Research Article

Comparison of Two Suspension Arrays for Simultaneous Detection of Five Biothreat Bacterial in Powder Samples

Yu Yang,¹ Jing Wang,¹ Haiyan Wen,² and Hengchuan Liu³

¹Institute of Health Quarantine, Chinese Academy of Inspection and Quarantine, Beijing 100123, China

²Chongqing International Travel Healthcare Center, Chongqing 400020, China

³West China School of Public Health, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jing Wang, wjwjwang@gmail.com

Received 2 December 2011; Accepted 28 February 2012

Academic Editor: Sabah Mohammed

Copyright © 2012 Yu Yang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We have developed novel Bio-Plex assays for simultaneous detection of *Bacillus anthracis*, *Yersinia pestis*, *Brucella* spp., *Francisella tularensis*, and *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Universal primers were used to amplify highly conserved region located within the 16S rRNA amplicon, followed by hybridized to pathogen-specific probes for identification of these five organisms. The other assay is based on multiplex PCR to simultaneously amplify five species-specific pathogen identification-targeted regions unique to individual pathogen. Both of the two arrays are validated to be flexible and sensitive for simultaneous detection of bioterrorism bacteria. However, universal primer PCR-based array could not identify *Bacillus anthracis*, *Yersinia pestis*, and *Brucella* spp. at the species level because of the high conservation of 16S rDNA of the same genus. The two suspension arrays can be utilized to detect *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne spore and *Yersinia pestis* EV76 from mimic “write powder” samples, they also proved that the suspension array system will be valuable tools for diagnosis of bacterial biothreat agents in environmental samples.

1. Introduction

The threat of bioterrorism has attracted great attention after the letter containing anthrax spore terrified the USA and the letters with “white powder” flew all over the world [1]. When a bioterrorism attack occurred, rapid detection and identification of biothreat agents are important to determine that the suitable actions should be implemented to disinfect pollution and cure infected people. Now, greater than 160 species of microorganisms have been recognized to be pathogenic. Thirty of them could be used as bioweapons. *Bacillus anthracis*, *Yersinia pestis*, *Brucella* spp., *Francisella tularensis*, and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* were the typical examples among the list [2]. The development of rapid, sensitive, and high-throughput diagnostic methods to fight against bioterrorism and prevent serious epidemic diseases is under urgent needs.

With the application of PCR and DNA sequencing technologies, comparison of the genome sequences of bacterial species showed that the 16S rDNA gene is highly conserved

among individuals of the same species and among species of the same genus and hence can be used as the “gold standard” for classification of bacteria [3–6]. Here, we report a suspension array based on the 16S rDNA gene amplified by universal primers, which is also called universal primer PCR-based array. Due to the scope of the detection specificity of our array design principles, the universal primer PCR-based array cannot specifically distinguish certain species from bacteria of the same genus because of the conservation of 16S rDNA sequences. Alternatively, a suspension-array-based multiplex PCR was developed which amplifies species-specific regions of above five bioterrorism bacteria. Biotin labeled PCR products were hybridized to corresponding probes coupling on the unique sets of fluorescent beads. The hybridized beads were processed through the Bioplex, which identified the presence of PCR products. The hybridization results of above two Luminex xMAP arrays showed sensitivity from 2.5 fg (*Yersinia pestis*) to 30 pg (*Bacillus anthracis*) bacterial DNA.

TABLE 1: The primers and probes for detection of *Bacillus anthracis*, *Francisella tularensis*, *Yersinia pestis*, *Brucella spp.* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* by multiplex PCR suspension arrays.

Target organism	Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Gene location	Product size
<i>Bacillus anthracis</i>	BA-1-F	TGGACGCATACGAGACATAAT	<i>capB</i>	430 bp
	BA-1-R	TGCTTTAGCGGTAGCAGAGG		
	BA-1-P	GAAGAACGCAGGCTTAGATTGGT		
	BA-2-F	TTTCATAATCATGGATTTCCTCG	chromosome	212 bp
	BA-2-R	TTACCCAACATCATCTTCGCA		
	BA-2-P	CTCGCTTTCATCGCATTTCTCCC		
<i>Brucella spp.</i>	Bru-F	TGGCTCGGTTGCCAATATCAA	<i>BCSP31</i>	223 bp
	Bru-R	GCGCTTGCCTTTCAGGTCTG		
	Bru-P	TTACGCAGTCAGACGTTGCCTAT		
<i>Francisella tularensis</i>	FT-F	GGGCAAATCTAGCAGGTCAAG	<i>fopA</i>	250 bp
	FT-R	GCTGTAGTCGCACCATTATCCT		
	Ft-P	TGCTGGTTTAAACATGGTTCTTTGG		
<i>Yersinia pestis</i>	YP-F	ACTCAATGTTGTGACGAGGATG	chromosome	220 bp
	YP-R	TTACTTCTAATGCCATCAGGTAGC		
	Yp-P	AACAGTAAGCATCCAGTCGTTTATA		
<i>Burkholderia pseudomallei</i>	BP-F	CGATCTCGTCAAGGTGTCGG	chromosome	150 bp
	BP-R	CCCCAGTTCATCTGATACTTGC		
	Bp-P	AGGTCAATTTCCCGAACAAGACT		

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains. Bacteria, strains *Bacillus anthracis* (170044), *Bacillus subtilis* (170314), *Bacillus cereus* (170315), *Bacillus megaterium* (1700201), *Bacillus thuringiensis* (82-68), *Bacillus pumilus* (63202), *Francisella tularensis* (410101), *Burkholderia pseudomallei* (53001), *Brucella abortus* (544A), *Brucella suis* (1330S), *Curtobacterium citreum* (82-3), *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* (12718), *Brucella abortus* (S19), *Brucella suis* (S2), *Brucella ovis* (M5), and *Burkholderia mallei* (58) were provided by State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity of China. Bacteria strains *Bacillus anthracis* (sterne), *Yersinia pestis* (Ev76), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC15442), *Staphylococcus aureus* (189), *Escherichia coli* (44104), *Escherichia coli* (O157:H7), *Vibrio Parahaemolyticus* (239), *Yersinia kristensenii* (ATCC 33638), *Yersinia frederiksenii* (ATCC 33641), *Yersinia intermedia* (ATCC 29909), *Yersinia rohdei* (ATCC 43380), *Yersinia bercovieri* (ATCC 43970), *Yersinia mollaretii* (ATCC 43969), and *Yersinia enterocolitica* (ATCC 9610) were stored in our laboratory.

2.2. DNA Extraction. *Y. pestis* were cultured on Hottinger's agar (Land bridge, China), *Brucella* and *Vibrio Parahaemolyticus* were cultured on TSA medium (Difco), *B. anthracis* were cultured on DSM sporulation medium (Difco) [7], *F. tularensis* was cultured on 5% sheep blood agar. All other reference strains used in this study were cultured on LB medium. Bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation for 5 min at 8000 ×g and washed two times with 200 μL ddH₂O. The cell pellet was resuspended in 200 μL ddH₂O, boiled for 10 min, then centrifuged at 8000 ×g for 5 min. The supernatants were collected and

stored at −20°C for measuring the DNA concentration by spectrophotometer (NanoDrop ND-1000).

2.3. Primer and Probe Design. For multiplex PCR-based array, 6 sets of primer pairs and probes were designed (Table 1). The genome sequences of the *Bacillus anthracis*, *Yersinia pestis*, *Brucella spp.*, *Francisella tularensis*, and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* were obtained from GenBank. Multiple alignments using ClustalW were performed, and the primer sequences and the probes were designed on genus-specific regions, each consisting of a forward primer, reverse primer, and probe designed to target unique genomic sequences of specific bacterial. The two signatures for detection of *Bacillus anthracis* used in this multiplex assay were developed. The specificities of the primers and probes were evaluated using the Blastn. For Universal PCR-based array, primers 341a, 519b were designed to amplify conserved regions of 16S rDNA gene for bacterial species (Table 2), probes were designed in the amplification region of PCR, containing a 20 dTTP spacer at 5' end. Primers and probes were synthesized by Sangon Co. Ltd, China.

2.4. PCR Amplification. The genomic DNA of the reference strains were used as template. Universal PCR reactions were done in 50 μL of the master mix (Takara Biotechnology Ltd., Dalian, China). The optimum reaction mixture contained takara premix 25 μL, 400 pmol of each primer, and 2 μL of template DNA. Ultra-pure sterilized water was used for negative control. All reactions were performed in a 9700 PCR machine (ABI Biosystem, USA) with the following cycles: first cycle, 95°C for 5 min; 35 cycles, 95°C for 40 s, 58°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 40 s, followed by a final

TABLE 2: The Universal primers and probes for detection of *Bacillus anthracis*, *Francisella tularensis*, *Yersinia pestis*, *Brucella spp.* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* by suspension arrays.

Target organism	Name	Sequence (5'-3')
16S rRNA universal primers	341a	CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGT
	519b	ATTACCGCGGC(T/G)GCTG
<i>Bacillus anthracis</i>	B.a	AAGTGCTAGTTGAATAAGCTGGCAC
<i>Brucella spp.</i>	Bru	GGAGAAGATAATGACGGTAACCCGA
<i>Francisella tularensis</i>	F.t	GCCTCAAGGTTAATAGCCTTGGGGA
<i>Yersinia pestis</i>	Y.p	AAGGGGTTGAGTTTAATACGCTCAA
<i>Burkholderia pseudomallei</i>	B.p	AATCATTCTGGCTAATACCCGGAGT

extension of 7 min at 72°C. Multiplex PCR reactions used the same amplification conditions and the primer and probe sets were first individually tested and then tested in mixtures. The optimal multiplex PCR reagents are the concentration of Taq polymerase, ddNTP, Mg²⁺ and primers. Not only the multiplex PCR reagents but the PCR annealing temperature, hybridization temperature, hybridization time, and the amount of PCR product were optimized as well.

2.5. Beads Coupling and Hybridization. The probes (Tables 1 and 2) were coupled to carboxylated beads (Luminex) internally dyed with a unique spectral address by modified carbodiimide coupling method [8]. Coupling efficiency was assessed by biotinylated oligonucleotide that was complementary to the probe sequence, the beads were stored in TE buffer (pH 8.0) in the dark at 4°C. Each hybridization reaction in a total volume of 50 µL was performed in a 9700 PCR machine (ABI Biosystem, USA) by mixing of 5~17 µL PCR product, 33 µL 1.5 × TMAC (4.5 M TMAC, 0.15% Sarkosyl, 75 mM Tris-HCl, 6 mM EDTA) containing 5000 beads of each conjugate, and up to 50 µL of TE buffer. The hybridization protocol was as follows: an initial denaturing step of 10 min at 95°C, followed by incubation for 15 min at 55°C. Then, the reaction system was transferred to a 96-well filter plate (Millipore Corporation, USA), washed two times with 6 × SSPE (6 × SSPE, 0.01% Triton X-100) with vacuum filtration, and incubated for 10 min at room temperature with 75 µL 4 ng/µL SA-PE (Molecular Probe). Each well was corresponded to one test sample, and the product of PCR negative control was used as hybridization-negative control. The hybridized beads were washed again with 75 µL 1 × TMAC and resuspended in 75 µL 1 × TMAC. Data for each sample was collected using a Bioplex workstation (Bio-Rad, USA). For each probe (bead set) in a certain sample well, the MFI value was calculated from the signals of at least 100 beads. The experiment was repeated two to three times for each test sample to confirm the results. A detection threshold value was defined for each probe as two times of average background signal for that probe. Signals above the detection threshold were considered as positive.

2.6. Sensitivity and Specificity of Assays. Tenfold dilution series of *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne, *Francisella tularensis*, *Yersinia pestis* EV76, *Brucella spp.* M5, and *Burkholderia*

pseudomallei was diluted and used to test the sensitivity of the assay as described above. Reference bacterial strains were chosen to test the specificity of the assay.

2.7. Assessment of Array by Bacterial Strains and “White Powder” Samples. To evaluate the potential use of our assay for the detection of “white powder” sample, tenfold dilution series of fresh culture of *B. anthracis* vaccine strain Sterne spore in DSM (Difco) and *Y. pestis* vaccine strain EV76 in Hottinger’s agar (Land bridge, China) were prepared. 500 µL of each dilution was added to 0.1 g flour (milk powder, corn starch, wheat flour, instant fruit-flavored drink mix powder) with vortexing and incubated for 2 hr at room temperature. The negative control was flour without contamination. 500 µL of PBS (150 mM NaCl, 1.7 mM KH₂PO₄, 5 mM Na₂PO₄ (pH7.4)) was added to each sample and vortexed, then centrifuged at 10000 ×g for 3 min, the supernatant was collected and washed with PBS for three times, centrifuged at 12000 ×g for 1 min each time. DNA extraction, PCR, hybridization, and data analysis were performed as described.

3. Results and Discussion

In the work discussed here, we developed two Bio-Plex assays for simultaneous detection of *Bacillus anthracis*, *Yersinia pestis*, *Brucella spp.*, *Francisella tularensis*, and *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. For universal primer PCR method, the 16 s rDNA of those five bacterial was amplified, then the PCR products were hybridized with encoded beads labeled by specific 16 s rDNA probe of each pathogen. Whereas multiplex PCR method was developed to simultaneously amplify multiple specific genes of different pathogens in a single tube, then the PCR products were hybridized with encoded beads labeled by specific probe against target gene. The results were compared between the universal primer PCR and multiplex PCR method.

3.1. Optimization of PCR Amplification. In this assay, 16 s rDNA was amplified by the average size of 250 bp as Figure 1 indicated the gel electrophoresis with universal primer PCR amplification. The multiplex PCR factors have been optimized to approach the best reaction condition. The optimum reaction mixture contained 30 µL of the

FIGURE 1: Gel electrophoresis (1% agarose gel) of the amplification products by universal primers PCR. Lane 1. *Francisella tularensis*; Lane 2. *Burkholderia pseudomallei*; Lane 3. *Yersinia pestis* EV76; Lane 4. *Brucella* spp. M5; Lane 5. *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne; M: DL2000 DNA Marker.

FIGURE 2: Gel electrophoresis (1% agarose gel) of the amplification products by multiplex PCR. Lane 1. blank; Lane 2. *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne; Lane 3. *Yersinia pestis* EV76; Lane 4. *Francisella tularensis*; Lane 5. *Brucella* spp. M5; Lane 6. *Burkholderia pseudomallei*; Lane 7. DL2000 DNA Marker.

master mix, 80 pmol of primer FT-F, FT-R, BP-F, BP-R, BA-1-F, BA-1-R, 100 pmol of primer BA-2-F, BA-2-R, YP-F, YP-R, 120 pmol of primer Bru-F, Bru-R each, 2 μ L of DNA template. Thermal cycles included 1 cycle of 95°C for 10 min, 32 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, followed by a final extension of 7 min at 72°C. Figure 2 shows the gel electrophoresis the multiplex PCR products.

3.2. Array Sensitivity of Universal Primer PCR Array versus Multiplex PCR Array. The limits of detection for each bacterium were tested in universal primer PCR-based array and multiplex PCR-based array. Figure 3 shows the limits of detection for each set of primers when tested universal

primer PCR and multiplex PCR in individual species. We observed that a semilogarithm dose-response curve between the MFI and DNA concentration followed a dynamic range. The universal primer PCR-coupled liquid bead array was capable of detecting the specific target sequence when a minimum amount of 0.8 pg *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, 40 pg *Brucella* spp., 14 pg *Bacillus anthracis*, 0.2 pg *Francisella tularensis*, or 2.2 pg *Yersinia pestis* genomic DNA template was present in the PCR amplification reactions; the multiplex PCR-suspension array was sensitive with a detection limit of 0.62 pg *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, 22.5 pg *Brucella* spp., 70 pg *Bacillus anthracis*, 0.95 pg *Francisella tularensis*, and 50 fg *Yersinia pestis* genomic DNA template. A negative control was added as previously described [9].

3.3. Array Specificity. Twenty-eight reference strains of certain bacterial species were tested for evaluation of the specificity of the two arrays. Table 3 indicated there are cross reactions existed among the same genus for universal primer PCR-based array. Such as it was positive signals for *Bacillus thuringiensis*, *Bacillus cereus* samples using the probes specific to *Bacillus anthracis*. Whereas there is no false-positive result or cross reactivity observed in multiplex PCR-based assay. Figure 4 shows the specificity of multiplex PCR array in a 3D axis with a matrix of each combination of four bacteria species by each multiplex array bead. The high MFI column indicated that each bead was only positive to its corresponding bacterium but not the other four bacteria.

3.4. Detectability from Cultures and White Powder Mixture. As shown in Figure 5, we identified *B. anthracis* spore, and *Yersinia pestis* EV76 in simulated “white powder”, 10000 cells/0.1 g powder of *B. anthracis* spore and 500 cfu/0.1 g powder of *Yersinia pestis* EV76 showed positive signals when tested by both two assays, which are significantly below the median lethal dose (LD₅₀) of 8000~10000 cfu *Bacillus anthracis* [10] and 3000 cfu *Yersinia pestis* [11]. The results suggested these two types of arrays have enough detective ability to detect suspect bioterrorism agents from white powders with high sensitivity and good dynamic detection range.

4. Conclusion

Dozens of techniques have been developed for detecting and identifying biothreat agents by cell culture, lateral immunological flow, PCR, biosensor, solid- or liquid-based biochip and analytical chemistry (GC and MS, etc.) methods [9, 12, 13], biochemistry-based techniques, and analytical chemistry method [14–17]. However, detecting potential biological agents in environmental and clinical samples requires assays that can recognize multiple analytes simultaneously to reduce the responding time and minimize the impact of the bioattack. In this study, we have developed a rapid high-throughput suspension array for simultaneous detection of *Bacillus anthracis*, *Yersinia pestis*, *Brucella* spp., *Francisella tularensis*, and *Burkholderia pseudomallei*.

FIGURE 3: Comparison of detection sensitivity of multiplex PCR-based and universal primers PCR-coupled assay, the inlet shows the cut-off value of two assays. (a) *Bacillus anthracis*; (b) *Yersinia pestis*; (c) *Francisella tularensis*; (d) *Brucella spp.*; (e) *Burkholderia pseudomallei*.

FIGURE 4: Specificity test of probes in multiplex primer PCR-coupled suspension array. For each tested agent (x -axis), the response of each of six beads is shown (y -axis). Response is given as the median fluorescent intensity (MFI) at z -axis. Dotted bars indicate the probe of BA-1-coated bead; grid bars correspond to BA-2; right-slash bars are Yp-2; straight bars are Bru-2; right-dot bars indicate Ft-2; zigzag bars are Bp-2. From the y -axis, B is the blank blocks; Ba is *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne; Yp is *Yersinia pestis* EV76; Bru is *Brucella* spp. M5; Ft is *Francisella tularensis* and Bp is *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. The six bacterial coated beads are from species *Bacillus anthracis*, *Yersinia pestis*, *Brucella abortus*, *Francisella tularensis*, and *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, respectively. Each sample yields an appropriate response from each of the six beads present.

FIGURE 5: Detectability for white powder mixture. (a) *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne spore $10^2 \sim 10^9$ cells/0.1 g detected in white powder. (b) *Yersinia pestis* Ev76 $5 \times 10^1 \sim 5 \times 10^8$ cfu/0.1 g detected in white powder.

TABLE 3: Specificity test of universal primer PCR-coupled suspension array.

Species	Strain	<i>Brucella</i> Spp.	<i>Bacillus anthracis</i>	<i>Francisella tularensis</i>	<i>Yersinia pestis</i>	<i>Burkholderia pseudomallei</i>	BSA
<i>Bacillus anthracis</i>	170044	—	+	—	—	—	—
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	170314	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	170315	—	+	—	—	—	—
<i>Bacillus megaterium</i>	1700201	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	82–68	—	+	—	—	—	—
<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	63202	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Francisella tularensis</i>	410101	—	—	+	—	—	—
<i>Burkholderia pseudomallei</i>	53001	—	—	—	—	+	—
<i>Brucella abortus</i>	544A	+	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Brucella suis</i>	1330S	+	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Curtobacterium citreum</i>	82-3	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Yersinia pseudotuberculosis</i>	12718	—	—	—	+	—	—
<i>Brucella abortus</i>	S19	+	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Brucella suis</i>	S2	+	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Brucella ovis</i>	M5	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Burkholderia mallei</i>	58	—	—	—	—	+	—
<i>Bacillus anthracis</i>	sterne	—	+	—	—	—	—
<i>Yersinia pestis</i>	Ev76	—	—	—	+	—	—
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	ATCC15442	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	189	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	44104	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	O157:H7	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Vibrio Parahaemolyticus</i>	239	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Yersinia kristensenii</i>	ATCC 33638	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Yersinia frederiksenii</i>	ATCC 33641	—	—	—	+	—	—
<i>Yersinia intermedia</i>	ATCC 29909	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Yersinia rohdei</i>	ATCC 43380	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Yersinia bercovieri</i>	ATCC 43970	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Yersinia mollaretii</i>	ATCC 43969	—	—	—	—	—	—
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	ATCC 9610	—	—	—	—	—	—

The highly conserved 16S rRNA gene makes a remarkable role in analysis of evolutionary distance and relatedness of organisms and has a widespread use for bacterial identification and taxonomy determination. The results from 16s rRNA universal primer PCR-based suspension array suggested that this method could be used to detect almost all of the bacterial. However, it should be noted that this method could not identify bacterial species with highly conserved 16s rDNA sequence. In our study, *Bacillus anthracis*, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, and *Bacillus cereus* belong to same genus, which has 99% identity of 16s rDNA [18]. The results also showed high positive signals for *Bacillus thuringiensis*, *Bacillus cereus* samples using the probes specific to *Bacillus anthracis*. The similar results were also observed for

Yersinia pestis and *Brucella spp.* It suggested that the universal primer PCR-based suspension array could not be used to determine different bacteria in the same genus. In an effort to distinguish five bioterrorism bacteria specific to species as list above, we conducted multiplex PCR to target on unique genomic sequence of specific pathogen in a same suspension array. Those signature primers target on different genomic regions of the pathogen, increased the specificity of an array, and reduced the risk of false-positive results. Two detectable targets of *B. anthracis*, signature gene on the bacteria genome and *capB* gene [19] located on plasmid pXO2, were chosen, as the pXO2 plasmid is important to the virulence of *B. anthracis*. *Brucella spp.* target in *BCSP31* gene [20], *Francisella tularensis* target in *fopA* gene [21],

the detection targets in chromosome of *Y. pestis* [22] and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* [23] were both specific on the genome. However, not as universal primer PCR-based array, we could not continuously increase signature targets in the multiplex PCR-based suspension array system due to the increased complexity of multiplexed reaction.

Powders were one of the most common nonclinical specimens submitted to designated laboratories. Artificially contaminated samples were prepared as simulated field samples to access the feasibility of the assay established above. In this study, we developed two suspension array methods for rapid detection of *Bacillus anthracis*, *Yersinia pestis*, *Brucella* spp., *Francisella tularensis*, and *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. with good sensitivity and specificity, which significantly reduces the detection time due to simultaneous detection of five pathogens. The results suggest the feasibility of using suspension array system in biological weapons diagnosis for environmental samples.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Grant 30900053 from National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant 2006BAK10B07 from the National Key Technology R&D Program of China, Grant 2007GYJ023 from the Public Welfare Program of China, and Grant 2009JK027 from CAIQ Foundation. The authors would like to acknowledge Dr. Yang Ruifu, Duan Qing, Song Yajun, Zai Junhui, and Guo Zhaobiao for helpful assistance and suggestions.

References

- [1] J. L. Mothershead, K. Tonat, and K. L. Koenig, "Bioterrorism preparedness III: state and federal programs and response," *Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 477–500, 2002.
- [2] D. V. Lim, J. M. Simpson, E. A. Kearns, and M. F. Kramer, "Current and developing technologies for monitoring agents of bioterrorism and biowarfare," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 583–607, 2005.
- [3] J. E. Clarridge Jr., "Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of bacteria on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 840–862, 2004.
- [4] S. K. P. Lau, P. C. Y. Woo, and B. Y. L. Chan, "Haemophilus segnis polymicrobial and monomicrobial bacteraemia identified by 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing," *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 635–640, 2002.
- [5] K. Nakanaga, N. Ishii, K. Suzuki et al., "'Mycobacterium ulcerans' subsp. *shinshuense* isolated from a skin ulcer lesion: identification based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 45, no. 11, pp. 3840–3843, 2007.
- [6] P. C. Y. Woo, A. M. Y. Fung, S. K. P. Lau, and K. Y. Yuen, "Identification by 16S rRNA gene sequencing of *Lactobacillus salivarius* bacteremic cholecystitis," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 265–267, 2002.
- [7] E. M. Lai, N. D. Phadke, M. T. Kachman et al., "Proteomic analysis of the spore coats of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus anthracis*," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 185, no. 4, pp. 1443–1454, 2003.
- [8] R. J. Fulton, R. L. McDade, P. L. Smith, and L. J. Kienker, "Advanced multiplexed analysis with the FlowMetrix(TM) system," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 1749–1756, 1997.
- [9] M. T. McBride, S. Gammon, M. Pitesky et al., "Multiplexed liquid arrays for simultaneous detection of simulants of biological warfare agents," *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 75, no. 8, pp. 1924–1930, 2003.
- [10] T. J. Cieslak and E. M. Eitzen Jr., "Clinical and epidemiologic principles of anthrax," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 552–555, 1999.
- [11] W. D. Burrows and S. E. Renner, "Biological warfare agents as threats to potable water," *Environmental Health Perspectives*, vol. 107, no. 12, pp. 975–984, 1999.
- [12] N. M. Cirino, K. A. Musser, and C. Egan, "Multiplex diagnostic platforms for detection of biothreat agents," *Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 841–857, 2004.
- [13] C. Ryu, K. Lee, C. Yoo, W. K. Seong, and H. B. Oh, "Sensitive and rapid quantitative detection of anthrax spores isolated from soil samples by real-time PCR," *Microbiology and Immunology*, vol. 47, no. 10, pp. 693–699, 2003.
- [14] N. Balaban and A. Rasooly, "Analytical chromatography for recovery of small amounts of staphylococcal enterotoxins from food," *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, vol. 64, no. 1–2, pp. 33–40, 2001.
- [15] C. A. Bell, J. R. Uhl, T. L. Hadfield et al., "Detection of *Bacillus anthracis* DNA by LightCycler PCR," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 40, no. 8, pp. 2897–2902, 2002.
- [16] A. Curry, H. Appleton, and B. Dowsett, "Application of transmission electron microscopy to the clinical study of viral and bacterial infections: present and future," *Micron*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 91–106, 2006.
- [17] J. L. Versage, D. D. M. Severin, M. C. Chu, and J. M. Petersen, "Development of a multitarget real-time TaqMan PCR assay for enhanced detection of *Francisella tularensis* in complex specimens," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 5492–5499, 2003.
- [18] E. Helgason, O. A. Qkatad, and D. A. Caugant, "*Bacillus anthracis*, *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis*—one species on the basis of genetic evidence," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 2627–2630, 2000.
- [19] S. E. J. Bell, J. N. Mackle, and N. M. S. Sirimuthu, "Quantitative surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy of dipicolinic acid—towards rapid anthrax endospore detection," *Analyst*, vol. 130, no. 4, pp. 545–549, 2005.
- [20] G. M. Matar, I. A. Khneisser, and A. M. Abdelnoor, "Rapid laboratory confirmation of human brucellosis by PCR analysis of a target sequence on the 31-kilodalton *Brucella* antigen DNA," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 477–478, 1996.
- [21] C. Abril, H. Nimmervoll, P. Pilo et al., "Rapid diagnosis and quantification of *Francisella tularensis* in organs of naturally infected common squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*)," *Veterinary Microbiology*, vol. 127, no. 1–2, pp. 203–208, 2008.
- [22] L. Radnedge, S. Gamez-Chin, P. M. Mccready, P. L. Worsham, and G. L. Andersen, "Identification of nucleotide sequences for the specific and rapid detection of *Yersinia pestis*," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 3759–3762, 2001.
- [23] C. Supaprom, D. Wang, C. Leelayuwat et al., "Development of real-time PCR assays and evaluation of their potential use for rapid detection of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in clinical blood specimens," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 2894–2901, 2007.