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**Abstract**

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) therapy has been applied to a wide range of diseases with excessive immune response, including inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), owing to its powerful immunosuppression and its ability to repair tissue lesions. Different sources of MSCs show different therapeutic properties. Engineering managements are able to enhance the immunomodulation function and the survival of MSCs involved in IBD. The therapeutic mechanism of MSCs in IBD mainly focuses on cell-to-cell contact and paracrine actions. One of the promising therapeutic options for IBD can focus on exosomes of MSCs. MSCs hold promise for the treatment of IBD-associated colorectal cancer because of their tumor-homing function and chronic inflammation inhibition. Encouraging results have been obtained from clinical trials in IBD and potential challenges caused by MSCs therapy are getting solved. This review can assist investigators better to understand the research progress for enhancing the efficacy of MSCs therapy involved in IBD and CAC.

**1. Introduction**

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a type of intestinal mucosal inflammation in the colon and small intestine. IBD typically includes Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). The pathogenesis of IBD is complex; however, scholars have indicated that hereditary and environmental factors elicit intestinal immune system disorders and mucosal damage. Furthermore, the protracted course of colitis can easily trigger chronic enteritis and eventually induce colon cancer such as colitis-associated cancer (CAC) stimulated by external oncogenic factors [1, 2]. Patients with chronic colitis exhibited a 2- to 8-fold risk of carcinogenesis compared with others without [3].

Traditional therapy for IBD mainly consists of surgery [4] and medicine therapies [5, 6]; the former is invasive and of high risk and the latter cannot treat the underlying danger. The clinical remission rates of these therapeutic methods for IBD are 20%–30%, but remission could reach approximately 50% by using combinations of therapies [7]. Effective treatment options were seldom achieved in colitis-associated CRC (CAC). The majority of patients underwent cancer lesion removal through surgical resection, and this treatment was typically supplemented by chemotherapy and radiotherapy [8].

MSCs therapy is a novel strategy for IBD [9] and CAC [10] owing to easily detachable characteristics, low immunogenicity, and the favorable environment for tissue regeneration compared with traditional therapy [11]; MSCs were utilised in the treatment of IBD and CAC [12] with the relevant investigation techniques developed and challenges surmounted. MSCs do not generally exert strong...
immunogenicity in immune-dominated diseases because of the difficulty caused by HLA and obtain strong immunosuppression in IBD and CAC [13]. In light of a wide variety of studies, this review aims to investigate the recent research advances of MSCs therapy for IBD and IBD-associated CRC.

2. Pathogenic Mechanism Involved in IBD

Aetiological agent of IBD is complex and unknown, either UC or CD; the most fundamental pathogenesis pattern involved in IBD is the excessive activation of innate and adaptive immune responses, the former being the first line of defense against pathogenic factors and the latter being considered as the main driver of disease occurrence [14]. CD4+ T cells activated by pathogenic factor can differentiate into CD4+ T-helper (Th) cells which mainly refer to CD4+ Th1 cells and CD4+ Th17 cells and promote the production of proinflammatory M1 macrophages or other immune cells. Both of CD4+ Th1 cells and CD4+ Th17 cells can release a variety of inflammatory cytokines to trigger intestinal epithelial inflammatory cells infiltrate and acute or chronic enteritis. However, intestinal epithelial inflammation would be suppressed via the differentiation of CD4+FoxP3+T regulatory cells (Tregs) and the supplementary of CD4+ Th2 cells. IL-10 and TGF-β secreted from Tregs create a kind of immunosuppressive microenvironment to facilitate the repair of gastrointestinal tract dysfunction and the colon mucosal lesion [15] (Figure 1).

3. Different Sources of Mesenchymal Stem Cells Involved in IBD

BMMSCs therapy was the most widely employed allogeneic-based stem cells therapy in laboratory investigations or clinical science studies [16]. BMMSCs infusion facilitated intestinal mucosal permeability reconstruction and oxidative stress relief and exerted neuroprotective function in 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid colitis, which depended on the number of doses [17, 18]. BMMSCs also can exert long-term protective effects on dextran sulfate sodium salt (DSS)-induced chronic colitis [19]. Nevertheless, the acquisition of BMMSCs is invasive and painful; therefore these inadequacies tremendously restrict the application of BMMSCs in clinical regenerative medicine [20].

AD-MSCs offered similar immunosuppressive function but more convenient methods of obtaining materials than BMMSCs [21]. A study reported that the quantity of MSCs cultured from each gram of the adipose tissue is higher than that derived from a gram of the myeloid tissue [22]. AD-MSCs mainly originated from the epiploon adipose tissue in rats [23], the epididymis and inguinal fat originated from mice [24], and the processed lipoaspirate was drawn from human bodies [25]. AD-MSCs can migrate to lymph nodes to contribute to immunomodulatory responses and exert more therapeutic effects on CD that are similar to those
of BM-MSCs [25, 26]. Both types of AD-MSCs and BM-MSCs could reach the intestinal muscularis to result in colitis remission; compared with AD-MSCs, BM-MSCs offered more advantages in enteric neuropathy and plexitis [27]. Hence, although AD-MSCs are effective, BM-MSCs should be used for some diseases during the exceptional circumstances.

UCMSCs are also a promising therapeutic materials for experimental and clinical IBD owing to their noninvasive and painless sampling feature [28, 29]. UCMSCs contain umbilical cord blood (UCB) and the interstitium surrounding the umbilical cord vein [30]. Similar to AD-MSCs, UCMSCs also exerted stem cell characteristics in relation to BM-MSCs [31]. Investigators performed a sequence of experiments to compare the proliferative and differentiation capacities between BM-MSCs and UCMSCs; the results showed that UCMSCs were preferable to BM-MSCs from comprehensive respect [32]. Mao et al. reported that HUCMSCs could alleviate IBD which is mainly through the downregulation of the 15-lox-1 expressed in the macrophage and through the reduction of STAT3 phosphorylation [33].

Other sources of MSCs have been applied in IBD and CAC which mainly include tissues from genitals and the oral cavity. Stem cells from genitals include endometrial regenerative cells (ERCs) [34], amniotic fluid stem cells (AFSCs) [35], placenta-derived MSCs (PMSCs) [36], and amnion-derived MSCs (AMRs) [37]. AFSCs grow from the second-trimester amniotic fluid of humans and could unite into the intestinal mucosa to reduce intestinal epithelial dysfunction [35, 38]. From 1988 to 2018, studies have gradually reported that ERCs derived from menstrual blood were able to regulate series of immunological responses between CD3+CD25+ T lymphocytes and CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ Treg cells in IBD [34]. MSCs from the oral cavity environment contain gingiva-derived MSCs (GMSCs) [39] and tonsil-derived MSCs (TMSCs) [40]. GMSCs were mainly developed from the cranial neural crest and promoted immune tolerance and autophagy in IBD [39] and TMSCs and could exert therapeutic effects on IBD [40]. Different MSCs can secrete different levels of soluble factors, thus exerting diverse suppressive actions on antigen presenting cells (APCs), and unequal therapeutic sensitivities to different diseases because of their heterogeneity. Therefore, which type of MSCs should be chosen depending on the circumstances [41, 42].

4. Engineered Mesenchymal Stem Cells Involved in IBD

In Phase II and Phase III clinical trials of MSCs for diseases, including IBD and CAC, various problems have arisen and urged researchers to develop sound strategies [43]. The proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory features of MSCs might produce completely opposite manifestations under inflammatory environments [44]. The most cumbersome challenge of MSCs in clinical IBD and CAC therapy was their low efficiency which means low survival rate and immunosuppressive capacity in the process of homing to the intestinal mucosa [45]. For this reason, multiple valid MSCs engineering managements have been applied for IBD and CAC to solve these difficulties; these pretreatments mainly can be divided into two types: those that enhance the immunomodulation function and those that improve survival both in vitro and in vivo.

4.1. To Enhance Immunomodulation Function of MSCs Involved in IBD. The immunomodulation function of MSCs in IBD would be boosted by using several methods, including the genetic modification of MSCs, realized through over-expression induced by the transfection of a plasmid, adenovirus, and lentivirus; through downregulation by siRNA [46]; and through external chemical reagents. MSCs combined with microRNA (cell function modulators), a kind of 24-bp noncoding RNAs, have been applied in safe treatment strategies [47]. Some BM-MSCs derived from miR-21−/− mice expressed additional transforming growth factor (TGF)-β to promote immunosuppression [48]. IFN-γ transfection and IGFBP7 knockdown both could transform CD4+ and CD8+ T cells proliferation and boosted MSCs proliferation [49]. Moreover, increasing the expression of CXCR4 could accelerate the homing of BM-MSCs to intestinal injury lesions [50]. Although gene modification offered various advantages, it entails some carcinogenic hazards [45].

External chemical reagents also can boost the efficiency of MSCs migration to the bowel mucosa to improve immunoregulation [51, 52]. MSCs pretreatment with TLR3 could secrete extra immunosuppressive cytokines and inhibit active T cells proliferation; TLR4 aggravated the process of enteritis [53]. BM-MSCs, treated with acetylsalicylic acid (ASA), released additional monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) to recruit T cells to cause apoptosis through the 15d-PGJ2/PPARg/TGF-β1 pathway [45]. However, strategies for enhancing immune function in MSCs did not show any beneficial effects in the preclinical trials of IBD treatment, because the immature technology caused a series of serious immunoreactions in practice [54].

4.2. To Improve Survival Both In Vitro and In Vivo of MSCs Involved in IBD. Improvement of the survival and multipotency of MSCs could be realized with the melatonin, along with three other ingredients, namely, rapamycin, resveratrol, and quercetin, to fight senility and to form additional colonies in vitro [55]; MSCs also could be conserved and moved more easily in spheroidal shape to play a highly effectual role in the treatment of experimental enteritis in vivo [56, 57]. Surprisingly, a team in China used heparin to reduce coagulation factor during the transport of MSCs in the blood vessel to obtain more security in inflammatory colitis, which solve the high risks of pulmonary embolism, cardiac failure, and mortality [58]. In order to better understand the current research progress of MSCs with engineering arrangements in IBD, the therapeutic effects of MSCs from different sources with pretreatment are listed in Table 1.

5. Therapeutic Theories of MSCs Involved in IBD

The therapeutic theories of different sources of MSCs were similar but also put different emphasis in IBD, compared
Table 1: The therapeutic effect of different source of MSCs in experimental IBD and CAC with different engineering treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Engineering treatments</th>
<th>Infusion method</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-ADMSCs</td>
<td>macrophages</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>↓ mortality and weight loss, inflammatory cytokines</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-GMSCs</td>
<td>Acetylsalicylic Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓ disease phenotypes</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↓ DAI and colonic inflammation</td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-UCB-MSCs</td>
<td>DNA methyltransferase</td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ therapeutic effect of MSCs</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-BMMSCs</td>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↓ DAI, MPO activity, serum TNF-a and NF-κB p65</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-ADMSCs</td>
<td>HCB platelet lysate</td>
<td>enema</td>
<td>↓ colitis scores, inflamed area and inflammatory mediators</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Heparin</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↓ mortality, weight loss, inflammation reaction and tissue injury</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-UCMSCs</td>
<td>IFN-γ overexpression</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↓ the ease of body weight, ↑ colon length, DAI, and ↑ small intestine tissues structure</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Inhibition of Gal-3</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>↑ therapeutic potential</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Insulin-like Growth Factor Binding Protein 7</td>
<td>IV and IP</td>
<td>↓ clinical and histopathological severity</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-BMMSCs</td>
<td>knocking down let-7a</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↑ the effect of radiotherapy in CRC</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-BMMSCs</td>
<td>low doses of ultraviolet radiation and X-rays</td>
<td></td>
<td>↑ the colon fibrosis histologically</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-BMMSCs</td>
<td>MicroRNA Let-7a Knockdown</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↓ mortality, ↓ weight loss, ↓ inflammation reaction, ↓ tissue lesion</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-ADMSCs</td>
<td>miR-1236 knock down</td>
<td>enema</td>
<td>↓ inflammation markers</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microvesicles M-BMSCs</td>
<td>miR-200b overexpression</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↑ the colon fibrosis histologically</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-BMMSCs</td>
<td>miR-21 Knockdown</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↑ colonic inflammation in a TGF-b1-dependent manner</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Over-expression CXCR4</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↓ both clinical and microanatomical severity of colitis</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-UCMSCs</td>
<td>Poly (I:C)</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>↑ clinical and pathological manifestations</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Poly (I:C)</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>↓ the pathologic severity</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-UCMSCs</td>
<td>poly(I:C) and LPS</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>↓ clinical signs of disease, colon shortening and histological disease</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/H-BMMSCs</td>
<td>melatonin</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↑ therapeutic effect</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-BMMSCs</td>
<td>spheroid formation</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>↓ body weight loss and ↓ DAI</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-BMSCs</td>
<td>Spheroidal formation</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>simplify long-distance transportation and ↑ therapeutic application</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMSCs</td>
<td>transfected with sodium iodide symporter</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↓ tumor growth and ↑ overall survival</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Tregs</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↓ clinical and histopathologic severity</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Tregs cell</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>↑ body weight and ↑ colon morphology</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, mouse; H, human; R, rat; IP, intraperitoneal injection; IV, intravenous injection; NEC, necrotising enterocolitis.

in Table 2, and were mainly aiming to suppress overactive immune responses by anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects. Briefly, it can be summarized into the combination of cell-to-cell contact and paracrine actions aiming at each pathogenic link point subtly and validly as deduced in Figure 2 [71, 72].

5.1. Cell-to-Cell Contact. Different MSCs administration all can be effective to migrate to the designated area of intestinal injury when IBD happened [73]. The homing functions were owing to the fact that MSCs expressed many chemotaxis receptors such as CCR1, CCR2, CCR4, CCR5, CCR9, CXCR1, and CXCR5; the CCR2 and CCR4 were major migration helpers of MSCs in colitis, and CXCR4 combined with SDF-1 can promote MSCs migration [74]. Those receptors in MSCs mainly bind to their specific ligands which mainly include CCL5, CCL19, CCL22, CCL25, CXCL8, and CXCL13 that are released by some kinds of histiocyte in enteritis environment [75]. Some adhesion molecules expressed in the membrane surface of MSCs also took part in IBD remission containing CD29, CD44, CD49e, CD54, CD105, CD106, and CD166 [74].
Table 2: The therapeutic theory of different sources of MSCs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BMMSCs</th>
<th>ADMSCs</th>
<th>UCBMSCs</th>
<th>UCMSCs</th>
<th>TDMSCs</th>
<th>AMSCs</th>
<th>AFSC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↑ Treg cells</td>
<td>↑ Treg cells</td>
<td>↑ Treg cells</td>
<td>↑ Treg cells</td>
<td>↑ Treg cells</td>
<td>↓ CD3+ T cells</td>
<td>↓ Macrophage</td>
<td>↑ Treg cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ Th2 cells</td>
<td>↑ Th2 cells</td>
<td>↑ Th2 cells</td>
<td>↑ Th2 cells</td>
<td>↑ Th2 cells</td>
<td>(↑ apoptosis) (M1→M2)</td>
<td>↑ Th2 cells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓ Th1 cells</td>
<td>↓ Th1 cells</td>
<td>↓ Th1 cells</td>
<td>↓ Th1 cells</td>
<td>↓ Th1 cells</td>
<td>↓ proliferation</td>
<td>↓ Neutrophils</td>
<td>↓ Th1 cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓ Th17 cells</td>
<td>↓ Th17 cells</td>
<td>↓ Th17 cells</td>
<td>↓ Th17 cells</td>
<td>↓ Th17 cells</td>
<td>↑ Th2 cells</td>
<td>↓ Neutrophils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓ Macrophage (M1→M2)</td>
<td>↓ Macrophage (M1→M2)</td>
<td>regulate tight junction proteins</td>
<td>↓ monocyte</td>
<td>↓ CD3+ T cells</td>
<td>(↑ apoptosis)</td>
<td>↓ proliferation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓ Neutrophils</td>
<td>↓ Neutrophils</td>
<td>↓ cell senescence</td>
<td>↓ CD3+ T cells activation</td>
<td>↓ CD3+ T cells</td>
<td>↓ proliferation</td>
<td>↓ Neutrophils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ MDSCs</td>
<td>↑ MDSCs</td>
<td>↑ oxidative stress</td>
<td>↓ CD3+ T cells</td>
<td>↓ CD3+ T cells</td>
<td>↓ proliferation</td>
<td>↓ Neutrophils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ CD3+ T cells</td>
<td>↑ CD3+ T cells</td>
<td>↑ wound repair</td>
<td>↓ CD5+ B cells</td>
<td>↓ CD5+ B cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓ cell senescence</td>
<td>↓ cell senescence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓ mucosal permeability</td>
<td>↓ mucosal permeability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑ neuroprotective</td>
<td>↑ neuroprotective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDSCs: myeloid derived suppressor cells.
MSCs were able to differentiate and integrate into intestinal epithelial cells and then restore vascular endothelial cells and matrix cells, but the proficiency of tissue regeneration capacity could be destroyed due to the serious enteritis [76, 77]. However, the strong immunosuppressive effect on IBD was largely owing to paracrine function because of the poor transitory persistence and survival ability of MSCs [78].

5.2. Paracrine Actions. The paracrine functions of MSCs are much complex and controversial in IBD but can be roughly into a simple logic. Pathogenic factors and inflammatory mediators integrate with different types of toll-like receptor or other manners to initiate the process of excreting anti-inflammatory cytokines of MSCs such as indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) [68], transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), IL-4, IL-10, IL-13, GATA3, and prostaglandins (PGEs) [79], among others. Those immunosuppressive agents can inhibit granulocyte infiltration such as T cells, B cells, NK cells, and antigen presenting cells (APCs), even dendritic cells (DCs), to exert immunosuppressive function through a variety of channels.

MSCs provided vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGF) and TGF-β to promote angiogenesis and tissues repair in colon region [80] and restrained the proliferation of B lymphocyte via promoting the expression of CD40 in colitis [81]. MSCs retarded the production of IL-12 to control the function of NK cells and the secretion of TGF-β to inhibit the maturity, activation, and antigen presentation of dendritic cells [72]. Thus, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 2 (NOD2) signal channel would be activated by MSCs to boost the cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and PGE2 expression and reduce the multiplication of monocyte to ease enteritis [31]. MSCs also could restrain macrophages activation and secrete tumor-specific glycoproteins (TSGs) to transform the phenotype of macrophages from M1, identified as proinflammatory properties, to M2, which is recognized as anti-inflammatory maker directly by secretion of soluble cytokines such as TGF-β in UC and CD [82]. Meanwhile, MSCs were able to excrete monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) to repress the activation and promote the apoptosis of CD4+ T cells via the FAS/FASL impact, thus inhibiting T lymphocyte proliferation in IBD [83, 84]. Some scholars

Figure 2: MSCs in IBD and CAC mainly aim to suppress overactive immune responses by cell-to-cell contact and paracrine action. A: MSCs can integrate into intestinal mucosa by the differentiation of intestinal epithelial cells, then format vascular endothelial cells and matrix cells to restore intestinal wall parclose. B: The immunosuppressive effect of MSCs on IBD is mainly owing to paracrine function which secreted different cytokines to inhibit inflammation. MSCs also can secrete exosomes to the intestinal mucosa to inhibit inflammation.
summarized that MSCs could lessen the infiltration of Mac-1 positive cells in the colon tract and DC cells in the spleen and generate TGF-β, IL-10, and Foxp3+ factor to promote CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells activation and secrete IL-4, IL-10, and IFN-γ, T-bet, IL-6, and IL-17 could induce both the Th1 and Th17 cells proliferation regarded as inflammatory leader in IBD [34, 79]. Some researchers detected that exosomes derived from different sources of MSCs, which have been recognized as a kind of paracrine interaction, also exerted strong immunosuppressive effects in IBD. We will explain its features and functions below.

5.3. Signaling Pathways. The signaling pathways involved in the IBD of MSCs therapy are mainly in the aspect of the immunology and inflammation (NF-κB pathway) [37, 85], the developmental biology signaling (wnt/β-catenin signaling interactive pathway and Notch signaling interactive pathway) [45, 69], PTEN/PI3K/Akt signaling resources [48], and apoptosis resources (FAS/FASL) [65]. Those signaling pathways are not independent but relevant and cooperate with each other closely (Figure 3).

BMMSCs derived from miR-21−/− mice inhibited the gene of phosphate and tension homology deleted on chromosome ten (PTEN), due to the secretion of TGF- β1, and consequently suppressed the AKT activation which was the downstream of PTEN [48]. NOD2 binding to its ligand, named as MDP expressed in HUCMSCs, may inhibit the activation of RIP2, a kind of caspase like apoptosis regulatory protein kinase, and then descend the expression of NF-κB in the CD experiment model similarly [31]. Notch signaling interactive pathway activated by MSCs is a kind of cell to cell interaction which has no second messenger and only is able to affect adjacent cells, promote the ascend of PGE2 and Jagged-1 production, and then increase the secretion of IL-10 and the proliferation of Treg cells in colitis [69, 80]. Wnt/β-catenin signaling interactive pathway plays the curative role through participating in the MSCs adhesion procedure in colitis [86]. Fas/Fasl pathway, a kind of membrane surface molecules related to cell apoptosis, mediates its targeting cells apoptosis induced by cytotoxicity in T cell development and upregulates TGF-β which facilitated the Treg cells expansion and promoted the immunologic tolerance in colitis [45, 87].

To sum up, mechanisms of MSCs therapy involved in colitis are complicated and multiple and mainly rely on the cooperation of cell-to-cell and paracrine actions accompanied with NF-κB, Wnt/β-catenin, PI3K/Akt, and FAS/FASL signaling pathway and so on.

6. Exosomes

Exosomes are a kind of membranous vesicles, produced by endocytosis, containing a variety of proteins, nucleic acid, and other active substances [88, 89]. Exosomes also are the medium of intercellular material transport and signal transduction, which are more penetrating and secure compared with MSCs in IBD [90, 91]. It was detected that the number of MSCs administered within the safe range of quantity may not be sufficient to protect the injured intestinal tissues, which means that the additional mechanisms exist. Exosomes derived MSCs were important to remit acute tubular

---

**Figure 3: Signaling pathways involved in the IBD therapy of MSCs.** Signaling pathways are not independent but relevant and cooperate with each other closely in IBD.
Injury [92] and myocardial ischemia/reperfusion damage [93], indicating that exosomes have the similar therapeutic ability to be applied in inflammatory diseases. Actually, it is inspiring that Mao et al. formulated that exosomes derived from HUCMSCs inhibited the expression of iNOS and IL-7 during coculture with mouse enterococca macrophages [94]. It was also reported that extracellular vesicles derived from BM-MSCs (BMSC-EVs) could rebuild the intestinal barrier [95]. Some researchers have made a comparative discovery that BM-MSCs derived exosomes obtained independently repair function of intestinal nerve compared with BM-MSCs. However, this feature was no longer available with the culture supernatant of MSCs removing the exosomes cocultured with scrape wounding IEC in vitro [96].

The contents of the exosomes are specific to the origin of cells and BM-MSCs derived exosomes have been proved possessing over 730 proteins, many of which promote self-renewal and differentiation [97]. Recently, studies have also shown that BM-MSCs-derived exosomes contain more than 300 miRNAs, which are noncoding small RNAs that direct the silencing complex (RISC) to degrade mRNA or hinder its translation by base pairing with the target gene mRNA [98]. Although it has not been reported which miRNA in the exosomes of MSCs may effectively participate in and regulate the repair of enteritis, some researchers have summarized that miR-21, miR-146a, and miR-181 derived from exosomes of HUCMSCs were able to effectively regulate wound healing [99]. We described a concise schematic according to the present literature in Figure 4. Compared to MSCs, exosomes derived from MSCs obtain more security whereas the MSCs could bring out the risk of death because of coagulation factor and so on [100]. Therefore, the application of exosomes will be a promising therapeutic option to inflammatory bowel disease.

7. MSCs Therapy in Colorectal Cancer

MSCs therapy in colorectal cancer was controversial and debatable because the powerful immunosuppression function of MSCs resisted the therapy effects on the colorectal cancer, including hereditary, sporadic, and colitis-associated colorectal cancer (CAC), which mainly resulted from immune evasion in the tumor microenvironment [101]. However, MSCs still exist a potency to ameliorate the colorectal cancer under certain circumstances [102].

7.1. For Metastatic Tumor and Complications from the Colorectal Cancer. MSCs may be applied to the metastatic tumor and complications resulted from the colorectal cancer. MSCs derived from human term placenta expressing endostatin could reduce the number of peritoneal carcinomatosis node and prolong the survival [36]. MSCs can also serve as gene delivery vehicles targeting the solid neoplasms tissue. It was reported that MSCs overexpressed oncolytic virus inhibited the ovarian cancer development strongly and MSCs embellished with IL-12 was able to slow cancer growth [103]. Similarly, MSCs processed to excrete pigment epithelium derived factor (PEDF), which reduced the angiogenesis via lessening the expression of VEGF, could depressed tumor metastasis and the formation of malignant ascites [104]. This feature may be owing to the targeting neoplasm and immune inhibitory capacity of MSCs, which ensured MSCs would not be dissolved by cytotoxic lymphocyte compared with other active factor utilized in colorectal cancer [104, 105]. Moreover, the tumor microenvironment also facilitated the homing migration and survival of MSCs [106]. Therefore, MSCs are the favorable promoter and support to transport therapeutic genes in CAC.

7.2. For Colitis-Associated Colorectal Cancer (CAC). MSCs obtained a powerful therapeutic function in colitis-associated colorectal cancer (CAC), which deteriorated from chronic enteritis and tends to occur in the region of chronic inflammation [3]. HUCMSCs migrated to the intestinal structure and then lengthened the colon and reduced the number of tumors with the reduction of Ki67 via inhibiting chronic inflammation and Smad2 signaling pathway [10]. However, Nasuno et al. considered that MSCs just reduced the number of tumors but not the size. In other words, MSCs played a role of chemophrophylaxis to eliminate the occurrence of tumor depending on the Wnt/β-catenin pathway and TGF-β-Smad pathway with influencing the cell cycle remaining in the GI phase and promoting the apoptosis of enteric tumor cells [107]. There were also some other scholars who discussed that BM-MSCs could remiss the CAC via reducing the STAT3 phosphorylation company with the retard of weight loss and decrease of proinflammatory factors expression [108]. In vitro, BM-MSCs secreting specific cytokines accelerated the devastation and depressed the proliferation of colon cancer cells via inhibiting the PI3K/AKT pathway and extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase (ERK) expression in the administration of low doses of UV irradiation or X-rays [109].

In the tumor microenvironment, MSCs regulated the immunologic function by altering the cytokines secreted from APC cells, NK cells, and T cells and this property owned dual functions because MSCs could promote both the apoptosis and survival of tumor cells [110]. Therefore, the application of MSCs will be a promising strategy for colorectal cancer but the techniques and procedures still need to be considered twice especially that the therapy effects...
of MSCs are controversial and only occur under certain conditions of CAC.

8. Clinical Progress and Challenges of MSCs Involved in IBD

MSCs therapy in IBD mainly aimed to repair inflammation, reduced the number of surgeries, improved the quality of life of patients, and thus cut down the cost of living. As is mentioned above, MSCs whether derived from different sources or engineered with different agents both obtained strong immunosuppression in preclinical stage. Meanwhile, the clinical research of MSCs involved in IBD also produced a rich harvest and a number of experts have demonstrated the positive effects of MSCs on ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease from 1993 to the present [111]. But the challenges were growing with the development of MSCs therapy in IBD including which source of MSCs to apply, when, how, and in what doses and what the side effects are. We summarized the clinical data of representative MSCs therapy for the treatment of enteritis from Pubmed in the past decade (2008–2018) and listed some common features and characteristics (Table 3).

8.1. Which Source of MSCs to Choose? BMMSCs are the most used in IBD and researchers found that three out of ten patients are effective to the MSCs therapy [117]. However, BMMSCs are invasive and have fewer donors compared with other sources of MSCs; thus the application of ADMSCs is becoming more and more. Similar to animal experiments, there was no significant difference between ADMSCs and BMMSCs in clinical treatment and ADMSCs were convenient for in vitro expansion, which inhibited the proliferation of activated lymphocytes to alleviate systemic and local inflammation [122]. UCMSCs also could ameliorate endoscopic indices and suppress lymphoid infiltration via exerting immunosuppressive effect in patients with serious IBD [123]. The objective evaluation of differences in clinical efficacy of MSCs from different sources is still ambiguous because of the limited number of clinical trials.

Both autogenous and allogeneic sources of MSCs have been demonstrated as effective for the treatment of enteritis. It was sufficient for autogenous MSCs to expand in vitro during the long course of IBD and researchers reported that BMMSCs isolated from patients themselves revealed similar immunosuppression function and biological characteristics compared with allogeneic MSCs from healthy donors [117, 124]. However, whether certain genetic defects or insufficient immunosuppressive functions exist in their autologous MSCs for patients with severe IBD was still unknown [125]. Allogeneic MSCs may circumvent these deficiencies and can be industrialized to meet the demand for clinical treatment. Nevertheless, the rejection potential of transplantation of allogeneic MSCs may lead to the loss of MSCs’ ability to relieve IBD [58, 126]. Therefore, which type of MSCs to choose and whether to use autologous or allogeneic MSCs should depend on clinical condition and actual demand.

8.2. Technical Development of Large-Scale Expansion of MSCs In Vitro. Technologies and machines for tissue extraction, separation, and purification and in vitro amplification of MSCs were getting more and more mature to meet the growing clinical needs. The water-jet assisted liposuction was employed to adipose tissue collection and the clean room environment was applied to culture ADMSCs in vitro to preserve a high cell viability [127]. Moreover, many organizations have been working on the development of innovative cellular medicines, and their products have achieved consistent and long-lasting clinical outcomes in a variety of difficult-to-treat diseases. A team in Australia relieved the progression of disc degeneration in an ovine model via mesenchymal precursor cells (MPCs), which is a kind of earliest uncommitted clonogenic populations of bone marrow stromal cells. They prepared the MPCs which were supported from Mesoblast Ltd., a world leader company of cellular medicines [128]. The company owns proprietary good manufacturing practice (GMP) procedures and product release criteria which are approved by the TGA, and the MPCs they supplied can be free from donor recipient matching or recipient immunosuppression. A research they supported on the safety and effectiveness of clinical treatment of mesenchymal stem cells has been practiced into a controlled double-blind randomised trial [129]. In order to provide sufficient quantities for experimental and commercial use, Cynata Therapeutics Ltd. have adopted Cymerus technology to make pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) differentiate into precursor cells and then into mesenchymal stem cells (MCA-MSCs) [130]. With the development of modern technology and research, the opportunities for mesenchymal stem cell medicine for clinical application of IBD will increase greatly in the future.

8.3. When, How, and in What Doses of MSCs Administration. Over the last decade or so, there were no uniform standards about the time of administration, mode of administration, and dose of administration for MSCs in IBD. As is described above, certain inflammatory factors may promote the function of MSCs to alleviate IBD in the inflammatory microenvironment. When to administer MSCs should be considered since IBD is an inflammatory burst and a reversal of alternating chronic diseases [131]. The clinical efficacy of different MSCs administration methods was not very similar, including intravenous infusion and local injection. Intravenous administration was suitable for systemic UC and CD, whereas local injection was appropriate for perianal fistula. Data have shown that patients with ulcerative colitis achieved the remission of the clinical and morphological indices of inflammatory activity via a single dose of (1.5-2) x 10^8 of BMMSCs intravenously [112]. Twenty-four patients with severe anal fistula received local injections of ADMSCs and the results showed that half of the patients were rid of the reoperation and some of them gained that abscesses and the fistulas were cured [132]. In the preclinical phase, different doses of MSCs have different therapeutic effects. However, studies have found that single infusion of BMMSCs which propagated with human platelet lysate-supplemented media was safe and feasible at the doses of up to 10 million...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Therapeutic schedule</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allogeneic-BMMSCs</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>a single dose of (1.5-2) x 10^8 intravenously</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>34 (72.7%) of patients achieved the clinical and morphological indices of inflammatory activity↓</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allogeneic-ADMSCs</td>
<td>Perianal fistulizing CD</td>
<td>a single dose of 1.2 x 10^8 intralesionally</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>50% of patients treated with ADMSCs achieved combined remission.</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allogeneic-ADMSCs</td>
<td>Perianal fistulizing CD</td>
<td>a single dose intralesionally If 2 x 10^7 failed, 4 x 10^7 subsequently</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>69.2% of patients achieved the number of draining fistulas↓ 56.3% of patients achieved complete fistula closure of the treated. 30% of patients achieved complete closure of all existing fistula tracts.</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allogeneic-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Perianal fistulizing CD</td>
<td>a single dose of 1 x 10^7, 3 x 10^7, or 9 x 10^7 intralesionally</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6, 12, and 24 weeks</td>
<td>no severe adverse events. 3 x 10^7 MSCs appeared to promote healing of perianal fistulas</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allogeneic-MSCs</td>
<td>Luminal CD</td>
<td>a single dose of 2 x 10^6/kg weekly for 4 weeks intravenously</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42 days</td>
<td>15 patients CDAI score ↓ from 370 to 203 at day 42</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous-BMMSCs</td>
<td>Luminal CD</td>
<td>two doses of 1-2 x 10^6 intravenously, 7 days apart</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0, 6 weeks</td>
<td>3 patients CDAI score ↓ at 6 weeks</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous-ADMSCs</td>
<td>Perianal fistulizing CD</td>
<td>local injection, 2 x 10^7</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>9 of 12 patients had complete clinical healing by 3 months, 10 of 12 patients (83.3%) had complete clinical healing by 6 months</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous-ADMSCs</td>
<td>Perianal fistulizing CD</td>
<td>group 1: 1 x 10^7 cells/ml. After 4 weeks, if safe, group 2: 2 x 10^7 cells/ml. After 4 weeks, if safe, group 3: 4 x 10^7 cells/ml</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>&gt;9</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>2 patients in group 2 showed complete healing and 3 patients in group 3 showed complete healing at week 8.</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous-BMMSCs</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>a single dose of 2 x 10^7, 5 x 10^7, or 10 x 10^7 cells/kg intravenously</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Single infusion of BMMSCs propagated ex vivo using human platelet lysate-supplemented media was safe and feasible at the doses of up to 10 million cells/kg</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autologous-ADMSCs</td>
<td>Perianal fistulizing CD</td>
<td>3 x 10^7 cells per centimeter length</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>27/33 patients (82%) had complete fistula healing. Of 27 patients, 23 patients (88%) sustained complete closure</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cells/kg [120]. For anal fistula, the researchers increased the dose to 40 million after 8 weeks of local injection of 20 million MSCs failed, and finally the fistula was sealed. But it is not convincing enough because of the lack of data [114]. An attempt was made to inject MSCs into the fistula continuously every four weeks, with a total 4 doses, which also suppressed rectal inflammation [133].

To sum up, the course of IBD disease is long and reiterant, so when, how, and in what doses of MSCs administration used to treat enteritis should be formulated according to the patient's own disease indications.

8.4. Potential Side Effects and Challenges. To date, no significant adverse event has been reported in clinical studies of MSCs in the treatment of IBD. Intravenous MSCs may cause transient fever, as well as other side effects, such as insomnia and increased diarrhea, but all can be self-cured [134]. But the most concerning was whether MSCs carry the virus, which inhibits the effectiveness of treating enteritis and even causes infectious diseases [135]. There are also many challenges to be solved in the treatment of MSCs of enteritis such as how to improve the survival rate of MSCs in in vivo inflammatory environment and how to ensure safety and nonneoplasm after long-term administration of MSCs [11]. Meanwhile, the therapeutic mechanism of MSCs in human body needs to be elaborated in order to improve treatment regimens. Although clinical data are not complete at present, effective results of MSCs therapy have been made in phase III clinical trials in IBD.

9. Conclusion and Discussion

The therapy of MSCs has been gradually matured in the animal model stage for the treatment of enteritis. MSCs whether from different tissue sources or engineered with genes or chemical modifications to improve immune suppression and cell survival have their own unique therapeutic effects. This was achieved by MSCs through cell to cell and paracrine. MSCs homed to the intestinal mucosa and differentiated into epithelium and other cells. Meanwhile, paracrine active substances inhibited the proliferation and promoted the apoptosis of Th1 and Th17 cells and other immune cells so as to inhibit excessive immunity. Exosomes, a kind of representative of MSCs paracrine, have also been detected to be capable of replacing MSCs in the treatment of enteritis in recent years [96]. Moreover, exosomes are easy to store, transport, industrialize, and avoid the risk of immune rejection [136]. It has been reported that the function of exosomes to protect the kidney from ischemia-reperfusion induced injury would be lost when RNA in exosomes was removed via ribonuclease [137]. Our previous studies have demonstrated that exosomes derived from HUCMSCs promoted wnt/β-catenin expression and thereby boosted wound repair, and this function would be enhanced by exosomes derived from 3,3-diindolylmethane (DIM) pretreated HUCMSCs [138, 139]. Those results indicated that the mRNA, mRNA, and bioactive proteins carried by exosomes were critical for tissue injury repair. As is indicated above, exosomes themselves have the function of repairing intestinal mucosal damage similar to MSCs, and exosomes secreted by engineered MSCs may also play a greater role in enteritis.

In exceptional circumstances, MSCs also have a certain therapeutic effect on colorectal cancer. MSCs were able to prolong patients survival time who suffered with metastatic tumors and complications caused by colorectal cancer [36]. Moreover, MSCs also can be regarded as gene delivery vector targeting solid tumor tissue to suppress tumor growth specifically, which is owing to abilities of targeting tumor and immune suppression [103]. MSCs can slow CAC development via inhibiting chronic inflammation, as well as playing a role of chemoprophylaxis [10]. Actually, all of the applications of MSCs in colorectal cancer need to determined according to the practical situation.

Phase III clinical trials of MSCs for the treatment of UC and CD have achieved some positive results so far [113]. While BMMSCs were used a lot in IBD, the clinical application of other tissues was also gradually increased, such as ADMSCs and UCMSCs. At the same time, some challenges need to be gradually addressed. It is urgent to improve the organizational donation channel, ensure the safe amplification of MSCs in vitro, and establish standards for the identification of the functions and activity of MSCs. Furthermore, existing clinical experience needs to be summarized to develop individualized administration standards of MSCs therapy for IBD, including administration time, method, dose, and frequency. Certainly, the potential side effects caused by MSCs therapy should not be ignored. If these challenges are overcome to the maximum, MSCs treatment will benefit not only IBD patients but also other chronic immune inflammation.
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