blue (RGB) color histogram analysis of the thermal image is useful to study the asymmetric distribution of the skin temperature. In the tumor induced lower right flank region as well as in the non-tumor lower left flank region of the rat, red, and blue color pixel distributions were the same in the range of 230 to 255 and 0 to 10, respectively, whereas the green color pixel distribution was shifted to a lower level of 100 to 150 at the tumor induced right flank than its corresponding value 175 to 230 measured at non-tumor left flank region of the rat. The shifting of green pixel distribution clearly indicates a decrease in skin temperature in the induced tumor site.

The non-tumor sites of abdomen and shoulder region of the rat showed symmetrical red, green, and blue pixel distributions between right and left sides of the rats. The measured red, green, and blue pixel distributions at abdomen region of the rat were found to be 240 to 255, 175 to 220, and 0 to 10, respectively, and these values were the same in both right and left sides. Similarly, in the shoulder region of the rat, the measured red, green, and blue pixel distributions were found to be 240 to 255, 200 to 240, and 0 to 50, respectively, which were the same in both right and left sides. This study had few limitations. In this study, the number of rats used in this model was limited. Additional clinical and animal studies are required to prove whether thermography is useful in predicting the breast tumor well before. The finding of this study should be taken with the caution that it was related with small animal breast tumor model.

5. Conclusion

The findings of the study indicate that asymmetrical analysis of thermal images might have considerable potential in monitoring progress of tumor and also diagnosis of cancer in early stage itself. Significant (<0.01) difference in asymmetrical skin temperature distribution was observed in tumor induced lower region, whereas in other regions temperature distribution was symmetrical. This was proven by asymmetrical pixel distribution in RGB histogram. And also green component of the infrared image plays an important role in the asymmetrical histogram analysis for diagnosing tumor in animal model with decrease in skin temperature. Further this study can be extended for studying the response to anticancer drugs.
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