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Therapeutic Potential of MicroRNA: A New Target to Treat Intrahepatic Portal Hypertension?
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Intrahepatic portal hypertension accounts for most of the morbidity and mortality encountered in patients with liver cirrhosis, due to increased portal inflow and intrahepatic vascular resistance. Most treatments have focused only on portal inflow or vascular resistance. However, miRNA multitarget regulation therapy may potentially intervene in these two processes for therapeutic benefit in cirrhosis and portal hypertension. This review presents an overview of the most recent knowledge of and future possibilities for the use of miRNA therapy. The benefits of this therapeutic modality—which is poorly applied in the clinical setting—are still uncertain. Increasing the knowledge and current understanding of the roles of miRNAs in the development of intrahepatic portal hypertension and hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) functions, as well as their potential as novel drug targets, is critical.

1. Introduction

Portal hypertension is one of the more common and severe complications that develops in patients with chronic liver diseases. The most common intrahepatic cause is cirrhosis [1]. The subsequent increases in portal venous inflow and intrahepatic vascular resistance are major factors for the maintenance of portal hypertension [2]. The mechanisms underlying these processes are incompletely understood. However, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) have been shown to be involved and are regulated by many signal transduction pathways and genes, including transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β)/SMAD, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [3–5].

Unfortunately, no nonsurgical treatments have been validated for these multiple pathways and targets simultaneously. However, miRNA therapy offers novel possibilities. miRNAs are small noncoding RNAs of 21–25 nt, which usually negatively modulate gene expression at the posttranscriptional level by incomplete or complete complementary binding to target sequences within the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) of miRNA [6]. More than 30% of all genes are estimated to be miRNA-regulated. In contrast to traditional agents that target one specific protein [7], miRNAs exhibit a unique multigene pattern of action. Complementarity between the “seed sequence” of a single miRNA and the 3′ UTR of multiple genes, most of which are recognized as members of signal pathways, results in the downregulation of mRNA and/or protein levels. Thus, miRNAs may serve as the simultaneous regulator of multiple genes and their subsequent signaling pathways.

Moreover, an accumulating body of evidence suggests that miRNAs are associated with a wide range of cellular processes, including angiogenesis, cell growth, cell proliferation, and vascular integrity, that have been extensively analyzed in hepatic cells or tissues. Divergent miRNA patterns were observed during chronic liver diseases of various etiologies [8, 9]. In this regard, we have reviewed the growing body
of evidence that suggests miRNA involvement in the development of intrahepatic portal hypertension and biological behavior of HSCs.

2. HSCs and Intrahepatic Modulation of Portal Pressure

Portal hypertension, a major complication of cirrhosis, is caused by both augmented intrahepatic vascular resistance and increased portal blood flow [10]. Accumulating evidence from in vitro and in vivo studies suggests that HSCs are key players in the pathogenesis of increased intrahepatic vascular resistance and blood flow in chronic liver diseases, in which HSCs proliferate, acquire characteristics of contractile cells, and undergo transdifferentiation into a myofibroblast phenotype [11]. In the normal liver, HSCs are located in the perisinusoidal space of Disse beneath the endothelial barrier. Because of this anatomical location, resting HSCs may also play a role in modulating intrahepatic vascular resistance and blood flow at the sinusoidal level, although with limited capacity to contract or relax in response to various vasoactive mediators [12]. After acute or chronic injury to the liver, HSCs are activated, and their morphological and physiological characteristics change dramatically during myofibroblastic transdifferentiation. The most important phenotypic alterations of activated HSCs, as defined by their actions in liver fibrogenesis, are the proliferation of autocrine and/or paracrine proinflammatory, profibrogenic, and promitogenic cytokines; inordinate extracellular matrix (ECM) synthesis and secretion; resistance to apoptosis; increased contractility; and others [13].

The initial event in the pathophysiology of portal hypertension is increased vascular resistance to portal blood flow, which is caused primarily by structural changes, such as fibrotic scar tissue and regenerative nodules compressing portal and central venules [10]. Furthermore, swelling of hepatocytes and capillarization of hepatic sinusoids (loss of endothelial fenestrations and collagen deposition in the space of Disse) also contribute to increased vascular resistance [11]. Although architectural changes are prominent, several studies have shown a variable, dynamically activated HSC contractility, as well as compression of the sinusoids and the space of Disse, which significantly contribute to the increased pressure within the sinus and increased intrahepatic resistance typical of portal hypertension [14]. The imbalance between endogenous vasoconstrictors (such as endothelin-1, angiotensin II, thrombin, α-adrenergic stimulants, and substance P) and vasodilators (including nitric oxide (NO), H2S, somatostatin, and carbon monoxide (CO)) is responsible for the dynamically activated HSC contractility [15].

According to Ohm's law (\( \Delta P = Q \times R \)), the change in portal pressure along a vessel (\( \Delta P \)) equals the product of the portal blood flow (\( Q \)) and the resistance to flow (\( R \)) [16]. In the normal liver, intrahepatic resistance changes with variations in portal blood flow, thereby keeping portal pressure within normal limits. In hepatic cirrhosis, however, intrahepatic resistance and splanchnic blood flow are both increased. Therefore, portal hypertension is caused by a combination of decreased compliance and increased portal blood flow. Although the hyperdynamic circulation in the splanchnic blood vessels is mainly responsible for the increased blood flow in the portal vein and contributes to its maintenance and aggravation in a more advanced stage of portal hypertension, the hepatic sinusoid, as principal site of blood flow regulation, is a potential target in intrahepatic modulation of portal pressure [17]. Due to the anatomical location of HSCs, which embrace the sinusoids and provide a favorable arrangement for sinusoidal constriction, HSCs play a critical role in modulating this increased blood flow at the sinusoidal level. Meanwhile, HSCs can also produce angiogenic molecules (such as VEGF and angiopoietin-1), thereby stimulating pathological sinusoidal remodeling and vascular structural changes [18]. Some investigators showed that small molecule inhibitors of receptor tyrosine kinases that target the growth factor pathways leading to angiogenesis and sinusoidal remodeling (i.e., VEGF, PDGF, and angiopoietin-1) are capable of lowering blood flow, most likely through a dual and converging antiangiogenic and antiangiogenic mechanism of action that affects HSCs [19] (Figure 1).

3. miRNA Regulation Linked to Biological Behavior of HSCs

During the process of hepatic injury, HSCs are known to be activated or “transdifferentiated” to myofibroblast-like cells, which play a pivotal role in ECM remodeling and hepatic blood flow regulation [20]. Recent studies have attempted to reveal the mechanism underlying HSC activation. As a result, hundreds of genes relevant to various functions have been reported to play a role in the process [21]. However, full understanding of HSC activation remains beyond our reach because of its complexity, especially considering the intricate regulation of gene expression. Fortunately, identification of multiple miRNAs, along with a comprehensive description of the miRNA/mRNA interaction network, may add to our knowledge of gene regulation throughout HSC activation. Microarray hybridization and quantitative RT-PCR analysis identified numerous miRNAs that are differentially expressed during HSC activation. Data are summarized from different studies that revealed 47 significantly upregulated (miR-874, 29C*, 501, 349, 325-5p, 328, 138, 143, 207, 872, 140, 193, Let-7a, Let-7b, let-7c, let-7e, 125b, 130a, 130b, 132, 145, 152, 184, 199a, 199a-3p, 199a-5p, 21, 210, 214, 218, 22, 221, 27a, 27b, 30a, 30c, 30d, 30a1, 31, 34b, 34c, 345-5p, 349, 425, 450, and 455) and 53 significantly downregulated miRNAs (miR-341, 20b-3p, 15, 15b, 16, 375, 122, 146, 146a, 92a, 92b, 126, 126*, Let-7f, 10a, 10a-5p, 10a, 122a, 125a, 139-5p, 150, 151*, 181a, 187, 19a, 19b, 19c, 19f, 195, 207, 26a, 26b, 29a, 29b, 29c, 30a-5p, 30b, 30c, 30d, 301, 335, 335, 338, 378, 422b, 450a, 483, 497, 520b, 520c, 721, 877, and 9) in rat HSCs during activation [22–28]. miRNAs with and without asterisks are derived from the same precursor miRNA. Increasing evidence indicates that these miRNAs target genes that are implicated in a variety of biological processes, including cell proliferation, cell differentiation, cell cycle regulation, and apoptosis [29–31].

Previously, we have reported that miR-15/16 is involved in regulating apoptosis and proliferation in activated HSCs by interfering with the expression of Bcl-2 and CCND1, thereby
mediating resistance to apoptosis and cell cycle arrest [26, 29]. Further, miR-150, -194, -146a, -29, -195, and -19b have demonstrated inhibitory effects on both fibrogenesis and proliferation of activated HSCs, while overexpression of miR-21, -27a/b, and -181b has been shown to result in cell proliferation [23, 30–37]. HSC activation is also characterized by accumulation of excess ECM components and fatty acids, which disrupt liver microcirculation and lead to liver injury [38, 39]. MiR-29b has been identified as the most effective suppressor of type I collagen (Col1A1) at the mRNA and protein level via its direct binding to the Col1A1 3’ UTR [40]. An increasing number of studies have proved that hepatic lipid metabolism deregulation increases hepatic endocannabinoid production, promotes hepatic fibrogenesis, enhances the hepatic vasoconstrictive response to endothelin-1, and aggravates hepatic microcirculatory dysfunction; these events subsequently increase intrahepatic resistance and portal hypertension in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis cirrhotic rats [41]. miRNAs have now been identified as potent posttranscriptional regulators of lipid metabolism genes involved in cholesterol homeostasis and fatty acid oxidation. For example, retinoid X receptor alpha, which is indicated to be a new regulator in fat and fatty acid oxidation. For example, retinoid X receptor alpha, which is indicated to be a new regulator in fat and fatty acid oxidation.

4. Signaling Pathways and Key Factors Regulated in HSCs by miRNAs

Recent studies have attributed HSC activation to the regulation of many signal transduction pathways, including lipid metabolism and cell cycle regulation, and the signaling pathways of TGF-β/SMAD, PDGF, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), Wnt, VEGF, and others [46–50]. Furthermore, changes in miRNAs and their inhibitory effect on gene expression, especially those relevant to signal transduction, add to our knowledge of the regulatory mechanisms of HSC activation. Bioinformatic interpretation [51] revealed that 13 signal transduction pathways were over-represented, while 22 were downregulated, in the activation of HSCs. Some of the signal transduction pathways have been shown to play a significant role in this process, of which TGF-β and PDGF/VEGF-like growth factor are likely the most important [52, 53]. It is widely accepted that early proliferative responses in HSC activation are mainly mediated by TGF-β/SMAD pathways. TGF-β-mediated HSC activation is, in general, considered to be the ECM producer responsible for fibrogenesis and has been identified to be a mechanistic factor in intrahepatic vascular resistance and pressure regulation [54]. Furthermore, animal experiments have shown that HSC activation is often accompanied by an increase in the level of TGF-β1 and that inhibition of TGF-β1 synthesis, as well as TGF-β receptor blockade, can significantly reduce the pressure of portal hypertension [55]. Therefore, intervention of this signaling pathway (particularly inhibition of TGF-β1 levels) may be an important target for the prevention and treatment of portal hypertension due to liver cirrhosis.

miRNAs have been reported to be central players in anti-fibrotic and profibrotic signaling pathways and in related gene regulation during HSC myofibroblastic transdifferentiation [51]. HSC activation is a pivotal event in the initiation and progression of hepatic fibrosis and a major contributor to
Table 1: MicroRNAs linked to HSCs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>Predicted target and confirmation level</th>
<th>Putative pathway in fibrosis</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Expression during HSCs activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-15b</td>
<td>Reporter gene assay (Bcl-2)</td>
<td>Apoptosis</td>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-16</td>
<td>Reporter gene assay (Bcl-2) Target protein changes (CCND1)</td>
<td>Apoptosis cell cycle and cell proliferation</td>
<td>[26, 29]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-126</td>
<td>Reporter gene assay (VEGFA)</td>
<td>VEGF/Pi3k/Akt/CCND1 pathway and VEGF-A/Ca²⁺ pathway</td>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-122</td>
<td>Target mRNA changes (P4HA1)</td>
<td>Collagen production</td>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-150</td>
<td>Target protein changes (cmyb)</td>
<td>Cell activation and proliferation</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-19b</td>
<td>Reporter gene assays (TGF-βRII)</td>
<td>TGF-β signaling</td>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-194</td>
<td>Target protein changes (rac1)</td>
<td>Cell activation and proliferation</td>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-195</td>
<td>Reporter gene assays (cyclin E1)</td>
<td>Cell proliferation</td>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-29 family</td>
<td>Reporter gene assays (different collagens, PDGF-C, VEGF-A, and IGF-I)</td>
<td>ECM synthesis</td>
<td>[34, 40, 43]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-335</td>
<td>Target mRNA changes (tenascin-C)</td>
<td>Cell activation and migration</td>
<td>[25]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-146a</td>
<td>Reporter gene assays (smad4)</td>
<td>TGF-β signaling</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-34a</td>
<td>Reporter gene assay (ACSL1)</td>
<td>Lipids biosynthesis</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-27b, miR-27a</td>
<td>Reporter gene assay (RXR-a)</td>
<td>Lipid accumulation and cell proliferation</td>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-199a/b</td>
<td>Reporter gene assay (Dyrk1)</td>
<td>ECM synthesis via calcineurin/NFAT signaling</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-221/222</td>
<td>Reporter gene assay (CDKN1B)</td>
<td>Cell activation</td>
<td>[24]</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>Target protein changes (PTEN)</td>
<td>PTEN/Akt pathway</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-181b</td>
<td>Reporter gene assay (P27)</td>
<td>Cell proliferation</td>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

collagen deposition driven by TGF-β. Inhibition of TGF-β signaling by miR-19b was confirmed by decreased expression of TGF-β signaling components, such as TGF-β receptor II (TGF-βRII) and SMAD3, which further blocked TGF-β-induced expression of α1(I) and α2(I) procollagen and COLIA1 mRNAs [56]. Recently, He et al. [57] reported that HSC miR-146a expression was downregulated in a dose-dependent manner in response to TGF-β1 stimulation, as observed with one-step real-time quantitative RT-PCR. Moreover, He and colleagues confirmed that the overexpression of miR-146a suppressed TGF-β-induced HSC proliferation and increased the HSC apoptosis index by targeting SMAD4. As for the TGF-β/SMAD signaling pathway, SMAD3 is considered to function as an R-smad and SMAD4 functioned as Co-SMAD, while SMAD7 functioned as an anti-SMAD. Elevated miR-21 has been observed to act as a profibrogenic miRNA by its repression of the TGF-β inhibitory SMAD-7 protein [58].

Although some effects of a variety of signaling pathways in reducing intrahepatic vascular resistance are important, they are not sufficient to block intrahepatic portal hypertension. Recent data suggest that intrahepatic angiogenesis may also be involved in sinusoidal systemic circulation and portal hypertension [11]. In cirrhosis, tissue hypoxia is postulated to stimulate angiogenesis [59]. Hypoxia stimulates the production of VEGF, which is one of the most important angiogenic growth factors [60]. Recent studies also suggest that HSCs are the major contributors to angiogenesis [61]. This may occur through direct and indirect mechanisms. The former include the activation and proliferation of HSCs, which require tightly regulated autocrine and/or paracrine fibrogenetic factors such as VEGF [62]. Conversely, indirect mechanisms are also likely to be important and include the ability of HSCs to secrete angiogenic factors that promote angiogenesis [63]. With the initiation of angiogenesis, the collateral circulation in the liver is formed. This increases sinusoidal blood flow,
leading to increased portal pressure [11]. Experiments have shown that treatment of portal hypertensive rats with SU5416 (a specific inhibitor of the VEGF receptor) resulted in a significant and marked decrease (44%) in portal venous inflow and decreased portal venous resistance by 93% [64].

Therefore, small-molecule inhibitors that target the growth factor pathways leading to angiogenesis and vascular resistance (i.e., VEGF, TGF-β) can lower portal hypertension. This is probably through dual and converging anti-fibrogenic and antiangiogenic actions that affect HSCs. Fortunately, miRNAs have emerged as new players of gene regulation during angiogenesis in vascular diseases [65]. The VEGF family and related pathways are widely known as the most potent angiogenic inducers during angiogenesis, vasculogenesis, and tumorigenesis [66]. Plenty of miRNAs have been associated with these pro- and antiangiogenic factors. For example, miR-29 is downregulated during fibrosis and acts as an antiangiogenic mediator not only by targeting collagen biosynthesis but also by interfering with proangiogenic factors via PDGF-C, VEGF-A, and IGF-I [43]. Aquaporin-1 (AQP1), confirmed to be regulated by osmotically sensitive miRNAs, promotes angiogenesis, fibrosis, and portal hypertension after bile duct ligation [67]. The miR-126 family is considered to be associated with angiogenesis and is implicated in vessel development and vascular integrity by directly repressing negative regulators of the VEGF pathway, including the Sprouty-related protein SPRED1 and phosphoinositol-3 kinase regulatory subunit 2 (PIK3R2/p85-beta) [42]. Recent studies confirmed that restoring HSCs with Lv-miR-126+ resulted in decreased proliferation, accumulation of ECM components, and cell contraction, while also negatively regulating the VEGF/Pi3k/Akt/CCND1 pathway and VEGF-A/Ca2+ pathway by partially targeting VEGF-A [68]. These findings illustrate that a single miRNA can regulate vascular integrity and angiogenesis, providing a new target for modulating intrahepatic microcirculation (Figures 2 and 3).

Apart from TGF-β-regulated fibrogenesis and VEGF-induced angiogenesis, other signaling pathways relevant to the biological behavior of HSCs in intrahepatic portal hypertension have been reported previously in rat HSCs during activation [69]. Nevertheless, the use of microRNA therapies remains uncertain and is poorly applied in clinical portal hypertension. Increasing the knowledge and the translational potential of this idea is critical for its realization.

5. Therapeutic Delivery of miRNAs to the Liver

In vivo delivery of miRNAs is central to miRNA-based therapy. Different methods, using mainly viral and nonviral vehicles, have been developed to increase the targeting and efficiency of miRNAs. For nonviral vehicles, a liposomal delivery system, YSK05-MEND, was used to systemically administer anti-miRNA oligonucleotides (AMOs) to the livers of mice at a low dose [70]. A cationic lipid-based nanoparticle system reflects a novel systemic delivery agent for miRNA. Intravenous administration of interfering nanoparticles (iNOPs), which are prepared by lipid-functionalized poly-L-lysine dendrimer, results in 83% specific silencing of target miRNA. The specific silencing of miR-122 by iNOP-7 is long-lasting and does not induce an immune response [71]. Lipid-based nanoparticles (LNPs) containing oleic acid (OA), an unsaturated fatty acid, also demonstrate the delivery efficacy of miRNA and the inhibition of the target (Bcl-w) to a greater degree than with Lipofectamine 2000 [72]. A peptide vector has recently proved to be another type of miRNA delivery system. MPG, which is a 27-residue peptide vector containing the hydrophobic domain derived from the fusion sequence of HIV-1 gp41 and the hydrophilic domain derived from the nuclear localization sequence of SV40 T-antigen, is capable of delivering both miR-122 mimic and inhibitor into mouse liver cells and effectively regulating cholesterol levels [73]. On the other side, viral vectors,
including adenovirus, adeno-associated virus (AAV), and lentivirus, have been widely employed to deliver miRNAs into liver cells (hepatocytes, HSCs, etc.).

6. Conclusion and Perspective

In contrast to traditional inhibitors and monoclonal antibodies that target one specific protein, miRNAs exhibit a unique, namely, multitargeted, pattern of action. Limited complementarity between "seed sequence" of single miRNA and 3' untranslated region (3' UTR) of multiple genes, most of which are recognized to be the members of signal pathways, is sufficient to downregulate their mRNA and/or protein levels [74, 75]. As a result, different signal pathways may be under the control of one miRNA.

A lot of signal pathways (TGF-β, VEGF, Apoptosis, etc.), concerning different phenotypes (apoptosis, collagen production and secretion, etc.), are involved in the biological behavior of HSCs. Most of them may serve as the therapeutic targets of liver fibrosis. Notably, TGF-β and VEGF are two important signaling pathways that may promote portal hypertension through multiple mechanisms [68, 76]. No validated treatments exist for these two targets simultaneously; however, miRNA therapy offers novel possibilities. Hence, restoring some intracellular miRNAs may lead to reduced HSC proliferation and contractility and suppressed angiogenesis by targeting the TGF-β and VEGF-mediated signaling pathway, thereby reducing hepatic portal shunting and improving the sinusoidal microcirculation. These findings may not only increase our current knowledge about the significance of HSC biological behavior, but may also provide a novel therapeutic strategy against intrahepatic vascular resistance and increased portal blood flow in chronic liver diseases.
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