Myopathies are chronic degenerative pathologies that induce the deterioration of the structure and function of skeletal muscle. So far a definitive therapy has not yet been developed and the main aim of myopathy treatment is to slow the progression of the disease. Current nonpharmacological therapies include rehabilitation, ventilator assistance, and nutritional supplements, all of which aim to delay the onset of the disease and relieve its symptoms. Besides an adequate diet, nutritional supplements could play an important role in the treatment of myopathic patients. Here we review the most recent in vitro and in vivo studies investigating the role supplementation with creatine, L-carnitine, and ω3 PUFAs plays in myopathy treatment. Our results suggest that these dietary supplements could have beneficial effects; nevertheless continued studies are required before they could be recommended as a routine treatment in muscle diseases.

1. Introduction

The role of many foods/nutrients in maintaining good health and prolonging human lifespan has been clearly demonstrated over the past three decades. Particularly important are not only plant foodstuffs (i.e., fruits, vegetables, and legumes), but also animal foods (i.e., fish) and lipids (flaxseed and olive oils), that have been shown to have protective effects against several chronic pathologies such as age-related diseases, including cardiovascular [1], neurodegenerative [2], and inflammatory diseases [3], diabetes [4], and myopathies. Myopathies can be classified as either hereditary or acquired. Congenital myopathies are a group of inherited neuromuscular disorders whose main pathological features are distinctive and specific morphologic abnormalities in skeletal muscle. In contrast, differently acquired myopathies are caused by muscle fatigue, electrolyte imbalance, and dehydration or are induced by immune disorders that cause inflammation and pain [5]. In these pathologies the skeletal muscle is the fundamental target.

The deterioration of skeletal muscle structure and function leads to clinically relevant complaints, including progressive strength loss, fatigue, myalgias, and cramps. Important progress has been made in the comprehension of
the molecular mechanisms underlying muscle myopathies. However, the treatment of muscle diseases is mainly symptom-oriented and includes physical therapy, physical exercise, orthopaedic corrections, artificial ventilation in cases of respiratory insufficiency, and pharmacologic interventions (i.e., corticosteroids). Considering the lack of therapies for myopathies, the idea that nutritional supplements might have beneficial effects in myopathy treatment is experiencing renewed interest. Conclusions about how beneficial nutritional supplements are for myopathy treatment are complicated by a lack of unequivocal results and flaws in the choice of supplements.

On the basis of their physiological roles in muscle biochemistry and bioenergetics, the nutrients creatine, L-carnitine, and ω3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (ω3 PUFAs) have been the focus of research aimed at verifying their safety and efficacy in treatment of a number of muscle diseases. Our aim here is to review the results of the most recent in vitro and in vivo research on the supplementation of creatine, L-carnitine, and ω3 PUFAs.

2. Creatine

2.1. The Nutritional Biochemistry of Creatine. Creatine (N-aminooiminomethyl-N-methylglycine, Figure 1) is an endogenous guanidine compound, which is synthesized by the kidneys, pancreas, and liver, starting from three amino acids: (1) methionine, which provides the methyl group through a transmethylation reaction, (2) glycine, which provides the acetic group and the nitrogen atom, and (3) arginine, which provides the amide group. Once produced, creatine is released into the bloodstream and then mainly captured by the cardiac and skeletal muscle and brain. To carry out its physiological role, creatine is converted to phosphocreatine by creatine kinase. The donor of the phosphate group is adenosine triphosphate (ATP), which is converted into adenosine diphosphate (ADP). Phosphocreatine is an energy reserve, available for the conversion of ADP to ATP, that is, essential during periods of high energy demand such as intense physical activity. Creatine kinase catalyzes the reversible transfer of the N-phosphoryl group from phosphorylcreatine to ADP to regenerate ATP. In this way, creatine levels are restored [6]. In a 70 kg man, the total body creatine content is about 120 g, with a turnover of about 2 g/d, corresponding to 1.6% of total body creatine. On average, 50% of an individual’s daily requirement of creatine is ingested from foods (approximately 1 g/d), while the remaining 50% is synthesized endogenously. Exogenous dietary sources of creatine include meat and fish (the concentration of creatine ranges between 4 and 5 g/kg of meat and 4 and 10 g/kg of fish), and other animal products.

To date, the effects of creatine supplementation on muscle growth and muscle performance have been documented in more than 400 publications. Scientific evidence suggests that creatine supplementation (with a considered safe loading dose of 4 g of creatine monohydrate, 4 times per day), is an effective strategy to increase muscle creatine content by up to 10–40%, in less than a week [7]. This supplementation induces the increase of anaerobic performance, training volume, and capacity of human muscle to perform work during alternating intensity contraction [8].

Moreover, creatine also plays a pivotal role in brain energy homeostasis. It has been shown that in some psychiatric disorders, such as depression, the levels of creatine are low [9]. Therefore, recent studies have investigated the effect of creatine monohydrate supplementation in psychiatric patients suffering from posttraumatic stress disorders and depression. Creatine supplementation seems to also show neuroprotective effects in some neurodegenerative pathologies such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases. Recent evidence suggests that creatine could play important roles in the dysfunction of mitochondrial metabolism, which is recognized as a central causal factor in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders [10]. In a phase-II clinical trial, creatine monohydrate showed a delay in the progression of Parkinson’s disease by 50%, compared to controls that received placebo. Then, in a subsequent followup study carried out 18 months later, creatine continued to show efficacy as a neuroprotective agent. The authors concluded that for its safety, tolerability, activity, and cost, creatine has many advantages in comparison with other drugs or food supplements potentially useful for Parkinson’s disease [11, 12].

2.2. The Effects of Creatine Supplementation on Muscle Diseases. Creatine monohydrate supplementation, at dose of 0.3 g/kg/d for six days or 0.04 g/kg/d for 30 days [13], induces an increase in total creatine and phosphocreatine concentrations in skeletal muscle [13, 14], the magnitude of accumulation being inversely related to available endogenous stores [14]. It is accepted that the dosing regimen that significantly increase the intracellular phosphocreatine is a loading phase of approximately 20 g/d for 5–7 days followed by a maintenance phase of 5 g/d for several weeks [15, 16].

In healthy strength trained humans [17–19], creatine monohydrate supplementation has been shown to improve performance [14, 20], force output [20–22], and muscle free mass [13, 14, 23, 24]. In particular, creatine is an ergogenic aid (i.e., a temporal energy buffer) when supplementation is associated with high intensity exercise and the effect is more pronounced in untrained versus trained and in elderly versus young individuals [25], whereas similar changes in muscle performance have been found in males and females [14, 20]. The accepted mechanism explaining the positive
effect of creatine supplementation on performance consists of the temporal energy buffering due to the enhancement of the resting high energy phosphate levels (total creatine, phosphocreatine, creatine, and ATP), leading to a better match between ATP supply and the muscle fibers demands during physical exercise [26]. This change allows users to improve performance through increased total volume. Creatine monohydrate supplementation is also well known for being responsible of a hypertrophic response determining an increased fat-free mass of about one kilogram [13, 14, 23, 24]. The hypertrophic potential following creatine administration has been mostly linked to fluid retention in myofibers due to swelling-induced osmotic potential of high intracellular creatine [24, 27]. An increased expression of myosin heavy chain isoforms [28] and myogenic regulatory factors [29, 30] and an improved mitotic activity of satellite cells have also been considered as key determinant of the net protein deposition following supplementation. The overall effects of creatine monohydrate supplementation on muscle structure have prompted researchers to investigate its efficacy in treating exercise-induced muscle injuries [26]. So far, contradictory results have been reported. While some studies on animal models and humans show that creatine supplementation does not decrease muscle damage or enhance recovery after high intensity eccentric contractions [31, 32], others have shown contradicting results as a greater isokinetic and isometric strength and a quicker amelioration of plasma creatine kinase (CK) levels during recovery were observed following creatine supplementation from an exercise-induced muscle damage [33]. Given the conflicting results from experiments investigating the efficacy of creatine treatment on skeletal muscle damage and recovery from eccentric-exercise damage we, and others [26], encourage further research to understand what, if any, role creatine treatment can play. Importantly, an anti-inflammatory effect of creatine has been observed when its supplementation was used in runners (with previous experience in running marathons) before a long distance race [34]. This protective effect has been confirmed in double blind trials when creatine supplementation (20 g d-1) was administered before high intensity endurance competitions [35, 36]. It is possible that the benefits of creatine supplementation in preventing muscle damage may relate to its antioxidant potential in endurance settings [26]. However, few studies have been published on the relationship between supplementation and oxidative stress, and controversial and inconclusive results have been obtained on indicators of oxidative damage [37–39]. Accordingly, creatine supplementation has been associated with either no change of lipid peroxidation, resistance of low density lipoprotein to oxidative stress or plasma concentrations of nonenzymatic antioxidants [39], increased free radical generation [37], and reduced oxidative stress [38]. In particular, Rahimi in [38] found a significant increase in athletic performance combined with attenuation of plasma malondialdehyde and urinary 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine levels in men who underwent 7 days creatine monohydrate supplementation (20 g/d) before a resistance exercise protocol. These results suggested a reduced training-induced oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation associated with supplementation [38]. However, no change [39] or an increase [37] in lipid peroxidation, resistance of low density lipoprotein to oxidative stress and plasma concentrations of nonenzymatic antioxidants has also been reported in adult males performing exhaustive incremental exercise trials combined with creatine supplementation. Taken together, these observations show that creatine supplementation may help in maintaining muscle integrity after intense and prolonged exercise, yet the mechanisms underlying the protective effect are only partially known.

The effects of creatine supplementation on muscle performance and protein metabolism and, possibly, muscle integrity may represent a rationale for its potential use to prevent or treat muscle disorders. Creatine monohydrate supplementation may benefit muscle disorders in a non-specific fashion [40] by enhancing muscle strength and mass, reducing intracellular calcium accumulation and apoptosis [41], preventing oxidative stress, and attenuating cell death [42]. In fact, in myopathy, pathophysiological events lead to fiber necrosis, apoptosis, autophagy elevation in reactive oxygen species, mitochondrial dysfunction, increases in protein catabolism and degradation, and rise in intracellular calcium content [43], and all these elements may represent sites of attack for creatine. Furthermore a reduced creatine disposal may characterize neuromuscular disorders [44–49], due to the lower creatine transporter content or the impairment of energy charging capacity of the cells. This condition of relative creatine deficiency may boost the need for dietary supplementation. The efficacy of creatine supplementation in muscle diseases has been observed in animal models and humans. For instance, in mdx mice (a model of dystrophinopathy), an improved calcium handling resulting in lower intracellular calcium concentrations and enhanced cell survival have been shown in cultured cells [50]. In the same animal model an improvement of mitochondrial respiration and muscle function has been also observed [51]. In 1997, a prospective randomized study reporting the positive effects of creatine monohydrate supplementation in a neuromuscular disease (i.e. mitochondrial myopathy) was first published [52]. In this study creatine monohydrate was administered in 7 mitochondrial cytopathy patients using a randomized crossover design with the following scheme: 5 g for the first 14 days followed by 2 g of oral creatine monohydrate for the subsequent 7 days. Measurements included activities of daily living, isometric grip strength, basal and postexercise lactate, evoked and voluntary contraction strength of the dorsiflexors, and aerobic capacity at cycle ergometry. Creatine treatment resulted in significantly increased grip strength, with no changes in the other measured variables [52]. In 1999, Tarnopolsky and Martin [53] found an increase in high intensity power output following creatine monohydrate supplementation for 10 days (10 g daily for 5 days followed by 5 g daily for 5 days) in a heterogeneous group of people with neuromuscular disorders enrolled in two studies (Study 1, n = 81 open study; and Study 2, n = 21 single-blinded study). In the open trial, which aimed to test the clinical efficacy of creatine supplementation in a large cohort of patients, myopathies included muscle dystrophies (n = 15), mitochondrial cytopathies (n = 17), inflammatory myopathies (n = 14), and peripheral neuropathy disorders
(n = 18), whereas the single-blinded study was conducted only on 21 heterogeneous patients (n = 16, miscellaneous myopathies, n = 6 muscle dystrophies, n = 1 peripheral neuropathy disorder, and n = 1 inflammatory myopathy [53]. Despite the results from [53] being based on a limited number of patients, the acquired knowledge has encouraged further research aimed at testing the effects of creatine supplementation in several conditions including sarcopenia of ageing [54, 55], dystrophies [56, 57], mitochondrial myopathies [58, 59], COPD [60–62], and chronic heart failure (where phosphocreatine/ATP ratio is a stronger prognostic factor than the degree of impairment [63]). To date, the results across all these studies remain inconclusive about a beneficial effect of creatine supplementation.

Meta-analyses of the growing literature base [40, 64, 65], highlighting the statistical under power of the majority of the studies, reveal that the efficacy for long- and short-term supplementation with creatine monohydrate is only seen in selected muscular dystrophies (i.e., dystrophinopathies and myotonic dystrophy type 2 and inflammatory myopathies, such as dermatomyositis and polymyositis) and in terms of increased muscle strength even under anti-inflammatory therapies (corticosteroids). Critical analysis of the literature shows we cannot draw safe conclusions in other myopathic conditions, partly due to methodological biases in setting up clinical trials and small sample sizes leading to lower power [43]. Importantly, in all analyzed studies across all tested myopathic conditions, creatine appeared well tolerated, apart from treatment of glycogenosis type V (McArdle disease). In this case treatment by creatine supplementation at high dose rates resulted in impaired activities of daily living and increased muscle pain (cramping) [43].

Our review of creatine supplementation has highlighted three important points. First, creatine monohydrate supplementation in healthy and diseased humans can have important beneficial effects. Second, conclusions from the study of creatine supplementation in several myopathic conditions are deeply hampered by methodological problems including difficulties in statistical power due to rarity of diseases. Third, there are virtually no observed negative effects of creatine supplementation compared to currently available chemotherapeutic interventions. Together, these three points strongly justify ongoing efforts in establishing basic research, randomized clinical trials, or other experimental designs on testing the benefits of creatine supplementation.

3. L-Carnitine

Figure 2: Chemical structure of L-carnitine.

3.1. The Nutritional Biochemistry of L-Carnitine. L-Carnitine (3-hydroxy-4-N-trimethylaminobutyrate, Figure 2), the bioactive form of carnitine, is an endogenous branched nonessential amino acid derivative. L-carnitine is synthesized in kidney, liver, and testes, starting from L-lysin and L-methionine, having ascorbic acid, ferrous iron, pyroxidine, and niacin as cofactors. L-carnitine can also be consumed with diet, especially with foods of animal origin. Omnivores have a dietary intake of carnitine from 20 and 300 mg/d mostly from red meat (50–150 mg/100 g), fish, and dairy products (up to 10 mg/100 g) consumption, whereas vegetarians have a dietary intake of about 1–3 mg/d. Dietary carnitine is absorbed in the small intestine and enters the bloodstream [66]. Inside the cells, carnitine is involved in lipid metabolism since it allows the transport of fatty acids with more than 14 carbon atoms from the cytoplasm to mitochondria where they undergo β-oxidation [63, 67]. The transition takes place through three steps. The first step is catalysed by carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1 (CPT1) and the transmembrane transport is facilitated by acylcarnitine transferase. Within the mitochondrion, free carnitine is regenerated by the action of carnitine palmitoyl transferase 2 (CPT2) and the released fatty acyl-CoAs enter the β-oxidation pathway. Taking into account that free CoA is involved in the pyruvate dehydrogenase reaction and in the process of β-oxidation, carnitine contributes to the coordinated integration of fat and carbohydrate metabolism. When glucose oxidation increases, acetyl groups can be translocated from acyl-CoA within the mitochondrial matrix to the cytoplasm. The accumulation of cytosolic acetyl carnitine may result in a limitation of CPT-1 activity because of the decrease in availability of free carnitine [26]. Moreover, fatty acid oxidation could occur, considering that skeletal muscle predominantly expresses an isoform of CPT-1 with low affinity for L-carnitine [68]. Thus, the regulation of free fatty acids β-oxidation occurs through the regulation of their mitochondrial content due to leakage of acyl and acetyl moieties leading to a modification of the ratio between esterified carnitine and free carnitine.

Considering its fundamental role in lipid metabolism, L-carnitine is a drug approved by the Food and Drug Administration to treat primary and selected secondary carnitine-deficiency syndromes [69] and is widely used as food supplement for its potential positive effect on health [70] even if the results across available studies remain inconclusive about a real beneficial effect to treat chronic complaints as type 2 diabetes [71] and Alzheimer’s neurodegenerative disease [72]. Importantly, lines of evidence suggest positive effects of carnitine supplementation in cardiovascular diseases. A recent meta-analysis revealed that carnitine administration leads to 27% reduction in all-cause mortality, 65% reduction in ventricular arrhythmias, and 40% reduction in anginal symptoms in patients experiencing an acute myocardial infarction. Therefore L-carnitine and propionyl-L-carnitine can be used along with conventional treatment in presence of stable angina, thus contributing to secondary prevention of cardiovascular diseases [73]. Interestingly promising results
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have been also obtained in presence of intermittent claudication, the most frequent symptom of mild moderate peripheral vascular disease, as propionyl-L-carnitine supplementation can help reducing symptoms and is associated with significant amelioration of functional impairment [74].

3.2. The Effects of L-Carnitine Supplementation on Muscle Diseases. The skeletal muscle is the most relevant depository of carnitine, and its availability is critical for the physiological bioenergetics of this tissue. Carnitine deficiency greatly affects skeletal muscle function as found in presence of primary and secondary deficiencies. Primary carnitine deficiency (OMIM 212140), which affects between 1: 37,000–1: 100,000 newborn individuals, is an autosomal recessive disorder of fatty acid oxidation resulting from defective carnitine transport caused by mutations in carnitine transporter gene SLC22A5 [75] coding for OCTN2 transport protein. The disease, characterized by very low level of free and total carnitine (free carnitine 1–5 μM and normal 20–55 μM), may have a predominant metabolic or cardiac presentation. The metabolic presentation usually before 2 years of age is characterized by frequent gastrointestinal and respiratory infections, lethargia, hepatomegaly, hypoketotic hypoglycemia, hyperammonemia, and serum creatine kinase elevation [76]. Later cardiomyopathy and hypotonia dominate the medical case [77]. Secondary carnitine deficiency is commonly associated with hemodialysis. In chronic renal insufficiency, undialyzed patients total carnitine, free carnitine, and acylcarnitine accumulate in body tissues due to reduced renal clearance [78, 79]. Besides, following regular hemodialysis, a significant creatine loss arises as demonstrated by reduction of creatine content in serum and skeletal muscle during the dialysis session [80] which is not compensated by endogenous synthesis [79]. Considering that the dialysate carnitine content before and after hemodialysis is far below that of control subjects and that the loss of carnitine into the dialysate greatly exceeds that into urine, the net loss of carnitine is mostly attributed to dialysis procedures [81, 82]. Indeed also the carnitine cofactors and precursors, vitamin B6, niacin, vitamin C, lysine, and methionine, may be lost throughout the dialysis procedures [83]. Considering the role of carnitine in the cell, bioenergetics, dyslipidemia, muscle fatigue, cardiomyopathy, and anemia have been considered potential targets for L-carnitine supplementation in several trials conducted in a large cohort of hemodialyzed patients [83]. Meta-analysis of the literature does not allow to draw consensus on whether carnitine supplementation can improve the patient's health status. In particular, although initial systematic reviews of published literature on the topic put forward a promising effect on management of anemia and failed to demonstrate a significant efficacy in controlling dyslipidemia [83], a recent meta-analysis involving more than 1700 participants failed to confirm the previous findings regarding the effects of L-carnitine on hemoglobin but showed that L-carnitine significantly decreases serum low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and C-reactive protein (CRP). In this study the extent of LDL decrease did not appear clinically relevant, whereas a significant and clinically relevant decrease of CRP serum content was observed [84]. Importantly, these effects were not confirmed in another meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, which failed to demonstrate any improvement of inflammation, oxidative stress, nutrition, anemia, dyslipidemia, hyperparathyroidism status, or quality of life in hemodialyzed patients [85]. Uncertainties also regard the effect of L-carnitine administration on skeletal muscle function of hemodialyzed patients. Long-term administration (12 months) of L-carnitine (2 g/day) to hemodialyzed patients resulted in increased serum and muscle carnitine levels, and selective type 1 fiber hypertrophy [86] and similar results have been obtained in uremic patients following 24 weeks administration of the same dose [87] but the functional significance of such changes remains to be elucidated. Taken together, available inconclusive results put forward that high-quality and long-term randomized trials are still required to fully elucidate the clinical value of L-carnitine administration in these patients.

Other clinically relevant conditions may determine secondary carnitine deficiency, that is, intestinal resection, severe infections, liver disease, and cancer [88] where a negative impact on skeletal muscle is demonstrated by the appearance of pathological manifestations, including fibers accumulation of neutral lipids, structural damages, and sub-sarcolemmal accumulation of large aggregates of mitochondria. Therefore, carnitine supplementation may represent a useful tool for the management of muscle deterioration and the appearance of fatigue in presence of carnitine loss.

Carnitine deficiency is not the only condition that allows to focus on its central role in muscle energy disposal and handling. Carnitine availability may be the limiting factor for fatty acid oxidation and/or the removal of acyl-CoAs at rest and during low intensity exercise [89], and an increase in skeletal muscle total carnitine content would be expected to increase fatty acid oxidation and decrease pyruvate dehydrogenase complex activation and glycogen use during such exercise tasks. On the other side, during high intensity exercise, carnitine shifts towards acylcarnitine formation, thus maintaining the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex and and tricarboxylic acid flux [90]. In accordance with a dual role of carnitine in skeletal muscle energetics, beneficial effect of L-carnitine supplementation has been observed at low intensity exercise where its availability increases the rate of oxidation of intramuscular fatty acids and triacylglycerols, thus postponing the appearance of fatigue [91, 92]. Furthermore at high intensity exercise its availability may lead to better matching of glycolytic and mitochondrial flux, thus reducing ATP formation by anaerobic mechanisms [90, 93–97]. Importantly, some studies failed to observe such effects [98–104], and inconsistencies may be laid on the relevance of dietary means to carnitine retention after supplementation, being favored by carbohydrates congestion through increased insulin level [90].

One of the most promising areas of research on L-carnitine supplementation regards its potential role in ameliorating and accelerating recovery from exercise-induced muscle injury. It has been found that supplemental carnitine is effective in attenuating signs of tissue damage (muscle
soreness and serum CK elevation) induced by lengthening or intense contractions [105–108] also in sarcopenic muscle [109]. The observed benefits of L-carnitine supplementation in preventing load-induced muscle injury have been attributed to its known role as antioxidant. In skeletal muscle, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and nitrogen species are physiologically synthesized at low levels and are required for normal force production [110]. When ROS production overtakes tissue antioxidant capacity, oxidative stress activates pathophysioligic signaling leading to proteolysis and apoptosis within the myofibers. This sequence of events is considered as a major cause of sarcopenmal damage and leakage of cytosolic proteins as CK into the circulation and the origin of reduced muscle strength capacity that contributes to fatigue [111–113]. In exercise-induced muscle damage L-carnitine supplementation has been found to reduce postexercise serum CK [105, 106] and myoglobin concentrations [106], suggesting a quicker muscle recovery from damage. Further evidence demonstrated that L-carnitine has an effective free-radicals scavenging activity at least in vitro [114]. In contrast inconclusive results have been obtained in vivo at least in humans on the effects of L-carnitine on xanthine oxidase, a marker of metabolic stress that, in presence of high glycolytic rates, mediates the oxidation of AMP to hypoxanthine [115]. Accumulation of xanthine oxidase is the consequence of the activation of calcium-dependent proteases, which cleave a portion of xanthine dehydrogenase and convert it into xanthine oxidase. This response appears to be attenuated by L-carnitine supplementation which reduces intracellular hypoxanthine and xanthine oxidase following resistance exercise bouts [109] whereas other experimental investigations failed to demonstrate such effect [116, 117].

Another mechanism, by which high intensity muscle contractions may exert toxic effect on skeletal muscle, is transient hypoxia. Under hypoxia, an increased concentration of blood ammonia and a lower concentration of free carnitine have been found [118, 119]. In this condition supplementation with L-carnitine may prevent ammonia formation through its antioxidant activity. Besides exercise, L-carnitine is known to protect against muscle mitochondrial dysfunctions associated with oxidative stress caused by a series of conditions such as aging, ischemia reperfusion, inflammation, degenerative diseases, carcinogenesis, and drug toxicity, in vivo or in vitro [120–134]. For instance, studies suggest that cancer cachexia, which includes anorexia, weight loss, muscle loss, skeletal muscle atrophy, anemia, and alterations in carbohydrate, lipid, and protein metabolism [135], is associated with a decrease in intracellular glutathione concentration in the muscle [136–138], and L-carnitine supplementation increases the tumor-induced decrease in muscular glutamate and glutathione levels at least in animal models [136]. Gramignano et al. [139], studying the efficacy of L-carnitine supplementation (6 g/day for 4 weeks) in a population of advanced cancer patients, found a decreased ROS and increased glutathione peroxidase levels. Promising antioxidant activities have been also found following supplementation in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis [140], renal disease [141], and phenylketonuria [142], as well as in several experimental models of oxidative stress [143–146]. Interestingly, recent evidence suggests that carnitine supplementation may also directly act as radical scavenger, thus contributing to protection against statin-induced oxidative muscle damage [146, 147].

In summary, considering its importance in muscle bioenergetics and its antioxidant potential, L-carnitine supplementation may be considered an aid in presence of carnitine deficiency and in skeletal muscle diseases in which oxidative stress and altered fatty acid oxidation mostly contribute to pathophysiology. Despite this potential, further research is needed to conclusively elucidate the mechanisms underlying its protective effects and to establish whether they may also arise in presence of muscle diseases of different origin.

4. ω3 Long Chain Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids (ω3 LC-PUFAs)

4.1. The Nutritional Biochemistry of ω3 LC-PUFAs. ω3 PUFAs are among the most studied nutrients which show healthy properties [148]. α-Linolenic acid (ALA—C18:3, ω3, Figure 3), which is not synthesized in the human body and therefore must be consumed with the diet, is the precursor of the two most important bioactive long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (ω3 LC-PUFA, Figure 3): eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA—C20:5 ω3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA—C22:6 ω3). In the endoplasmic reticulum, ALA is converted in EPA and DHA through the development of enzymatic elongation and desaturation reactions, in which Δ-6 desaturase and elongase and Δ-5 desaturase enzymes are involved. In peroxisomes, these reactions are followed by β-oxidation to produce DHA. ω3 LC-PUFAs are considered “conditionally essential” because occasionally they are not synthesized in sufficient amounts to meet human needs. This condition occurs when the dietary intake of ALA is too low in comparison with linoleic acid (LA, C18:2-w6) and the ratio ω6/ω3 is higher than 5/1. Thus, due to the competition of ALA and LA for the same Δ-6 desaturase enzyme, which is shared in the two metabolic pathways, the production of LC-PUFAs shifts towards the synthesis of ω6 fatty acids. It is therefore evident that the intake of both ALA and EPA and DHA should be encouraged. The most important sources of ω3 LC-PUFAs in human nutrition are fatty fish, such as sardines, salmon, and tuna, as well as walnuts, and flaxseed, and canola oils.

Once produced from ALA, EPA and DHA, in turn, are precursors of eicosanoids that mediate the anti-inflammatory effects that underlie the beneficial effects ascribed to ω3 LC-PUFAs in numerous physiological and pathological states. In particular, increasing evidence suggests that ω3 PUFAs improve blood lipid levels (inducing a decrease of triglyceride levels and an increase of HDL cholesterol), reduce arrhythmias and risk of stroke, and help to prevent and treat atherosclerosis [149, 150]. Moreover, ω3 PUFAs were shown to have chemopreventive properties against various types of cancer, including colon and breast cancer [151, 152]. Some recent studies suggest that ω3 PUFAs intake is associated with reduced depressive symptoms, particularly in females, potentiating the effects of antidepressants, and helps to reduce mood swings [153, 154]. The protective effect of
DHA in amyloid-β peptide-infused rats was associated with increased membrane fluidity which also provided oxidative stress resistance in hippocampal cells [155, 156]. In vivo, ω3 LC-PUFAs increased membrane fluidity in rat hippocampus and improved memory formation, whereas their reduction exerted opposite effects [157, 158]. Preclinical studies supported the idea that DHA maintained membrane fluidity, improved synaptic and neurotransmitter functioning, enhanced learning and memory performances, and displayed neuroprotective properties [159]. Moreover, DHA decreased the amount of vascular amyloid-β peptide (Aβ) deposition and reduced Aβ burden [160, 161].

Interesting avenues of research also regard the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases of the bone as rheumatoid arthritis [162, 163]. Importantly, ω3 PUFAs have shown beneficial effects on bone health in animal studies [164, 165] but current research suggests only a modest increase in bone turnover in humans [166].

4.2. The Effects of ω3 PUFA Supplementation on Muscle Diseases. Research on the role of PUFAs in muscle health and functionality is still incomplete and deserves future in-depth analysis. Promising observations suggest an important role of dietary ω3 PUFA supplementation on protein synthesis and inflammation and its potential efficacy in lean body mass sparing. Initial evidence suggests that fish-oil-derived ω3 PUFAs might be useful in preventing and treating sarcopenia of ageing. This effect appears mediated by increased insulin levels and amino acid mediated activation (i.e. phosphorylation) of signaling proteins of the mTOR/p70S6 K1 pathway, as demonstrated in animal models (i.e. growing steers and burned guinea pigs) [167, 168]. In 2011, Smith et al. in two randomized studies [169–171] demonstrated the effect of ω3 PUFA supplementation (1.86 g EPA and 1.50 g DHA for 8 weeks) on muscle protein metabolism in young/middle-aged (mean age: 37 years) and elderly subjects (mean age: 65 years) of both sexes. Importantly, in all individuals, ω3 PUFA supplementation, although not exerting any effect on the basal rate of protein synthesis, determined the augmentation of the hyperaminoacidemia-hyperinsulinemia-induced rate.

This change was accompanied by increased phosphorylation of mTOR in serine 2448 and downstream p70S6 K in threonine 389, whereas no change in the level of Akt (the main effector of insulin activation upstream mTOR) phosphorylation was observed. Interestingly, the effect on protein synthesis was not associated with lower plasma concentration of inflammatory markers and triglycerides, thus suggesting that the anabolic effect of PUFAs may arise independently of their known anti-inflammatory effect [169]. Furthermore the observed anabolic effect did not produce significant amelioration of glycemic control through changes in skeletal muscle insulin sensitivity, which is generally, but not universally [172–175], accepted to be improved by ω3 PUFA supplementation. In fact, Liu et al. [172] showed that in rats fed with a high-ω3 fatty acid diet there is an increase of insulin binding to sarcolemma due to changes of the fatty acyl composition of phospholipids surrounding the insulin receptor. The authors suggested that this might be the mechanism by which dietary fatty acids modify insulin action. More recently, in rats fed with a high-saturated fat diet, Holness et al. [173] reported that hyperinsulinemia can be rapidly reversed via the dietary provision of small amounts of ω3 PUFA. However, the saving of insulin induced by ω3 PUFA supplementation occurs in the absence of an acute improvement of insulin sensitivity. These results are not surprising as the published available information on the effects of PUFA supplementation on insulin sensitivity in humans is inconsistent and often contradictory [176–180].

Considering that maintenance of muscle mass is a fundamental determinant of its capacity to generate force, of interest is the potential correlation between PUFAs consumption and muscle strength in the elderly. So far, inconclusive results are available [180, 181]. In particular, in 2009, a cross-sectional study found no correlation between total ω3 or ω6 PUFA intakes and muscle strength in aged Americans [180], whereas, in 2013, the Tokyo Oldest Old Survey on Total Health showed that higher consumption of EPA and DHA is significantly associated with higher functional mobility in men. This effect was not observed in women [181]. The only available randomized double blind pilot study analyzed

Figure 3: Chemical structure of α-linolenic acid (ALA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA).
the effects of 2 fish oil (1.2 g EPA and DHA) or 2 placebo (olive oil) capsules per day for 6 months in 126 postmenopausal women [182]. Fatty acid levels, frailty assessment, hand grip strength, 8-foot walk, body composition, and inflammatory biomarkers were taken at baseline and after 6 months of supplementation. Fish oil supplementation resulted in higher red blood cell DHA, compared to baseline and placebo, and improvement in walking speed compared to placebo. In this work a linear regression model including age, vitamin intake, osteoarthritis, frailty phenotype, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) explained that the change in DHA/arachidonic ratio, TNF-α, and selenium intake had the major contribution to the observed change in walking speed [182]. Importantly, new avenues of research highlight that a fundamental booster of the functional effects of fish oil supplementation is physical exercise and, in particular, strength training. In 2012, Rodacki and coworkers [183], in a randomized study enrolling elderly women, demonstrated that the use of fish oil for 90 days in addition to strength training (3 times/week, for 12 weeks, 36 training sessions) was associated with an additional increase of peak torque and rate of torque development, which, de facto, defines an improvement in whole body functional capacity as demonstrated by higher chair-rising performance. Notably, the mechanisms underlying these changes remain obscure and the potential role of fish oil in changing the fluidity of the muscle fibers membrane and acetylcholine sensitivity should be taken into consideration [183].

Therefore, available data suggest a potential role of ω3 PUFA supplementation in muscle anabolism and functionality at least in presence of sarcopenia of ageing. Future studies are needed to investigate whether these effects might be quantitatively relevant in other myopathic conditions.

Another fundamental mechanism to be taken into consideration when analyzing the potential benefit of ω3 PUFA supplementation in healthy and diseased skeletal muscle is the largely demonstrated inhibitory effect on inflammation [184]. ω3 PUFAs serve as precursors to prostaglandins such as prostaglandin E3, which are powerful hormone-like substances that reduce inflammation [185], and inhibit arachidonic acid, derived 2-series prostaglandins, and the 4-series leucotrienes, which are known to modulate the production of proinflammatory and immunoregulatory cytokines [186]. In vivo and ex vivo animal studies have indicated that ω3 PUFAs reduce the production of TNF-α, IL-1, IL-2, and IL-6 [187–189]. In humans contradictory observations still exist, since some lines of evidence suggest that supplementation of the diet with fish oils results in a reduced production of IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-2 [190], and an alteration of gene expression profiles to a more antiinflammatory and antiatherogenic status in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in vitro [191]; whereas, in vivo, dietary supplementation with PUFA produced either no change [192] or amelioration of hallmarks of muscle damage (i.e., soreness and creatine kinase levels) and inflammatory mediators (i.e. IL6), following physiological proinflammatory events such as strenuous exercise or eccentric contractions in unaccustomed individuals [193, 194]. Finally, the change in lipid composition of the membranes (i.e. the sarcolemmal membranes) might be considered an additional, not enough explored, effect of PUFA supplementation on skeletal muscle. It is well known that changes in lipid composition may influence membrane function by regulating protein and lipid membrane homeostasis [195–197], and PUFAs either as constituents of membrane phospholipids or free molecules contribute to membrane chemophysical features (i.e., membrane organization, ion permeability, elasticity, and microdomain formation). In particular, it has been shown that ω3 PUFA supplementation decreases membrane thickness [198, 199], modulates proton membrane permeability and leaflet thickness enhancing fatty acid flip-flop rate, and increases bilayer propensity to be in a liquid-disordered phase [196]. The anti-inflammatory potential of ω3 PUFAs together with their efficacy in modulating myocyte membrane composition and conformation [197, 200–202] was the rational basis for recent preliminary attempts aiming at identifying new strategies for the treatment of cancer cachexia and muscular dystrophies where inflammation plays a major role in the pathogenesis of muscle wasting [203].

Unfortunately, only few and contradictory studies are available. Inconclusive results are available on whether the anti-inflammatory effects of PUFAs can counteract the action of proinflammatory cytokines involved in the pathogenesis of cancer cachexia [203], and the available randomized controlled clinical trials could not safely demonstrate positive effects of supplementation on muscle wasting [204]. Few and contradictory results have also been obtained in muscular dystrophies. In particular, Fiaccavento et al. have demonstrated that supplementation of dystrophic UM-X71 hamsters, carrying a phenotype similar to limb girdle muscular dystrophy 2F (LGMD2F) with ALA, precludes myocyte and muscular tissue damage and modulates cells proliferation promoting myogenic differentiation. Two major factors concurred to determine such effects including the modulation of the lipid membrane composition and configuration which appears altered in such model [205] associated with the preservation of the expression and location of key-role signaling proteins (i.e. β-catenin, caveolin-3, sargocymcin, and dystroglycan) and the slowing down of the myocyte degeneration/regeneration cycling rate associated with the enhancement of the myogenic differentiation [206]. Further, initial observations suggest that ω3 PUFA supplementation with fish oil or EPA decreases muscle degeneration and inflammation in mdx mouse model mirrored by reduced functional impairment evaluated by grip strength tests [207, 208]. On the contrary, by using a highly controlled diet design, Henderson et al. [209] have recently put forward a detrimental effect of a high intake of ω3 PUFA, unlike high MUFA, in the same animal model as demonstrated by higher serum CK activity and no changes in skeletal muscle histopathology and inflammatory markers (p65) [209]. Congruent with the latter observations, Galvao and coworkers [210] have recently demonstrated that high ω3-PUPA diet enriched with ω3-linoleic and ω6-linolenic acid, unlike high long chain saturated fatty acids diet, promotes a negative effect on lifespan in the same animal model with genetic cardiomyopathy. Importantly the harmful effect on survival of the high PUFA diet appeared to be associated with highly significant increase in plasma free fatty acids whose elevation
is correlated with higher risk of ventricular arrhythmias and is considered a strong predictor of sudden cardiac death [211, 212].

Therefore, considering the available research studies, it is not currently possible to draw safe conclusions on the role of ω3 PUFA in muscle diseases and further studies are first needed to elucidate whether supplementation may be harmful in certain myopathic conditions.

5. Conclusion

Myopathies are chronic degenerative diseases that induce the deterioration of the structure and function of skeletal muscle characterized by progressive strength loss, muscle fatigue, pain, or tenderness, cramps, stiffness, and tightness. So far, curative therapies are not available and the goals of treatment are now finalized to delay the onset of the disease and to relieve symptoms. Therefore, myopathic patients are submitted to interventions, such as rehabilitation, ventilatory assistance, and nutritional approach, which have the main purposes of improving the quality of life and slowing the progression of the disease. In particular an adequate diet, which has sufficient caloric content and balanced nutritional composition, and specific nutritional supplements can help myopathic patients. The presented review of the literature suggests that creatine, L-carnitine, and ω3-PUFA supplementation may have the potential to exert beneficial effects in selected myopathies. Nevertheless, to date, experimental evidence of short-term and long-term effects of supplementation in myopathies with heterogeneous physiopathology is missing. Indeed, the evidence of potential harmful effects in short-term settings (ω3 PUFA in animal models of dystrophy and cardiomyopathy and creatine in McArdle disease) and the lack of studies on the potential harmful effects of prolonged supplementations strongly highlight that further rigorous studies are required before these supplementations could be recommended as a treatment in selected muscle diseases.
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