Premorbid personality characteristics in Alzheimer’s disease: an exploratory case–control study
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Linking data from a case-control study of Alzheimer’s disease with data from a Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) outpatient study, we identified 13 Alzheimer’s disease cases and 16 controls for case–control comparison. The mean time between personality testing and onset of Alzheimer’s disease (or corresponding age for controls) was 13 years in cases and 14 years in controls. Alzheimer’s disease cases, but not the controls, had scores significantly greater than the normative reference on MMPI scales measuring Social Introversion ($p = 0.05$), and Pessimism ($p = 0.01$). When compared to controls, Alzheimer’s disease cases had significantly greater scores on the Social Introversion scale ($p = 0.03$). Despite the small sample size and some design limitations of this exploratory study, our findings may suggest that subjects who score higher on these personality scales have a greater risk of Alzheimer’s disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) experience symptoms of depression (Baker et al., 1991; Migliorelli et al., 1995) and a history of depression was found to increase the risk of AD (Jorm et al., 1991; Speck et al., 1995). Personality changes are observed in the progression of dementia in AD (Petry et al., 1989; Siegler et al., 1991; Bózzola et al., 1992; Chatterjee et al., 1992; Strauss et al., 1993; Siegler et al., 1994; Wild et al., 1994); however, whether certain personality characteristics precede the onset of AD has not been established. One study suggested that a history of social and interpersonal inactivity increases the risk of developing AD (Kondo and Yamashita, 1990). Another study suggested that high scores on a personality dimension pertaining to a need to keep other people at a distance, and low scores on a dimension pertaining to mental energy, predicted the development of dementia in women (Persson et al., 1991).

We conducted a case–control study to preliminarily investigate the association between premorbid personality and AD using two existing data sources available at the Mayo Clinic. The advantage of our study was that personality was measured substantially before AD onset, thus avoiding the possible recall bias and the confusion between premorbid personality and personality changes due to AD.

METHODS

This investigation was based on linking data from two unrelated studies (Swenson et al., 1973; Kokmen et al., 1993). The first study involved 50,000 Mayo Clinic outpatients who were administered the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) for research purposes between 1962 and 1965 (Swenson et al., 1973). Patients were included in the study from anywhere in the US if they came to the Mayo Clinic for any medical reason other than an acute illness, a
surgical procedure, or hospitalization. Patients referred primarily for evaluation or treatment of any psychiatric disorder were also excluded (Swenson et al., 1973).

The second study involved the 959 patients who developed AD between 1960 and 1984 while residents of Rochester, MN (incident cases). The cases were identified through a review of medical records in the Rochester Epidemiology Project records-linkage system, as described elsewhere (Kokmen et al., 1993). The study also involved 959 subjects free of dementia (controls), each matched to a case by age (± 3 years) and sex, and identified from the same Rochester population through the records-linkage system.

Using the Mayo Clinic identification number, we identified those cases and controls who participated in the MMPI study. However, we excluded cases whose AD onset occurred within 5 years of completing the MMPI. As a result of the linkage, we found 13 cases and 16 controls eligible for the case-control comparison reported in the present study. The original matching of cases and controls was ignored in these analyses.

We analyzed 12 of the 13 basic MMPI scales (excluding the Masculinity–Femininity scale) and the newly-derived Optimism–Pessimism scale (Peterson et al., 1988; Colligan et al., 1994). This last scale was selected because of the hypothesis that pessimism may be a risk factor for physical illness (Peterson et al., 1988). Raw scores on each scale were transformed into T-scores to adjust for age and sex and to obtain comparability across scales (standardization and normalization of scores) (Colligan et al., 1989). Mean T-scores in cases and controls were independently compared to the normative reference mean of 50 (Colligan et al., 1989). In addition, mean T-scores in cases were directly compared to mean T-scores in controls. Statistical testing was based on two-tailed t-tests at the conventional α level of 0.05.

**RESULTS**

Table I shows that AD cases were similar to controls in age, sex and chronology of testing. The average interval between MMPI administration and onset of AD was 13 years. Table II shows that AD cases had mean scores significantly greater than the reference mean on the scales measuring social introversion–extroversion (p = 0.05) and optimism–pessimism (p = 0.01), while controls had no significantly elevated mean scores. Consistently, AD cases had a mean score significantly greater than controls on social introversion–extroversion (p = 0.03); however, the case–control comparison for optimism–pessimism did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.19).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Alzheimer’s disease cases (n = 13)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 16)</th>
<th>p¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of MMPI administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earliest</td>
<td>11/28/62</td>
<td>1/15/63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest</td>
<td>2/18/65</td>
<td>6/1/65</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1/24/63</td>
<td>12/16/63</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women no. (%)</td>
<td>8 (61.6)</td>
<td>9 (56.3)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men no. (%)</td>
<td>5 (38.5)</td>
<td>7 (43.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at MMPI administration, mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>64.5 ± 9.2</td>
<td>64.3 ± 10.0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(46.9–75.2)</td>
<td>(48.3–80.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at AD onset (or index age)²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>77.5 ± 7.1</td>
<td>78.6 ± 11.2</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(63.5–87.2)</td>
<td>(52.0–91.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years between MMPI and onset of AD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(or index age)²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>13.0 ± 4.6</td>
<td>14.3 ± 4.7</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(5.4–20.8)</td>
<td>(3.7–19.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Two-tailed p value testing whether the AD cases differ from the controls.
² Index age for a control is the age at which the matched case experienced the onset of AD.

MMPI = Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory.

AD = Alzheimer’s disease.
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### DISCUSSION

Understanding the personality characteristics that pre­
ce the onset of AD may lead to new hypotheses on
the etiology of the disease and may influence the man­
gement of dementia. In our study, the personality
scales were administered long before a diagnosis of AD was suspected (mean 13 years); therefore, our
measures genuinely reflect the premorbid status of AD
patients. We found that patients who later developed
AD had elevated scores, compared to the reference
mean, on the MMPI scales measuring social introver­
sion and pessimism. When directly comparing cases to
controls, cases scored significantly higher on the Social
Introversion scale. The pessimism scores were signifi­
cantly different at case-reference comparison but only
suggestively different at case-control analysis. Our
results support the findings that social inactivity or a
pessimistic view of life years before the onset of symp­
toms of dementia increases the risk of developing AD
(Kondo and Yamashita, 1990; Persson et al., 1991).
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bias. Inclusion in the study required residency of a sub­
ject in Rochester at the onset of AD (or equivalent
year for controls) between 1960 and 1984. However,
residency in Rochester was not required for the MMPI
study and it occurred in only 24% of subjects. This geo­
graphic mismatch explains, in part, the minimal popu­
lation overlap of the two studies. Thirdly, 39 hypothesis
tests were conducted at the 0.05 α level and three sta­tistically
significant results were found; two significant
results would have been expected by chance alone.
Nevertheless, the consistency of our findings (only the
AD patients had significantly elevated mean scores;
the social introversion findings were significant both at
case-reference and at case-control comparison)
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### TABLE II. Mean scores of Alzheimer's diseases cases and controls on the scales of the Minnesota Multiphasic
Personality Inventory (MMPI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MMPI Scale^</th>
<th>Alzheimer's disease cases (n = 13)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 16)</th>
<th>Case-control comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean T-score* ± S.D.</td>
<td>p^</td>
<td>Mean T-score* ± S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (Lie)</td>
<td>49.0 ± 12.0</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>50.2 ± 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (Infrequency)</td>
<td>51.8 ± 9.8</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>49.4 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (Correction)</td>
<td>47.1 ± 10.1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>52.9 ± 12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Hs, Hypochondriasis)</td>
<td>52.3 ± 6.3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>53.3 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (D, Depression)</td>
<td>55.3 ± 9.9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>51.7 ± 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Hy, Hysteria)</td>
<td>49.5 ± 10.5</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>53.5 ± 13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (Pd, Psychopathic Deviate)</td>
<td>48.6 ± 15.0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>52.7 ± 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (Pa, Paranoia)</td>
<td>52.5 ± 10.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>46.2 ± 10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (Pt, Psychasthenia)</td>
<td>54.2 ± 9.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>48.9 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (Sc, Schizophrenia)</td>
<td>51.8 ± 9.1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>47.8 ± 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (Ma, Hypomania)</td>
<td>43.1 ± 14.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>47.2 ± 13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (Si, Social Introversion–Extroversion)</td>
<td>54.6 ± 7.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>47.5 ± 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PSM, Optimism–Pessimism)^</td>
<td>55.7 ± 6.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>51.5 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ Raw scores on MMPI scales were transformed in T-scores to adjust for age and sex and to obtain comparability
across scales (standardization and normalization of scores) (Colligan et al., 1989). A T-score is a transformation of
the raw score that expresses the individual's performance relative to a normative reference group of the same age
and sex; SD = standard deviation.

^ Scores for the masculinity–femininity scale were not calculated since we combined men and women in our samples.

^ One sample two-tailed t-test p value comparing mean T-score to the normative reference (mean = 50).

^ Two sample two-tailed t-test p value testing whether AD cases differ from controls.

^ The newly developed optimism–pessimism scale is based on 298 MMPI items. The scores on this scale were not
age-adjusted.
had low statistical power to detect other important differences. However, the independent comparison of cases and controls with the normative reference maximized our power.

In summary, our exploratory study adds to the evidence that pessimism and social introversion are risk factors that may precede the onset of AD by many years. This leads to several as yet unanswered questions: are the observed associations due to an unknown bias? Are these personality traits the earliest signs of an evolving AD? Are they a surrogate for an as yet undetermined genetic or environmental risk factor? Further studies are needed to answer these provocative questions.
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