Growing Menace of Antibacterial Resistance in Clinical Isolates of \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} in Nepal: An Insight of Beta-Lactamase Production
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1. Introduction

Among the nonfermenters, \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} (\textit{P. aeruginosa}) is the most frequently isolated organism from clinical cases. \textit{P. aeruginosa} is the opportunistic pathogen that frequently caused the infections of immune-compromised patients and also is involved in the outbreaks of hospital acquired infections with high mortality rate [1, 2]. This organism is innately resistant to many classes of antibiotics. Therefore, an infection caused by this organism is difficult to treat because of the high degree of antimicrobial resistance [3]. The tendency of this organism to develop the antibiotic resistance is the current global concern regarding antimicrobial therapy [4].

There are varieties of antibacterial resistance mechanisms which are involved in the resistance development in \textit{P. aeruginosa}; among them, overexpression of efflux pumps [5] and acquisition of beta-lactamases, that is, extended spectrum beta-lactamases and metallo-beta-lactamases [4], are the predominant mechanisms. Beta-lactamases are the enzymes that are encoded by the chromosomal and plasmid genes of many bacteria [6]. Beta-lactamases are produced as a form of metabolic by-products that have the ability to hydrolyze and destroy the beta-lactam antibiotics [7]. Resistance mediated by extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs), metallo-beta-lactamases (MBLs), and AmpC beta-lactamase (AmpC) enzymes to broad-spectrum beta-lactam antibiotics is the global threat for the antimicrobial therapy [8]. Therefore,
the increasing trend of the antibiotic resistance due to beta-lactamase enzymes to commonly used antibacterial agents for the treatment of infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* and its current impact on antibacterial therapy failure encouraged us to find out the beta-lactamases mediated resistance rate and current antibiotic options for effective treatment of this organism. To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the few studies with exclusive focus on investigating the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern on ESBL, MBL, and AmpC producing *P. aeruginosa*.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Type and Area. A cross-sectional study was carried out from February to July 2015 at Chitwan Medical College, Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal. This 600-bed teaching hospital is a tertiary care center in the city of Bharatpur, Chitwan district of Nepal.

2.2. Bacterial Isolates. A total of 178 nonrepetitive and nonredundant clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* (pus 32, sputum 68, urine 42, blood 20, endotracheal tube 8, swab 4, bronchoalveolar lavage 2, and pleural fluid 2) from inpatient department (IPD) and from outpatient department (OPD) were included in this study. All the bacterial isolates recovered were identified by standard microbiological methods recommended by American Society for Microbiology (ASM) [9].

2.3. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by the modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method on standard Muller-Hinton agar medium using commercially prepared antibiotic disks according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guidelines and interpretation of antibiotic susceptibility result was made according to the zone-interpretative chart provided by CLSI [10]. Antibiotics used were ceftazidime (30 μg), ceftriaxone (30 μg), cefotaxime (30 μg), cefixime (5 μg), imipenem (10 μg), meropenem (10 μg), carbenicillin (100 μg), piperacillin (100 μg), piperacillin-tazobactam (100/10 μg), ciprofloxacin (5 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), amikacin (30 μg), tobramycin (10 μg), and polymyxin B (10 μg). *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853) were used as the reference strains throughout the study.

2.4. Phenotypic Detection of Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamases (ESBLs). Screening of ESBLs production was carried out according to the NCCLS guidelines [11]. Briefly, the isolates were subjected to susceptibility to third-generation cephalosporins using ceftazidime (30 μg) and cefotaxime (30 μg) disks. The isolates that showed the zone of inhibition of diameter of ≤22 mm for ceftazidime and/or ≤27 mm for cefotaxime were screened as ESBLs producers. All isolates that found positive screening for ESBLs production were subjected to confirmation of ESBLs production by combination disk method on standard Muller-Hinton agar medium using standardized inoculum of the isolate. After standardization, the broth cultures of strains with 0.5 McFarland standards were inoculated on standard Muller-Hinton agar media to form a lawn culture. Commercial antibiotic disks containing ceftazidime (30 μg) and cefotaxime (30 μg) with and without clavulanic acid were used in this method. An increase in diameter of ≥5 mm for ceftazidime with clavulanic acid or cefotaxime with clavulanic acid compared with ceftazidime or cefotaxime disk alone, respectively, was considered positive for ESBLs as described by Carter et al. [12].

2.5. Phenotypic Detection of Metallo-Beta-Lactamases (MBLs). Screening of MBLs production was carried out by using imipenem, meropenem, or third-generation cephalosporin (ceftazidime) disks. The isolates that showed reduced susceptibility to imipenem, meropenem, or ceftazidime in Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method were presumptively taken as MBLs producers and were confirmed by disk potentiation method. Briefly, inocula of test strains were prepared compared with 0.5 McFarland standards and inoculated on standard Muller-Hinton agar media to form a lawn culture. Two imipenem disks were placed on the plate at standard distance and 10 μL of ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) solutions was added to one imipenem disk to achieve a desired concentration of 750 μg. The diameters of zone of inhibition of imipenem and imipenem with EDTA were compared after incubation of 16–18 hours at 35°C. An increase of ≥7 mm in diameter of zone of inhibition for imipenem with EDTA disk compared with imipenem disk alone was identified as MBLs production as described by Yong et al. [13].

2.6. Phenotypic Detection of AmpC Beta-Lactamase (AmpC). All strains with reduced susceptibility to cefoxitin in modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method were confirmed for AmpC beta-lactamase production using the method described by Singhal et al. [8]. In this method, a cefoxitin susceptible *Escherichia coli* indicator strain (ATCC 25922) was inoculated on standard Muller-Hinton agar medium to form a lawn culture and a cefoxitin disk was placed. A blank disk of 6 mm diameter moistened with normal saline was inoculated with few colonies of test strain and placed next to the cefoxitin disk. The plate was incubated at 37°C overnight. After overnight incubation, indentation in the cefoxitin inhibition zone adjacent to the disk containing test strain was an indication of the AmpC beta-lactamase production [8].

2.7. Phenotypic Characterization of Multitype Enzymes (Beta-Lactamases) Production. The isolates showing the positive result for at least two beta-lactamases methods described above (ESBLs, MBLs, and AmpC) were characterized as multitype enzyme producing isolates.

2.8. Ethical Consideration. The bacterial strains used in this study were isolated from the routine clinical specimens and verbal consent was obtained from the patients. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Committee of Chitwan Medical College (IRC-CMC), Bharatpur, Chitwan, Nepal.
Table 1: Gender- and department-wise distribution of positive specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens type (number of isolates)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>IPD</th>
<th>OPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pus (wound infection) (32)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum (68)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine (42)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood (20)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endotracheal tube sample (8)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swab (other than wound infection) (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoalveolar lavage (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural fluid (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (178)</strong></td>
<td><strong>104 (58.4%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>74 (41.6%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>148 (83.1%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>30 (16.9%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

3.1. Patients' Characteristics. During the study period, 178 *P. aeruginosa* isolates were recovered from a variety of specimens collected at the bacteriology department of Chitwan Medical College Teaching Hospital (CMCTH). Out of 178 isolates, 104 (58.4%) were isolated from male patients, while 74 (41.6%) were recovered from female patients. Majority of the cases (83.1%) were from inpatient department (IPD), while 16.9% of cases were from outpatient department (OPD) of the hospital (Table 1).

3.2. Isolates and Specimen Types. In this study, we collected a variety of specimens such as pus (wound infections), sputum, urine, blood, endotracheal tube sample, swabs (other than wound infections), bronchoalveolar lavage, and pleural fluid. The majority of the isolates were from sputum (68) followed by urine (42) and pus (32), while a minor number of isolates were contributed by the bronchoalveolar lavage (2) and pleural fluid (2) samples (Table 1).

3.3. Antibacterial Susceptibility Profile. Among tested antibiotics, the beta-lactams were found to be less effective in *P. aeruginosa*. The highest rate of resistance (78.6%) was mediated towards piperacillin, whereas more than half of the isolates were resistant to other beta-lactam antibiotics. Nearly half of the isolates were resistant to fluoroquinolone (ciprofloxacin). Aminoglycosides (gentamicin and tobramycin) also showed resistance rate up to 75%, whereas amikacin showed promising efficacy, showing resistance rate below 30% in isolates from all specimen types. Polymyxin B was found to be the best regimen for the treatment of infections caused by *P. aeruginosa*, as polymyxin B resistance was not documented in this study (Table 2).

3.4. Enzyme (Beta-Lactamas) Producing Isolates. Enzyme mediated drug resistance was frequently observed in this study. ESBLs mediated resistance was observed in 59 (33.1%) isolates. The majority of ESBLs mediated resistant isolates were recovered from bronchoalveolar lavage sample (100%) followed by swab sample (50%) and pus sample (40.6%), while there was no ESBLs mediated resistance seen in isolates recovered from pleural fluid (Table 3).

Out of total isolates recovered, MBLs mediated resistance was observed in 55 (30.9%) isolates. The majority of MBLs positive isolates were recovered from endotracheal tube sample (75%) followed by urine sample (33.3%), while there was no MBL mediated resistance seen in isolates from bronchoalveolar lavage and pleural fluid (Table 3).

AmpC mediated antibiotic resistance was reported in 15.7% of isolates, with the highest proportions of isolates being from endotracheal tube sample (100%) followed by swab sample (50%), while there was no AmpC positive isolate from bronchoalveolar lavage and pleural fluid samples (Table 3).

In our study, no enzyme mediated drug resistance was found in isolates from pleural fluid specimens and this may be because of small sample size (Table 3).

3.5. Multitype Enzymes Production. In current study, we also identified few isolates harboring multitypes of enzymes mediating antibacterial resistance. ESBLs together with MBLs and ESBLs together with AmpC combinations mediating resistance were identified from 6 (3.4%) isolates each, while the combination of MBLs together with AmpC mediated resistance from 14 (7.9%), whereas ESBLs together with MBLs and AmpC mediated resistance from 2 (1.1%) isolates (Table 4).

4. Discussions

The development of resistance to multiple antibiotics in microbes is in increasing trend which is the leading cause of treatment failure and is a serious problem of global magnitude. The organisms like *P. aeruginosa*, methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE), glycopeptide intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* (GISA), glycopeptide resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (GRSA), *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* belong to multidrug resistance organisms and therefore need special attention as they are commonly isolated from health care associated infections [14].

The immunosuppressed and chronic lung disease patients often develop hospital-acquired pneumonia. *P. aeruginosa* is commonly isolated from the respiratory tracts of cystic fibrosis patients and is often a cause of severe decline in
Table 2: Antibacterial resistance rates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Pus (32) Number (%)</th>
<th>Sputum (68) Number (%)</th>
<th>Urine (42) Number (%)</th>
<th>Blood (20) Number (%)</th>
<th>ET-tube (8) Number (%)</th>
<th>Swab (4) Number (%)</th>
<th>BAL (2) Number (%)</th>
<th>Pleural fluid (2) Number (%)</th>
<th>Total (178) Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAZ</td>
<td>24 (75)</td>
<td>56 (82.3)</td>
<td>30 (71.4)</td>
<td>9 (45.0)</td>
<td>6 (75.0)</td>
<td>3 (75.0)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130 (73.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTR</td>
<td>12 (37.5)</td>
<td>30 (44.1)</td>
<td>26 (61.9)</td>
<td>12 (60.0)</td>
<td>6 (75.0)</td>
<td>4 (100)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92 (51.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX</td>
<td>24 (75)</td>
<td>26 (38.2)</td>
<td>34 (80.9)</td>
<td>8 (40.0)</td>
<td>6 (75.0)</td>
<td>4 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>102 (57.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFM</td>
<td>16 (50)</td>
<td>59 (86.8)</td>
<td>32 (76.2)</td>
<td>16 (80.0)</td>
<td>8 (100)</td>
<td>3 (75.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>134 (75.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPM</td>
<td>12 (37.5)</td>
<td>28 (41.2)</td>
<td>18 (42.8)</td>
<td>4 (20.0)</td>
<td>8 (100)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP</td>
<td>12 (37.5)</td>
<td>28 (41.2)</td>
<td>16 (38.1)</td>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
<td>8 (100)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>12 (37.5)</td>
<td>18 (26.5)</td>
<td>26 (61.9)</td>
<td>4 (20.0)</td>
<td>4 (50.0)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68 (38.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>24 (75)</td>
<td>55 (80.9)</td>
<td>32 (76.2)</td>
<td>13 (65.0)</td>
<td>8 (100)</td>
<td>4 (100)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>140 (78.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT</td>
<td>16 (50)</td>
<td>21 (30.9)</td>
<td>16 (38.1)</td>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
<td>5 (62.5)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>1 (50.0)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>69 (38.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>8 (25)</td>
<td>30 (44.1)</td>
<td>36 (85.7)</td>
<td>11 (55.0)</td>
<td>6 (75.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91 (51.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>12 (37.5)</td>
<td>8 (11.8)</td>
<td>14 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (40.0)</td>
<td>6 (75.0)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50 (28.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>6 (18.75)</td>
<td>6 (8.8)</td>
<td>12 (28.6)</td>
<td>4 (20.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOB</td>
<td>6 (18.75)</td>
<td>8 (11.8)</td>
<td>8 (19.0)</td>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
<td>6 (75.0)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
these patients. Chronic lung colonization and infections by this organism also occur in patients with diseases affecting the airways of the lungs such as bronchiectasis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [15]. We also recovered the majority of the isolates from lower respiratory tract specimen (sputum). The highest recovery rate from sputum in our study also correlates with the study conducted by Khan et al. (Nepal) in 2014 [16]. In the present study, among total enrolled isolates, as high as 83.1% of the isolates were recovered from the IPD patients. Similarly, high rate of \( P. \) aeruginosa was also reported from IPD patients by several authors such as 81.6% by Basak et al. (India) [4] and 61% by Algun et al. (Turkey) [17].

\( P. \) aeruginosa is one of the most important microorganisms in clinical settings which cause problems as a result of its high resistance to antimicrobial agents and therefore it is a dangerous and dreaded bug. \( P. \) aeruginosa, with the profound use of various antibiotics, has changed itself into a stubborn organism, resistant to almost all the antibiotics. Beta-lactams are the broad group of antibiotics that contain a beta-lactam ring in their molecular structures [18]. Bacteria often develop resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics by producing beta-lactamase, an enzyme that can break the beta-lactam ring. Previously, these antibiotics were mainly effective against Gram-positive bacteria, but with the recent development of broadening the spectrum, these antibiotics show activity against various Gram-negative organisms including \( P. \) aeruginosa. As a consequence of low cost and indiscriminate use, these antibiotics have decreased their usefulness in Nepal. In this study also we found that the beta-lactam antibiotics were least effective. All the beta-lactam antibiotics tested in this study showed resistance rate up to 100%, much higher than the study conducted in Nepal by Mishra et al. in 2012 [19], Sherchan et al. in 2012 [20], and Khan et al. in 2014 [16]. Similarly, the findings of our study corroborate the observations of other authors such as Rafiee et al. (Iran) [21], Basak et al. [4], and Behera et al. from India [22].

Fluoroquinolones have been used extensively for the treatment of both minor and more serious infections. As a consequence, their role today is increasingly limited due to increasing resistance which poses a great therapeutic challenge in Nepal. We identified resistance rate to ciprofloxacin as high as 85% of the isolates, higher than previous studies conducted in Nepal by Shankar et al. in 2005 [23], Bhatt and Lakhey in 2006 [24], Mishra et al. in 2012 [19], Baral et al. in 2012 [25], Sherchan et al. in 2012 [20], Chander and Raza in 2013 [26], and Khan et al. in 2014 [16]. Similar trend of resistance was also reported to aminoglycosides (gentamicin, amikacin, and tobramycin), being resistant up to 75% to gentamicin in our study, which was comparably higher than other studies from Nepal (33.3% in 2005 [23], 60% in 2006 [24], 34% in 2012 [19], and 46.9% in 2014 [16]). Amikacin was found somewhat as an effective regimen showing resistance rate up to 28%, even being a higher rate of resistance when compared to other observations such as 0% by Shankar et al. in 2005 [23], 15.78% by Sherchan et al. in 2012 [20], 22% by Mishra et al. in 2012 [19], and 17.25% by Chander and Raza in 2013 [26].

In this study, one striking feature was that no isolates showed resistance to cytoplasmic membrane damaging agents (polymyxin), confirming that polymyxin B should be used as the empiric therapy for serious pseudomonal infections. Similarly, Agrawal et al. in 2008 [27] and Khanal et al. in 2013 [28] also found polymyxin B as the most effective regimen.

In their natural habitats, most of \( P. \) aeruginosa do not produce slime layer of alginate polysaccharide (nonmucoid) and this leads to the reduction of the biofilm production rendering vulnerable to antibiotics. However, the production of biofilm leads the organisms to be resistant to many antibiotics [29]. Several mechanisms that contribute to resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics in \( P. \) aeruginosa include genetic mutation leading to stable overexpression of chromosome-mediated AmpC cephalosporinases, acquisition of transferable beta-lactamase genes, overproduction of efflux systems, and reduced permeability [30]. Ambler class ESBLs and MBLs are reported as rapidly growing enzymes in clinical isolates of \( P. \) aeruginosa which can lead to multidrug resistance [31]. More than 800 beta-lactamases have been identified in Gram-negative bacteria and at least 120 types of these were detected in \( P. \) aeruginosa, among which ESBLs, MBLs, and AmpC are clinically significant [32]. The reduced susceptibility of Gram-negative isolates to the later generation cephalosporins could be attributable to ESBL or AmpC \( \beta \)-lactamase production or some other relevant underlying mechanisms. In the current study, we observed high prevalence of the ESBLs mediated resistance in 33.1% of isolates and it was observed in 100% of isolates from bronchoalveolar lavage. Our rate of ESBLs mediated resistance was found to be much higher in comparison to one other study carried out in Nepal (2.4% by Shrestha et al. in 2011 [33]) but was similar to Khanal et al. (2013) [28].

Carbapenems are mostly used as the last resort for treatment of multidrug resistance bacterial infections. However, MBLs mediated acquired resistance to this life saving antimicrobial has been increasingly reported in many types of Gram-negative bacteria including \( P. \) aeruginosa in the last 15 years [34]. In the current study, out of total 178 \( P. \) aeruginosa isolates, we identified MBLs mediated resistance in 30.9% of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen types (total number of isolates)</th>
<th>ESBLs Number (%)</th>
<th>MBLs Number (%)</th>
<th>AmpC Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pleural fluid (2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoalveolar lavage (2)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swab (4)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endotracheal tube (8)</td>
<td>2 (25.0)</td>
<td>6 (75.0)</td>
<td>8 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine (42)</td>
<td>12 (28.6)</td>
<td>14 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood (20)</td>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
<td>2 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum (68)</td>
<td>22 (32.4)</td>
<td>22 (32.3)</td>
<td>4 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pus (32)</td>
<td>13 (40.6)</td>
<td>6 (18.7)</td>
<td>4 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (178)</td>
<td>59 (33.1%)</td>
<td>55 (30.9%)</td>
<td>28 (15.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Distribution of enzyme producing isolates.
is a need for longitudinal and nationwide surveillance for drug resistance in clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. Strict hospital infection control policies for the prudent use of antimicrobials are to be adapted to minimize the antimicrobial resistance.

### 5. Conclusions

This study reports the high prevalence of drug resistance and comparable rates of ESBLs, MBLs, and AmpC mediated resistance in *P. aeruginosa* in our setting. Therefore, there is a need for longitudinal and nationwide surveillance for drug resistance in clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*. Strict hospital infection control policies for the prudent use of antimicrobials are to be adapted to minimize the antimicrobial resistance.

### Abbreviations

- **CLSI**: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
- **ESBLs**: Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases
- **MBLs**: Metallo-beta-lactamases
- **AmpC**: AmpC beta-lactamase
- **IPD**: Inpatient department
- **OPD**: Outpatient department
- **ASM**: American Society for Microbiology
- **NCCLS**: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards
- **EDTA**: Ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid
- **MRSA**: Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
- **VRE**: Vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus*
- **GISA**: Glycopeptide intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus*
- **GRSA**: Glycopeptideresistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
- **CAZ**: Ceftazidime
- **CTR**: Ceftriaxone
- **CTX**: Cefotaxime
- **CFM**: Cefixime
- **IPM**: Imipenem
- **MRP**: Meropenem
- **CB**: Carbenicillin
PI: Piperacillin
PIT: Piperacillin/tazobactam
CIP: Ciprofloxacin
GEN: Gentamicin
AK: Amikacin
TOB: Tobramycin
PB: Polymyxin B.
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