Shear Stress, Energy Losses, and Costs: A Resolved Dilemma of Pulsatile Cardiac Assist Devices
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Cardiac assist devices (CAD) cause endothelial dysfunction with considerable morbidity. Employment of pulsatile CAD remains controversial due to inadequate perfusion curves and costs. Alternatively, we are proposing a new concept of pulsatile CAD based on a fundamental revision of the entire circulatory system in correspondence with the physiopathology and law of physics. It concerns a double lumen disposable tube device that could be adapted to conventional cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and/or CAD, for inducing a homogenous, downstream pulsatile perfusion mode with lower energy losses. In this study, the device's prototypes were tested in a simulated conventional pediatric CPB circuit for energy losses and as a left ventricular assist device (LVAD) in ischemic piglets model for endothelial shear stress (ESS) evaluations. In conclusion and according to the study results the pulsatile tube was successfully capable of transforming a conventional CPB and/or CAD steady flow into a pulsatile perfusion mode, with nearly physiologic pulse pressure and lower energy losses. This represents a cost-effective promising method with low mortality and morbidity, especially in fragile cardiac patients.

1. Introduction

Mechanical cardiac assist devices (CAD) disturb endothelial function and hemodynamics [1, 2]. These most probably occur as a consequence of steady-flow or inadequate pulsatile mode of perfusion that suppresses endothelial shear stress (ESS) [3].

Shear stress-mediated endothelial function controls vascular tone with plenty of mediators [4, 5] as well as vascular conditions through several processes like atherosclerosis and angiogenesis-apoptosis interdependency [6, 7].

In general, present CAD can be identified in two categories: devices that increase coronary blood flow during diastole in order to improve the oxygenation and thus the performance of the myocardium, that is, the intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) and the enhanced external counterpulsation pump (EECP) [8, 9]; these devices must be synchronized with heartbeat and they are unsuitable in case of cardiac arrhythmia and devices that unload and bypass the heart pump either partially as achieved by left ventricular assist devices (LVAD), right ventricular assist devices (RVAD), and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) or completely like with biventricular assist devices, cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) [10–12].

Conceptually, these devices are lumped models, designed for driving Newtonian compressible fluids inside closed pressurized hydraulic circuits implementing rigid tubes with fixed diameters [13, 14]. Meanwhile, in practices those devices are confronted with blood, which is a non-Newtonian fluid running inside flexible vessels with different geometries and controlled by vascular resistance. Thus, confrontation between these two opposite pressurized hydraulic circuits creates a vicious circle of momentum energy losses and endothelial dysfunction. Besides, installations conduits between cardiovascular tissues and CAD create dead space with important zones of energy losses [15].
Finally, permanent replacement of the heart with an artificial heart option is still a work in progress with short life expectancy, which limits its applications in specific categories, regarding body surface area ($1.9 \pm 0.22 \ m^2$), sex (95% men), and age (practically 0% children) [16,17].

In a matter to overrule these side effects, different therapeutic strategies are currently applied, particularly with CPB such as pharmacological supports [18], normothermia [19], and pulsatile CPB [20]. However, those trials remain insufficient, as drugs promote further drawbacks [21]. Benefits of normothermia, that may be due to the stability of blood viscosity at 37°C [22], remain controversial [23], as the myocardium is already protected with cardioplegia. In addition, perfusion of organs microcirculation is protected with hemodilution according to the Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect [24]. Besides, current pulsatile CPB induces inadequate perfusion curves and momentum energy losses that necessitate specific materials and technology [25]. On the other hand, association of IABP with conventional CPB, as a cost-effective manner, creates turbulent zones from opposing flows and vascular complications [26–28].

These side effects may explain current popularity of conventional CPB [29], in addition to the off-bypass technique, which is still restricted to small groups of patients [30].

Therefore, an optimum cardiovascular perfusion device is still missing, because we cannot simulate properly a type-III passive ventricular pump with type-I (e.g., roller) or type-II (e.g., centrifugal) pumps [31,32].

Alternatively, we are proposing a new concept of pulsatile CAD based on a fundamental revision of the entire circulatory system in correspondence with the physiopathology and law of physics.

As shown in (Figure 1(a)), it concerns a double lumen disposable tube device that could be adapted to conventional CPB and/or CAD, for inducing a homogenous, downstream pulsatile perfusion mode with lower energy losses.

In this study, device prototypes were tested in a simulated conventional pediatric CPB circuit for energy losses and as a LVAD in ischemic piglets model for ESS evaluations.

The goals of this study were to evaluate the feasibility of the proposed device to transform a steady-flow of conventional CAD into a pulsatile mode of perfusion with preservation of physiological ESS and minimum energy losses. This represents a cost-effective method with low morbidity and mortality.

2. Materials and Methods

Device Prototype. A double lumen tube (Figure 1(b)) was composed of (a) external polyvinyl chloride (PVC) (20 cm length, 1/2 inch diameter). (b) Internal Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) (18 cm length, 12 mm diameter) was reinforced with latex membrane (condom) to avoid any leakage through PTFE micropores. (c) 2 connectors (1/4 inch) were introduced at each end of the inner tube and securely sealed by external adhesive straps and rings in a wedged manner to the external PVC tube. A small animal ventilator (HX-300 TaiMeng Technologies Inc) was connected to the intermediate chamber through central holes at the external tube to be used as a pulsatile generator.

2.1. In Vitro Study

Mock Circulation. With slight modification, according to Undar et al. and Wang et al. [33,34], the circuit was composed of (Figure 2(a)) a roller head pump (Cobe Cardiovascular Inc.), pediatric oxygenator (Sorin Lilliput 2 Ecmo), and hemofilter (Sorin Group hemoconcentrators), primed with fresh piglet’s blood mixed with dextran in concentration of 2/3 to 1/3, respectively. A pediatric arterial line circuit, PVC tube (1.5 m length), 14 FR aortic cannula (DLP Medtronic, Inc.), venous line (1.5 m length), and simulating vascular resistance partial clamp were positioned downstream to the aortic cannula.

Methods. Five pressure lines were connected to a pressure monitor (BIOPAC physiology monitoring system) and positioned at remote spots on the circuit as follows: pre/post-oxygenator (P1,P2); pre/post-tube (P3,P4), and post-simulated resistance (P5), which represents the arterial perfusion curve in patients (Figure 2(b)).

Flow-pressure curves were recorded first in a steady mode, then pulsatile after switching the tube’s generator on, using variant pump flow rate (400, 600, 800, and...
1000 mL/min) and fixed pulsatile frequency (110 bpm) in group P.

Momentum energy losses were roughly calculated according to differences of flow pressures between the spots (P1–P5) and compared with tube position in 3 different mock circuits as follows.

(i) Circuit I: the tube was positioned downstream to the oxygenator at 6 cm distance from the aortic cannula.
(ii) Circuit II: the tube was positioned downstream to the oxygenator at 150 cm distance from the aortic cannula.
(iii) Circuit III: the tube was positioned upstream between the roller pump and oxygenator.

2.2. In Vivo Study. This study was approved by the Animal Research Facility at Sun Yat-sen University and conformed to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publication no. 85-23, revised in 1996).

Ten domestic piglets of both sexes were randomly designated to either pulsatile group (P, n = 5; 11.750.60 kg) or the nonpulsatile group (NP, n = 5; 11.800.84 kg). Animals were premedicated and maintained on general anesthesia according to our previously published protocols [35]. After a median cervicotomy and tracheotomy, a 3.5–5 tracheal tube was inserted, followed by mechanical ventilation (PA-500 PuLang Technologies Inc) with 40% oxygen, 10–15 mL/kg/min of tidal volume, and frequency of 15/min. The right carotid artery was isolated and cannulated with a 6 Fr. arterial sheath. Then a Millar probe (4 Fr. MIKRO-TIP catheter transducer, Millar Instruments) was introduced through the carotid line into the aorta for continuous systemic pressure (AP) monitoring (BIOPAC physiology monitoring system); this enabled other hemodynamic measurements mentioned below. After median sternotomy, mediastinal dissection, pericardiectomy, and dissection of great vessels were followed by positions of purse-string (5/0 polypropylene) at the RA appendage, infundibulum, ascending aorta, and LV apex.

Cardiac Catheterizations/Hemodynamic Monitoring. A (5 Fr.) double-lumen central venous line (Hydrocath, B-D Tech.) was introduced through the RA purse-string for drug administration and RA pressure monitoring. An intrapulmonary catheter (5 Fr. Swan-Ganz) was introduced through the infundibular purse-string for pulmonary artery pressure (PAP). Left atrium (LA) pressure was obtained by direct needle puncture at predetermined time points. Cardiac output (CO) was measured with a TRANSONIC transit-time flowmeter temporarily positioned around the aortic root at predetermined time points.

Total time (T) of the experiment was 3 h, divided into (T1, T2, and T3) in correspondence to data collection: T1 = baseline; T2 = nearly 1 h of myocardial ischemia, just before severe hemodynamic deterioration; and T3 = by the end of experiment after 2 h of treatment.

Induction of Acute Myocardial Ischemia. After surgical preparation, and data collection for T1, the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) was encircled with a 4/0 polypropylene stitch, distal to the 2nd diagonal bifurcation and tightly obstructed with a snugger for 2 h period.
During the first 1h of ischemia, ventricular fibrillation (VF) and cardiac arrest were frequent after 20–30 min of ischemia. Animals were assisted with classical cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and DC shocks (20–30 J), without any further IHD pharmacological supports. After 30 min, heparin was given (250 UI/kg) intravenously, followed by LVAD installation as follows.

In P group, a modified aortic cannula (12 Fr., 10 cm length; DLP-Medtronic, Inc.) was inserted at the aortic root and a short piece of PVC tube (14 Fr., 15 cm length) was introduced into the LV apex. Both aortic cannula and LV vent were shortly cut in a matter to avoid energy losses caused by unnecessary length. A pulsatile tube prototype was connected at its distal end to a conventional roller pump (Cobe Cardiovascular Inc.) and to the aortic cannula at its proximal end. The LV vent was connected to the other end of the roller pump.

In NP group, the aorta was cannulated using a standard aortic cannula (12 Fr. DLP-Medtronic, Inc.) and an apical LV vent (14 Fr. DLP-Medtronic, Inc.). Both aortic and LV vent were connected to a centrifugal pump (Sorin group Revolution).

The LVAD circuit in both P and NP groups was primed with heparinized saline, then deaired, clamped, and kept on standby until T2. Animals that did not survive to T2 were excluded.

Hemodynamics data were collected from both groups at T1, T2, and T3 including AP, PAP, LA, and RA pressures, heart rate, and CO. The vascular resistance index was calculated with the following formula:

(i) pulmonary vascular resistance index (PVRI) = 80 ∗ (MPAP – PCWP) / CO ∗ Wt,
(ii) systemic vascular resistance index (SVRI) = 80 ∗ (MAP – CVP) / CO ∗ Wt,

where MPAP is the mean pulmonary arterial pressure, PCWP is the pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, substituted for LA pressure, MAP is the mean arterial pressure, CVP is the central venous pressure, substituted for RA pressure, CO is the cardiac output, and Wt is the body weight.

Therapeutic Phase. During the second hour of ischemia in P group, the pulsatile tube generator was switched on at a fixed frequency (90 bpm) and irrespective of heart rate (93.75±5.25 bpm). The flow rate of the roller pump was kept around 0.3 L/min (150 mL/Kg). In the NP group, the centrifugal pump flow rate was fixed around 0.8 L/min.

After 1 h of LV mechanical assist, the LAD snugger was removed, allowing coronary reperfusion during the second hour of assistance (total 2 h of LVAD).

The ischemic zone was measured and evaluated macroscopically until the end of the experiment (T3).

Animals were euthanized with a 10 ml injection of saturated potassium chloride (KCl) upon completion of 3 h of LVAD or just before severe hemodynamic deterioration.

Statistics. Continuous variables are expressed as the mean SEM. Comparisons between groups of independent samples were performed with students t-test hemodynamic data in vitro and a 2-way ANOVA for the in vivo data. P with a value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. GraphPad Prism software was applied for all the statistical analyses in this study.

3. Results

In vitro, as resumed in Table 1 and Figures 3, 4, and 5, globally, mean flow pressures at P5 were significantly better in the pulsatile mode (P) compared with steady flow (NP); mean P5 were 31.8 ± 1 versus 30.8 ± 0.5; 40.3 ± 0.5 versus 39.3 ± 0.5; and 44.8 ± 0.5 versus 43 ± 0.00 mmHg with tube position in circuits I, II, and III, respectively (P < 0.001).

Reduction of the pulsatile perfusion curve amplitude was directly proportional to distance between aortic cannula and tube position; that is, I > II > III.

The collected pulse pressure data from each circuit were as follows.

Circuit I = P4 (133.3 ± 17.7) – P5 (126.3 ± 18.6) ≈ 7 mmHg.
Circuit II = P3 (100.3 ± 10.3) – P5 (66 ± 6.1) = 44 mmHg.
Circuit III = P2 (74.5 ± 8.7) – P5 (48.8 ± 4.7) = 26 mmHg.

In circuit III, there were significant increased flow pressures in a retrograde manner; that is, P1 > P2 and P5 > P1 (P < 0.001).

In vivo, as resumed in (Table 2), apparently, the induced pulsatile perfusion curve was nearly physiological in amplitude as shown in (Figure 6(a)). Clearance of the ischemic zone with better hemodynamic was observed in group P compared with the NP group (Figure 3(b)). In P group heart rate was 76.25±5.12 versus 99.25±3.77 in NP (bpm; P < 0.05). Hemodynamic (Figure 3(c)) was better in the P group compared with NP. Cardiac output (CO) was nonsignificantly increased in the P group compared with NP; CO was 0.67 0.26 versus 0.380.03 (L/min; P > 0.05), respectively. There was significant vasodilatation in the P group compared with NP; mean AP in the P group was 46.830.52 versus 79.881.65 in NP (mmHg; P > 0.05); mean PAP in P was 24.83±2.68 versus 34.53±7.68 in NP (mmHg; P > 0.05); SVRI was 451.72±24.83 versus 34.53±7.68 in NP (mmHg; P > 0.05).

For further details please refer to the supplementary attached operative movies available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/651769.

4. Discussion

In this study, conventional steady-flows of a simulated CPB circuit and LVAD were transformed successfully into a pulsatile mode of perfusion, using a double lumens tube device.

In vitro, there were significant pressure losses with tube position in circuits III and II compared with I. In vivo, the induced pulsatile perfusion curve was efficient to improve hemodynamic and restore endothelial function in the P group compared with NP group.

Normally, fluid movement in hydraulic circuits, which means momentum transfers with frictional losses, depends
Table 1: Pulsatile and nonpulsatile (NP) flows pressures records (mmHg) of three different mock circuits (I, II, and III).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP (I)</td>
<td>32.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>31.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>30.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>30.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>30.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP (II)</td>
<td>36.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>37.8 ± 1</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>38.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>39.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP (III)</td>
<td>40.3 ± 1</td>
<td>42.3 ± 1</td>
<td>43 ± 0.00</td>
<td>42.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>43 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pm. (I)</td>
<td>34.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>34.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>33.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>32.3 ± 1</td>
<td>31.8 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pm. (II)</td>
<td>39.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>40 ± 0.8</td>
<td>40.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>40.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>40.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pm. (III)</td>
<td>43 ± 1.2</td>
<td>46 ± 2.2</td>
<td>46.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>44 ± 0.8</td>
<td>44.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps. (I)</td>
<td>72 ± 3.5</td>
<td>81 ± 11</td>
<td>92.8 ± 4.9</td>
<td>98 ± 11.5</td>
<td>92.8 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps. (II)</td>
<td>97.3 ± 7</td>
<td>92.3 ± 6</td>
<td>90 ± 11.2</td>
<td>81.3 ± 7.5</td>
<td>82.3 ± 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps. (III)</td>
<td>84.3 ± 6.6</td>
<td>79.8 ± 5.9</td>
<td>79.8 ± 5.5</td>
<td>69 ± 3.9</td>
<td>69 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd. (I)</td>
<td>−4.4 ± 3.2</td>
<td>−6.5 ± 7.4</td>
<td>−13.6 ± 11.7</td>
<td>−35.3 ± 8</td>
<td>−33.5 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd. (II)</td>
<td>−1.1 ± 6.2</td>
<td>−7.8 ± 4.4</td>
<td>−0.8 ± 9.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 8.4</td>
<td>13 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd. (III)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 10</td>
<td>5.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>7.5 ± 7.5</td>
<td>20.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>20.3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (I)</td>
<td>76.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>87.5 ± 11.8</td>
<td>106.4 ± 15.9</td>
<td>133.3 ± 17.7</td>
<td>126.3 ± 18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (II)</td>
<td>98.3 ± 7.9</td>
<td>100 ± 10.4</td>
<td>100.3 ± 10.3</td>
<td>75.7 ± 15.4</td>
<td>66 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (III)</td>
<td>83.8 ± 9.2</td>
<td>74.5 ± 8.7</td>
<td>72.3 ± 12.6</td>
<td>48.8 ± 7.1</td>
<td>48.8 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Circuit I: P1, P2: pre/post-oxygenator pressure; P3, P4: pre/post-tube. Circuit II: P1: pre-oxygenator; P2, P3: pre/post-tube; P4: pre-aortic cannula. Circuit III: P1, P2: pre/post-tube; P3: post-oxygenator; P4: pre-aortic cannula. P5: post simulated resistance in all circuits. Pm: mean pulsatile pressure; Ps : systolic pulsatile pressure, Pd: pulsatile diastolic pressure; PP: pulse pressure. Pulse pressure was higher at P5 in circuit I, compared with II and III. Pm was higher at P5 compared to NP with position I. (P < 0.001).

on driving forces, resistances, viscosity, and conduits geometries [36]. Nevertheless, quantifications of lumped models that could be achieved with accuracy according to the Bernoulli’s principles of energy losses in vitro remain controversial due to different cardiovascular criteria [37, 38].

Endothelial shear stress (ESS) that control vascular resistances could be influenced by hemorheological changes, particularly, pulse pressure and/or shear rate [39, 40].

For example, under normal hemorheological conditions, microcirculation behavior approaches that of Newton’s second law, such as seen in athletics; that is, a high physical performance (ESS) can be induced by increasing the pulse pressure and slowing the heart rate (shear rate). In contrast, in any abnormal hemorheological state, microcirculation presents behavior that approaches that of Bernoulli’s 3rd equation, which is interpreted by the Fahraeus-Lindqvist effect [24], in which plasma becomes stuck at the inner vascular boundary layers, whereas erythrocytes move faster at the center [35]. These may explain clubbing fingers phenomena in cyanotic heart disease.

Therefore, delivery of ESS with pulsatile CAD should be induced according to the biophysics and pathophysiological conditions of each heart circuit (Figure 7).

Physiologically, the left heart side has specific morphological particularities that must be considered.

The left ventricle (LV) and peristaltic arteries represent the main circulatory driving forces at the left heart circuit, which deliver almost constant shear rate and pulse pressure. Flow dynamics inside the valsalva sinuses determines coronary ostia morphogenesis [41] and may contribute to a severe hemodynamic deterioration [42]. This means delivery of ESS with CAD must be induced at the left heart side according to Newton’s law by maintaining adequate pulse pressure.

The main target at the left heart side is maintenance organs microcirculation with adequate ESS. And this may explain common phenomena like postoperative or postpartum depression syndrome [43, 44], by disturbances of hemorheological factors due to sudden drop of cerebral perfusion pressure, in addition to ESS losses in the first example.

Contrarily to the left heart side, the right heart side can adjust blood volume and shear rates at five different anatomical zones according to its physiological demands [45]. The PA represents a low-level remodeling zone, similar to systemic veins. At the same time, PA compliance is much greater than that of the large veins [46]. Therefore, direct induction of shear stress according to Newton’s law, using intravenous (IV) or intrapulmonary pulsatile CAD, must be avoided at the right heart side. Most importantly, delivery of ESS should not disturb the physiological remodeling of the right heart circuit because increased ESS with high pulse pressure promotes serious hemodynamic conditions and irreversible remodeling, such as Eisenmenger syndrome [47]. In addition, the RV is preload dependent that could not tolerate to be unloaded [48].

These may explain insufficiency of current CAD employment in congestive heart (CHF) patients with severe RV failure that still exhibit a high mortality rate (65%–95%) [49].
In pediatrics, applications of CAD remain controversial, as most of devices were designed for management cardiovascular diseases (CVD), in adults, and then miniaturized to cope with pediatric populations. However, pediatric patients are more vulnerable to hemodynamic disturbances caused by right heart failure due to congenital anomalies versus adults CVD most commonly caused by left ventricular ischemia and atherosclerosis [50].

The proposed pulsatile tube device adapts to biophysics and pathophysiological conditions of the left heart. This promotes pulsatile tube applications with conventional CPB and LVAD or as biventricular-assist device in association with a pulsatile suit device for a right ventricular-assist device [48].

Practically, and for better understanding of the pulsatile tube’s function as a cardiovascular perfusion device, we propose a state of momentum energy losses that could be identified in 6 main zones (Figure 8) as follows: pre/post-oxygenator (Z0, Z1), tube zone (Z2), post-tube or pre-aortic cannula (Z3), aortic cannula (Z4), and cardiovascular system (Z5).

Normally, pulsatile tube (Z2) receives steady-flow from Z1, then the propagated pulsatile impacts move the stagnant blood boundaries’ layers at the inner flexible tube and push them towards the center according to the “Bernoulli” principles. These would promote fewer traumatic effects of blood components compared with current devices. In addition, the downstream position of the pulsatile tube, which avoids already two obstructive zones of energy losses, makes high cost accessories unnecessary [25, 51].

The present study results showed the importance of energy losses in correlation to circuits length. Particularly, the distance between the pulsatile tube and the pre-aortic cannula (Z3), was represented by P4 in position (I), P3 and P2 in positions II and III, respectively. Eventually, these constitutions of vortices in Z3 are the consequences of strong pulsatile impacts inside rigid tubes with fixed geometries.

Figure 3: Perfusion curves (in vitro). Perfusion curves (in mmHg) obtained at different circuit sites in 3 different pulsatile tube positions: I, II, and III close and distant from aortic cannula and pre-oxygenator, respectively. The perfusion curve amplitude was significantly higher at P5 with position I, compared to positions II and III.
Thus, compromising this Z3 distance between patients and CAD is crucial.

In contrast, energy losses were very important with tube position in circuit III, which simulates current pulsatile CPB and/or CAD. We have observed severe leakage after few minutes of pulsations (≤5 min) with circuit III. The increased pressure at P5 due to this retrograde turbulent flow was enhanced by the application of a nonocclusive pump (Table I). In addition, the pulsatile tube became an obstructive zone per se in positions II and III.

Energy losses inside the aortic cannula (Z4) are usually caused by unnecessary length and tapering end. We have attempted to diminish these drawbacks of unnecessary length by shortening the aortic cannula in the P group.

At the cardiovascular side (Z5), turbulent flow of energy losses that starts from the tip of aortic cannula (divergent diffuser) will be absorbed by the arterial wall filter to be dependent on ESS that control organs microcirculations [52].

According to the present study results, the induced pulsatile tube pulse pressure of the (Figure 6(a)) improved hemodynamic with almost complete clearance of the ischemic zone after few minutes of pulsatile flow assistance in the group P compared with NP.

Contrary to the NP group, the induced vasodilatation of the pulsatile group was most probably caused by endothelial vasodilators secretion (e.g. NO), which means maintenance of ESS with tube pulsations. By the end of the experiment (T3), heart rate was 76.255.12 bpm in group P compared with

**Figure 4**: Comparative steady and pulsatile flow perfusion curves obtained from 3 different circuits. Energy losses 1 (upper panel) are pulsatile tube at 6 cm from aortic cannula; energy losses 2 are pulsatile tube at 150 cm from aortic cannula; energy losses 3 are pre-oxygenator pulsatile tube position. P1–P5 are distant circuit spots for perfusion pressure records (mmHg). NP is nonpulsatile; Pm is mean pulsatile pressure, Ps is systolic pressure; Pd is diastolic pressure; PP is pulse pressure. The pulse pressure (green color) was significantly higher with position I compared to positions II and III.
Figure 5: Pulsatile flow pulse pressure (a) and systolic pressure (b). Energy losses with different tube positions: I is 6 cm from aortic cannula; II is 150 cm from aortic cannula; III is pre-oxygenator. P1–P5 are perfusion pressure records (mmHg) at main circuit energy losses spots. At P5 the pulse pressure (a) as well as the systolic pressure (b) were significantly higher in position I (red color) compared to other position II (blue color) and III (violet color). Circuit I: P1, P2 are pre/post-oxygenator pressure; P3, P4 are pre/post-tube. Circuit II: P1 is pre-oxygenator; P2, P3 are pre/post-tube; P4 is pre-aortic cannula. Circuit III: P1, P2 are pre/post-tube; P3 is post-oxygenator; P4 is pre-aortic cannula. P5 is post simulated resistance in all circuits. Pm is mean pulsatile pressure; Ps: systolic pulsatile pressure, Pd: pulsatile diastolic pressure; PP: pulse pressure. Pulse pressure was higher at P5 in circuit I, compared with II and III. Pm was higher at P5 compared to NP with position I ($P < 0.001$).

Table 2: Hemodynamic results of pulsatile and non-pulsatile left ventricular assist device in ischemic piglet model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt*</td>
<td>11.75 ± 0.60$^\dagger$</td>
<td>11.80 ± 0.84</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR*</td>
<td>85.4 ± 7.92$^\ddagger$</td>
<td>89.00 ± 9</td>
<td>93.75 ± 5.25$^\dagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP*</td>
<td>75.66 ± 3.1$^\dagger$</td>
<td>76.6 ± 3</td>
<td>71.73 ± 9.3$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAP*</td>
<td>24.93 ± 5.6$^\dagger$</td>
<td>27.44 ± 7.17</td>
<td>38.13 ± 5.44$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP*</td>
<td>2.62 ± 0.61$^\dagger$</td>
<td>2.28 ± 1.1</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.69$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAP*</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.55$^\dagger$</td>
<td>3.78 ± 1.09</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.05$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO*</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.1$^\dagger$</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.11$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVRI$^\dagger$</td>
<td>600.22 ± 45.27$^\ddagger$</td>
<td>610.6 ± 112.37</td>
<td>1046.57 ± 156.05$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVRI$^\dagger$</td>
<td>174.93 ± 20.95$^\ddagger$</td>
<td>215.71 ± 42.93</td>
<td>583.02 ± 144.93$^\ddagger$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P: pulsatile group; NP: nonpulsatile group; Wt: weight (kg); HR: heart rate (bpm); MAP: mean arterial pressure (mmHg); MPAP: mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mmHg); LAP: left atrial pressure (mmHg); RAP: right atrial pressure (mmHg); CO: cardiac output (L/min); SVRI: systemic vascular resistance index (dynes−s⋅cm−5/kg−1); PVRI: pulmonary vascular resistance index (dynes−s⋅cm−5/kg−1); * measured variables; † calculated variables; $^\dagger P > 0.05$ between the P and NP groups; $^\ddagger P < 0.05$ between the P and NP groups. T1: baseline; T2: 1h of myocardial ischemia; T3: 1h after treatment. Group P: pulsatile tube frequency: 90 bpm; roller pump flow 0.34 ± 0.02 L/min. Group NP: centrifugal pump flow = 0.80 L/min.

99.25 ± 3.77 bpm in the group NP, which signifies better hemodynamics with less myocardial oxygen consumption.

Interestingly, myocardial recoveries in the pulsatile group occurred in pediatric immature myocardium of animal model with poor coronary collaterals [53, 54]. This was confirmed in another experimental model using intrapulmonary shear stress enhancement after permanent LAD ligation in piglets [55]. These prove the important role of endocardium ESS interdependency to improve subendocardial microcirculation and hemodynamic regardless of classical coronary network conditions.

We should emphasize that in all our in vivo studies, the tube pulsations were not synchronized with heart rate. This confirms our therapeutic policy based on similar
Figure 6: (a) Pulsatile LVAD perfusion curve in ischemic piglets. Unsynchronized pulsations with heartbeat perfusion curve with nearly physiological pulse pressure. (b) Pulsatile LVAD in piglet ischemic model. Left panel: massive myocardial ischemic zone after LAD ligation; right panel: clearance of the ischemic zone after 15 min of pulsatile LVAD (roller pump + pulsatile tube). 1 is aortic cannula; 2 is LAD permanent snugger; 3 is left ventricular apical vent; 4 is intrainfundibular pulmonary artery and Millar right ventricular pressure catheters; 5 is right atrium pressure line. 6 (c) Hemodynamic results of pulsatile and nonpulsatile left ventricular assist device in ischemic piglet model. P: pulsatile group; NP: nonpulsatile group; MAP: mean arterial pressure (mmHg); CO: cardiac output (L/min); SVRI: systemic vascular resistance index (Dynes·s·cm⁻⁵·kg⁻¹); PVRI: pulmonary vascular resistance index (Dynes·s·cm⁻⁵·kg⁻¹). T1: baseline; T2: 1 h of myocardial ischemia; T3: 1 h after treatment.
The pulsatile tube was tested as a stimulator of the LV subendocardium without association roller pump, in two ischemic piglets (please refer to the supplementary attached movies). There was rapid clearance of the ischemic zone and improved contractility, after few minutes of unsynchronized pulsations (110 bpm; ≤5 min), followed by severe vasodilatation.

This proved the hypersensitivity of the LV endocardium and heterogeneity of the left heart endothelium that may be an emerging concept regarding the endothelial impact of current devices [56, 57].

4.1. Study Limitations. Although these results proved that pulsatile tube was efficient to maintain ESS, some weak points must be improved. This includes microporosity of the inner tube (PTFE), which became almost collapsed by the external latex membrane cover. Accordingly, tube association with a hypersensitive sophisticated centrifugal pump was impossible. There were centrifugal pump failures in two excluded piglets from the NP group due to uncontrolled systemic hypertensive crises (≥140 mmHg). Therefore, the use of a nonocclusive roller pump increased the circuits’ artifacts, particularly III and II, and disturbed the applications of more recent formulae for energy losses evaluations [33, 34]. In addition, latex did not stop impregnations of the inner tube with blood that made homogenous histopathological and biological data very doubtful.

4.2. Improvements. The proposed study concept deserves further evaluations with more enlarged investigations. To overcome the current limits, we are developing a new device with an inner tube made of ChronoFlex AR, which is similar to PTFE hardness (65 A–75 A) but without micropores. These enabled us to continue our ongoing studies: (a) pulsatile versus nonpulsatile LVAD in ischemic piglet models; (b) biventricular assist device combining the pulsatile tube as a LVAD and the pulsatile trouser as right ventricular assist device (RVAD) [48]. We are planning a new animal model for evaluating the effectiveness pulsatile tube, associated with conventional CPB during the first trimester of pregnancy.

5. Conclusion

Pulsatile tube adaptable to conventional perfusion devices could induce homogenous, downstream, and nearly physiologic pulsatile perfusion flow, with lower energy losses. This represents a cost-effective promising method with low mortality and morbidity, especially in fragile cardiac patients.
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