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Uropathogenic Escherichia coli in the Urine Samples of Iranian Dogs: Antimicrobial Resistance Pattern and Distribution of Antibiotic Resistance Genes
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Resistant uropathogenic Escherichia coli is the most common cause of urinary tract infections in dogs. The present research was done to study the prevalence rate and antimicrobial resistance properties of UPEC strains isolated from healthy dogs and those which suffered from UTIs. Four-hundred and fifty urine samples were collected and cultured. E. coli-positive strains were subjected to disk diffusion and PCR methods. Two-hundred out of 450 urine samples (44.4%) were positive for E. coli. Prevalence of E. coli in healthy and infected dogs was 28% and 65%, respectively. Female had the higher prevalence of E. coli (P = 0.039). Marked seasonality was also observed (P = 0.024). UPEC strains had the highest levels of resistance against gentamicin (95%), ampicillin (85%), amikacin (70%), amoxicillin (65%), and sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (65%). We found that 21.50% of UPEC strains had simultaneously resistance against more than 10 antibiotics. Aac(3)-IV (77%), CITM (52.5%), tetA (46.5%), and sul1 (40%) were the most commonly detected antibiotic resistance genes. Findings showed considerable levels of antimicrobial resistance among UPEC strains of Iranian dogs. Rapid identification of infected dogs and their treatment based on the results of disk diffusion can control the risk of UPEC strains.

1. Introduction

Pathogenic urinary tract infections (UTIs) occur in about 14% of dogs throughout their life [1–5]. Prevalence of UTIs in dogs had a range between 5% and 30% all around the world [1–5]. UTIs can be classified as simple uncomplicated or complex complicated infections which may spread to dangerous pathogenic diseases such as pyelonephritis, cystitis, and urethritis [1–5].

Uropathogenic Escherichia coli (E. coli) (UPEC) strains are the most significant causative agent of UTIs in both humans and dogs [1–6]. It is a Gram-negative, nonsporulating, flagellated, rod-shaped, and facultative anaerobic bacterium which belongs to Enterobacteriaceae family [7–13]. Total prevalence of UTIs caused by the UPEC strains is about 30–70% [1–6].

UTIs caused by UPEC strains are often required antibiotic therapy [1–15]. Accurate prescription of beta-lactams, aminoglycosides, quinolones, sulfonamides, tetracyclines, penicillins, and cephalosporins groups of antibiotics is effective for control and treatment of UTIs in dogs [1–5, 14, 15]. Nowadays, occurrence of antibiotic resistance is common and emerging issue in small animal medicine [1–5, 14, 15]. UPEC strains isolated from the cases of UTIs in dogs show high prevalence of resistance (85–100%) against commonly used antimicrobial agents [1–5, 14, 15]. Molecular investigations presented that the presence of certain antibiotic resistance genes including the genes that encode resistance against beta-lactams (blaSHV, CITM), quinolones (qnr), tetracycline (tetA and tetB), trimethoprim (dfrA1), gentamicin (aac(3)-IV), chloramphenicol (catI and cmlA), sulfonamide (sul1),...
and streptomycin (aadA1) is the most significant reason for occurrence of antibiotic resistance in UPEC strains [1–15].

According to the uncertain role of UPEC strains in the cases of UTIs in dogs and lack of epidemiological investigations in this field in Iran, the present research was carried out to study the prevalence rate and antimicrobial resistance properties of the UPEC strains isolated from Iranian dogs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethical Considerations. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Department of Small Animal Internal Medicine of the Islamic Azad University of Shahrekord (Consent Ref Number IAU SHK 216-95).

2.2. Samples. From February 2015 to February 2016, a total of 200 urine samples were collected from nonmedicated adult dogs (aged 1 year) of both sexes with a presumptive diagnosis of UTIs. The inclusion criteria included clinical signs of UTIs, such as hematuria and dysuria, urinalysis results that included red blood cell counts >5 under a high-power field (HPF) and proteinuria, and colony-forming units (CFU) of bacteria per milliliter of urine at the first plating. Two-hundred and fifty urine samples were also taken from healthy dogs. Urine samples were obtained by catheterization after thorough cleansing of the genital area. Urine samples were obtained from the mid-stream to minimize the outer bacterial contaminations.

2.3. E. coli Identification. Urine samples were cultured using a calibrated pipette to deliver 10 μl and 100 μl of samples onto Columbia agar (Merck, Germany) supplemented with 5% sheep blood and onto MacConkey agar (Merck, Germany). The blood agar plates were incubated aerobically, and the MacConkey agar plates were incubated aerobically. All samples were incubated at 37°C for 24 h until adequate growth was present. Primary plates were carefully inspected for colonies of E. coli, which were plated onto sheep blood agar plates; these plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Suspected colonies were transferred to the Eosin Methylene Blue agar (EMB agar, Merck, Germany) plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Metallic green colonies with typical E. coli morphologies of the EMB agar plates were identified as E. coli using standard techniques, including indole, Methyl Red–Voges-Proskauer (MR-VP), Triple Sugar Iron agar (TSI), and citrate biochemical testing and analysis with an API-20E system (BioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France) [16].

2.4. DNA Extraction and E. coli Confirmation. Typical E. coli isolates were also approved using the PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene. Bacterial strains were subcultured in Luria-Bertani broth (Merck, Germany) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Genomic DNA was extracted from growth colonies using the DNA extraction kit (Fermentas, Germany) according to manufacturer’s instruction. The DNA concentration has been determined by measuring absorbance of the sample at 260 nm using spectrophotometer [17]. A PCR method was done with a total volume of 50 μl including 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 μM of forward primer (5’-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3’), 1 μM of reverse primer (5’-CCGTCATTCTACGGTGTTT-3’)(Gene Bank Association Ref Number 000913.3) (919 bp), 5 μl PCR buffer 10x, 200 μM dNTP (Fermentas, Germany), 1 u Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas, Germany), and 2.5 μl DNA template. The DNA was then amplified by 31 successive cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 45 s, primer annealing at 59°C for 60 s, and DNA chain extension at 72°C for 60 s.

2.5. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing. Antimicrobial resistance pattern of the E. coli isolates was studied using the simple disk diffusion technique. The Mueller–Hinton agar (Merck, Germany) medium was used for this purpose. Antibiotic resistance pattern of the E. coli isolates was evaluated against several types of antimicrobial agents according to the instruction of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [18]. Seven classes of antimicrobial agents including tetracyclines (oxytetracycline (30 μg/disk) and doxycline (30 μg/disk)), aminoglycosides (gentamicin (10 μg/disk) and amikacin (30 μg/disk)), quinolones (nalidixic acid (30 μg/disk), enrofloxacin (5 μg/disk), and ciprofloxacin (5 μg/disk)), penicillins (amoxicillin–clavulanic acid (30 μg/disk), amoxicillin (10 μg/disk), and ampicillin (10 μg/disk)), cephalosporins (cefazolin (30 μg/disk), cefotaxime (30 μg/disk), cefazidime (30 μg/disk), and cefotaxime (30 μg/disk)), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (25 μg/disk), and chloramphenicol (30 μg/disk) (Oxoid, UK) were used for this purpose. The plates containing the discs were allowed to stand for at least 30 min before being incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The diameter of the zone of inhibition produced by each antibiotic disc was measured and interpreted using the CLSI zone diameter interpretative standards [18]. E. coli ATCC 25922 and S. aureus ATCC 25923 were used as quality control organism in antimicrobial susceptibility determination.

2.6. Detection of Antibiotic Resistance Genes. Previous method described by Montaz et al. (2013) [6] was used for PCR amplification of the antibiotic resistance genes in the E. coli strains isolated from the urine samples of Iranian dogs. The DNA thermocycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler 5330, Eppendorf-Nethel-Hinz GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) was used in all PCR reactions. The PCR amplification products (15 μl) were subjected to electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel in 1x TBE buffer at 80 V for 30 min and stained with SYBR Green (Fermentas, Germany). All runs included a negative DNA control consisting of PCR grade water and positive DNAs of E. coli.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS/21.0 software for significant relationships. Prevalence of E. coli strains and their antibiotic resistance properties were statistically analyzed. Statistical significance was regarded at a P value < 0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the total prevalence of E. coli in the urine samples of healthy and infected dogs. We found that 200 out
Table 1: Total distribution of uropathogenic E. coli strains isolated from the urine samples of Iranian dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of samples</th>
<th>Number of samples collected</th>
<th>E. coli positive (%)</th>
<th>PCR confirmation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy dogs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>30 (21.4)</td>
<td>30 (21.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>40 (36.3)</td>
<td>40 (36.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>70 (28)</td>
<td>70 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs with UTIs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>70 (58.3)</td>
<td>70 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60 (75)</td>
<td>60 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>130 (65)</td>
<td>130 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>200 (44.4)</td>
<td>200 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results showed that the urine samples which were collected in the summer season had the highest prevalence of E. coli (P = 0.014). Total prevalence of E. coli strains in the urine samples of healthy and infected dogs was 28% and 65%, respectively. Female dogs had the higher prevalence of E. coli. Statistically significant differences were seen between the prevalence of E. coli and sex of dogs (P = 0.039) and also between the prevalence of E. coli and status of UTIs in dogs (P = 0.018).

Results of the present investigation revealed the high prevalence of resistant strains of uropathogenic E. coli in the urine samples of Iranian dogs which suffered from UTIs. We found that 65% of samples taken from infected dogs were positive for E. coli which was considerably high. We found that healthy dogs had also a high prevalence of E. coli. One possible explanation for the isolation of E. coli from the urine samples of healthy dogs is the fact that they were sources of E. coli but did not show any typical clinical signs of the UTIs. Therefore, they are more dangerous than dogs which suffered from UTIs. It is because they can easily transmit the UPEC strains into the environment. This finding shows that apparently healthy dogs may be a silent source of bacteria. This finding shows that the UPEC strains can colonize into
Table 2: Antimicrobial resistance pattern of the uropathogenic *E. coli* strains isolated from Iranian dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of samples (number positive)</th>
<th>Tetracyclines</th>
<th>Aminoglycosides</th>
<th>Quinolones</th>
<th>Penicillins</th>
<th>Cephalosporins</th>
<th>Sult</th>
<th>C30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ox</td>
<td>Deox</td>
<td>Gen</td>
<td>Amk</td>
<td>Nal</td>
<td>En</td>
<td>Cip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy dogs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (30)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (40)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (70)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog with UTIs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (70)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (60)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (130)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (200)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OX: oxytetracycline (30 μg/disk), Deox: doxycycline (30 μg/disk), Gen: gentamicin (10 μg/disk), Amk: amikacin (30 μg/disk), Nal: nalidixic acid (30 μg/disk), En: enrofloxacin (5 μg/disk), Cip: ciprofloxacin (5 μg/disk), Amc: amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (30 μg/disk), Amp: ampicillin (10 μg/disk), Cf: cefazolin (30 μg/disk), Cft: ceftiofur (30 μg/disk), Cftz: ceftazidime (30 μg/disk), Cfx: cefotaxime (30 μg/disk), Sult: sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (25 μg/disk), and C30: chloramphenicol (30 μg/disk).
Table 3: Distribution of antibiotic resistance genes among the uropathogenic *E. coli* strains isolated from Iranian dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of samples (number positive)</th>
<th>tetA (number positive)</th>
<th>tetB (number positive)</th>
<th>aac(3)-IV (number positive)</th>
<th>qnr (number positive)</th>
<th>Antibiotic resistance genes (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CITM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy dogs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (30)</td>
<td>17 (56.6)</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>28 (93.3)</td>
<td>25 (83.3)</td>
<td>23 (76.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (40)</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>20 (50)</td>
<td>15 (37.5)</td>
<td>14 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (70)</td>
<td>25 (35.7)</td>
<td>16 (22.8)</td>
<td>48 (68.5)</td>
<td>40 (57.1)</td>
<td>37 (52.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs with UTIs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (70)</td>
<td>40 (57.1)</td>
<td>22 (31.4)</td>
<td>61 (87.1)</td>
<td>30 (42.8)</td>
<td>38 (54.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (60)</td>
<td>28 (46.6)</td>
<td>16 (26.6)</td>
<td>45 (75)</td>
<td>24 (40)</td>
<td>30 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (130)</td>
<td>68 (52.3)</td>
<td>38 (29.2)</td>
<td>106 (81.5)</td>
<td>54 (41.5)</td>
<td>68 (52.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (200)</td>
<td>93 (46.5)</td>
<td>54 (27)</td>
<td>154 (77)</td>
<td>94 (47)</td>
<td>105 (52.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic resistance genes (%)</th>
<th>CITM</th>
<th>blaSHV</th>
<th>aadA1</th>
<th>sulI</th>
<th>dfrA1</th>
<th>catI</th>
<th>cmlA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CITM</td>
<td>23 (76.6)</td>
<td>20 (66.6)</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>17 (56.6)</td>
<td>16 (53.3)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blaSHV</td>
<td>20 (66.6)</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td>12 (30)</td>
<td>13 (32.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aadA1</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td>12 (30)</td>
<td>13 (32.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulI</td>
<td>17 (56.6)</td>
<td>12 (30)</td>
<td>13 (32.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dfrA1</td>
<td>16 (53.3)</td>
<td>13 (32.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catI</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmlA</td>
<td>1 (3.3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the urinary tract of dogs without any clinical sign. This is important public health problem regarding the close contact of asymptomatic dogs with human.

We also found that the female dogs had higher prevalence of UPEC strains than males in both groups. It is because female dogs have relatively short and wide urethra which causes rapid spread of infection in the upper urinary organs. Host factors such as changes in the normal vaginal and urinary flora may also put female dogs at higher risk of UTIs. Higher prevalence of UPEC strains in the urine samples of female dogs has also been reported by other researchers [3, 19–22]. Marked seasonal distribution was also seen for the prevalence of UPEC strains. UPEC strains of our investigation had the highest prevalence in the summer season. This finding is maybe due to the warmer weather of the summer which causes dehydration and reduces the volume of urination. This event can increase the chance of bacterial colonization and decrease its shedding due to the decrease in the power of flashing of urine. Besides, warmer weather makes conditions more conducive for growth of the UPEC strains.

We also found that the majority of uropathogenic E. coli strains were resistant to various types of antimicrobial agents. Totally, seven classes of widely used antimicrobial agents were tested. We found that UPEC strains of infected and healthy dogs harbored the highest levels of resistance against aminoglycosides, penicillins, and sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim antibiotics. High prevalence of resistance of UPEC strains against these groups of antibiotics is partly due to the fact that all of these antibiotic groups are first-line antimicrobial agents for treatment of UTIs in dogs in Iran. First-line antimicrobial agents are antibiotics that may be chosen empirically or based on culture and susceptibility results targeting a specific bacterium (UPEC strains in this report) with minimal impact on other bacteria. The most commonly effective antibiotics empirically used to treat first-time UTIs in dogs are potentiated cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, sulfonamides, and chloramphenicol. They have high efficacy against Gram-positive and/or negative bacteria; however, more recently, several resistant strains have emerged, including strains resistant to penicillins (ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, and amoxicillin), tetracyclines (oxytetracycline and doxycycline), chloramphenicol (chloramphenicol and florfenicol), and sulfurmethoxazole-trimethoprim [2, 3, 14, 19–24]. Excessive use of antimicrobial agents, including prophylactic use to prevent surgical site infections or infections associated with other urogenital diseases, may have caused the emergence of resistant strains. We found that male dogs had the higher prevalence of resistance against all tested antibiotics. This finding is maybe due to the higher levels of immunity in male dogs and also their narrow and long urethra. In addition, male dogs are in close contact with stray dogs and also polluted environments. One of the most important findings of this research is that UPEC strains isolated from asymptomatic dogs had considerable levels of resistance against several types of antibiotics (10–89%). However, the main reason for this finding is not clear but it is maybe due to the contacts of infected dogs with healthy one and transmission of resistant bacteria from infected to healthy dogs. High prevalence of resistant UPEC strains in asymptomatic dogs has a serious public health importance.

Results of the multiplex PCR method confirmed the results of disk diffusion technique. We found that majority of resistant strains of E. coli had the high prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes and especially aac(3)-IV, CITM, and tetA. It is also showed that majority of UPEC strains were resistant against more than one antibiotic agent. Unauthorized and irregular prescription of antibiotics in the field of small animals and especially dogs in Iran is the main reason for the high prevalence of antibiotic resistance.

The main mechanisms of tetracycline resistance are known to have efflux pump activity, ribosomal protection, and enzymatic inactivation. Various tet genes confirm resistance via these mechanisms. TetA (46.5%) and tetB (27%) genes of the UPEC strains had considerable prevalence in our study. Detection of tetA and tetB genes in tetracycline-resistant uropathogenic E. coli strains isolated from dogs showed the principal mechanism to be active efflux. Similar results were reported by Chang et al. (2015) [3]. They showed that of the 69 tetracycline-resistant E. coli isolates, the prevalence of tetA and tetB genes was 25.50% and 50.9%, respectively, which was different from our results. The main reason for the high prevalence of resistance against tetracycline in our study is the fact that this antibiotic is so cheap in Iran and veterinarians use it as a primary antibiotic for treatment of infections in dogs.

Chloramphenicol is broad-spectrum antibiotic that has rarely been used in companion animals. It was introduced as a banned antibiotic in Iran. UPEC strains of our study had a considerable resistance against chloramphenicol (15%) which showed its banned prescription. Active efflux pump (cmIA) and chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (catI) played important roles in intrinsic and acquired chloramphenicol resistances. Overexpression of efflux pumps and acetyltransferase enzyme affecting chloramphenicol has become increasingly common in E. coli. We found that the prevalence of catI and cmIA genes among the UPEC strains was 6% and 2.5%, respectively.

Several mechanisms were involved in the resistance to quinolones in E. coli including chromosomal genes encoding DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV and a plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) including qnr-mediated protection of DNA from quinolone binding [24, 25]. We found that the prevalence of resistance against nalidixic acid, enrofloxacin, and ciprofloxacin was 20%, 40%, and 50%, respectively. It was also reported that all of the quinolones resistant isolates harbored the qnr gene. These strains were also resistant against some other types of antimicrobial agents. Therefore, additional characterization of the mechanisms of resistance to quinolones and to the other antibiotics is required.

We found that the UPEC strains had a higher level of resistance against aminopenicillins (ampicillin (85%) and amoxicillin (65%)) and a lower level of resistance against amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (25%). This result was consistent with beta-lactam antibiotics, mostly ampicillin and amoxicillin, being the most frequently used class of antimicrobial agents in dogs. The results of the study indicated that
CITM (52.5%) and β-lactamase antibiotic resistance genes. The CITM and SHV enzymes were the predominant plasmid-mediated β-lactamases found in Gram-negative Enterobacteria [26]. Thus, production of these enzymes in the UPEC strains isolated from dogs has an important concern. It can be concluded that the clinical usage of β-lactams in pet therapy executes a strong selective pressure in the emergence of resistant bacterial isolates.

UPEC strains of our investigation had a high prevalence of resistance against several classes of antibiotics. Presence of simultaneous resistance against several classes of antibiotics and especially sulfonamides is another important finding of our study. Sulfonamides have been used alone or in combination with trimethoprim for the treatment of UTIs in humans. However, sulfonamides have been infrequently used in dogs, because of susceptibility to adverse effects [27]. According to our study, 60% of the UPEC strains were resistant to sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim. Resistance to sulfonamides is usually caused by the acquisition of the genes sul1, sul2, and sul3. Sul1 is dihydropteroate synthase which has almost exclusively been found on large conjugative plasmids and on class 1 integrons [27]. We found that the presence of the sul1 gene was accompanied with high prevalence of dfrA1 (dihydrofolate reductase) gene. The prevalence of sul1 and dfrA1 genes in the UPEC strains of our study was 40% and 35%, respectively. DfrA1 is also associated with class 1 integrons residing in plasmids and/or the bacterial chromosome similar to sul1. Therefore, expression of one of them can facilitate expression of another one and both can facilitate resistance against sulfonamides.

Chang et al. (2015) [3] reported that the UPEC strains recovered from dogs with UTIs in Taiwan had considerable levels of resistance against gentamicin (10.50%), ampicillin (50%), amoxicillin (44.70%), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (2.6%), chloramphenicol (31.60%), ciprofloxacin (5.30%), enrofloxacin (5.30%), nalidixic acid (38.60%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (34.20%), doxycycline (28.90%), and oxytetracycline (60.50%) antibiotics. Hagman and Greko (2003) [1] showed that the prevalence of resistance against ampicillin, chloramphenicol, enrofloxacin, gentamicin, nitrofurantoin, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, trimethoprim, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole was 22.91%, 7.29%, 11.45%, 3.12%, 2.08%, 21.87%, 0%, 23.95%, 0%, and 10.41%, respectively. Idea of veterinarians to antibiotic prescription and also availability of antibiotics and their costs are the main factors which cause difference in the prevalence of antibiotic resistance in various studies.

Selection of antibiotics is depending on several factors. High urine concentrations of antimicrobials are correlated with efficacy in treatment of uncomplicated UTIs. But in complicated cases, tissue concentrations may be equally important. Most antimicrobials undergo renal elimination to a great extent, so urine concentrations may be up to 100 times peak plasma concentrations. High urine antimicrobial concentrations are important for eradication of bacteria in the urine, but for infection of the bladder wall or renal tissue it is necessary to use antimicrobials that have active concentrations in the tissues. In addition to having the appropriate antimicrobial activity and achieving effective concentrations in urine, the selected antimicrobial should be easy for owners to administer, have few adverse effects, and be relatively inexpensive [23].

Amoxicillin is more bioavailable in dogs and cats than ampicillin; hence the lower dosage achieves therapeutic concentrations in prostatic fluid [23, 28]. Clavulanic acid undergoes some hepatic metabolism and excretion, so much of the antimicrobial activity in the bladder may be due to the high concentrations of amoxicillin achieved in urine [23, 28]. Thus, despite an unfavorable susceptibility report for amoxicillin, clinically amoxicillin alone may be as effective as amoxicillin-clavulanic acid to treat UTIs [23, 28]. Ceftriyo is an injectable cephalosporin approved for respiratory disease in horses, swine, and cattle and for treatment of canine UTI caused by E. coli[23, 28]. Chloramphenicol has a high volume of distribution, and high tissue concentrations can be achieved, including in the prostate of male dogs and cats [23, 28]. Enrofloxacin is a member of the fluoroquinolones family approved to treat UTIs in dogs. It is concentration-dependent killers with a long postadministration effect, so once daily, high-dose therapy for a relatively short duration of treatment is effective. Fluoroquinolones should be avoided for chronic, low-dose therapy, because this encourages emergence of resistant bacteria that are cross-resistant to other antimicrobial drugs as well [23, 28]. Gentamicin and the other aminoglycosides have a low volume of distribution. The aminoglycosides have a similar spectrum of activity to that of the fluoroquinolones, but their use for UTI is limited because of the necessity of parenteral injections and the risk of toxicity with anything but short-term use. Like the fluoroquinolones, the aminoglycosides are concentration-dependent, bactericidal killers with a long postadministration effect, so once daily therapy of short duration is effective and minimizes the risk of nephrotoxicity [23, 28]. Tetracyclines are bacteriostatic, amphoteric drugs with a high volume of distribution. The tetracyclines are shed through urine without any changes, so high urinary concentrations may result in therapeutic efficacy [23, 28]. Trimethoprim is a bacteriostatic, basic drug with a high volume of distribution and a short elimination half-life, whereas the sulfonamides are bacteriostatic, acidic drugs with a medium volume of distribution and long half-lives. Microbiology services use the 1:20 ratio in susceptibility testing; however, the widely varying pharmacokinetic properties of this drug combination make it difficult to determine a therapeutic regimen that achieves the 1:20 ratio at the infection site [23, 28].

Currently, the duration of therapy for UTI is controversial. Although animals are routinely treated with antimicrobial drugs for 10–14 days, shorter duration antimicrobial regimens are routinely prescribed in human patients, including single-dose fluoroquinolone therapy. A clinical comparison of 3 days of therapy with a once daily high dose of enrofloxacin with 2 weeks of twice daily amoxicillin-clavulanic acid showed equivalence in the treatment of simple UTI in dogs. Animals with complicated UTI may require longer courses of therapy, and underlying pathology must be addressed. Chronic complicated cases of UTI, pyelonephritis, and prostatitis may require antimicrobial treatment for 4–6...
weeks, with the risk of selecting for antimicrobial resistance. A follow-up urine culture should be performed after 4–7 days of therapy to determine efficacy. If the same or a different pathogen is seen, then an alternative therapy should be chosen and the culture repeated again after 4–7 days. Urine should also be cultured 7–10 days after completing antimicrobial therapy to determine whether the UTI has resolved or recurred [23, 28, 29].

In keeping with the high prevalence of UPEC strains in the UTIs, considerable prevalence of this pathogen and also many other types of pathogenic bacteria in food samples is an important risk factor for sickness, decrease of the immunity level, and occurrence of UTIs [30–49].

### 4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we identified a large number of the UPEC strains with high levels of resistance against several groups of antibiotics with respect to the high prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes. Monitoring antibiotic prescription and resistance patterns in a small animal internal medicine may serve as an early indicator of changes in the antibiotic susceptibility of clinical isolates. Using form culture-based identification, disk diffusion, and PCR-based amplification of antibiotic resistance genes provided valuable data to veterinarians for the management of persistent or recurrent UTI in dogs. Prescription of cefazolin and nalidixic acid antibiotics can be more effective for treatment of UTIs in Iranian dogs. Rapid identification of infected dogs, attentions to the results of disk diffusion method, and principles of antibiotic prescription can reduce the risk of UPEC strains in Iranian dogs.
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