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Oral Supplements of Combined Bacillus licheniformis Zhengchangsheng® and Xylooligosaccharides Improve High-Fat Diet-Induced Obesity and Modulate the Gut Microbiota in Rats
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Abstract

Gut dysbiosis induced by high-fat diet (HFD) may result in low-grade inflammation leading to diverse inflammatory diseases. The beneficial effects of probiotics and prebiotics on obesity have been reported previously. However, their benefits in promoting human health and the underlying mechanisms still need to be further characterized. This study is aimed at understanding how probiotic Bacillus licheniformis Zhengchangsheng® (BL) and prebiotic xylooligosaccharides (XOS) influence the health of a rat model with HF (60 kcal %) diet-induced obesity. Five groups of male Sprague Dawley (SD) rats were fed a normal fat diet (CON) or an HFD with or without BL and XOS supplementation for 3 weeks. Lipid profiles, inflammatory biomarkers, and microbiota composition were analyzed at the end of the experiment. Rats fed an HFD exhibited increased body weight and disordered lipid metabolism. In contrast, combined BL and XOS supplementation inhibited body weight gain and returned lipid metabolism to normal. Furthermore, BL and XOS administration changed the gut microbiota composition and modulated specific bacteria such as Prevotellaceae, Desulfovibrionaceae, and Ruminococcaceae. In addition, supplements of combined BL and XOS obviously reduced the serum LPS level, which was significantly related to microbial variations. Our findings suggest that modulation of the gut microbiota as a result of probiotic BL and prebiotic XOS supplementation has a positive effect on HFD-induced obesity in rats.

1. Introduction

Obesity is a global epidemic; the incidence of which is 10.7% in China, 12.8% in the European Union, and 30.4% in the USA [1–3]. In 2035, 39% of people in today’s world will be affected by obesity according to the World Health Organization (WHO) [4]. Epidemiologic studies have shown that obesity imposes a heavy burden on national health care systems because it is tightly associated with chronic illnesses, including type II diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, and fatty liver disease [5]. The development of obesity is complex and involves both genetic and environmental factors. There is a growing evidence to show that alteration of gut microbiota composition may induce low-grade inflammation and is identified as an important element in close association with the obesity and obesity-induced metabolic disorders [6–9]. Microbiota-induced low-grade inflammation is mainly induced by lipopolysaccharides (LPS), increased plasma levels of which are sufficient to trigger obesity [10, 11]. Two factors may contribute to the increased entry of LPS into the body, resulting in metabolic endotoxemia and eventually obesity. One is the imbalance in the composition of the gut microbiota, which increases the LPS-bearing bacteria population, directly elevating the gut levels of LPS. The other is the impairment of the gut barrier function, which allows LPS to more easily enter the circulatory systems [10]. Moreover, the reduced Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio has been associated with improved glucose levels, body weight, and
fat reduction [12, 13]. Thus, the gut microbiota represents a potential therapeutic target for the development of drugs or nutritional interventions for obesity.

A number of studies have demonstrated that selective modulation of gut microbiota using probiotics and/or prebiotics has emerged as a potential therapy for the treatment of obesity [14, 15]. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and WHO, probiotics are defined as “live microorganisms which, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host” [16]. The probiotic Bacillus licheniformis Zhengchangsheng® (BL), which is “generally recognized as safe,” has been used extensively as an antiviral and immuno-regulatory agent in clinical treatment [17–19]. In our previous study, we demonstrate that BL can attenuate dextran sulphate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis and modulate dysbiosis during inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) [20]. Prebiotics have been defined by FAO/WHO as “non-digestible food ingredients that beneficially affect the host by selectively stimulating the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacterial species already established in the colon, and thus improve the host health” [21]. The putative prebiotic xylooligosaccharides (XOS) are sugar oligomers made up of xylose units, which were found to increase the fecal Bifidobacterium populations [22], and also have the potential to improve the management of blood sugars and cholesterol [23, 24].

In this study, we further investigated whether the consumption of BL and XOS combination could ameliorate high-fat diet- (HFD-) induced obesity in rats through the manipulation of gut microbiota dysbiosis and reduction of systematic inflammation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animal and Dietary Intervention. Ten-week-old male Sprague Dawley (SD) rats weighing 380–420 g \( (n = 30) \) were obtained from the Experimental Animal House of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China. All rats were kept in an air-conditioned room with 12 h light and dark cycle. They were fasted for 24 h prior to all experiments. The animal experiments were conducted with the approval of the Animal Research Committee of Dalian Medical University in accordance with the laboratory’s animal ethics guidelines (SYXK (Liao) 2014-0002).

After one week of adaptation on chow diet, rats were randomly divided into five groups \( (n = 6) \) and fed on standard chow or high fat: normal fat diet (CON), high-fat diet (HF), and HFD-fed rats that were treated with 0.4 ml XOS at 2 g/ml dissolved in PBS (XOS), Zhengchangsheng strain (CMCC63516, isolated and cultured by the Northeast Pharmaceutical Group, Shenyang No.1 Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, China, Lot S10950019) at 7.5 \( \times 10^6 \) CFU/ml suspended in PBS (BL), or the combination of XOS and BL (XOS-BL) groups. Mice in the CON group were administrated a normal diet; the other groups were fed an HFD (60% fat, 20% protein, 20% carbohydrate, as a percentage of total kcal, H10060, Beijing HKF Bioscience Co., Ltd.). The XOS, BL, and XOS-BL groups were gavaged with 0.4 ml of XOS, BL, and XOS-BL once a day throughout three weeks. The CON and HF groups were instead gavaged with 0.4 ml PBS. Body weight gain and other parameters were evaluated once a week. Feces were collected at the end of 3 wk for 16S rRNA sequencing. After 3 wk, the rats were euthanized for blood and tissue collection. The epididymal fat and perirenal fat were weighed, and the ratios of epididymal fat and perirenal fat to body weight were calculated.

2.2. Biochemical Analysis. Blood samples were collected from the heart and separated to the plasma at 3,000 rpm for 15 min at 25°C and then stored at -80°C for use. Liver tissues were mixed with saline at a ratio of 1:9 and homogenized. The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, and then the supernatant was retained for subsequent analysis. The concentrations of serum total cholesterols (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) were detected by enzymatic methods according to the instructions of relevant assay kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Institute of Biotechnology, Nanjing, China). The serum and liver levels of LPS were measured with ELISA kits (ShangHai Lengton Bioscience Co., LTD, Shanghai, China).

2.3. Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE). The fecal DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). PCR amplification was conducted using the universal bacterial primers F338+GC clamp and R518, which targets the hypervariable V3 region of 16S rRNA gene. The resulting 16S rDNA amplicons were analyzed by DGGE fingerprinting analysis using the DCode system from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA) according to descriptions of Joossens et al. [25]. Next, the DGGE images were analyzed with Quantity One image analysis software (version 4.6.1; Bio-Rad). Similarities were displayed graphically as a dendrogram.

2.4. Illumina HiSeq Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analysis. The universal primers (520F, 802R) were used to amplify the V3-V4 region of 16S ribosomal DNA from metagenomic DNA in rat fces of five groups \( (n = 5–6 \) for each group). PCR products were checked by 1.5% \( (\nu/\nu) \) agarose gel electrophoresis in 0.5 mg/ml ethidium bromide and purified. The sequencing was performed on Illumina HiSeq platform by Novogene (Beijing, China) [26]. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) present in 50% or more of the fecal samples were identified as core OTUs. Based on the results of OTUs, alpha and beta diversities were analyzed subsequently. Alpha diversity was evaluated by observed species and Shannon index. Community richness was evaluated by Chao1 index. Beta diversity was evaluated by Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) based on the weighted UniFrac analysis and Unweighted Pair-Group Method with Arithmetic mean clustering (UPGMA). Linear Discriminant Analysis Effect Size (LEfSe) was used to identify the bacterial taxa differentially represented between groups at different taxonomic levels. A Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) was used to estimate the effect size of each differentially abundant feature.
2.5. Statistical Analysis. All the experiments were performed at least two times in triplicates, and data were presented as arithmetic mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). The data sets involved in more than two groups were assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test using GraphPad Prism (version 7.04; GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The significance for PCoA (beta diversity) analyses, which was tested with multivariate permutation tests using the nonparametric method "Adonis" included in the package “vegan” of the QIIME-incorporated version of “R”. Community comparison was evaluated using Student’s t test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of BL Combined XOS on Body Weight, Lipid Parameters, and Inflammatory Biomarkers of HFD-Fed Rats. To investigate the effect of the combination of BL and XOS on obesity, we established the obese rat model by feeding the male SD rats a high-fat diet with 60% of fat content. The body weights of the rats and the excised tissue content were recorded. Our results showed that HFD had induced significant increases in final body weight and body weight gain after day 6 compared with the control group (P < 0.0001; Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). Combined BL and XOS supplementation (XOS-BL) reduced this increase tendentially in HFD-fed rats (P = 0.0588; Figure 1(b)). After 3 weeks, combined BL and XOS supplementation significantly reduced body weight gain in HFD-fed rats (P = 0.0323; Figure 1(b)). However, there was no significant difference among the groups in the total weight of the epididymal and perirenal fat pads (Figures 1(c) and 1(d)). The levels of serum TC and LDL-C were significantly decreased in the XOS-BL group compared with the HF group (P = 0.0027 and P < 0.0001, respectively; Figures 2(a) and 2(c)). The TG level in the XOS-BL group was tendentiously decreased, while the HDL-C level was tendentiously increased compared with
Moreover, we also evaluated anti-inflammatory ability of BL and XOS in obese rats. We observed a significant decrease in serum LPS level in the XOS-BL group compared with the HF group, implying the alleviation of endotoxemia and systemic chronic inflammation (\( P < 0.0346 \); Figure 2(e)). Rat liver was also evaluated for the levels of LPS after treatment of BL and/or XOS. The liver LPS was significantly lower in the BL group compared to the HF group (\( P = 0.049 \); Figure 2(f)). These results illustrated that combined BL and prebiotic XOS supplementation could effectively ameliorate the body weight and serum parameters of the obese rats.

### Figure 2: Effects of BL and XOS on serum lipid profile and serum and liver lipopolysaccharides.

- **(a)** Serum total cholesterol (TC).
- **(b)** Serum triglyceride (TG).
- **(c)** Serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C).
- **(d)** Serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C).
- **(e)** Serum lipopolysaccharides (LPS).
- **(f)** Liver LPS levels of rats from different experimental groups were analyzed by ELISA.

The differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s test. *\( P < 0.05 \), **\( P < 0.01 \), and ****\( P < 0.0001 \); data represent mean ± SEM of six mice in each group.

#### 3.2 Effect of BL Combined XOS on Gut Microbiota of HFD-Fed Rats

The intestinal microbiota of rats was analyzed by PCR-DGGE using universal primers of the bacterial 16S rDNA-V3 region (Figure 3(a)). The number of bands in every DGGE profile was determined as the diversity of the intestinal microbiota. Our data showed that the abundance of intestinal microbes was significantly different between the control group and the HF group of rats. The dendrogram constructed based on DGGE profile supported that they joined in different clusters. Figure 3(b) displays that the HF and the BL groups joined together, and the XOS and XOS-BL groups joined in one cluster. In Figure 3(a), 23 bands that were obviously different among groups were selected and

...
Figure 3: Preliminary evaluation of the intestinal microbiota in rats by PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE). (a) DGGE profiles of the V3 region of 16S rRNA gene amplicons derived from fecal DNA of rats in each group. PCR was performed using a F338-GC/R518 set of primers. Lanes indicate the microbial groups in fecal samples from different experimental groups (n = 3) taken at day 21; (b) cluster analysis of the DGGE profiles. The dendrogram was constructed using the UPGMA method.

Table 1: Sequence identities of PCR amplicons derived from DGGE gel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Strain with highest sequence homology</th>
<th>Identity</th>
<th>Phylum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Lactobacillus gasseri</em> ATCC 33323 = JCM 1131</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Prevotella copri</em> DSM 18205</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Lactobacillus animalis</em> KCTC 3501 = DSM 20602</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Prevotella oralis</em> DSM 3688</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Phascolarctobacterium succinatutens</em> YIT 12067</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Bacteroides uniformis</em> ATCC 8492</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Bacteroides uniformis</em> ATCC 8492</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>Clostridium</em> saccharolyticum</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>Alistipes putredinis</em> AP11</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>Papillibacter cinnamovaris</em> DSM 12816</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><em>Prevotella copri</em> DSM 18205</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><em>Parabacteroides distasonis</em> ATCC 8503</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>Terrorporobacter glycolicus</em> ATCC 14880</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><em>Muribaculum intestinale</em></td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td><em>Parabacteroides distasonis</em> ATCC 8503</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><em>Stomatobacterium longum</em></td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><em>Clostridium</em> saccharolyticum</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><em>Prevotella copri</em> DSM 18205</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><em>Marinilabilia salmonicolor</em> JCM 21150</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td><em>Christensenella massiliensis</em></td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td><em>Barnesiella intestinahominis</em> YIT 11860</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td><em>Muribaculum intestinale</em></td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium pseudolongum</em> PV8-2</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4: Continued.
excised for sequencing analysis. To verify the resolution capability of DGGE, bands in the same position but in different lanes were cut and sequenced. Sequencing results demonstrated that they belong to the same bacterial groups and are summarized in Table 1. The microbiota of the CON group is characterized by the presence of genera *Lactobacillus* (bands 1 and 3). The bands corresponding to *Phascolarctobacterium succinatutens* (bands 5) and *Bacteroides uniformis* (bands 6 and 7) were identified in groups administered by high-fat diet. The XOS and XOS-BL groups exhibited intense bands corresponding to *P. succinatutens*. The bands corresponding to *Prevotella copri* (bands 2 and 11) were detected in the CON, XOS, and XOS-BL groups, respectively. The pattern of the XOS and BL groups exhibits the bands corresponding to *Parabacteroides distasonis*. In particular, band 23 corresponding to *Bifidobacterium pseudolongum* was identified in the XOS group.

To characterize the changing of gut microbiota systematically in HFD-fed rats administered with BL and XOS, we performed metagenomic analysis of the V3-V4 region of 16S rRNA gene sequences. After subsampling, 1,124 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were classified at 97% similarity (Figure 4). The OTU number of the control group was 908, which was much higher than the other groups. Among them, 156 OTUs were found unique to the control group, 39 OTUs were unique to the HF group, and 14 OTUs, 22 OTUs, and 18 OTUs were found unique to the XOS group, the BL group, and the XOS-BL group, respectively (Figure 4(a)). Alpha diversity analysis showed that obvious differences could be observed between the groups (Figures 4(b)–4(d)), suggesting that the diversity and richness of the gut microbiota were significantly altered by HFD and administration of BL and XOS. Then, the similarities and differences between the gut microbiota communities of groups were analyzed by PCoA and UPGMA (Figures 4(e) and 4(f)). It revealed a distinct clustering of the gut microbiota composition for each group (control vs. HF, *R* = 0.615, *P* = 0.001; HF vs. XOS, *R* = 0.441, *P* = 0.001; HF vs. BL, *R* = 0.075, *P* = 0.606; HF vs. XOS-BL, *R* = 0.454, *P* = 0.001), indicating that the intake pattern of XOS-BL caused certain influence on the gut microbiota composition of the HFD-fed rats.

The taxonomic analysis suggested that the fecal microbiota of SD rats used in this study was represented by three major phyla: *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes*, and *Proteobacteria* (Figure 5(a)). The ratio of *Firmicutes* to *Bacteroidetes* (F/B), a widely used marker of gut dysbiosis [27], was found significantly lower in the XOS-BL group when compared to the HF group (*P* < 0.01; Figure 5(b)). Our results showed that the main taxons that contributed to the changes in *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* were genera *Bacteroides* and *Lactobacillus*. In comparison to the HFD group, mice of the CON group had significantly more abundance in total *Lactobacillus* spp. (+19.7%, *P* < 0.01). The families of *Prevotellaceae* and *Bacteroides* cæcae were differentially abundant in the XOS-BL group (*P* < 0.01; Figure 5(c)). The SCFA-producing genera *Parabacteroides* [28, 29] (members of *Bacteroidetes*) and genera of *Blautia* [30] (members of *Firmicutes*) were key taxons in mice of the XOS group (Figures 5(d) and 5(e)). The statistical differences at the family, genus, and species levels were further tested. At the family level, *Prevotellaceae* was
Figure 5: Continued.
elevated dramatically, while Ruminococcaceae, Desulfovibrionaceae, and unidenti
fi
ed Clostridiales were signi
fi
cantly reduced by XOS and BL supplementation (Figure 6(a)). At
the genus level, three representative genera were altered by
XOS and BL treatment. Anaerostipes was signi
fi
cantly increased after XOS and BL treatment, while
unidenti
fi
ed Clostridiales and Tyzzerella were signi
fi
cantly decreased after
XOS and BL treatment (Figure 6(b)). At the species level, we
found that 2 species were altered by XOS and BL treatment. Bacteroides uniformis and bacterium YE57 were signi
fi
cantly reduced by intervention of XOS combined with BL
(Figure 6(c)).

3.3. Biomarkers in Each Group. The metagenomic analysis
LEfSe approach was used to identify the key phylotypes
responsible for the di
ff
erences among the groups. The LDA
scoring plot displayed the dominant bacteria (LDA>4) in
each group. Lactobacillaceae was the most abundant in the
control rats, while Desulfovibrionaceae, Rikenellaceae, and
Ruminococcaceae were most abundant in the HFD-fed rats. Bifidobacteriaceae and Erysipelotrichaceae were most abun
dant in the XOS-treated rats; Bacteroidaceae was the most abundant in BL-treated rats; and Prevotellaceae was the most abundant in the XOS+BL-treated rats. These bacteria were
the major phylotypes that contributed to the differences
between the intestinal microbiota of different groups
(Figure 7). Moreover, this result is consistent with that of
DGGE in Figure 3 and Table 1.

3.4. Associations between LPS Levels and Microbial Taxa. Mantel tests and the canonical correlation analysis (CCA)
indicated that the serum and liver levels of LPS were the
major factors contributing to the di
ff
erences between the bac
terial communities and environmental factors (P = 0.005,
Figure 8(a)). And the correlation between gut microbiota
composition and LPS levels was also assessed by Spearman’s
algorithm in the present research (Figure 8(b)). The abun
dance of some of the gut microbes, including Lactobacillus, unidenti
fi
ed Ruminococcaceae, Roseburia, and Butyryrivibrio, showed a positive relationship with serum and liver LPS levels;
by contrast, the abundance of Blautia, Flavonifractor, Bifido-
bacterium, Parabacteroides, Lachnolastidium, Erysipelato-
clostridium, and Staphylococcus were negatively correlated
with serum and liver LPS levels. As LPS are typically produced
by gram-negative bacteria, Lactobacillus, Roseburia, and
Butyryrivibrio are gram-positive bacteria and are broadly
reported to possess LPS-decreasing activity. But the present
results showed a positive relationship to LPS. This may be
due to the small group of analysis data.

4. Discussion
Extensive evidence indicates that the dysbiosis of gut micro
biota is related to the pathogenesis of obesity and associated
metabolic diseases [17]. Recently, the effects of dietary
manipulation with probiotics and prebiotics on metabolic
disorders have been studied [31]. In the current study, we
used an HFD-induced model to investigate the effects of probiotic BL and prebiotic XOS on the gut microbiota of obese rats. Our results suggested that supplements of combined BL and XOS ameliorated negative effects of obesity, including weight gain, and elevated serum TC, LDL-C, and LPS levels. Moreover, BL and XOS administration caused a significant change in the gut microbiota by increasing the proportion of Bacteroidetes at the phylum level as well as its family Prevotellaceae and decreasing the F/B ratio and Desulfovibrionaceae and Ruminococcaceae populations in obese rats.

Besides lactic acid bacteria, Bacillus species (Bacillus spp.) have also been paid attention as potential probiotics, which are useful for treating metabolic disorders [32]. Choi et al. [33] reported that dietary supplementation with Bacillus licheniformis-fermented soybean paste prevented obesity and improved glucose metabolism in HFD-fed mice. Their studies also showed that Bacillus licheniformis had the effect on the serum lipid levels, especially decreasing the TC level. Similar to previous results, we observed the partial prevention of weight gain and the increasing level of TG induced by HFD after BL treatment. However, we did not find the reshaping of gut microbiota in the BL group compared with the HF group. These results indicate that the potential positive effect of BL on HFD-induced obesity in rat is not based on the modulation of the gut microbiota.

XOS is considered to be novel prebiotics, which has been suggested to improve gut health and stimulate the animals’ immune response [34, 35]. XOS consumption has been found to result in increased Bifidobacterium populations in human and animal studies [24, 36, 37]. Studies with XOS also indicate the potential to improve the management of glucose metabolism [25, 26]. Thiennimitr et al. [38] reported that XOS treatment attenuated dyslipidemia by HFD as indicated by decreased plasma total and LDL cholesterol levels. In line with the previous study, we have demonstrated that XOS contributed to ameliorate body weight and serum lipid and inflammation parameters in HFD-fed rats (Figures 1 and 2). Moreover, per se, XOS was found to have effects on modulating the gut microbiota composition and increasing gut mucosal-protective Bifidobacteria levels only in the prebiotic XOS-treated group (Figures 3 and 7), probably due to the bifidogenic effect of XOS [39]. But our results showed that administration of XOS significantly decreased the diversity of the gut microbiota, and this has aroused our attention. In a previous study, Fehlbaum et al. [40] also demonstrated a decrease in alpha diversity with increasing fiber concentration (including XOS), but they found that the total...
Figure 7: Continued.
concentrations of SCFAs increased with increasing fiber concentration, which can provide an important energy source for the colon and regulate immune responses of the host. Increasing of SCFAs will lower the pH in gut and may thus affect the growth of some pH-sensitive bacteria such as E. coli [41], resulting in lower bacterial diversity. Also, studies have suggested that lower bacterial diversity may relate to the obesity development [27], but the HFD-induced intestinal dysbiosis may contribute more significantly to this development, especially the unbalanced LPS and SCFA production in the gut. On the other hand, SCFAs, such as butyrate, though a major energy source for colonocytes, has been found to increase mitochondrial activity, prevent metabolic endotoxemia, improve insulin sensitivity, possess anti-inflammatory potential, increase intestinal barrier function, and protect against diet-induced obesity without causing hypophagia [42].

Gut microbiota, particularly *Bacteroidetes* and *Firmicutes*, are two major phyla in human and animal gut microbiota [43, 44], and this phenomenon was also found in our study (Figure 3(a)). Previous studies have suggested that a high ratio of *Firmicutes* to *Bacteroidetes* is often thought to be a key characteristic of obesity [45, 46]. The results presented here showed that HFD-induced rats had a relatively higher F/B ratio compared to the control rats, but these could be inverted by administering XOS or the combination of BL and XOS (Figure 5(b)). Moreover, we found more *Bacteroidetes* in the XOS and XOS-BL groups than in the HF group. *Parabacteroides*, the most abundant in the combination of XOS as well as being identified in DGGE pattern,
demonstrated its capacity to alter the bile acid to succinate and secondary bile acids both in vivo and in vitro [47]. They also identified that succinate promotes the intestinal gluconeogenesis (IGN) pathway by activating fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase. *Prevotella copri* significantly increases the production of succinate that can be a substrate for IGN. Furthermore, succinate is associated with the inhibition of hepatic glucose production and the improvement of glucose metabolism independently [48]. In parallel, *P. succinatutens* that specialize in the utilization of succinate provided by other bacteria [49] were enriched in the XOS and XOS-BL groups. Furthermore, *Phascolarctobacterium* spp. produces high amount of the short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) [50].

In addition, our results clearly show that *Prevotellaceae*, a subgroup of *Bacteroidetes*, was significantly enriched in the XOS and XOS-BL groups. It was reported that *Prevotellaceae* family was abundant in pectin or whole grain oat, which improved insulin sensitivity and plasma cholesterol profile in previous animal studies [51, 52]. In this study, the increased relative abundance of *Desulfovibrionaceae* was found in HFD-induced obesity rats; however, combination of BL and XOS could significantly reverse the change of this species (*P* < 0.05; Figure 6(a)). Most members of *Desulfovibrionaceae* (*Proteobacteria* phyla) were reported as endotoxins (such as LPS) producers and induce intestinal inflammation, which were thought to be positively associated with obesity [53, 54]. Our result was consistent with the findings in previous research showing that a probiotic-enriched dietary intervention can ameliorate the abundance of *Desulfovibrionaceae* in obese individuals [55]. Interestingly, the relative abundance of *Ruminococcaceae* induced by HFD can be obviously alleviated by combination of BL and XOS treatment (*P* < 0.05; Figure 6(a)). It has been reported that a high abundance of *Ruminococcaceae* was observed in HFD-induced obesity mice [56, 57], and they are strongly

---

**Figure 8**: The correlation between intestinal bacterial groups and LPS levels in serum and liver. (a) Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) analysis. Arrows represent the variables serum LPS and liver LPS and indicate the direction and magnitude of the variables associated with bacterial community structure; (b) the correlation between the relative abundance of different microbial groups at genus level and the levels of LPS in the serum and liver was tested by Spearman’s correlation method. The positive correlation was displayed as correlation value > 0, and the negative correlation was displayed as correlation value < 0; statistically significant correlation was displayed as *P* < 0.05 and **P* < 0.01.
linked to obesity [58]. Kieier et al. [59] also verified that Ruminococcaceae might negatively affect weight loss rate in dogs by producing acetic and propionic acid. However, some researchers reported that Ruminococcaceae was known as butyrate-producing bacteria. Butyrate, a major SCFA, increases insulin sensitivity and energy expenditure in mice [60] and also may have immune modulating effects [61]. As shown in the study by Zhou et al. [62], sodium butyrate attenuates HFD-induced steatohepatitis in mice by strengthening the gut barrier. Endo et al. [63] also verified that butyrate-producing probiotics had beneficial effects in the prevention of NAFLD progression in rats. Therefore, the conclusion remains controversial. More research is required to gain further recognition. Taken together, gut microbiota plays a pivotal role as a modulator of energy homeostasis and fat deposition, and we have reason to believe that the combination of BL and XOS shows an antiobesity effect through beneficial modulation of the gut microbiota.

LPS is the main component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, and it is the endotoxin that can induce low-grade inflammation [64, 65]. It was, therefore, postulated that it could be the molecular link between intestinal microflora and the chronic systemic inflammation induced by an HFD. Cani et al. [66] reported that mice fed an HFD for as short term as 2 to 4 weeks exhibited a significant increase in plasma LPS. In our experiments, supplements of combined BL and XOS significantly reduced the serum LPS level (Figure 2(e)). In addition, both the CCA and Spearman’s correlation analyses illustrated that the serum and liver levels of LPS were significantly related to microbial variations (Figure 8). For example, Roseburia, which is associated with several diseases including irritable bowel syndrome, obesity, type 2 diabetes, nervous system conditions, and allergies [67], showed a positive relationship with serum and liver LPS levels; Parabacteroides, which has been reported to reduce the severity of intestinal inflammation in murine models of acute and chronic colitis [68], was negatively correlated with serum and liver LPS levels.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that combined BL and XOS supplementation suppressed the rise of F/B ratio and the elevated abundance of Desulfovibrionaceae and Ruminococcaceae and LPS levels induced by HFD. These results demonstrated the potential to combine probiotic Zhengchangsheng® and prebiotic xylooligosaccharides as a dietary strategy to ameliorate gut dysbiosis, to improve inflammatory status, and thereby to reduce medical disorders associated with HFD-induced obesity. However, the limitation of this study is that the sample size in the Illumina HiSeq sequencing was not enough; further studies based on a larger number of samples should be conducted to investigate the in-depth mechanisms of how the combined BL and XOS supplementation ameliorates the HFD-induced obesity. Moreover, clinical investigations are warranted to assess whether our promising findings can be translated into a potential way to treat obesity.
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