

Research Article

Physicians' Attitude towards Electronic Medical Record Systems: An Input for Future Implementers

Mulugeta Hayelom Kalayou , Berhanu Fikadie Endehabtu , Habtamu Alganeh Guadie , Zeleke Abebaw , Kassahun Dessie , Shekur Mohammed Awol , Nebyu Demeke Mengestie , Abraham Yeneneh , and Binyam Tilahun

Department of Health Informatics, Institute of Public Health, University of Gondar, Ethiopia

Correspondence should be addressed to Mulugeta Hayelom Kalayou; mhayelom5@gmail.com

Received 11 January 2021; Revised 23 June 2021; Accepted 16 August 2021; Published 29 August 2021

Academic Editor: Matteo Curcuruto

Copyright © 2021 Mulugeta Hayelom Kalayou et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Electronic medical record (EMR) systems offer the potential to improve health care quality by allowing physicians real-time access to patient healthcare information. The endorsement and usage of EMRs by physicians have a significant influence on other user groups in the healthcare system. As a result, the purpose of this study was to examine physicians' attitudes regarding EMRs and identify the elements that may influence their attitudes. Method. An institutional-based crosssectional study design supplemented with a qualitative study was conducted from March 1 to April 30, 2018, among a total of 403 physicians. A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect quantitative data. The validity of the prediction bounds for the dependent variable and the validity of the confidence intervals and P values for the parameters were measured with a value of less than 0.05 and 95 percent of confidence interval. For the supplementary qualitative study, data were collected using semistructured in-depth interviews from 11 key informants, and the data were analyzed using thematic analysis. Result. Physicians' computer literacy (CI: 0.264, 0.713; P: 0001) and computer access at work (CI: 0.141, 0.533, P: 0.001) were shown to be favorable predictors of their attitude towards EMR system adoption. Another conclusion from this study was the inverse relationship between physicians' prior EMR experience and their attitude about the system (CI: -0.517, -0.121; P: 0.002). Conclusion. According to the findings of this study, physicians' attitudes regarding EMR were found moderate in the studied region. There was a favorable relationship between computer ownership, computer literacy, lack of EMR experience, participation in EMR training, and attitude towards EMR. Improving the aforementioned elements is critical to improving physicians' attitudes regarding EMR.

1. Introduction

Different information communication technologies (ICTs) are being implemented and used in health care for facilitating the service and strengthen the health system. Among them, the electronic medical record (EMR) system is one of the common systems which helps to store, analyze, and access health-related information of patients [1]. Based on different studies in different settings, the EMR system is perceived as the way to advance information exchange, saving time, data confidentiality, and decision makings [2, 3]. Because of those potential benefits, electronic medical record systems are rapidly being implemented in healthcare settings of many countries, including in the low-resource setting countries [4–7].

Currently, the Ethiopian Federal Ministry of Health (EFMOH) has considered developing and formulating a national eHealth strategy that realize standardization and implementations of national eHealth systems like health data warehouse, Health Net, tele education, telemedicine, human resource information system, electronic health information management system, woreda-based planning system, and health integrated financial information systems including EMR systems [8, 9]. The Ministry had been implemented an EMR system called SmartCare, introduced with support of Tulane University Technical Assistance Project

in Ethiopia (TUTAPE). The system had deployed in different wards like laboratory, registration room, OPD, pharmacy, antiretroviral therapy (ART), and maternal and child health units [10, 11].

Even though the implementation and success rate of EMR is good in developed and highly resourced countries [2, 12, 13], the dissemination and the success rate of EMR in resource-limited countries are still in the embryonic stage [12, 14, 15]. Like other developing nations, though there are high expectations and interests in EMRs in Ethiopia, their overall implementation rates are relatively low and fronting several problems [5]. For instance, they are seen as contrary to a physician's traditional working style, and they require a greater competence in dealing with different computerrelated skills like typing [6]. Some physicians were asking for implementers and high-level managers for the assignment of IT personnel who could help them to record paper-based patient histories to the newly introduced Smart-Care system since they were expected to document both on paper and within the SmartCare system [10-12, 15].

Besides to the computer-related literacy, exploring end user attitudes and expectations of the fast-developing eHealth services helps to understand the factors that influence adherence to such tools in health care [16]. Good understanding of user's attitude about the system was found the key factor needed to make EMR systems adopt easily. Studies identified that even good technology could be fallen if the intended users have not a positive attitude towards the system [17]. According to some studies [7], the slow rate of EMR implementation suggests that resistance among physicians was strong because Physicians are the main frontline user-group of EMRs. Even though most physicians generally perceive that electronic-based health information systems can help to eliminate the burden of paper-based documentation and the inaccessibility of patient data in life-threatening situations, they also get easily disappointed when an introduced system does not meet their expectations [18-21]. Especially in low-resource countries like Ethiopia, where physician to patient ratio is too high, one to 17,000 people, and a single physician clerked hundreds of patients per day [22, 23], implementing and succeeding in health information systems like EMRs without physicians favorable attitude is unthinkable. Studies showed that the physicians' support and use towards EMRs have a great impact on other user-groups in healthcare system, such as administrative and supportive staff including nurses [24, 25]. Concerning this purpose, several studies have been conducted on the physician's attitude towards electronicbased health information systems like EMRs [26-31]. But when we went through the studies conducted on the physician's attitude to EMRs in Ethiopia, it was deficient and not investigated well. Besides, the findings from the developed world were found incomparable to our setting, which is with low organizational and technical infrastructure.

As a result, the purpose of this study was to fill this evidence vacuum by analyzing physicians' attitudes regarding EMRs and the predictive factors that may influence their attitudes. As a result, the findings will have an influence on future adoption success and physician acceptability of EMRs systems in the region.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Study Design and Setting. An institutional-based crosssectional quantitative study supplemented with qualitative approach was conducted from March 1 to April 30, 2018, at the five referral hospitals in Amhara regional state, Ethiopia. The State of Amhara is located in the North Western and North Central part of Ethiopia. The region has five referral hospitals, namely, University of Gondar, Debre Markos, Felege Hiwot, Dessie, and Debre Berhan Referral Hospitals. Each hospital serves a catchment area of more than four million people. The overall existing EMR utilization in the University of Gondar referral hospital was 46.5% [14]. In all the referral hospitals, there is EMR (SmartCare) system implementation history with a plan of expanding it to other primary and general public hospitals in the region. Currently, the system is used only to register patient's sociodemographic and some clinical data at the triage level and to quickly identify and locate patient history cards. Currently, the Ethiopian Ministry of Health is on the way to replace it with a new system called District Health Information System (DHIS2). The new system helps to aggregate regularly collected data across all of the public health facilities of the country [32].

2.2. Study Population. The study population includes general practitioners, residents, specialists, and subspecialists who are working at Amhara regional state referral hospitals of Ethiopia. Physicians who had less than 6 months of work experience and residents who were not permanent staffs of the selected hospitals were excluded from the study because those physicians could face difficulty to answer organizational-related questions that could possibly affect their attitude to EMRs.

2.3. Sample Size and Sampling Procedure. All the 488 physicians who were working in the five referral hospitals (243 at University of Gondar Hospital, 53 at Debre Markos Hospital, 64 at Felege Hiwot Hospital, 80 at Dessie Hospital, and 48 at Debre Berhan Hospital) were included in the study for higher precision and accuracy.

2.4. Data Collection Tools and Procedures. Data were collected by trained data collectors using a pretested, structured, and self-administered questionnaire, which was adopted from different literatures [15, 33-35]. The tool was prepared and distributed in English language. Pretest was conducted on a similar setting at Zewditu referral hospital, Addis Ababa Ethiopia before the actual data collection time on 5% of the sample size in order to check the reliability of the instrument, to estimate the time needed to collect data and to modify the questionnaire accordingly. Using the data obtained from the pretest, the questionnaire was checked for internal consistency using the Cronbach alpha test. The reliability for attitude questions had a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.95. These values indicate that the questionnaire has very good reliability. The major contents of the tools were sociodemographic variables, technical, and organizational variables.

To measure the level of attitude towards EMR, fifteen questions were asked. Each attitude question is graded on a five-point scale (strongly agree coded as five, agree coded as four, neutral coded as three, disagree coded as two, and strongly disagree coded as one). Then, using an arithmetic mean, the respondents' scores for the attitude questions were calculated. The linear regression method was used to determine the relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variables. Respondents who can use at least basic Microsoft Office products (MS Word, PowerPoint, and Excel, Access, and Internet services) were also deemed computer literate [15].

Six bachelor's degree holder nurses and two general practitioners were recruited as data collectors and supervisors, respectively. Two days of training was given for data collectors and supervisors on the prepared data collection tool, participant rights, data confidentiality, and the general objective of the study. The supervisor's role was coordinating the data collection process and reporting the data collection progress to principal investigator.

For supplementary qualitative data, an in-depth interview was conducted in local language (Amharic) using a semistructured interview questions. Of the 20 physicians who agreed to participate, 11 were interviewed. The 11 key informant in-depth interviews were conducted until the information got saturated. All the elven participants for the in-depth interview part were department heads from different units, who can represent the other study subjects, and they were given strict guarantees of anonymity regarding the data that were collected and we also took written informed consent from all the participants. The interview was conducted by the principal investigator (MHK). The interviews conducted with the participants varied in the length from 25 min to 45 min with the average interview length of 36 min. Probing questions were used to get additional depth when necessary for understanding any of the attitude factors. Besides, all the divergent views raised by the participants were resolved during the interview, with principal investigator (MHK). Audio recorder was used during the interview, which then was transcribed for analysis.

2.5. Data Processing and Analysis. Epi-info version 7 was used to enter data from respondents, which was then exported to SPSS version 20 for additional analysis. Although heteroscedasticity does not create bias in the coefficient estimates, it does make them less exact; lesser precision increases the chance that the coefficient estimations are farther from the true population value. The notion of "Robust standard errors" was utilized to remedy standard errors caused by model misspecification. One of the assumptions of linear regression modeling is homoscedasticity. It is necessary to guarantee the accuracy of the estimates, the validity of the prediction bounds for the dependent variable, and the validity of the confidence intervals and P values for the parameters. Huber-white tests for heteroscedasticity had a significance value of 0.002. Finally, the variables with significant associations were identified using the significance value for the parameter estimate with a robust standard error of less than 0.05 and a confidence interval of 95%.

The general linear method was used to determine the Huber-White standard errors. As a result, generalized linear models and generalized estimating equations provide reliable standard errors. These are the original Huber-White linear model estimators. To conduct the general linear procedure in the SPSS, we followed on analyze > generalized linear models > generalized linear models for a standard model with independent observations. Using open code version 4.3, thematic analysis was performed to analyze the supplemental qualitative section. The interview guide was primarily concerned with organizational and technological issues that may influence physicians' attitudes regarding EMR systems. As a result, themes were created based on the preset categories as outlined in the interview guide.

3. Result

3.1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Physicians. Out of 488 Physicians who were approached for the study, 403 of them participated in the study with a response rate of 82.5%. From the total of (n = 403) respondents, 212 (52%) of them were academic physicians and the majority of the 338 (83.9%) were males and more than half of the 226 (56.1%) had their own computer. And the mean age of the respondents was 33.9 years with a standard deviation of ± 4.89 years (Table 1).

3.2. Technology-Related Variables of Physicians. The majority of the study participants 286 (71.0%) were computer literate. About 185 (45.9%) and 245 (60.8%) of the study participants had previous EMR experience and were taking EMR training, respectively. In addition, about 245 (60.8%) of the participants prefer EMR over a paper-based systems (Table 2).

3.3. Organizational-Related Variables on Physician's Attitude towards EMR. More than half of respondents 235 (58.3%) believe that the hospital administration involves physicians in EMR activities and about half of the respondents 212 (52.6%) had computer access in their working area (Table 3).

3.4. Attitude of Physician's towards Electronic Medical Record Systems. Of the total 403 participant physicians, 235 (58.3%) of them had scored more than and equal to the neutral value. All the attitude questions, the fifteen Likert scale questions, were computed into a single value using an arithmetic mean value.

3.5. Factors Associated with Physicians' Attitude towards EMR Systems. After controlling for other variables, the correlation coefficient value of the analysis shows that physicians' computer ownership, computer literacy, lack of prior EMR experience, participation in EMR training, and computer access at work were significantly associated with physicians' attitudes towards electronic medical record systems.

In this study, physicians' computer ownership was found a significant factor for having a favorable attitude towards EMR systems with a confidence interval of (CI: 0.037, 0.458) and significance value 0.021. In addition, taking an

Variables	Category	Frequency	Percent
Sex of the respondents	Female	65	16.1
	Male	338	83.9
	<30 years	132	32.8
Age of respondents	31-36 years	146	36.2
	≥37 years	125	31
Educational states	General practitioners	227	56.3
	Residents	70	17.4
	Specialists	106	26.3
Physicians' placement	Community	191	47.4
	Academic	212	52.6
Computer ownership	Yes	226	56.1
	No	177	43.9
Role of physicians	Administrative	22	5.5
	Health professional	381	94.5
Work experience	≥6 years	172	42.7
	<6 years	231	57.3
Working part-time at private	Yes	285	70.7
	No	118	29.3

TABLE 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of physicians in Amhara regional state at five major referral hospitals, Ethiopia 2018 (n = 403).

TABLE 2: Technology-related variables of physicians in Amhara regional state at five major referral hospitals, Ethiopia, 2018 (n = 403).

Variables	Category	Frequency	Percent
	Yes	117	29.0
Computer literacy	No	286	71.0
	Yes	185	45.9
Having previous EMR experience	No	218	54.1
II	Yes	298	73.9
Having 11-related experience	No	105	26.1
Drofor EMD than manor based	Yes	245	60.8
Prefer EMR than paper based	No	158	39.2
	EMR is more secured	228	93
	EMR is time saving	189	77.1
Reason for preferring EMR ($n = 245$)	Store more data	209	85.3
	Easy to access data	214	87.3
	Easy to write report	106	43.2
	EMR is time consuming	131	92.9
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}} + $	EMR is difficult to use	124	78.5
Reason for not preferring EMR ($n = 158$)	Needs computer skills	108	68.3
	Is electric dependent	120	75.9
	Yes	245	60.8
Taking training on EMK	No	158	39.2

EMR training (CI: 0.159, 0.559, *P*: 0.0001) was found as a positive predictive factor to the system.

Physicians' computer literacy (CI: 0.264, 0.713; *P*: 0001) and computer access at workplace (CI: 0.141, 0.533, *P*: 0.001) were also found a positive predictive factors for the physicians' attitude towards EMR systems use. The other finding from this study was the opposite association of phy-

sicians' previous EMR experience and their attitude towards the system (CI: -0.517, -0.121; *P*: 0.002) (Table 4).

3.6. Qualitative Results. There were seven males and four females among those who took part in the interviews. Participants were 35 years old on average. Participants ranged in age from 28 to 50 years old. Physicians who can perform

TABLE 3: Organizational-related variables at five major referral hospitals in Amhara region, Ethiopia, 2018 (n = 403).

Variables	Category	Frequency	Percent (%)
Computer access in working area:	No	191	47.4
	Yes	212	52.6
	Reading	195	92.8
	EMR data recording	37	17.6
Purpose of computer use $(n = 210)$:	Report preparation	108	51.4
	Video accessing	143	68.1
	Internet access	191	90.9
	No	226	56.1
Responsible person for EMR:	Yes	177	43.9
ICT center for computer maintenance:	No	120	29.8
	Yes	283	70.2
Hospital support for EMR:	No	145	36.0
	Yes	258	64.0
Involve physicians in EMR activities:	No	168	41.7
	Yes	235	58.3
Internet access at workplace:	No	100	24.8
	Yes	303	75.2
Deire FMD immediate and the	No	135	33.5
kaise Ewik issues during meeting:	Yes	268	66.5

basic computer tasks have a better attitude towards using EMRs, according to all key informants. Physicians with computer access were also more comfortable with computer-based information systems and had a better understanding of the benefits of EMR systems, according to the interviews.

Furthermore, the majority of respondents claimed that the previously introduced EMR system had inadequate system architecture and used unstandardized medical terminology, causing care providers' attitudes of EMR systems to be warped. Another issue raised by participants was that in a work environment with a high patient load and low computer literacy among physicians, the difficulty of typing patient-related history on keyboards reduces physicianpatient interaction, which may affect attitudes towards EMR systems. The four most prevalent themes that emerged from the in-depth interviews with key informants and qualitative remarks from the written questionnaires were (1) basic computer literacy, (2) prior EMR experience, (3) taking an EMR training, and (4) computer access at work.

3.6.1. Basic Computer Literacy. Physicians who could perform basic computer tasks were shown to have a positive attitude about EMR. Participants who use computers on a regular basis gained a strong understanding of the system. In a word of 33-year-old male participant:

"I use a computer to prepare PowerPoint presentations and to acquire new knowledge in my field of expertise. This simplifies my life. It (EMR) would be extremely useful in a variety of ways and save a significant amount of time. It is likely to improve patient care by avoiding problems associated with illegible handwriting and facilitating patient information access..." 3.6.2. Previous EMR Experience. Electronic medical record systems may contradict views about how health care should be structured; employing physicians to input data may be inefficient and viewed as degrading; and clinicians and management may need to learn how to use certain software, which may be frustrating. These challenges are exacerbated if the system is not in sync with the organization's work culture. One female physician, identified as "31," described her past encounter with EMR as follows:

"I used to use an EMR system that used broad terms rather than specific disease terminologies. This system made our job difficult because it did not support disease naming to the point... if it is liver disease, whether acute or chronic, it simply says liver disease... this leaves our patient history incomplete."

The other issue raised by participants is the problem of physician-patient interaction. Communication will be hampered by an increase in provider screen stare, keyboarding, quietness, and closed body posture. A 29-year-old male participant expressed the following:

"I believe that using EMR will ruin the physician-patient interaction. The physician's focus will be on the system rather than the formation of a patient-physician rapport. As a result, the physicians will miss critical information from the patient's interview."

3.7. Taking an EMR Training. The third point brought up by participants was the need of EMR training. Prior to system adoption, the majority of responders stressed the need of attending an EMR training. Furthermore, the majority of them thought that the EMR training they received had shifted their mindset about it. A 40-year-old male participant put it like way:

TABLE 4: The relationship between the predictors and physicians' attitudes about EMR at five main referral hospitals in Amhara regional state, Ethiopia, in March 2018 (n = 403).

Sex Female 65 -0.353, 0.110 0.303 Male 338	Variable	All (403)	95% of CI	P value
Female 65 -0.353, 0.110 0.303 Male 338	Sex			
Male338Age	Female	65	-0.353, 0.110	0.303
Age 132 <30 132 $31-36$ 146 -0.422 , -0.023 0.029^* >37 125 $>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>$	Male	338		
<30 132 $31-36$ 146 -0.422 , -0.023 0.029^* >37 125 Physicians' placement $Academic$ 212 -0.142 , 0.286 0.507 Community 191 $Computer ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ Yes 226 0.037 , 0.458 0.021^* No 177 $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $< Yes$ 226 0.037 , 0.458 0.021^* No 177 $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $< Yes$ 226 0.037 , 0.458 0.021^* No 177 $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $< Yes$ 286 0.264 , 0.713 0.0001^{**} No 117 $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ Yes 288 -0.517 , -0.121 0.002^* No 0.0001^{**} No 218 $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$ $Vertice ownership$	Age			
$31-36$ 146 $-0.422, -0.023$ 0.029^* >37125Physicians' placementAcademic212 $-0.142, 0.286$ 0.507 Community191Computer ownershipYes226 $0.037, 0.458$ 0.021^* No177Work experience ≥ 6 years172 $-0.290, 0.371$ 0.809 <6 years	<30	132		
> 37 125 Physicians' placement Academic 212 -0.142, 0.286 0.507 Community 191 Computer ownership Yes 226 0.037, 0.458 0.021* No 177 Work experience ≥6 years 231 Computer literacy Yes 286 0.264, 0.713 0.809 <6 years 231 Computer literacy Yes 286 0.264, 0.713 0.0001** No 117 Previous EMR experience Yes 185 -0.517, -0.121 0.002* No 218 IT experience Yes 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 Taking EMR training Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 Computer access at work Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 ICT center at work Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120	31-36	146	-0.422, -0.023	0.029*
Physicians' placement 212 -0.142, 0.286 0.507 Community 191	>37	125		
Academic 212 -0.142, 0.286 0.507 Community 191	Physicians' placement			
Community 191 Computer ownership 226 $0.037, 0.458$ 0.021^* No 177 0.021^* No 177 $0.0290, 0.371$ 0.809 ≥ 6 years 231 0.001^{**} ≥ 6 years 231 0.0001^{**} $Omputer literacy$ 286 $0.264, 0.713$ 0.0001^{**} No 117 0.002^* Previous EMR experience 218 0.002^* No 218 0.002^* No 218 0.0001^{**} No 105 0.0001^{**} No 105 0.0001^{**} No 105 0.0001^{**} No 105 0.0001^{**} No 158 0.0001^{**} No 158 0.001^{**} No 151 0.001^{**} No 191 0.001^{**} No 191 0.001^{**} No 120 0.001^{**}	Academic	212	-0.142, 0.286	0.507
Computer ownership Yes 226 0.037, 0.458 0.021* No 177 Work experience ≥ 6 years 172 -0.290, 0.371 0.809 < 6 years 231 Computer literacy Yes 286 0.264, 0.713 0.0001** No 117 Previous EMR experience Yes 185 -0.517, -0.121 0.002* No 218 IT experience Yes 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 Taking EMR training Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 Computer access at work Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 ICT center at work Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120	Community	191		
Yes226 $0.037, 0.458$ 0.021^* No177	Computer ownership			
No 177 Work experience -0.290, 0.371 0.809 ≥6 years 231 0.0001** Computer literacy 286 0.264, 0.713 0.0001** No 117 117 Previous EMR experience 469 117 Yes 185 -0.517, -0.121 0.002* No 218 117 117 IT experience 469 105 117 Yes 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 105 105 Taking EMR training 415 115 115 Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 158 158 158 Computer access at work 425 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 151 151 151 ICT center at work 428 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120 120 141 153	Yes	226	0.037, 0.458	0.021*
Work experience ≥6 years 172 -0.290, 0.371 0.809 <6 years	No	177		
≥6 years172-0.290, 0.3710.809<6 years	Work experience			
<6 years	≥6 years	172	-0.290, 0.371	0.809
Computer literacy Yes 286 0.264, 0.713 0.0001** No 117 117 Previous EMR experience 4 Yes 185 -0.517, -0.121 0.002* No 218 117 IT experience 4 Yes 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 105 Taking EMR training 4 Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 158 Computer access at work Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 ICT center at work Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120	<6 years	231		
Yes 286 0.264, 0.713 0.0001** No 117 117 Previous EMR experience Yes 185 -0.517, -0.121 0.002* No 218 IT experience Yes 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 Taking EMR training Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 Computer access at work Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 ICT center at work Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120	Computer literacy			
No 117 Previous EMR experience 185 -0.517, -0.121 0.002* Yes 185 -0.517, -0.121 0.002* No 218 117 118 IT experience 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 105 Taking EMR training 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 158 158 Computer access at work 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 151 151 ICT center at work 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120 114 153 151	Yes	286	0.264, 0.713	0.0001**
Previous EMR experience 185 -0.517, -0.121 0.002* No 218 11 11 IT experience 98 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 105 105 Taking EMR training 98 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 158 158 Computer access at work 91 101 101 ICT center at work 191 101 101 ICT center at work 191 105 105 No 191 101 101 105 Internet access 120 1153, 0.277 0.572	No	117		
Yes 185 -0.517, -0.121 0.002* No 218 IT Experience Yes 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 IT IT Taking EMR training Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 It It It Computer access at work Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 It It It It Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No No 120 Interpret access It It	Previous EMR experience			
No 218 IT experience 98 Yes 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 105 Taking EMR training 98 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 158 158 Computer access at work 91 101 101 ICT center at work 191 101 101 ICT center at work 191 120 120 Interpret access 120 1141, 0.533, 0.277 0.572	Yes	185	-0.517, -0.121	0.002*
IT experience Yes 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 Taking EMR training Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 Computer access at work Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 ICT center at work Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120	No	218		
Yes 298 -0.138, 0.299 0.469 No 105 Taking EMR training Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 Computer access at work Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 ICT center at work Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120	IT experience			
No 105 Taking EMR training	Yes	298	-0.138, 0.299	0.469
Taking EMR training Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158 Computer access at work 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 101 101 ICT center at work 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120 120	No	105		
Yes 245 0.159, 0.559 0.0001** No 158	Taking EMR training			
No 158 Computer access at work - Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 - - ICT center at work - - - Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120 - -	Yes	245	0.159, 0.559	0.0001**
Computer access at work Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 101 <t< td=""><td>No</td><td>158</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	No	158		
Yes 212 0.141, 0.533 0.001** No 191 191 ICT center at work 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120 120	Computer access at work			
No 191 ICT center at work	Yes	212	0.141, 0.533	0.001**
ICT center at work Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120	No	191		
Yes 283 -0.153, 0.277 0.572 No 120	ICT center at work			
No 120	Yes	283	-0.153, 0.277	0.572
Internet access	No	120		
	Internet access			
Yes 303 -0.054, 0.407 0.132	Yes	303	-0.054, 0.407	0.132
No 100	No	100		

 $^{**}P < 0.001, \ ^*P \ge 0.001.$

"I took EMR training for two weeks and discovered that an EMR system would make medical work easier and reduce physicians' workload."

3.8. Computer Access at Work Place. From the interview with the respondents, the potential for health information exchange to promote health and minimize poor outcomes owing to manual documentation was unequivocally acknowledged as a justification for utilizing and supporting EMR system adoption by a broad range of participants. Participants who have access to a computer at their workplace believe that electronic health information sharing has a positive impact on their health. The following were the thoughts of a 36-year-old male participant:

"At work, I have access to a computer. It's where I go to read about the problems I've had while providing care. This improves my trustworthiness with patients as well as my general efficiency in the workplace. As a result, by incorporating clinical decision support systems into EMRs, medical errors will be reduced, and advanced medical care and treatment will be improved."

4. Discussion

This study examines physicians' attitudes about electronic medical record systems at referral hospitals in Ethiopia's Amhara regional state. We discovered major predictor variables for physicians' attitude towards electronic medical record systems, such as computer ownership, EMR training, computer literacy, lack of prior EMR experience, computer access at work, and physician involvement in EMR activities. Furthermore, the findings from in-depth interviews with key informants were found to be consistent with the quantitative findings.

This study revealed that more than 58 percent of the respondents scored above average on the provided fifteen Likert items. The finding is in line with the studies done in Ethiopia, North Gondar hospitals 54.6% [14], Aider referral hospital 56.1% [15], and Makkah region, Saudi Arabia 52.8% [33]. However, the result of this study demonstrated that physicians' positive attitude towards EMR was lower than studies done in Istanbul, Turkey 97% [36], India 80% [37], and Africa, Nigeria 67.2% [38]. The difference might be physicians who are working at high-resource countries and who have computer experience in their day-to-day life could understand the relative advantage of electronic medical record systems in health care.

The study also found factors influencing physicians' attitudes about electronic medical record systems. Physicians' computer literacy, ability to use Microsoft Office, and internet navigation were all favorable predictors of their attitude towards EMR systems. This finding is supported by studies conducted in California, America [39], Tamil Nadue, India [40], and Malaysia [41]. The possible reason for this could be that being computer literate had a direct influence on health professionals' views on computer-based system use.

The physicians' prior experience with the previously deployed EMR system and their attitude about the system were shown to be inversely related. This finding appeared to be consistent with studies done in Nairobi, Kenya [42] and found in the contrary with study done among Canadian physicians [43]. The reason might be the previously introduced EMR system had poor system design and used unstandardized medical terminologies.

Taking EMR system training was a good factor to changing the attitude about the EMR system. Physicians who received EMR training had a more positive attitude than physicians who did not get EMR training. And studies conducted in aider referral hospital and Addis Ababa suggested that taking training changes the attitude and motivation of physicians towards electronic medical record systems [9, 15]. This might be because physicians who got EMR training had more knowledge about the system than their colleagues, which improved their attitude and motivation towards the system.

Computer access at workplace and having personal computer at home had also a statistical association with physician's attitude towards EMR. Physicians who have computer access at workplace and personal computer at home were found a positive predictive factor to have a positive attitude towards the EMR system. This result is consistent with other studies done in Harari region, Ethiopia [44], Tanzania [45], Korea [28], and Iran [46]. The probable reason for this might be physicians who have computer access could be more familiar towards computer-based information systems and could increase their belief towards EMR.

5. Conclusion

According to the findings of this study, physicians' attitudes regarding EMR were moderate in the studied region. There was a favorable relationship between computer ownership, computer literacy, lack of experience with maladapted EMR systems, EMR training, and computer access at work and attitude towards EMRs. Improving computer access at work, EMR training, and basic computer literacy are all necessary to enhance physicians' attitudes about EMR systems. One of the most important conclusions given in this study is that of poorly suited EMR systems. People who were exposed to inadequate EMR systems during their initial experience with electronic information systems acquire an unfavorable attitude about EMRs. As a result, prior to installation, implementers and high-level managers should verify the EMR system's applicability.

Abbreviations

- CI: Confidence interval
- MOH: Ministry of Health
- EMR: Electronic medical record
- HIT: Health information technology
- ICT: Information communication technology
- SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Science
- UOG: University of Gondar.

Data Availability

Data will be available upon request from the corresponding author.

Ethical Approval

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Review Board of Institute of Public Health, University of Gondar, on March 6, 2018 (Ref No/IPH/261/2018). Supporting letter was also obtained from Amhara regional state Public Health Research Institute and respective referral hospitals.

Consent

Data was collected after getting a verbal consent from each study participant they were also get right of withdrawal from study at any time during data collection. Due attention was given to not personalize any of the response of the respondents during data presentation, analysis, and interpretation. Furthermore, all the materials used for this research were appropriately acknowledged.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions

MHK conceived of the study and coordinated data collection. MHK, BT, BF, HA, ND, AY, ZA, KD, and SM performed statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank University of Gondar institute of public health for the approval of the ethical clearance. The authors also forward their gratitude to study participants, data collectors, and supervisors who participated in the study.

References

- H. Riazi, M. Jafarpour, and E. Bitaraf, *Towards National* eHealth Implementation-a Comparative Study on WHO/ITU National eHealth Strategy Toolkit in Iran, Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 2014.
- [2] A. Ayanso, T. C. Herath, and N. O'Brien, "Understanding continuance intentions of physicians with electronic medical records (EMR): an expectancy-confirmation perspective," *Decision Support Systems*, vol. 77, pp. 112–122, 2015.
- [3] F. Baaba Da-Costa Vroom, A. Godi, S. Dery, and S. Afagbedzi, Perceptions of EMR usage by health sciences students in Ghana, J Heal Inf Afr, 2017.
- [4] R. A. Bush, C. Kuelbs, J. Ryu, W. Jiang, and G. Chiang, "Structured data entry in the electronic medical record: perspectives of pediatric specialty physicians and surgeons," *Journal of Medical Systems*, vol. 41, no. 5, p. 75, 2017.
- [5] E. Entzeridou, E. Markopoulou, and V. Mollaki, "Public and physician's expectations and ethical concerns about electronic health record: Benefits outweigh risks except for information security," *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, vol. 110, pp. 98–107, 2018.
- [6] D. Hudson, A. Kushniruk, E. Borycki, and D. J. Zuege, "Physician satisfaction with a critical care clinical information system using a multimethod evaluation of usability," *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, vol. 112, pp. 131–136, 2018.
- [7] É. Maillet, L. Mathieu, and C. Sicotte, "Modeling factors explaining the acceptance, actual use and satisfaction of nurses using an electronic patient record in acute care settings: an extension of the UTAUT," *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 36–47, 2015.

- [8] B. Tilahun, A. Zeleke, M. Kifle, and F. Fritz, "The Ethiopian national eHealth strategy and its alignment with the health informatics curriculum," *J Heal Informatics Africa*, vol. 49, pp. 2–7, 2014.
- [9] D. T. Belay, Factors Affecting the Implementation of Health Management Information Systems (HMIS) among Health Workers, The Case of EMR-SmartCare Softwares in Addis Ababa Public Hospitals, 2013.
- [10] T. Mengesha, Electronic solutions for Ethiopian health sector [M.S. thesis], Federal Ministry of Health (FMoH), Ethiopia, 2011.
- [11] B. Tilahun and F. Fritz, "Comprehensive evaluation of electronic medical record system use and user satisfaction at five low-resource setting hospitals in Ethiopia," *JMIR Medical Informatics*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. e22, 2015.
- [12] F. Fritz, B. Tilahun, and M. Dugas, "Success criteria for electronic medical record implementations in low-resource settings: a systematic review," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 479–488, 2015.
- [13] L. Raymond, G. Paré, A. O. de Guinea et al., "Improving performance in medical practices through the extended use of electronic medical record systems: a survey of Canadian family physicians," *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2015.
- [14] S. Biruk, T. Yilma, M. Andualem, and B. Tilahun, "Health professionals' readiness to implement electronic medical record system at three hospitals in Ethiopia: a cross sectional study," *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 115, 2014.
- [15] G. Yehualashet, M. Andualem, and B. Tilahun, *The attitude towards and use of electronic medical record system by health professionals at a referral hospital in northern Ethiopia: cross-sectional study*, vol. 3, 2015.
- [16] V. M. Nymberg, B. B. Bolmsjö, M. Wolff, S. Calling, S. Gerward, and M. Sandberg, "'Having to learn this so late in our lives' Swedish elderly patients' beliefs, experiences, attitudes and expectations of e-health in primary health care," *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 41–52, 2019.
- [17] K. Narattharaksa, M. Speece, C. Newton, and D. Bulyalert, "Key success factors behind electronic medical record adoption in Thailand," *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 985–1008, 2016.
- [18] L. Raymond, G. Paré, and M. Marchand, "Extended use of electronic health records by primary care physicians: does the electronic health record artefact matter?," *Health Informatics Journal*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 71–82, 2019.
- [19] F. Alsohime, M.-H. Temsah, A. Al-Eyadhy et al., "Satisfaction and perceived usefulness with newly-implemented electronic health records system among pediatricians at a university hospital," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 169, pp. 51–57, 2019.
- [20] M. F. Tsai, S. Y. Hung, W. J. Yu, C. C. Chen, and D. C. Yen, "Understanding physicians' adoption of electronic medical records: Healthcare technology self-efficacy, service level and risk perspectives," *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, vol. 66, p. 103342, 2019.
- [21] E. R. Melnick, L. N. Dyrbye, C. A. Sinsky et al., "The association between perceived electronic health record usability and professional burnout among US physicians," *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 476–487, 2020.

- [22] T. Lrago, F. Asefa, and K. Yitbarek, "Physicians' burnout and factors in southern Ethiopia affecting it," *Ethiopian Journal* of Health Sciences, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 589–598, 2018.
- [23] T. Assefa, D. Haile Mariam, W. Mekonnen, M. Derbew, and W. Enbiale, "Physician distribution and attrition in the public health sector of Ethiopia," *Risk Manag Healthc Policy.*, vol. 9, pp. 285–295, 2016.
- [24] M. Abouzahra, Causes of failure in healthcare IT projects, 3rd Int Conf Adv Manag Sci, 2011.
- [25] L. Nguyen, E. Bellucci, and L. T. Nguyen, "Electronic health records implementation: an evaluation of information system impact and contingency factors," *International Journal of Medical Informatics.*, vol. 83, no. 11, pp. 779–796, 2014.
- [26] S. W. Olsen, E. Draborg, and M. Lisby, "Physicians ' and nurses' attitudes and actions regarding perioperative medication management," *Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing*, vol. 34, no. 3, 2018.
- [27] M. Beglaryan, V. Petrosyan, and E. Bunker, "Development of a tripolar model of technology acceptance: Hospital-based physicians' perspective on EHR," *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, vol. 102, pp. 50–61, 2017.
- [28] N. Mijin, H. Jang, B. Choi, and G. Khongorzul, Attitude toward the Use of Electronic Medical Record Systems : Exploring Moderating Effects of Self-Image, 2017.
- [29] M. Filipec and G. Brumini, "Attitude of physiotherapists toward electronic health record in Croatia," *BMC.*, vol. 7, 2019.
- [30] I. Araba and I. Araba, "Doctors' Attitude and Willingness to Use Electronic Medical Records at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria," *Online Journal of Public Health Informatics*, vol. 10, no. 2, 2018.
- [31] J. Hsiao and R. Chen, "Critical factors influencing physicians" intention to use computerized clinical practice guidelines : an integrative model of activity theory and the technology acceptance model," *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, vol. 16, no. 1, 2015.
- [32] R. Dehnavieh, A. A. Haghdoost, A. Khosravi et al., "The district health information system (DHIS2): a literature review and meta- synthesis of its strengths and operational challenges based on the experiences of 11 countries," *Health Information Management Journal*, vol. 48, no. 2, 2019.
- [33] H. A. Shaker, M. U. Farooq, and K. O. Dhafar, *Physicians' perception about electronic medical record system in Makkah Region*, Avicenna J Med, Saudi Arabia, 2015.
- [34] E. Louis and C. S. Penrod, "Attitudes of academic-based and community-based physicians regarding EMR use during outpatient encounters," *American Medical Informatics Association*, 2009.
- [35] G. Paré, L. Raymond, A. O. de Guinea et al., "Barriers to organizational adoption of EMR systems in family physician practices: A mixed-methods study in Canada," *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, vol. 83, no. 8, pp. 548–558, 2014.
- [36] S. Secginli, S. Erdogan, and K. A. Monsen, "Attitudes of health professionals towards electronic health records in primary health care settings: a questionnaire survey," *Informatics for Health and Social Care*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 15–32, 2014.
- [37] A. R. Shriner, Webber, Attitudes and perceptions of pediatric residents on transitioning to CPOE, Appl Clin Inform, 2014.
- [38] O. S. Ayanlade, "Electronic medical record system as a central ICT tool for quality healthcare services: Nigeria as a case

study," *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 147–157, 2018.

- [39] D. R. Shih and R. Rosenblum, "Attitudes and perceptions of advanced practice nurses towards health information technology and its effects on caring," *Online J Nurs Informatics*, vol. 21, no. 3, 2017.
- [40] B. Singh, "Nurse's attitude towards computerization in private hospitals of Tamil Nadu," *India. Res J Pharm Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 1451–1456, 2016.
- [41] M. C. Chong, K. Francis, S. Cooper, K. L. Abdullah, N. T. T. Hmwe, and S. Sohod, "Access to, interest in and attitude toward e-learning for continuous education among Malaysian nurses," *Nurse Educ Today*, vol. 36, pp. 370–374, 2016.
- [42] M. K. Kipturgo, L. W. Kivuti-Bitok, A. K. Karani, and M. M. Muiva, "Attitudes of nursing staff towards computerisation: a case of two hospitals in Nairobi, Kenya," *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2014.
- [43] N. Archer and M. Cocosila, "A comparison of physician preadoption and adoption views on electronic health records in Canadian medical practices," *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2011.
- [44] K. Alwan, T. Awoke, and B. Tilahun, "Knowledge and utilization of computers among health professionals in a developing country: a cross-sectional study," *JMIR Hum Factors*, vol. 26, no. 1, 2015.
- [45] F. Sukums, N. Mensah, R. Mpembeni, J. Kaltschmidt, W. E. Haefeli, and A. Blank, "Health workers' knowledge of and attitudes towards computer applications in rural African health facilities," *Global Health Action*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 24534, 2014.
- [46] M. Abdekhoda, M. Ahmadi, M. Gohari, and A. Noruzi, "The effects of organizational contextual factors on physicians' attitude toward adoption of Electronic Medical Records," *Journal* of Biomedical Informatics, vol. 53, pp. 174–179, 2015.