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There is compelling evidence that long-term intake of excessive fructose can have deleterious side effects in different experimental models. However, the role of fructose *in vivo* remains controversial, since acute temporary application of fructose is found to protect yeast as well as animal tissues against exogenous oxidative stress. This review suggests the involvement of reactive carbonyl and oxygen species in both the cytotoxic and defensive effects of fructose. Potential mechanisms of the generation of reactive species by fructose in the nonenzymatic reactions, their implication in the detrimental and protective effects of fructose are discussed.

1. Introduction

Over the past decade, considerable scientific debate and controversy have arisen regarding the biological role of the reducing monosaccharides, and fructose in particular [1, 2]. Since many nutritionists believe that fructose is safer and healthier than glucose, fructose often is advocated as a glucose substitute by diabetes mellitus patients and a preferred sweetener for different population groups. At the same time, numerous epidemiological, clinical, and experimental studies demonstrate strong positive relationship between the intake of fructose and the development of metabolic disturbances [3–16]. Although consumption of fructose may have the adverse side effects and some authors state that there are no data showing a protective effect of fructose [3], it should also be mentioned that acute temporary application of fructose is found to be beneficial under some conditions [17–23].

Potential mechanisms underlying both detrimental and protective effects of fructose are under debate. Nonenzymatic reactions of fructose and higher production of reactive carbonyls (RCS) and oxygen species (ROS) compared with glucose are believed to be causative in negative effects of fructose [24–26]. However, ROS and RCS are found to play a dual role *in vivo*, which appears to be dose and time dependent [23, 27–32]. Therefore, we suggest the involvement of reactive species in both the cytotoxic and defensive effects of fructose.

This review examines some of the potential mechanisms of ROS and RCS generation by the nonenzymatic reactions of fructose, their implication in the detrimental and defensive effects of fructose *in vivo*, and some of the differences between the long-term and short-term applications of fructose.

2. Involvement of Fructose in the Maillard Chemistry

The nonenzymatic reaction between amino acids and reducing monosaccharides was first described by Maillard a century ago [33, 34]. 40 years later, the Maillard reaction was recognized as one of the main reasons for the occurrence of the nonenzymatic food browning demonstrating an importance in food science [35, 36]. In late 1960s, the products of nonenzymatic glycosylation similar to the products of food browning were detected in human organism [37, 38]. It took several decades to realize the physiological significance of the reaction described by Maillard, which received renewed attention in biochemistry and medicine. The nonenzymatic glycosylation has been named “glycation” in order to differentiate it from the enzymatic glycosylation, an important posttranslation modification of proteins [39]. In 1980s, Monnier and Cerami postulated that glycation had a causative role in aging and age-related pathologies [40, 41]. Today, their theory called the “glycation hypothesis of aging”
is at the origin of the growing interest in the field of \textit{in vivo} glycation, aging, and age-related diseases.

Glycation is a process in which various compounds, including RCS and advanced glycation end-products (AGEs), are produced [36, 42–45]. An increase in the RCS and AGEs steady-state levels may result in so-called carbonyl stress. The concept of “carbonyl stress” was introduced by Miyata and colleagues in late 1990s [46]. The authors have defined carbonyl stress as a situation “resulting from either increased oxidation of carbohydrates and lipids (oxidative stress) or inadequate detoxification or inactivation of reactive carbonyl compounds derived from both carbohydrates and lipids by oxidative and non-oxidative chemistry.” RCS are mainly known for their damaging effects. At the molecular level, RCS are found to modify the structure of proteins, nucleic acids, lipids, and carbohydrates. As a consequence, the loss of functions and even viability can occur at the cellular and organismal levels. These harmful effects of RCS are mainly linked to the initiation of glycation [36, 43, 47]. Interestingly, the comparison of nutrition and plasma AGEs in vegetarian and omnivorous groups showed that the higher AGEs steady-state levels may result in so-called carbonyl stress [36, 50–52].

RCS can also be formed due to enzymatic reactions of reducing carbohydrates (e.g., glucose or fructose). For example, polyol pathway may be associated with the production of glyoxal, methylglyoxal, glucosone, and 3-deoxyglucosone [49]. Effective steady-state concentration of such RCS metabolites as acetaldehyde, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, and dihydroxyacetone phosphate is typically low in the cell because of their rapid utilization by the next step of the pathway [49]. However, the concentration of such by-products of glycolysis, polyol pathway, or enzymatic oxidation of ketone bodies as glyoxal, methylglyoxal, glucosone, and 3-deoxyglucosone is not so tightly controlled [48, 49]. In general, unlike enzymatic reactions, nonenzymatic processes are not tightly controlled, and therefore they can be harmful.

Oxidation reactions and ROS have been shown to be involved and frequently accelerate the fructation, glycation, and other nonenzymatic processes [24, 36]. In order to reflect the interplay between glycation and oxidative steps the “glycoxidation” term has been introduced [33]. Figure 1 shows the mechanism of fructation followed by the generation of reactive di- and tricarbonyls as well as such ROS as superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radical. Slow oxidative degradation of monosaccharides under physiological conditions also leads to the formation of \( \alpha \)-dicarbonyls and some ROS. This process has been called monosaccharide autoxidation or the Wolff pathway [44, 54, 55]. Figure 2 demonstrates the mechanism of fructose autoxidation. Like other early glycation products, the Heyns compounds may undergo autoxidation (Figure 3). This process leading to the formation of RCS and ROS has been called the Hodge pathway [35, 56, 57]. In addition, there is an evidence for the fragmentation of the Schiff base that results in RCS and ROS generation (Figure 4). The series of reaction pathways in glycation established the Schiff base fragmentation to RCS and ROS now collectively called the Namiki pathway [44, 51, 58, 59]. Thus, some stages of glycoxidation demonstrate a strong relationship between carbonyl and oxidative stress (Figure 5). Interestingly, some compounds were simultaneously identified as the intermediates or end-products of glycoxidation and lipid peroxidation that confirms an interplay between both the nonenzymatic processes [49].

In the late stage of glycation, the reactive carbonyls and the Heyns compounds again interact with free amino, sulphydryl, and guanidine functional groups of intracellular or extracellular biomolecules like proteins, nucleic acids, and aminophospholipids, resulting in their nonenzymatic, irreversible modification and formation of a variety of adducts and crosslinks collectively named advanced glycation end products (AGEs) [44, 45]. Therefore, the Heyns products and RCS formed during fructation are believed to be important precursors of nonenzymatic adduct formation in biological systems. In general, AGEs are poorly degraded complexes (Figure 6) accumulation of which increases with aging. They were detected in a variety of human tissues and serve as biomarkers of aging and age-related disorders [36]. Interestingly, the comparison of nutrition and plasma AGEs in vegetarian and omnivorous groups showed that the higher
intake of fructose in alternative nutrition of healthy subjects may cause an increase of AGE levels [60].

It should be noted that AGEs may undergo covalent interactions with biomolecules giving more complex crosslinks. In addition, AGEs are efficient in vivo sources of RCS and ROS [44, 51, 61–63]. Like free-radical chain reaction, glycation is characterized by unpredictable direction and a wide variety of intermediates and end-products. That is why the term “Maillard chemistry” is widely used to describe the complicity of glycation [36, 43–45, 49].

3. Adverse Side Effects of Long-Term Consumption of Fructose

Fructose is commonly used as a sweetener and its intake has quadrupled since the early 1900s, in part because of
the introduction of high-fructose corn syrup [1]. This phenomenon parallels the development and progression of such disorders as obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus and its complications, cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases, hypertension, and gout, liver, and kidney disease [1, 3, 7–16]. Experimental studies on animals have shown that chronic intake of excessive fructose can induce most features of the metabolic syndrome, including hypertriglyceridemia, fatty liver, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, glucose intolerance, hyperglycemia, abdominal obesity, elevated blood pressure, microvascular disease, endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, hyperuricemia, glomerular hypertension, and renal injury [9, 16, 64–66].

Although the consumption of large amounts of dietary fructose can rapidly induce insulin resistance, most nutritionists believe that fructose is safer and healthier than glucose; therefore, fructose is advocated as a preferred sweetener, particularly for diabetes mellitus patients. Chronic hyperglycemia that in part can result from glucose intolerance induced by long-term consumption of fructose is a major inducer of vascular complications in diabetes (e.g., heart disease, stroke, blindness, and end-stage renal failure) which are responsible for disabilities and high mortality rates in patients with diabetes. The increased production of ROS, RCS, and AGES as a result of glycoxidation is most preferable among the various mechanisms, which are supposed to be involved in vascular complications in diabetes. In general,
the detrimental effects of fructose due to its long-term application in different experimental models [67–71].

To compare glucose and fructose involvement in the generation of glycoxidation products, recently we used baker’s yeast as a model and found higher level of carbonyl/oxidative stress markers, which were correlated with a higher aging rate of fructose-grown compared with glucose-grown yeast at stationary phase (long-term model) [25, 26]. We suggested that fructose rather than glucose is more extensively involved in glycoxidation in vivo, yeast aging, and development of carbonyl/oxidative stress. O’Brien with colleagues found that excessive fructose intake in animal models caused tissue damage associated with carbonyl/oxidative stress [72, 73]. In vitro experiments also demonstrated that fructose produced greater amounts of ROS and RCS than did glucose [24, 68, 69, 74].

At the first glance, from the point of view of basic organic chemistry it may seem surprising, since due to a greater electrophilicity and accessibility of the carbonyl group of aldoses (e.g., glucose), their reactivity is believed to be higher than that of respective ketoses (e.g., fructose). There is some information confirming higher reactivity of glucose versus fructose in nonenzymatic processes in vitro [75–77], while the opposite is reported in numerous in vitro and in vivo studies [24, 74, 78–80]. Possible explanation is that glucose is less reactive due to formation of very stable ring structures in aqueous solutions (glucopyranose and glucofuranose) which retards its reactivity. Generally, glucose is the least reactive monosaccharide and this characteristic can be considered to the emergence of glucose as the primary metabolic fuel [36, 81, 82]. Fructose also forms both pyranose and furanose structures [83] but exists to a greater extent in the open-chain active form than does glucose. The proportion of acyclic forms of glucose and fructose in aqueous solution accounts for 0.001–0.002% and 0.7%, respectively [48, 84]. Thus fructose is a more potent glycoxidation agent as compared with glucose that can explain its detrimental effects.

4. Short-Term Application of Fructose Protects against Oxidative Stress

Although a long-term consumption of excessive fructose may have adverse side effects, its acute temporary ingestion can be beneficial under some circumstances. For example, short-term application of fructose has been found to protect astroglial C6 cells against peroxide-induced stress [21]. In contrast to glucose, fructose inhibited apoptosis induced by reoxygenation in rat hepatocytes by decreasing the level of ROS [17]. Fructose has also been found to defend rat hepatocytes against exogenous oxidative stress [18, 20]. It has been demonstrated that fructose and its phosphorylated derivatives such as fructose-1,6-bisphosphate had significantly higher antioxidant capacities against ROS than other carbohydrates [22]. Based on these phenomena, it was suggested that acute infusion or ingestion of fructose could be of benefit in the cytoprotective therapy of disorders related to oxidative stress [21]. According to homeostasis theory, the steady-state concentration of oxidants as well as antioxidants is maintained at the limited range [85]. That is why antioxidant therapy is generally found to be ineffective [30, 31]. In contrast to strong antioxidants, fructose and its phosphorylated derivatives (e.g., fructose-1,6-bisphosphate) being important energy substrates are not “fought” by redox homeostatic mechanisms. The beneficial effects of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate have been documented in different tissues, including the heart, liver, kidney, brain, and small intestine [86–89]. The cytoprotective mechanisms underlying fructose-1,6-bisphosphate are believed to be involved in its intervention in the glycolytic pathway, as a metabolic regulator or substrate, as well as an agent modifying the ion permeability of cell membrane transporters.

Recently we have demonstrated that fructose-grown yeast at exponential phase (short-term model) exposed to hydrogen peroxide demonstrated higher survival compared to glucose-grown cells [23]. In this study, significantly higher total level of ROS was observed in fructose-grown than that in glucose-grown cells under control conditions (without \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)). However, under peroxide-induced stress ROS amount significantly decreased in yeast grown on fructose, whereas it increased in glucose-grown cells, which was very consistent with the work of Spasojević et al. [21]. The authors demonstrated a significant increase in oxidative stress of astroglial C6 cells under treatment with hydrogen peroxide in glucose medium, but it was not the case in a fructose-containing medium. At the same time, hydrogen peroxide led to a decrease of C6 cell viability in both media investigated; however, the survival was higher in fructose-containing medium. In accordance with another work by
Spasojević et al. [22], we demonstrated that hydrogen peroxide did not markedly change hydroxyl radical level in fructose-grown cells but it did decrease it significantly in fructose-grown cells [23].

An obvious question arises: what mechanism(s) is (are) responsible for the protective effect of fructose short-term application? Analysis of the literature data leads us to propose several mechanisms responsible for the defensive effect of fructose: (1) iron binding and prevention of the Fenton reaction [18, 21]; (2) stabilization of the glutathione pool in the cell [17]; (3) upregulation of the pentose phosphate pathway producing NADPH [22]; and (4) production of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate, the compound with cytoprotective and antioxidant mechanisms [86–89].

Our study extended the earlier findings with the involvement of SOD and catalase in the reduction of ROS level in fructose-grown yeast exposed to H$_2$O$_2$ [23]. It was shown that a reduced ROS level in fructose-grown cells was consistent with a broad peak of SOD and catalase activation by hydrogen peroxide, whereas cells grown on glucose demonstrated a sharp rise of the enzyme activities. We also found that fructose more markedly than glucose activated glyoxalases, the fundamental function of which is the metabolism of reactive $\alpha$-dicarbonyl metabolites in most living organisms [43, 90–92].

These findings prompted us to propose additional explanation of fructose protective effect—a short-term application of fructose induces a mild carbonyl/oxidative stress stimulating cellular defensive mechanisms responsible for cell survival under lethal stress [23]. The last mechanism can be posited from the 	extit{in vitro} and 	extit{in vivo} studies reporting that fructose is a much more potent glycoxidation agent, capable of producing greater amounts of RCS and ROS than glucose [21, 23–26, 68, 74]. Generally, ROS and RCS are found to play a dual role in vivo, which appears to be concentration dependent [23, 27–32]. At high concentrations, reactive species are potentially dangerous, as they can cause damage to cell constituents that, in turn, accompany aging and age-related disorders. In contrast, the beneficial effects of ROS and RCS occur at low concentrations and involve physiological roles in cellular signaling pathways, responses to environmental challenges, and so forth. It is also well documented that mild stress caused by low doses of reactive species can result in the acquisition of cellular resistance to lethal stress [28, 93–96].

5. Conclusion

Considering the literature data it can be supposed that at long-term consumption of excessive fructose chronic increase in the levels of reactive species leads to the accumulation of damaged cellular constituents which result in cellular disfunction, whereas short-term application of fructose provokes a mild stress, resulting in the acquisition of cellular cross-resistance to lethal stress (Figure 6). Therefore, we suggest the involvement of reactive species like RCS and ROS in both the cytotoxic and defensive effects of fructose. Thus, depending on conditions, fructose can play a dual role in the living cell. Long-term application of excessive fructose leads to glyoxidation, generation of ROS and RCS, and accumulation of damaged cellular constituents which is suggested to accompany the aging process, cellular dysfunction, and age-related disorders. In opposite, short-term application of fructose provokes a mild carbonyl/oxidative stress, resulting in the acquisition of cellular cross-resistance to lethal stress. Generally, a long-term consumption of excessive fructose is found to have adverse side effects; however acute temporary application of fructose can be beneficial under some pathophysiological conditions.

References


