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Association between Tumor Vasculogenic Mimicry and the Poor Prognosis of Gastric Cancer in China: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Background. Vasculogenic mimicry can promote tumor growth and metastasis. This article is aimed at conducting a systematic meta-analysis to explore the clinicopathological and prognostic significance of vasculogenic mimicry and gastric cancer. Methods. We searched Pubmed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and the VIP and Wanfang Database for eligible studies. We manually searched for printed journals and relevant textbooks. Subgroups analyses were performed based on the region, manuscript quality, methods of vasculogenic mimicry identification, pathology, and number of patients. Results. Nine studies with 997 patients were included in this meta-analysis. A significant association was observed between vasculogenic mimicry-positive patients and those with gastric cancer with poor overall survival (hazard ratio = 2.24, 95% confidence interval: 1.45–3.47), poor pathological grading, high tumor nodemetastasis clinical stage, lymph nodemetastasis, deep tumor invasion, and distant metastasis. Conclusions. Vasculogenic mimicry is associated with a poor prognosis in patients with gastric cancer in China. Clinical studies with large samples are needed worldwide and standardized protocols should be adopted in the future to achieve a better understanding of the relationship between gastric cancer and vasculogenic mimicry.

1. Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is a leading cause of death worldwide, accounting for 0.7 million deaths and nearly 1 million newly diagnosed cases in 2012 [1, 2]. There is a high incidence of and high mortality from GC in China, making up more than one-third of the world population [3]. Although a variety of treatments such as surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and targeted therapy have an effect on GC, the 5-year survival rate still remains low, especially in cases of recurrence and metastasis [4].

Vasculogenic mimicry (VM) was first found in melanoma in 1999, and it indicated that tumor cells can directly generate vascular channels that facilitate tumor perfusion independent of tumor angiogenesis by vascular endothelial cells [5]. Based on the aforementioned features, VM can be distinguished by using the immunohistochemical or histochemical double staining, as VM is recognized as periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) positive and CD31 or CD34 (endothelial markers) negative, whereas classic blood vessels are double positive for PAS and endothelial markers.

VM can promote tumor growth and metastasis, and it is closely related to tumor neovascularization and cancer stem-like cells, which are considered hallmarks of cancer, and they are associated with tumor invasion and drug resistance [6]. Recent studies have shown that VM is associated with a poor prognosis in human tumors [7–11]. A meta-analysis [12] indicated no significant relationship between VM and GC. It only included two eligible studies on GC with 257 patients and minimal valuable clinical evidence. However,
a recent study indicated that VM may play an important role in the evolution of GC [13]. Based on the aforementioned controversy, we conducted this meta-analysis to evaluate the relationship between VM and the prognosis and clinicopathological features of patients with GC. This study was conducted according to the PRISMA guidelines (see S6 file in Supplementary Material available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/2408645) [14, 15].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Identification of Eligible Studies. We searched Pubmed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and the VIP and Wanfang Database for eligible studies without using language limits. The search period was from January 1999 to October 2015. The search terms were as follows: “vasculogenic mimicry or tumour cell-lined vessels” and “gastric or stomach”. We used both Medical Subject Heading terms and free-text words to increase the sensitivity of the search.

In addition to electronic databases, printed journals and relevant textbooks were manually searched in the libraries of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Peking Union Medical College, and Guang’anmen Hospital. Specialized experts in particular fields were consulted for necessary supplements as well.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) studies on patients with a histological diagnosis of GC; (2) articles on those with VM-positive primary tumor tissues assessed by the immunohistochemical or histochemical double staining method; and (3) studies aiming to assess the relationship between VM and at least one of the following outcome variables and clinicopathological features: overall survival (OS) time, tumor node metastasis clinical stage, lymph node metastasis, poor pathological grade, blood metastasis, and depth of tumor invasion.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) reviews and single case reports; (2) studies referring to VM but not to humans with VM with gastric cancer; and (3) studies that lacked outcome variables and clinicopathological features.

2.2. Data Extraction and Management. Two independent reviewers (Yebo Gao and Zhichao Jin) extracted the data by using a standardized collection form according to the aforementioned inclusion and exclusion criteria of eligible studies. We recorded the details of eligible studies, including the first author, patients’ region, publication year, pathological type, VM assay methods, total cases, clinicopathological features, and outcomes. If there were discrepancies between the two reviewers, a final consensus was reached after discussion with the other author (Yuan Yuan). The hazard ratio (HR) was calculated from the Kaplan-Meier survival curve and 5-year survival outcome events as reported by Tierney et al. [16].

2.3. Methodological Assessment. The methodological assessment of eligible studies was conducted by using the quality scale for biological prognostic factors (S5 file), which was reported previously [17] by two specialists (Huamin Wei and Tao Xu) who are experienced in clinical and basic experiments. Disagreements were discussed with another specialist (Conghuang Li).

2.4. Statistical Analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager (RevMan) 5.3.5 software (Cochrane Community, London, United Kingdom) and STATA 14 software (STATA Corp., College Station, TX). Dichotomous data of the clinicopathological features were pooled using odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). HRs were pooled as inverse variance data with 95% CI. If \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. An observed HR or OR > 1 implied a worse prognosis for the group with VM positivity, and it was considered statistically significant if the values of 95% confidence intervals did not overlap the value “1.” The heterogeneity of the included studies was evaluated by the \( \chi^2 \) and \( I^2 \) tests, and \( P < 0.10 \) or \( I^2 > 50\% \) was defined as heterogeneous. The fixed-effect model was used for merging the homogeneous data, and the random-effects model was suitable for merging the heterogeneous data as previously reported [26]. Publication bias was evaluated by Egger’s test (STATA 14) with \( P < 0.05 \) indicating potential bias. The sensitivity analysis was evaluated by reanalyzing the data using different statistical approaches.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the Included Studies. Three hundred thirty-five studies, including 37 additional records identified through other sources such as postgraduate dissertations and conference articles, were initially found by using the aforementioned search strategy. One hundred ten duplicate studies were removed, 171 were excluded because they did not study VM, 20 were excluded because they did not study human cancer, and 4 were excluded because they did not study GC. After reading the full text, 21 studies were excluded because they did not mention the relationship between VM and the prognosis or clinicopathology of patients with GC. Finally, 9 studies with 997 patients were included (Figure 1 and Table 1).

Six articles studied the HR between the OS and VM. HRs were calculated using the method previously reported by Tierney et al. [16] (S4 file Table 1). The methodological assessment of eligible studies was conducted as described in Table 2.

3.2. Results of the Meta-Analysis

3.2.1. VM Positivity Indicated a Poor Prognosis in Patients with GC. Six studies reported the OS of patients with GC with VM positivity for 715 patients. A significant association was observed between VM positivity and OS. This result suggested that VM positivity may represent a poor prognostic factor for patients with GC (random-effect model: \( HR = 2.24, 95\% CI: 1.45–3.47 \) (Figure 2). An insignificant heterogeneity was detected among the studies (chi\(^2\) = 16.94, df = 5, \( P = 0.005 \), and \( I^2 = 70\% \)). The sensitive analysis was performed by using the fixed-effects model (HR = 2.25, 95% CI: 1.82–2.79) (S1 file Figure 1.5). The results of the two models were comparable.
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3.3. Subgroup Analyses. Due to the presence of heterogeneity, subgroup analyses were performed based on the region, methods of VM identification, pathology, and number of patients (Table 3 and SI file Figures 1.1–1.5).

We detected a significant association between VM positivity and the OS of patients with GC in inland regions (HR = 2.90, 95% CI: 2.23–3.78) but not in coastal regions (HR = 1.38, 95% CI: 0.96–1.99). VM positivity was significantly associated with the OS of patients with adenocarcinoma (HR = 2.36, 95% CI: 1.44–3.86) but was not significantly associated with the OS of patients with sarcoma (HR = 1.67, 95% CI: 0.72–3.87). The association between VM positivity and the OS of patients was present in studies with more than 100 or fewer than 100 subjects (HR = 1.96, 95% CI: 1.04–3.66; HR = 2.85, 95% CI: 1.74–4.67). In addition, analysis of the subgroups using different VM detection methods showed a poor OS in the PAS-positive and CD34-negative staining subgroup (HR 2.60, 95% CI: 1.61–4.19) and PAS-positive and CD31-negative staining subgroup (HR 2.10, 95% CI: 1.07–4.10). Significant heterogeneity existed among the studies with the methods of VM identification, pathological type, and sample size subgroups; however, there was no significant heterogeneity in the subgroups for the study region.

Furthermore, results of the sensitivity analyses showed that changing the study effect model did not change the results of the pooled analyses of OS.

3.4. Associations between VM Positivity and the Clinicopathological Characteristics of Patients with GC. The prognostic significance of VM positivity in the TNM clinical stage was evaluated in 6 studies [13, 18–20, 22–24] with 789 patients. The results showed that VM positivity can lead to a high TNM clinical stage (III or IV clinical stage) in patients with GC (random-effects model: OR = 3.12, 95% CI: 1.52–6.42) with a significant heterogeneity. In the analysis of 7 studies [13, 18–20, 22–24] with 910 patients, VM positivity was significantly associated with lymph node metastasis in patients with GC (fixed-effect model: OR = 2.82, 95% CI: 2.04–3.92). We evaluated the relationship between VM positivity and the pathological differentiation in 7 studies [13, 18–20, 22–24] with 910 patients. The results showed that VM positivity can induce poorer pathological differentiation (fixed-effect model: OR = 3.64, 95% CI: 2.53–5.24). The prognostic significance of VM positivity in patients with blood metastasis was analyzed in 3 studies [19, 22, 24] with 331 patients. We observed a significant relationship between VM positivity and blood metastasis in patients with GC (fixed-effect model: OR = 4.34, 95% CI: 1.57–11.96). The depth of invasion in patients with GC tended to correlate with VM positivity in the evaluation of 2 studies [13, 22] with 335 patients (fixed-effect model: OR = 2.95, 95% CI: 1.63–5.35). There was no significant heterogeneity between studies on lymph node metastasis, pathological differentiation, blood metastasis, and the depth of invasion. The aforementioned results are presented in Table 4 (Figures S2.1–2.5 and S4 Table 1).

3.5. Publication Bias. The publication bias was assessed by Egger’s test, and the results demonstrated no obvious publication bias in our meta-analysis (Figures S3.1–3.5).

4. Discussion

VM is found in many kinds of tumors, and it is regarded as a poor prognostic marker in sarcomas [27], melanomas
Table 1: Characteristics of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Sample size (n)</th>
<th>Number of VM-positive patients (%)</th>
<th>Pathological type</th>
<th>Clinical stage</th>
<th>Methods of VM identification</th>
<th>Preoperative treatment</th>
<th>Clinicopathological features</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Survival analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chen [18]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Hunan, China</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>21 (24.1)</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>I–IV</td>
<td>PAS‘CD34’</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pathological grade Blood metastasis TNM clinical stage</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. [19]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Tianjin, China</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>40 (23.1)</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>I–IV</td>
<td>PAS‘CD31’</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pathological grade Lymph node metastasis Blood metastasis TNM clinical stage</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al. [21]</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Shandong, China</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>19 (31.7)</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>I–IV</td>
<td>PAS‘CD31’</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pathological grade Degree of invasion Intravascular cancer embolus</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>OS Multivariate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su et al. [22]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Hebei, China</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>22 (29.7)</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>I–IV</td>
<td>PAS‘CD34’</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pathological grade Lymph node metastasis Blood metastasis TNM clinical stage</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. [23]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Anhui, China</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>44 (36.4)</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>PAS‘CD34’</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pathological grade Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang and Zhao [25]</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Shandong, China</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15 (55.6)</td>
<td>Sarcoma</td>
<td>I–III</td>
<td>PAS‘CD31’</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Univariate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Quality assessment of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Scientific design</th>
<th>Laboratory methodology</th>
<th>Generalizability</th>
<th>Results analysis</th>
<th>Global score (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chen [18]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. [19]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liao and Gao [20]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song et al. [21]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su et al. [22]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. [23]</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang [24]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang and Zhao [25]</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhou et al. [13]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Forest plot of hazard ratios (HRs) in the random-effect model. The HR of overall survival of vasculogenic mimicry- (VM-) positive cancer patients was compared with VM-negative cancer patients. Each individual study is represented by the red square, and the pooled datasets are indicated by the diamond, representing the 95% confidence interval (CI) of each study. An HR > 1 implied a worse survival for the cancer patients. The size of each study represents the weighting factor (1/standard error [SE]) assigned to it.

There were some limitations in our study. First, all studies included in our evaluation were conducted in China; hence, the conclusion can only be carefully applied to China or East Asia, not worldwide. Second, there was some heterogeneity among the eligible studies, and their global scores
Table 3: Results of the subgroup analysis of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study subgroups</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Fixed P value</th>
<th>Random P value</th>
<th>Heterogeneity I² (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal region</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1.38 [0.96, 1.99]</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.48 [0.92, 2.39]</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>2.90 [2.23, 3.78]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>2.89 [1.94, 4.30]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods of VM identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS+CD34−</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>2.74 [2.07, 3.64]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>2.60 [1.61, 4.19]</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS+CD31−</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>1.72 [1.24, 2.39]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>2.10 [1.07, 4.10]</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>2.30 [1.84, 2.87]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>2.36 [1.44, 3.86]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.67 [0.72, 3.87]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>1.67 [0.72, 3.87]</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>2.13 [1.68, 2.70]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>1.96 [1.04, 3.66]</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2.85 [1.74, 4.67]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>2.81 [1.57, 5.05]</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VM, vasculogenic mimicry; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; PAS, periodic acid-Schiff.

Table 4: Meta-analysis of VM and the clinical and pathological features of patients with GC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical and pathological features</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Fixed P value</th>
<th>Random P value</th>
<th>Heterogeneity I² (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III/IV clinical stage</td>
<td>6 [13, 18–20, 22, 24]</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>3.35 [2.31, 4.86]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>3.12 [1.52, 6.42]</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>7 [13, 18–20, 22–24]</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>2.82 [2.04, 3.92]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>2.84 [1.95, 4.14]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood metastasis</td>
<td>3 [19, 22, 24]</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>3.79 [2.14, 6.71]</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>4.34 [1.57, 11.96]</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3/4 invasion</td>
<td>2 [13, 22]</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>2.95 [1.63, 5.35]</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>3.06 [1.29, 7.27]</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VM, vasculogenic mimicry; GC, gastric cancer; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

were diverse. These limitations may have influenced our evaluation, but the same results were reached in the sensitivity analysis via different testing models.

In conclusion, VM was associated with the poor prognosis of patients with GC in China, especially for those gastric adenocarcinoma patients in inland regions; however, drugs targeting VM should be studied and used in GC treatment. Furthermore, clinical studies with large samples are needed to evaluate the relationship between GC and VM worldwide, and standardized protocols should be adopted in future studies.
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