Association between *Giardia duodenalis* and Coinfection with Other Diarrhea-Causing Pathogens in India
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1. Introduction

*Giardia duodenalis* is present worldwide but is more prevalent in developing countries where the lack of sanitation and hygiene awareness is a matter of concern [1, 2]. Considering its high endemicity in some countries, research on *Giardia* is of low priority as the infection it causes is self-limiting, a situation that enhances its propagation. Giardiasis is caused by the protozoan parasite *Giardia duodenalis* [3] which is usually transmitted through ingesting contaminated food and water. A wide variety of pathogens can cause diarrhea, but *G. duodenalis* impacts the economic growth of a country by affecting the Disability Adjusted Life Year (DALY) rates [4]. Giardiasis has much lower mortality rates associated with it than do other diarrheagenic pathogens such as *Vibrio cholerae* or *Shigella* [5]; nevertheless, it may still play an important role in regulating the spectrum of diarrheal diseases in diarrhea-prone regions. The study described herein was designed to survey the prevalence of *G. duodenalis* among diarrheal patients within Kolkata, India. Kolkata is a densely populated city with a variable socioeconomic and climatic background and is frequently affected by outbreaks of diarrheal disease; hence that is why the area was chosen for disease transmission studies [6].

Fecal samples were tested from patients attending the Infectious Diseases and Beliaghata General (IDBG) Hospital in Kolkata city throughout a period of 56 months. These patients only complained of diarrhea. A systemic sampling procedure [7] allowed us to collect enough data to demarcate the catchment areas for diarrhea within the city and to interpret the epidemiological aspects of *Giardia* infestation in an urban region of this developing country.

2. Methods

2.1. Ethics Statement. This study received ethical clearance from the National Institute of Cholera and Enteric Diseases (NICED) ethical committee, the host institute.
2.2. Study Design. The study was performed through collaboration between NICED and IDBG Hospital, Kolkata. IDBG is located within the city of Kolkata and is the largest infectious diseases hospital in India. IDBG treats around 25000 cases of diarrhea every year and most of these patients are residents of the city [6]. Thus, the prevalence of diarrheal diseases in the city can be estimated by surveying IDBG patients. Every fifth patient visiting IDBG who complained of only diarrheal symptoms on two randomly selected days per week was enrolled in the study. The study ran from November 2007 to June 2012. A single fecal sample was sent to the laboratory for analysis by trained healthcare professionals who also obtained the patient's background history via a systematically designed questionnaire. Patient consent for the study was obtained at the same time. The system remained unbiased with regard to sex, age, or other physical factors with nearly proportional distribution of male and female subjects and age ranging from 0 to 60 years in the majority of cases.

2.3. Screening for G. duodenalis in Stool Samples. G. duodenalis was detected in stool samples by using three different procedures. Stool samples were divided into three aliquots immediately after reaching the laboratory. The first aliquot was used for microscopic analysis with iodine wet-mount and trichrome staining [8] after concentration using "Ridley's concentration technique" [9]. The second aliquot was used in an antigen capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using a GIARDIAII kit (TechLAB, Blacksburg, VA, USA) as per the manufacturer's protocol. DNA was extracted directly from the third aliquot of each stool sample using a DNA Stool Minikit (Qiagen, USA), according to the manufacturer's protocol. PCR was performed using G. duodenalis-specific primers and the DNA extracted by the kit as template following previously published protocols [7, 10]. All of the G. duodenalis-positive cases were also investigated for coinfections with other common pathogens as described previously [7]. The bacterial and viral coinfection status of a sample was investigated with assistance from Drs. T. Ramamurthy, T. Krishnan, and M. C. Sarkar in their laboratories at NICED [6].

2.4. Statistics and GIS Mapping. Data were entered into the predesigned format of the pro forma in the SQL server that has an inbuilt entry validation checking facilitated program by trained data entry professionals. Data were randomly checked and matched for consistency and validity. Edited data were exported and analyzed using SPSS 19.0 and Epi-info 3.5.4 [11].

The inferential age group was explored for G. duodenalis-positive cases by multinomial logistic regression [12, 13]. The aim of this was to determine the age groups that were most likely to be infected with G. duodenalis. Five age groups were classified, that is, up to 5 years, >5–10 years, >10–20 years, >20–30 years, 30–40 years, and >40 years, and were coded as 1–6, respectively. The relationships between the risk-dependent variable and each of the categorical explanatory variables are shown in Table 1. Infections caused by G. duodenalis were classified "1" when the pathogen was present or "2" when absent. The extreme values of the classified age group were fixed as a reference category.

Associations between G. duodenalis infection and other variables such as rainfall or coinfection with other pathogens were tested using EpInfo 3.5.4. Where the presence of G. duodenalis was considered an outcome variable, factors like rainfall, overall coinfection, and major coinfection were assigned as dependent variables. Where the P value was ≤0.05, this was considered a valid association [14].

A choropleth map was constructed to display the data from the area where all the positive samples had originated within the city [15]. For this map, the different colors and patterns were combined to depict the different values of the attribute variable associated with each area. Each area is colored according to the category into which its corresponding attribute value had fallen. G. duodenalis-positive cases were embedded on the thematic map by the geographical information system (GIS) to visualize the infections. The boundary map shows that the prevalence of G. duodenalis was highest in Rajarhat and Tiljala (31.0%), followed by Narkeldanga and Tangra (22–33%), while the values for Dum Dum, Salt Lake, Beliaghata, Maniktala, and Entally regions ranged from 11 to 22 percent (Figure 1).

3. Results and Discussion

Single stool samples from 4039 diarrheal patients were examined throughout a 56-month period, and 413 (i.e., 10.2%) of them tested positive for G. duodenalis. All the data were categorized on a monthly basis to assess any
possible seasonality in *Giardia* prevalence. The percentage of *G. duodenalis*-positive cases detected was similar over the entire period with an average detection rate of around 10% each month (Figure 2(a)) and showed a significant correlation with the total number of diarrheal cases in each month (*P* < 0.001). It was evident that the total number of diarrheal cases decreased significantly towards the end of the month (*P* < 0.001). It was observed at a trend similar to that observed with *Giardia*-positive cases (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). *G. duodenalis* showed a statistically significant seasonality and strong association with the total number of diarrheal cases (*P* = 0.001); however, no significant association was found between the numbers of *Giardia*-positive cases and rainfall in the region (*P* > 0.05) (see Supplementary File 1 available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/786480) (Table 1). The number of *Giardia* cases increased during the midsummer to monsoon season (i.e., from May to August). Seventy-four percent of the *Giardia*-positive cases were found to be coinfected with other pathogens, while the remainders were single infections. As per the literature, *Giardia duodenalis* infection may not be associated with diarrhea or related diseases in some cases and rather remain asymptomatic for a long period of time [16,17], but twenty-six percent of sole infection in the diarrhea patient among the study population demonstrates the symptomatic nature of *Giardia* in this case. Coinfection with *Vibrio cholerae* was the most common (32%), followed by rotavirus (19%) (Figure 3(a)). As all the tests for *Giardia* and other pathogens were conducted over the same set of samples, so the chance of generating data artifact was minimized and the multiple infection could be considered as true coinfection. Infection with *Giardia* showed a strong positive relationship with the presence of other diarrheal-causing pathogens (*P* < 0.001) (Figure 3(b)). *Giardia* infection was very common in the lower age groups and statistically significant associations were found for children ≤5 years and >5–10 years (*P* < 0.001) (Table 2). An age-dependent infection status was also apparent with the two major coinfecting pathogens, *V. cholerae* in the ≤5-year (*P* < 0.001) and rotavirus in >5–10-year (*P* < 0.001) group. Interestingly, coinfections of *Giardia* and other diarrheal-causing pathogens showed a marked decline with increasing age compared with infections with *Giardia* alone (Figure 4(a)).

In spite of observing a trend in the monthly isolation rate for *G. duodenalis*, no seasonality pattern could be inferred from the data; this may be because isolation of the parasite is dependent on the total number of diarrheal cases and this

### Table 1: Association between rainfall and *Giardia* prevalence: average seasonal rainfall in the study region (Indian Meteorological Department Database), average *Giardia* detection rates, and the percentage of *Giardia*is among all diarrheal cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Average rain (mm)</th>
<th>Monthly average G. <em>duodenalis</em>-positive cases</th>
<th>Total diarrheal cases</th>
<th>Monthly average G. <em>duodenalis</em>-positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premonsoon/summer 08</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>15.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monsoon 08</td>
<td>1291.7</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>12.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmonsoon 08</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>110.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter 09</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premonsoon/summer 09</td>
<td>251.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>9.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monsoon 09</td>
<td>971.5</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmonsoon 09</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter 10</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premonsoon/summer 10</td>
<td>143.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monsoon 10</td>
<td>787.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>48.25</td>
<td>8.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmonsoon 10</td>
<td>138.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter II</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premonsoon/summer 11</td>
<td>245.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>10.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monsoon 11</td>
<td>1391.6</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmonsoon 11</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Multinomial logistic regression models exploring the significant predominant risk age group for *Giardia duodenalis* infection at IDBG, Kolkata (November 2007–July 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>Giardia <em>duodenalis</em></th>
<th>B</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤5 years</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.74 (1.29–2.35)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5–10 years</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>3.79 (2.40–6.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10–20 years</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.88 (1.30–2.71)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20–30 years</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.29 (0.91–1.85)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;30–40 years</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.96 (0.64–1.46)</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40 years</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Reference category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n = sample number.
* Statistically significant.
number changes according to the season. However, the steady rates of infection seen in the dry seasons could indicate that *G. duodenalis* is not dependent on rainfall. In this regard, the finding that *Giardia* infections were strongly associated with co-infection (\( P \leq 0.001 \)) suggests that the parasite derives some advantage from the presence of other diarrhea-causing pathogens in the host, or vice versa. Similarly, *G. duodenalis* was found to be most prevalent in ≤5-year and >5–10-year olds, suggesting that age can be a determining factor for increased susceptibility to Giardiasis. Interestingly, in both of these age groups, co-infections of *Giardia* and rotavirus in children ≤5 years and *Vibrio cholerae* in children above 5–10 years were common (Figure 4(b)). As with previous studies, infection with *V. cholerae* or rotavirus is common in the lower age groups [18] in the study region. This suggests that *Giardia* could in some way take benefit from the major pathogens prevalent in particular populations at a particular time. This could explain the lack of seasonality and steady infection rates among diarrheal cases in regions where *Giardia* is endemic. In the present study, the *G. duodenalis* infection rate is high in the monsoon or postmonsoon period, as did *V. cholerae* and other bacterial pathogens that are associated with water contamination from uncontrolled sewage dispersal in the rainy seasons. However, the rate is also high in the winter, along with co-infecting pathogens such as rotavirus.

4. Conclusions

The high rate of *Giardia* infection seen throughout the study period across all climatic conditions and the significant association of *Giardia* with other major pathogens suggest that the parasite may play a role in regulating the spectrum of diarrheal disease in the study area. A statistically significant association with *Vibrio cholerae* and rotavirus across two different seasons suggests that *Giardia* may have evolved to survive in the diarrhea-prone endemic region investigated herein. The opportunistic nature of Giardia is previously considered as an opportunistic pathogen so it can be a major reason for the observation. Otherwise, the coinfection status could be a reason for coexistence of *Giardia* and other pathogens in the infection source, that is, food and water. *Giardia* appears to be maintaining the characteristics of an ideal opportunistic pathogen, resulting in a steady but high prevalence rate in a population and eventually making the population more susceptible to other major diarrheal infections.
Figure 3: Coinfection of *Giardia duodenalis* with other enteric pathogens. (a) Coinfection of *Giardia* with other pathogens. *Vibrio cholerae* and rotavirus rates are highest and have statistically significant associations (<0.001) with the total number of *Giardia* cases. (b) Monthly prevalence of single and mixed *Giardia duodenalis* infections throughout the study period.
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