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A Novel In Vitro System for Comparative Analyses of Bone Cells and Bacteria under Electrical Stimulation
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Electrical stimulation is a promising approach to enhance bone regeneration while having potential to inhibit bacterial growth. To investigate effects of alternating electric field stimulation on both human osteoblasts and bacteria, a novel in vitro system was designed. Electric field distribution was simulated numerically and proved by experimental validation. Cells were stimulated on Ti6Al4V electrodes and in short distance to electrodes. Bacterial growth was enumerated in supernatant and on the electrode surface and biofilm formation was quantified. Electrical stimulation modulated gene expression of osteoblastic differentiation markers in a voltage-dependent manner, resulting in significantly enhanced osteocalcin mRNA synthesis rate on electrodes after stimulation with 1.4 \( V_{RMS} \). While collagen type I synthesis increased when stimulated with 0.2 \( V_{RMS} \), it decreased after stimulation with 1.4 \( V_{RMS} \). Only slight and infrequent influence on bacterial growth was observed following stimulations with 0.2 \( V_{RMS} \) and 1.4 \( V_{RMS} \) after 48 and 72h, respectively. In summary, this novel test system is applicable for extended in vitro studies concerning definition of appropriate stimulation parameters for bone cell growth and differentiation, bacterial growth suppression, and investigation of general effects of electrical stimulation.

1. Introduction

Over the past decades, electrical stimulation (ES) of various types of tissues has been established and gained importance for the treatment of a wide range of diseases and disabilities. Today, implants providing electrical stimulation are common in clinical use. They are applied in deep brain stimulation [1], as well as in cochlear implants [2] or, one of the most present examples, cardiac pacemakers [3]. Moreover, ES is a therapeutic approach for chronic cutaneous wound-healing complications [4], paralyzed muscles, or prevention of muscle atrophy in immobilized patients [5–7]. Further fields of application are pain relief therapy [8] and transcorneal ES after ocular trauma or for treatment of optic neuropathy and retina degeneration [9, 10]. Another aspect with increasing importance is the use of ES or electromagnetic stimulation in the orthopedic field. Hereby, implants or devices applying ES are used as adjunctive therapy for treating bone fractures or supporting bone and tissue regeneration in cases of, for example, spinal cord injury, degenerative bone diseases, and pseudarthrosis [11–15]. Despite huge progress in the field of ES and promising results concerning ES of bone cells and other cell types, optimal stimulation methods and parameter combinations remain unclear. The effect and working mechanism of ES on bone cells have also to be elucidated in the future.

Furthermore, implant-associated infections of orthopedic implants are a major challenge for clinicians, present a huge burden for the affected patients and the associated healthcare systems, and are difficult to treat. Most often revision surgery is the only option [16, 17]. The main bacterial causatives for implant-associated infections are staphylococci (i.e., Staphylococcus aureus and S. epidermidis). Both species are inhabitants of human skin and mucous membranes and are commonly found in hospital settings. These opportunistic human pathogens cause severe infections, mostly associated
with biofilm formation on the implant surface [18]. Biofilm formation impairs successful treatment of infections since the bacteria are protected by extra-polymeric substances and a reduced metabolism, tremendously decreasing antibiotic susceptibility. Implant-associated infections usually lead to implant revision and broad tissue debridement as well as bone loss through inflammatory reactions. Several studies over the last years focused on ES of bacteria to prevent bacterial growth and biofilm formation [19–28]. Not only staphylococci but also Escherichia coli as well as Pseudomonas aeruginosa were investigated and tested using ES in different experimental set-ups [19–23]. Despite investigating and applying different types of electrical stimulation (e.g., direct current, alternating current, electromagnetic stimulation, or pulsed currents), the underlying effects of electrical stimulation, as well as effects on a more molecular level instead of macroscopic disruption of bacteria, still have to be elucidated. Several reactions can take place while using direct current, such as pH shifts, electrolytic reactions on the electrodes, temperature changes or formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), H₂O₂, and chlorine production [29, 30]. On the one hand, these effects can influence and impair bacterial growth and biofilm formation, while on the other hand challenging also bone cells or tissue. Alternating current, in a defined range of voltage, can exclude the before-mentioned side reactions and is therefore suited to solely investigate the influence of electric fields on bacteria. Although applying alternating current exhibits weaker inhibitory effects on bacteria compared to direct current stimulation, it is possible to reduce bacterial growth and biofilm formation using AC stimulation [29].

The ideal ES would enhance osteoblast recruitment to implant surface and surrounding and also lead to increased osteoblast differentiation, while protecting the implant surface from bacterial initial attachment, firm adherence, colonization, and biofilm establishment at the same time.

Since no electrical stimulation system is available enabling both stimulation of bone cells or other eukaryotic cells and bacteria, our goal was to generate an experimental tool for basic research on electrical stimulation. The generated system should allow testing of various stimulation conditions using bone cells and bacteria utilizing a broad range of applicable biological methods to maximize data output while being easily performed. In the study presented here, we introduce a new stimulation system and its experimental validation as well as first basic biological experiments. The system was tested on human primary osteoblasts (hOB) and S. epidermidis using two different voltages (0.2 and 1.4 V_{RMS}) with a frequency of 20 Hz as basic conditions to prove general applicability.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Electrical Stimulation Chamber. The stimulation chamber was built by rapid prototyping technology (IPT, Wismar, Germany) and is composed of Foto Med® LED. A material (Innovation MediTech GmbH, Unna, Germany). This material provides highly biocompatible properties that fulfill the guidelines of DIN ISO 10993. It is a nonconductive material which can be sterilized by autoclaving. Triangular electrodes (length: 23 mm) with an equilateral base of 5 × 5 × 5 mm and contact rods were produced of Ti6Al4V by cutting (Primec, Bentwisch, Germany) and screwed together via threads. Electrodes were separated by an insulator of 5 mm width made of polyether ether ketone (PEEK) and were corundum-blasted to a surface roughness of 21.38 ± 4.67 μm, which was analyzed using the laser scanning microscope Keyence VK-X260 (Keyence Deutschland GmbH, Neu-Isenburg, Germany). Images of roughness measurement and electrode surface are presented in Figure 1. The three-dimensional CAD technical drawing as well as top view of the technical drawing including coordinate grid for validation are shown in Figures 2(a) and 2(b). Inner dimensions of the stimulation system are 54 × 54 × 26 mm. Further components are a lid with holes for contact rods and an electrode holder shown in Figure 2(c). The distance between chamber bottom and electrodes was set to 5 mm according to the electric field simulation. The triangular shaped electrodes seperated by an insulator are depicted in Figure 2(d).

2.2. Simulation and Validation of Electric Field Distribution. For the simulation, the finite element method (FEM) software
Comsol Multiphysics (Comsol Multiphysics 5.2, COMSOL, Stockholm, Sweden) was used to compute the electric field within the CAD model stimulation chamber. The assembly was based on the reconstruction of the electrical stimulation chamber, with electrodes and medium; therefore, Solidworks was used. Frequency-dependent medium and electrode electric properties were determined with impedance spectroscopy using a Broadband Dielectric Spectrometer (Novocontrol, Montabaur, Germany) and embedded in numerical simulations. In Comsol a Frequency Domain Study with a harmonic excitation of 20 Hz and an Electric Currents Physics Interface was created. The mesh was arranged as a free tetrahedral mesh and consisted of approximately 1.63 million mesh cells. To compare and validate the simulation of the electric field distribution with the in vitro situation, a simulation was performed using a root mean square (RMS) voltage of 1.35 V. Used electrical properties of materials for numerical simulation are presented in Table 1. Potentials inside the chamber were measured as $V_{\text{RMS}}$ using 20 Hz frequency, $1.35V_{\text{RMS}}$ and DC-free sine wave. The measuring needle was a completely isolated stainless steel needle (20 mm in length) with a conical tip of 0.4 mm diameter as contact surface. The measuring needle was grounded to one contact rod of the stimulation system and connected to an oscilloscope (TDS 2012B, Tektronix, Bracknell, Berkshire, UK). $V_{\text{RMS}}$ measurements were done at defined coordinates in a 3 mm distance pattern plotted on the center of the chamber bottom according to the measurement grid (Figure 2(b)). $V_{\text{RMS}}$ at the defined grid points were manually measured directly at the chamber bottom and measurements were repeated three times. To prevent external influence of the generated electric field, all technical devices except function generator and oscilloscope were switched off during the measurements. Measured $V_{\text{RMS}}$ were then compared to RMS voltages given by numerical simulation. The validation set-up is shown in Figure 3.
Table 1: Electric properties of materials and medium used for numerical simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r$ [1]</th>
<th>Electrical conductivity $\sigma$ [S/m]</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Comsol (ideal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulator</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1.00E-11$</td>
<td>Comsol (ideal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti6Al4V</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5.62E+05$</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$1.08E+08$</td>
<td>$1.14E+01$</td>
<td>Measured</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Representative image of the set-up for validation of electric potential distribution obtained from numerical simulations within the stimulation system. Electric potentials were measured with a pick-up electrode (white frame) connected to an oscilloscope.

2.3. Cell Experiments. Human primary osteoblasts were isolated from femoral heads of patients undergoing a primary total hip replacement under sterile conditions as previously described [32]. The samples were collected with consent of patients following approval by Local Ethical Committee (registration number: A 2010-10).

Isolated cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 1% amphotericin B, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 1% HEPES-buffer under standard cell culture conditions (5% CO$_2$ and 37°C). Ascorbic acid (final concentration: 50 μg/ml), β-glycerophosphate (final concentration: 10 mM), and dexamethasone (final concentration: 100 nM) were added to cell culture medium to promote osteogenic differentiation. For cell experiments, osteoblasts in passage three were seeded on coverslips with a diameter of 24 mm with a density of 2.2 × 10$^4$ cells/cm$^2$ and on electrodes with a density of 3.0 × 10$^4$ cells/cm$^2$. After adhering for 30 min, 30 ml cell culture medium containing osteogenic additives was added, chambers were covered with the lid, and cells were incubated over night at 37°C under 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere.

Electrical stimulation started 12 h after cell seeding. 0.2 and 1.4$V_{\text{rms}}$ with a frequency of 20 Hz were applied to the in vitro system using a Metrix GX 305 function generator (Metrix Electronics, Hampshire, UK) set to 20 Hz. RMS voltage was directly measured and adjusted on the contact rods of the stimulation system. A sinusoidal signal was applied over three days with stimulation periods of 3 × 45 min per day with 225 min break between stimulations. Cells were cultivated under standard cell culture conditions.

For unstimulated controls, chambers were similarly prepared without connection to the function generator. Cell viability and differentiation were investigated 12 h after the last stimulation period.

Water soluble tetrazolium (WST-1) assay (Cell Proliferation Reagent, Roche, Grenzach-Wyhlen, Germany) was used to measure metabolic activity of human osteoblasts. Cells were incubated with 700 µl of WST-1 solution (1:10 dilution in cell culture medium) for one hour. Absorbance was measured in triplicate at 450 nm (reference: 630 nm) using an Opsys MR microplate reader (Dynex Technologies, Denkendorf, Germany). The LIVE/DEAD® assay kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA) was used to discriminate between viable and dead cells. Live cells were stained by calcein-acetoxymethyl displaying intracellular esterase activity (green fluorescence, 494–517 nm). Dead cells were permeated by ethidium homodimer-1 red-fluorescent dye due to loss of membrane integrity (red fluorescence, 528–617 nm). The assay was performed as recommended by the manufacturer. Images of the cells were taken with a fluorescence microscope (Nikon Type 120, Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan) and evaluated using the NIS-Elements software (Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan).

For gene expression analysis, osteoblasts were lysed in TRIReagent® (Zymo Research Corporation, Irvine, CA, USA) and stored at −70°C after stimulation experiments. Total RNA was extracted by using Direct-zol™ RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research Corporation, Irvine, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. 100 ng RNA were used for cDNA synthesis with the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kits (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Semiquantitative real-time PCR for collagen type I (Fwd: 5′-ACGGAAGACATCCCCACCAATC-3′, Rev: 5′-AGATCACGTCATCGCACAA-3′), alkaline phosphatase (Fwd: 5′-CATTGTGAGACCACCACGAG-3′, Rev: 5′-CCATGATCAGCT-CAATGTCC-3′), and osteocalcin (Fwd: 5′-GGTGCAAGCTTTTGTGTC-3′, Rev: 5′-TCAGCCAATCGTCACAGTC-3′) was performed in triplicate using innuMix qPCR Master Mix SyGreen (Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany). Ct-values were normalized to the house-keeping gene hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase [33, 34] (HPRT, Fwd: 5′-CCCTTGGCCTGTTGATTAGTG-3′, Rev: 5′-TCGAGCAGGAGCTTGCAGTCC-3′) and analysed by 2$^{-\Delta\Delta ct}$ method.

The amount of procollagen type I was determined via ELISA (MicroVue™ CIPC EIA, QUIDEL Corporation, San Diego, USA). This method detects the C-terminal propeptide of procollagen as a measure of collagen expression. Supernatants following ES were collected and stored at −20°C. Supernatants were then treated according to manufacturer’s instructions and absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 405 nm using an Opsys MR microplate reader. A standard curve was used to determine protein content and measured values were related to respective controls.

2.4. Bacterial Experiments. All bacterial experiments were conducted with the catheter sepsis strain Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 35984. Bacteria were cultivated and passaged on Columbia blood agar plates (containing 3% sheep blood) at least every 3 to 4 weeks. For long-term preservation,
bacteria were stored at −20°C in 50µl aliquots containing tryptic soy broth (TSB, AppliChem GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) supplemented with 20% glycerin (v/v). For experiments, colony material from aliquots was transferred in 20 ml TSB using an inoculation loop and incubated statically over night at 37°C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

Overnight cultures of *S. epidermidis* were centrifuged for 10 min at 3,345 g, supernatants were discarded, and pellets were washed once with phosphate buffered saline (1x PBS, pH 7.4). In the following, pellets were suspended in fresh medium and optical density at 600 nm was adjusted to 0.35 matching approximately 10⁶ colony forming units per ml (CFU/ml). Bacterial suspensions were subsequently diluted 100-fold in fresh TSB and 30 ml of bacterial suspensions containing ∼10⁸ CFU/ml were added to stimulation chambers.

Similar to the experiments with human osteoblasts, 0.2 and 1.4V RMS were applied as sinusoidal signal at a frequency of 20 Hz using identical stimulation periods. The stimulation started 1h after adding bacterial suspensions to the stimulation chambers. Constant voltage supply of desired concentrations added to chambers with out electrical stimulation, respectively. Bacterial suspensions with similar concentrations added to chambers without electrical stimulation served as references for each time point. Both stimulated and nonstimulated samples were incubated at 37°C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

For determination of CFU/ml, supernatants from control and stimulated samples were collected and stimulation chambers were washed twice with PBS to remove all nonadherent bacteria. Washing fractions and supernatants of corresponding samples were pooled and centrifuged for 10 min at 3,345 g. Supernatants were discarded and pellets were suspended in 1x PBS. Serial dilutions were plated out on TSB agar plates and incubated overnight at 37°C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Respective CFU/ml were determined on the following day.

For determination of CFU/ml from the electrode surface, electrodes were removed from the stimulation system, rinsed two times with 1x PBS to remove nonadherent bacteria and subsequently transferred into glass tubes containing 1x PBS. Electrodes were ultrasonically agitated to remove all adherent bacteria. Suspensions containing former surface-bound *S. epidermidis* were centrifuged for 10 min at 3,345 g, supernatants were discarded and pellets were suspended in 1x PBS. Serial dilutions were plated out on TSB agar plates and incubated overnight at 37°C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Respective CFU/ml were determined on the following day.

To quantify biofilm mass, polystyrene coverslips (Nunc Thermanox 25 mm diameter, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rochester, NY, USA) were removed from the chamber bottom and rinsed twice with 1x PBS. Biofilm mass was stained using 0.1% w/v crystal violet dissolved in *Aqua dest.* (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) for 20 min at room temperature. Subsequently, coverslips were washed three times with *Aqua dest.* to remove excess crystal violet. Bound crystal violet was dissolved in 1% sodiumdodecylsulfate (SDS, Carl-Roth GmbH + Co.KG, Karlsruhe, Germany) for 20 min at 37°C with 350 rpm. Subsequently, absorption was measured at 590 nm against 1% SDS as blank. Measured values were further calculated regarding the surface area to determine formed biofilm mass/cm².

In order to determine antibiotic resistance, samples of supernatants were diluted in 1x PBS adjusting optical density to match approximately 10⁷ CFU/ml. Cotton swab sticks were immersed for 10 s in the bacterial suspension and bacteria were subsequently spread out evenly on TSB agar plates. In the following, E-Test stripes of gentamicin and levofloxacin (BioMeriex, France) were opposite aligned on the respective agar plates and plates were incubated overnight at 37°C under 5% CO₂ atmosphere. On the following day, minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC [µg/ml]) of both antibiotics were determined resembling the highest concentration where bacteria at least reached the antibiotic stripe before inhibition zones appeared.

2.5. Data Illustration and Statistical Analysis. Data are presented as box plots and scatter dot plots. Boxes denote 10th–90th percentile, horizontal lines within the boxes denote medians, and whiskers denote minimum and maximum values. Scatter dot plots show experimental values while horizontal lines within the plots denote medians. For all analyses, cultures of human osteoblasts from a minimum of three independent donors and of *S. epidermidis* from a minimum of four independent experiments were used. Since the data obtained were assumed to be not normally distributed, the statistical analyses were performed using Kruskal-Wallis Test followed by Dunn’s Multiple Comparison Test to correct obtained *p* values (embedded in GraphPad Prism 6.0). *p* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Numerical Simulation on Electric Potential and Field Distribution in the Stimulation System. Numerical simulations of electric potential and electric displacement field norms are shown in Figure 4 while measured V RMS compared to simulated values are shown in Table 2. In general, the potential gradient predicted by numerical simulation was higher than in the stimulation system. Comparing the measured values of the four grid points between the two electrodes (coordinates −9/9; −3/3; 3/−3; and 9/−9), the simulation shows a very good approximation of the real data with deviations of maximum 16 mV. However, in direction of both electrodes, the differences become higher resulting in maximum deviations of around 400 mV. When comparing measured versus simulation data, it can be clearly seen that the simulation anticipates a broader and more extensive gradient than it appears to be in the tested system. Measured values and simulation values showed the best approximation in the center of the region of interest. Here, the values were nearly identical. Figure 4(b) shows the electric displacement field...
Table 2: Measured and simulated electric potential of the measurement pattern of the stimulation system in the ROI.

| x/y/z [mm] | Measured $V_{\text{RMS}}$ mean ± SD [mV] | Simulated $V_{\text{RMS}}$ [mV] | $|\Delta V_{\text{simulated}} - V_{\text{measured}}|$ [mV] |
|------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| −3/9/0     | 662 ± 1                                | 655                           | 7                               |
| −3/9/0     | 716 ± 7                                | 840                           | 124                             |
| 3/9/0      | 773 ± 10                               | 1072                          | 299                             |
| 9/9/0      | 812 ± 5                                | 1195                          | 383                             |
| −3/3/0     | 626 ± 4                                | 470                           | 156                             |
| −3/3/0     | 668 ± 9                                | 655                           | 13                              |
| 3/3/0      | 728 ± 10                               | 975                           | 247                             |
| 9/3/0      | 763 ± 6                                | 1072                          | 309                             |
| −3/3/0     | 583 ± 2                                | 238                           | 345                             |
| −3/3/0     | 517 ± 4                                | 335                           | 282                             |
| 3/3/0      | 671 ± 6                                | 655                           | 16                              |
| 9/−3/0     | 713 ± 7                                | 840                           | 127                             |
| −3/−3/0    | 540 ± 1                                | 115                           | 425                             |
| −3/−3/0    | 573 ± 4                                | 238                           | 335                             |
| 3/−3/0     | 623 ± 3                                | 470                           | 153                             |
| 9/−3/0     | 665 ± 4                                | 655                           | 10                              |

The obtained field strength has its maximum in the ROI rising up to 80–90 V/m while steadily decreasing with increasing distance from the center of the ROI.

3.2. Osteoblast Viability on Electrodes and Coverslips Is Not Affected by ES. Human primary osteoblasts cultivated on coverslips and titanium grade five electrodes were viable. Only single dead cells were visible in live/dead staining after three days of electrical stimulation with 0.2 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ (data not shown) or 1.4 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ as well as under control conditions (Figure 5(a)). Metabolic activity of osteoblasts measured by WST-1 assay was not altered after electrical stimulation compared to unstimulated cells for both cells growing on electrodes and coverslips (Figure 5(b)).

3.3. Gene Expression of Osteoblast Markers following ES. Gene expression analysis of primary osteoblasts cultured on titanium electrodes showed moderately higher transcript abundance of Col I, ALP, and OC after electrical stimulation with 0.2 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ compared to unstimulated controls. Application of 1.4 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ resulted in slightly enhanced OC transcript levels while Col I and ALP remained unchanged (Figure 6(a)). Osteoblasts growing on coverslips showed a tendency to increased Col I and ALP expression after application of 0.2 $V_{\text{RMS}}$. Electrical stimulation with 1.4 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ significantly increased OC transcript level ($p = 0.0418$) compared to cells treated with 0.2 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ (Figure 6(b)).

3.4. Collagen Type I Synthesis following ES with 1.4 $V_{\text{RMS}}$. Propeptide of procollagen type I in the supernatant of osteoblast cultures was detected by ELISA as a measure of newly produced collagen type I (Figure 7). Electrical stimulation with 0.2 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ significantly increased procollagen type I synthesis rate compared to osteoblasts treated with 1.4 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ ($p = 0.0051$).

3.5. Determination of Bacterial CFU/ml in the Supernatant following ES. Determined CFU/ml of *S. epidermidis* from the supernatants of controls and stimulated samples are presented in Figure 8. Overall, CFU/ml in the controls remained stable throughout the experiments and sampled time points. No differences between controls and either 0.2 or 1.4 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ treated samples could be observed after 24 h of incubation. Following 48 h, controls and 1.4 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ treated samples showed no differences, while 0.2 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ treated samples showed significantly reduced CFU/ml ($p = 0.0355$). Following 72 h of incubation, significantly decreased CFU/ml were observed for 1.4 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ treated samples compared to controls ($p = 0.0142$). Differences between controls and 0.2 $V_{\text{RMS}}$ treated bacteria were not significant at this time point.
3.6. Determination of Bacterial CFU/ml on the Electrode Surface following ES. The range of S. epidermidis CFU/ml recovered from the electrode surface of controls remained relatively stable throughout the experiment (Figure 9). Following 24 h of incubation, no differences were observed comparing controls and 1.4V_{RMS} treated samples. After 48 and 72 h of incubation, 1.4V_{RMS} treated samples showed slightly lower CFU/ml compared to controls. In general, lower CFU/ml of 0.2V_{RMS} treated samples were detected compared to controls at all time points. These differences did not obtain significance levels.

3.7. Determination of S. epidermidis Biofilm Mass Formation following ES. Biofilm mass decreased during the experiment in controls and stimulated samples (Figure 10). Comparing all tested conditions, highest biofilm mass was detected following 24 h of incubation. No significant differences could be observed between controls and both stimulated samples, while all samples showed a high variance in biofilm mass formation. Following 48 and 72 h of incubation, biofilm mass decreased compared to 24 h of incubation but remained stable during 48 and 72 h. No differences could be observed comparing controls and stimulated samples, regardless of the stimulation conditions.
3.8. Antibiotic Susceptibility following ES. In general, variations in MICs of gentamicin were high during the experiments (Figure 11(a)) and ranged for controls and 1.4V_{RMS} treated samples between 12 and 64 \mu g/ml and between 12 and 50 \mu g/ml for 0.2V_{RMS} treated samples, respectively. These high variations are due to the E-Test assay and the gentamicin resistance of S. epidermidis, which was previously determined. 0.2V_{RMS} treated samples showed a tendency to result in lower MICs compared to control samples at all time points, while 1.4V_{RMS} treated samples showed no differences compared to control samples. S. epidermidis was previously tested sensitive against levofloxacin. Therefore, MICs were essentially lower compared to MICs determined for gentamicin and ranged between 0.032 and 0.094 \mu g/ml (Figure 11(b)). During experiments no changes in antibiotic susceptibility against levofloxacin could be observed under all tested conditions.

4. Discussion

In the present study a novel electrical stimulation system was developed and functionally validated in order to create a test system allowing single and simultaneous ES of human cells and bacteria. The simulation data approximate the measured real data in case of electric potential distribution in the region of interest and give the opportunity to calculate the electric field distribution of varying parameter combinations. Furthermore, general applicability for stimulating bone cells
The simulated electric displacement field obtained from the finite element simulation and validated the simulation as material- and medium-specific electrical properties into electrode, the surrounding medium and the type of electrical stimulation. Therefore, we integrated the CAD model as well as material- and medium-specific electrical properties into the finite element simulation and validated the simulation by measuring electric potentials in the area of interest where cells and bacteria were analyzed in subsequent experiments. The simulated electric displacement field obtained from simulation anticipated field strengths of 5 up to around 90 V/m in the middle of the region of interest. However, the validation experiment showed that the electric potential gradient inside the stimulation system is considerably smaller compared to the simulation. This shows that the highest field strengths of the simulation are not likely to be reached in the stimulation system. Additionally, a smaller potential gradient means a more homogeneous field distribution than assumed by numerical simulation. Hence, bone cells and bacteria in the whole ROI are influenced by similar electric field strengths. The triangular shaped electrode was chosen to allow cell seeding directly on the electrode surface. Starting parameters for electrical stimulation as well as stimulation periods were adopted from the clinically used ASNIS III s-series screw system, a clinical set-up providing magnetic field stimulation with an additional alternating electric field up to 70 V/m in case of bone fractures, avascular necrosis of the femoral head, or foot arthrodesis [35, 36]. Both 0.2V_{RMS} and 1.4V_{RMS} were chosen to cover minimum and maximum electric field strengths created by the ASNIS set-up. Our system enables investigation of the effect of alternating electric fields on human osteoblasts and bacteria adhering to the surface of an implant material providing electrical stimulation as well as on a coverslip in distance to the electrodes. Further, planktonic bacterial growth under electric field exposure can be examined. Suggesting future clinical application, permanent implants like joint endoprostheses or dental implants, integrating devices or components enabling electrical stimulation, may be used to prevent aseptic loosening by enhancing osseointegration of implants.

Ti6Al4V alloys are, apart from cobalt-chromium alloys, broadly used as material for total joint endoprostheses [37, 38] providing excellent biocompatibility and a high fatigue limit as well as low Young’s modulus and density [37, 39, 40]. Furthermore, Ti6Al4V provides high corrosion resistance due to formation of a passivation layer [41]. Therefore, we chose Ti6Al4V as it is still considered the most important titanium alloy for joint endoprostheses. Human osteoblasts were viable when cultured on titanium electrodes and in small distance to the electrodes on chamber bottoms. Viability as well as metabolic activity was maintained after three days of ES proving biocompatibility of the chamber and electrode material as well as excluding harmful effects of applied voltage or negative electrode reactions. Electrodes were roughened by corundum blasting gaining a roughness Rz of about 23 μm. Rough titanium surfaces on implants enlarge the implant surface and thereby contribute to primary implant stability. Furthermore, rough surfaces were shown to enhance osseointegration by promotion of osseointegration characterized by protein adsorption and cell adhesion to the implant surface which leads to the formation of a fibrin matrix and directs osseointegration [42–45]. In vitro rough surfaces enhanced synthesis of growth factor and extracellular matrix synthesis in osteoblasts [46–48].

Several previous studies published in the past years worked with varying electrical stimulation set-ups, stimulation parameters, and different investigated cell types. Using the presented stimulation chamber gene expression of the distinct osteogenic differentiation markers collagen...
type I and alkaline phosphatase were moderately increased following ES with 0.2\( V_{\text{RMS}} \), while osteocalcin expression was enhanced after applying 1.4\( V_{\text{RMS}} \) indicating voltage-dependent alteration of osteoblast differentiation. Analysis of collagen type I synthesis on protein level confirmed voltage-dependent effects of ES. While lower voltage increased Col I synthesis, higher voltage decreased extracellular matrix production. Modulation of bone cell marker expression by electromagnetic field exposure has been shown previously. Investigation of osteoblastic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells under stimulation with alternating current electric fields using 2 V/m and 60 kHz showed enhanced synthesis rates of collagen type I and alkaline phosphatase mRNA after 15 days of electrical stimulation (40 min per day) whereas collagen fiber deposition and calcium nodule formation were similar between control and stimulation group [49]. Others stimulated rat calvarial osteoblasts on current-conducting nanocomposites (10 \( \mu \)A, 10 Hz, and 6 h per day) resulting in enhanced proliferation after two days and increased synthesis of collagenous and noncollagenous proteins as well as elevated calcium content after 21 days [34]. Ercan and Webster stimulated osteoblasts cultured on nanotubular titanium with pulsed electric fields (1–15 V, pulse duration: 0.4 ms, 1 h per day) [50]. Osteoblasts showed highest proliferation after stimulation with 10 V after five days. Furthermore, nanotubular structures stimulated alkaline phosphatase activity and calcium deposition in osteoblasts synergistically with electric stimulation in long-term experiments. Gittens et al. stimulated the osteoblast-like cell line MG-63 with 0.1–0.5 V for two hours by direct polarization of titanium discs which served as cell culture substrate [51]. They observed decreased proliferation and enhanced expression of osteoblast proteins osteoprotegerin and osteocalcin and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Effects were higher when titanium discs were connected to the cathode rather than connected to the anode. In a previous in vitro study we applied magnetically induced alternating electric fields of 0.7 V (20 Hz, 3 mT, 3 × 45 min per day) to human osteoblasts embedded in an agarose matrix [52]. Electromagnetic stimulation significantly enhanced alkaline phosphatase activity and osteocalcin mRNA levels and elevated protein synthesis of collagen type I. Since we could show contribution of collagen, which displays piezoelectric properties, to the positive outcome of electrical stimulation when human osteoblasts were stimulated on collagen-composing scaffolds [36], collagen-coated glass coverslips are used in ongoing experiments. Experiments with S. epidermidis under conditions similar to osteoblast experiments showed slightly inhibitory effects after AC application as well. Even ES with low AC voltage decreased CFU/ml of bacteria in the supernatant as well as on the electrode surface. Though effects of AC stimulation resulted in statistically significant decreased CFU/ml in the supernatants when stimulating S. epidermidis with 0.2 and 1.4\( V_{\text{RMS}} \), respectively, these observations were not constant over time. Reductions in CFU/ml to the observed extent would only slightly slow down bacterial growth. However, it is notable that the low \( V_{\text{RMS}} \) used in the present work affected bacterial growth. These results are in line with other AC studies reporting growth inhibition and bactericidal effects, though to a lower extent compared to most DC experiments [29]. Moreover, we can exclude promotion of S. epidermidis growth and adherence to the implant material by the ES parameters used in this study. Different mechanisms for inhibitory effects of ES on bacteria are discussed (e.g., pH changes as well as electrochemical reactions leading to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), ROS, or chlorine molecules). Sandvik et al. [30] showed that the amount of chlorine formed during DC stimulation influences bactericidal efficacy. When chlorine was added in the same amount as formed during their experiments, while no DC stimulation was applied, similar reductions of living bacteria were observed. In our study, neither pH differences (data not shown) nor \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) formation could be observed in 1.4\( V_{\text{RMS}} \) stimulated samples compared to controls. Hence, underlying mechanisms for observed inhibitory effects on bacteria have to be elucidated.

The successful construction and establishment of our new stimulation system enable cellular and bacterial experiments under defined conditions, allowing investigation of ES effects. Ti6Al4V electrodes can be exchanged easily so that electrodes made of various implant alloys with desired surface properties can be investigated. Further implementation of bone substitute materials (i.e., scaffolds for bone cell settlement) is possible at the chamber bottom to approach more physiologic growth conditions. Subsequent studies will focus on effects on bacterial growth and biofilm formation as well as bone cells under extended AC stimulation conditions. Additionally, coculture experiments will be performed to determine effects of electrical stimulation on the interaction of bone cells and bacteria. However, results need to be verified in living organisms and carefully prepared clinical studies.

5. Conclusion

Our test system enables experiments applying electrical stimulation on eukaryotic cells and bacteria under similar conditions in a simplified system. Only slight effects on bone cells and bacteria could be observed using two different parameter combinations. However, the established methodical set-up allows high data output based on the experimental question (i.e., planktonic bacteria, formed biofilm, and behavior of eukaryotic cells and bacteria on the electrode/implant surface and in electrode surrounding). Thus, this system is suitable for in vitro investigations regarding effects of electrical stimulation on bacteria and cells and their potential clinical relevance. It is valuable for basic research on variation of stimulation conditions and parameters by presenting a simplified system that enables a broad methodical range.
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