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The role of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress is a rapidly emerging field of interest in the pathogenesis of metabolic diseases. Recent studies have shown that chronic activation of ER stress is closely linked to dysregulation of lipid metabolism in several metabolically important cells including hepatocytes, macrophages, β-cells, and adipocytes. Adipocytes are one of the major cell types involved in the pathogenesis of the metabolic syndrome. Recent advances in dissecting the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in the regulation of adipogenesis and lipid metabolism indicate that activation of ER stress plays a central role in regulating adipocyte function. In this paper, we discuss the current understanding of the potential role of ER stress in lipid metabolism in adipocytes. In addition, we touch upon the interaction of ER stress and autophagy as well as inflammation. Inhibition of ER stress has the potential of decreasing the pathology in adipose tissue that is seen with energy overbalance.

1. Introduction

In the last two decades, the complexity of adipose tissue has finally become apparent. Investigations surrounding the biological impact of obesity, insulin resistance, and the metabolic syndrome have surged, resulting in a more intricate understanding of “fat.” Adipose tissue (AT) is not only highly specialized to store long-term energy, but is also a central endocrine organ. Therefore, AT is inherently involved in the interplay of inflammatory cascades and energy metabolism, which are important players in metabolic disorders. Even more, sick fat, or adiposopathy, has now been coined an independent endocrine disease [1].

Adiposopathy can occur environmentally through overnutrition. Adipocytes store extra energy in the form of triacylglycerides (TG) inside cytosolic organelles (lipid droplets, or LD). When there is a continuous need to store TGs, adipocytes must expand in size while continuously being stressed to synthesize more proteins for LD formation. There is an inherent threshold at which adipocytes become too stressed, secrete multiple cytokines, and can no longer expand. The cytokines released activate resident macrophages and call in circulating macrophages, which begin to attempt to engulf these cells, forming the signature “crownlike structures” found in obese tissue [2].

During this cascade, increased cytokines can increase adipocyte lipolysis. Increased lipolysis leads to an increase of circulating free fatty acids (FFA) that are deposited in muscle and liver (“lipid dumping”) and results in a decreased insulin sensitivity in these tissues (reviewed in [3]). Particularly, FFA from visceral AT is directly deposited into the portal vein, increasing the risk of fatty liver disease. This may be the underlying basis of current clinical understanding that increased visceral fat is a high-risk factor for cardiovascular disease [4, 5].

An increase in FFA release is not only induced by an inflammatory state in AT, but also cellular insulin insensitivity. For this reason, most literature focusing on adipocyte dysregulation in metabolic disease concentrates on the nutrient sensing pathways. However, another important pathway involved in adipocyte pathology is the induction of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress. In the past, overstimulation of ER stress has been linked to diseases of genetics and aging (reviewed in [6]), but may in fact be involved in more environmentally induced diseases as well. This paper
discusses the recent understanding regarding the role of ER stress in regulating lipid metabolism in adipocytes and the clinical consequence therein.

2. ER Stress in the Adipocyte

Numerous cellular pathways can be altered in times of stress, leading to cellular aberrations and dysfunction. However, in the realm of overnutrition, ER stress is arguably the most common and important [7–10]. The ER is central for protein folding, secretions (e.g., cytokines), calcium homeostasis, and lipid synthesis. In the adipocyte, the ER is directly involved with LD formations and maintenance of lipid homeostasis.

Inducing ER stress is relatively effortless via depletion of ER calcium stores, changes in ER lipid membrane composition, reactive oxygen species (ROS), or accumulation of misfolded and/or unfolded proteins. When triggered, the ER signals to the cell through the unfolded protein response (UPR) to aid in increased production of proteins needed for protein folding, while decreasing transcription and increasing degradation of other nonessential proteins. If the UPR is unable to return the ER to homeostatic conditions, it will trigger apoptosis.

A central component of the UPR is an ER chaperone protein, BiP/GRP78. In homeostatic conditions, BiP/GRP78 is bound to three ER membrane resident proteins. An insult that alters ATP in the lumen decreases calcium, or increases a demand for protein folding causes GRP78 to unbind. These three proteins, ER transmembrane kinase/endoribonuclease IRE1, double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase-like ER kinase (PERK), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6), trigger a cascade upon their release, which ultimately leads to the activation of transcription factors that upregulate protein chaperones, proteasome components, and with continuous activation, turns on GADD-153/CHOP (C/EBP homologous protein), a major transcriptional factor responsible for ER-stress-induced apoptosis.

2.1. IRE1. Upon release from GRP78, IRE1 transautophosphorylates, activating its RNase activity. The activated IRE1 specifically acts on its downstream target X-box-binding protein 1 (XBP1) and removes a 26 base pair intron sequence of XBP1 resulting in the formation of spliced XBP1 (XBP1s). There are multiple targets of XBP1s, such as ER protein chaperones and proteins involved in ER-associated degradation (ERAD) [11–13]. However, beyond the traditional genes it activates, the biological function of XBP1s has now been shown to be more diverse.

In fact, XBP1’s ability to induce many ER proteins, and increase expansion of the rough ER [14] has demonstrated its necessity in ER biogenesis. Specific and elaborate knockout models have demonstrated this further; when the ER was poorly developed, secretory cells subsequently failed to function [15, 16]. Sriburi et al. have found that overexpression of XBP1s in preadipocytes induces upregulation of the rate-limiting enzyme in phosphatidylcholine synthesis (CTP: phosphocholine cytidylytransferase or CCT) [14, 17]. As this is the major phospholipid found in the ER membrane, it follows that XBP1 increases ER biogenesis by both stimulation of ER proteins and membrane components.

This activity of XBP1 is most likely not cell specific, due to the already described centrality of this transcription factor in secretory cell types and hepatocytes. What is of interest in adipocytes, however, is the close interplay of ER biogenesis and LD formations. LDs, as mentioned previously, are a central organelle in adipocytes, though they also are found to a much lesser extent in other cells such as hepatocytes and macrophages. LDs are known to contain a core of triacylglycerols and cholesterol, but the multiple proteins found in their phospholipid monolayer are only beginning to be understood [18]. Although it is already known that the ER assembles and processes the lipids and proteins needed for LD formation, it is not fully known how they are transferred. The formation of a naïve LD is hypothesized to occur when neutral lipids accumulate at the ER membrane and then bud off. However, others propose LDs form as a bicelle or vesicular budding. In addition, the ER may in fact remain linked to LDs, allowing free exchange of proteins [19, 20].

Beyond the debate on whether these two organelles are physically linked, there is no dispute on the centrality of CCT. When CCT is limited, LDs begin to fuse due to less phosphatidylcholine on their surface [21]. Even more, when one gene of CCT was knocked down 60% in drosophila, there was a significant increase of triacylglycerol content [21]. This may be a compensation in which diacylglycerols normally utilized in the CCT pathway are now channeled to neutral lipids in the LDs. Nonetheless, the main end is larger and denser LDs with less active CCT.

The link between CCT, LDs, and the UPR is most likely the foundation of the essential nature of the IRE1-XBP1 pathway in adipogenesis. XBP1-shRNA-treated preadipocytes fail to differentiate, and only transduction of the XBP1s rescued cells [22]. In vivo mouse models are more difficult to handle, as the full XBP1 knockout die in utero [15]. To circumvent this, one group has placed a liver-specific XBP1 gene into this model, but even these mice die during the neonatal starvation period [16]. These mice are smaller with a negligible white adipose mass, even compared to their heterozygous counterparts.

The mechanism underlying XBP1’s significant role may be due to the upregulation of CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein-α (C/EBPα) [22]. CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins are essential transcription factors in adipogenesis, with β and δ being major players in early differentiation and α essential in mid- to late differentiation. Sha et al. found that XBP1s upregulates C/EBPα, and C/EBPβ increases transcription of XBP1 [22]. Therefore, XBP1 is integral in the loop of transcriptional activation of adipocyte differentiation as well as the functional maturation of LD formation.

2.2. PERK. The PERK-α path pathway is another UPR leg involved in adipogenesis. When released, PERK transautophosphorylates leading to activation of its kinase domain. The major result of this is phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2α (eIF2α). In the phosphorylated state, this essential component of the translational machinery cannot recycle GTP, inhibiting general translation.
but at the same time increasing the translation of mRNAs which contain internal ribosome entry sites, such as ATF-4, Bip/GRP78, and SREBP-1 [23–25].

Activating transcription factor (ATF)-4 is a well-studied protein involved in the UPR (reviewed in [26]). This transcription factor is heavily involved in increasing amino acid metabolism and protein transport [27, 28]. Importantly, ATF-4 also upregulates stress-related transcription factors ATF-3 and CHOP. CHOP is a central transcription factor involved in cellular perturbations, including inhibition of adipocyte differentiation [29–31], and ultimately inducing apoptosis. However, there is still necessity of balance as although high induction of ATF-4 will lead to CHOP activation, complete absence will affect ATF lipogenesis [32]. More studies are needed to fully understand the role of ATF-4 in lipogenesis in adipocytes.

In contrast, more is understood about SREBPs (sterol regulatory element-binding proteins). SREBPs are additional transcription factors found in the ER membrane. There are three isoforms- SREBP-1a, -1c, and -2. SREBP-1c is involved in fatty acid synthesis and lipogenesis, -2 in cholesterol synthesis, and -1a in both pathways. The SREBPs are retained in the ER via insulin-induced gene (Insig) binding to SREBP-cleavage-activating-protein (SCAP) bound SREBP. At times of sensed decreases in cholesterol or fatty acids, SCAP-SREBP dissociates from Insig and relocates to the Golgi where SREBP is cleaved by two site proteases (S1P and S2P). The mature form of SREBP further translocates to the nucleus, activating genes involved in cholesterol and lipid metabolism, such as 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA) synthase, HMG-CoA reductase, squalene synthase, acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), and fatty acid synthase (FAS). Therefore, disruption of ER homeostasis not only alters protein production, but also affects cholesterol and fatty acid synthesis.

Normally, SREBPs are released when there is a sense of depletion of cholesterol or lipids in the ER membrane. However, SREBP1 processing is also regulated through PERK-eIF2α. In fact, knockout of PERK substantially decreases active SREBP1 in mammary glands [33]. This is most likely a result from the recent finding that SREBP1 contains an internal ribosome entry site [23]. Therefore, activation of ER stress will redundantly lead to active SREBP1 through both upregulation of translation and release of protein from the membrane.

In adipocytes of the SREBPs isoform, -1c is the most highly expressed. SREBP1c is an essential transcription factor during adipogenesis (and thus has a dual name of adipocyte differentiation 1/ADD1). Likewise, the PERK pathway has also been found to be important during differentiation of adipocytes in vitro [33]. Overexpression of ADD1/SREBP1c leads to an increase of LD formation in preadipocytes, while conditional overexpression in mouse AT inhibits normal mass growth [34]. In addition, SREBP1c has been shown to directly activate C/EBPβ [35], further supporting its role in adipogenesis. The contradictory results demonstrated with the above mouse models may demonstrate the balance needed by all transcription factors for functional and normal AT.

2.3. ATF-6. There are two genes encoding ATF-6, α, and β. The α isoform is a strong transcriptional activator [36], and the form classically studied during UPR activation. When ATF-6 is released from GRP78, it is translocated to the Golgi via a localization signal that was hidden when in the bound form. In the Golgi, ATF-6 is cleaved by the same proteases that process SREBPs, releasing the active cytoplasmic domain, which is a transcription factor. Here, ATF6α heterodimerizes with XBP1 and upregulates genes with the ER stress response element (ERSE) in their promoters, including GRP78 [37] and other ER chaperone proteins, CHOP, and even XBP1 (reviewed in [38]).

In the realm of UPR activation altering lipid metabolism in adipocytes, not much has been noted in the literature concerning ATF6. Knockout mouse models of either ATF6α or β do not show any striking physiological changes, but have allowed for the clarification that ATF6α is the more essential isoform for the ER stress pathway [39], though β is also involved [36]. Some work has recently demonstrated that ATF6 activation plays a role in the liver to control lipid deposition [40, 41] through inhibition of SREBP-2 [42]. What is of more importance in the adipocyte is the direct function of ATF6 to upregulate XBP1, described above as central in adipogenesis.

ATF6α heterodimerizes with XBP1 in the nucleus to activate genes downstream of UPR activation. However, it is currently not shown if this relationship is also required for upregulation of C/EBPα, or CCT activity. More investigations are needed to completely elucidate the direct function of ATF6 in adipocyte lipid metabolism.

3. Autophagy, the UPR, and Lipid Metabolism Dysregulation

Autophagy is a self-protective cellular pathway activated by multiple stimuli including viral infection, perceived starvation, organelle dysfunction, and ER stress (discussed below). However, just as in the case of UPR, autophagy has the ability to increase cellular damage or cell death when overstimulated. The multifaceted autophagic pathway is continuously being studied, as is the capacity of this process to help regulate metabolism in mammalian cells. In the past few years, an expanding area of research has unfurled around autophagy and lipid metabolism regulation. In hepatocytes, autophagosomes aid in the control of lipid accumulations by delivering LDs to lysosomes [43]. Similarly, in neurons altered autophagy leads to lipid accumulation [44]. Due to its obvious role in lipid metabolism, Singh and colleagues have now coined this leg of autophagy as lipophagy, in which lipid droplets are degraded through autophagy rather than lipolysis [45].

Further, components of the autophagosomes may be necessary for lipid droplet formations [46]. This link was found through the microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B light chain 3 (LC3), an essential protein in the autophagy pathway. At induction of autophagy, a double membrane sequesters components of the cytoplasm through the coordination of multiple proteins and membrane expansion. During the initial stages, cytosolic LC3-I is activated through
other autophagic-specific proteins by cleavage and lipidation, converting it to membrane-bound LC3-II. Shibata et al. have found that LC3-II does not only colocalize to autophagosomes (the specific autophagy sequestering vacuoles), but also to LDs in hepatocytes and cardiac myocytes [46]. This same group has also demonstrated that LC3 colocalizes to LDs in differentiating adipocytes by using LC3-siRNA [47]. The siRNA of LC3 drastically decreased the ability of adipogenesis [47]. LC3-II has been shown to have tethering capacity to help the fusion of autophagosomes to lysosomes [48]. Therefore, there is a hypothesis that LC3-II is acting to bring LDs into the autophagosome pathway for downstream lipid breakdown [43, 49]. This would provide another pathway of lipid flux beyond lipases acting directly on the LD.

Knockout models have demonstrated how essential autophagy is in adipogenesis. Baerga et al. were able to establish this by first showing the significant increase of autophagosome formations during induction of adipogenesis, followed by the inhibition of differentiation in a knockout \textit{atg5} mouse model [50]. \textit{Atg5} encodes a protein that is required similarly to LC3 for the maturation of the pre-autophagosome. Using this model, Baerga et al. saw both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} that inhibition of autophagy restrained maturation of preadipocytes, resulting in a marked reduction of WAT in neonatal mice (this mouse model is not able to survive the neonatal starvation period). Of most interest, in the knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts induced to differentiate, cells that began to mature died through apoptosis, while those in the same culture that did not begin to differentiate remained alive. This study was followed by another with adipose-specific deletion of \textit{atg7} [51], the gene encoding an essential protein upstream of Atg5. Interestingly, WAT tissue of this knockout model was more characteristic of BAT in both morphology (smaller cells and LDs) and enzyme levels. The importance of \textit{Atg7} in adipogenesis was confirmed by Singh et al. who knocked down the same gene, but used slightly different cell lines and mouse model [43]. However, both groups came upon the same finding that the autophagic pathway is essential in adipogenesis.

The trigger of autophagy activation during adipogenesis is currently not known. However, PPAR\textsubscript{γ}, an essential transcription factor of adipogenesis, may be involved. In one cancer cell line, it was found that PPAR\textsubscript{γ} agonists can activate the autophagy pathway [52]. Yet, there is another study that contradicts these findings [53], and such investigations have not yet been repeated in an adipocyte model. Nonetheless, the summation of above experiments does demonstrate that autophagy is essential in adipogenesis, and without, may cause a transdifferentiation of WAT to BAT. On the other hand, a decrease of autophagy in the liver leads to lipid overload in hepatocytes. Intuitively, the difference lies in the biology of the two cell types, where adipocytes are normally storing lipids and hepatocytes are not. In metabolic disease states, such as the metabolic syndrome, it is easy to conceive how dysregulation of autophagy could ultimately lead to fatty liver with increased TG storage in the liver and decreased storage in AT.

### 4. Autophagy and ER Stress

Autophagy and ER stress pathways are not disconnected from one another as previously assumed. In contrast, activation of both can aid in cell survival at times of stress. For one example, autophagy offers an alternative pathway for degradation of proteins when ER-activated proteasomes can no longer handle the load [54–58]. In addition, activation of cell death of each pathway may be interlinked. While classic knowledge is based on ER stress activating apoptosis through CHOP upregulation and autophagy-mediated cell death via a completely separate process, recent findings demonstrate that these two cell death pathways are interlinked.

In more noxious circumstances, it has been shown that cell death through prolonged UPR activation can occur through autophagy-induced cell death [55]. Likewise, inhibition of autophagy increases cell viability with prolonged ER stress [59–61]. However, in nutrient overload and metabolic disorders, impaired autophagy can increase ER stress [62], perhaps due to decreased aberrant protein degradation and energy turnover needed to maintain ER homeostasis. This complex crosstalk of ER stress with the autophagy pathway is not yet well understood. Recently, it was found that ER stress activation can inhibit Akt phosphorylation, the upstream inducer of autophagy at times of perceived starvation [63]. However, the responsible protein(s) are still not known and may even be cell-type specific [64].

Another link is hypothesized to occur through the PERK pathway of the UPR [65, 66]. Some studies have shown that PERK phosphorylation of eIF2\textalpha leads to an upregulation of LC3 [58]. Yet, it has not been shown if this is directly from eIF2\textalpha phosphorylation inducing LC3 translation, or through ATF-4 activation increasing \textit{Atg12} transcription [67, 68]. In fact, our current studies suggest that HIV Protease inhibitor (PI)-induced activation of autophagy is closely linked to ER stress \textit{via} the ATF-4 pathway. We have found that those HIV PIs that induce metabolic side effects in the clinic also induce ER stress and autophagy in hepatocytes and adipocytes. The corresponding activation of autophagy seems to be one of the underlying factors by which HIV PIs induce dysregulation of lipid metabolism.

Recent studies have shown a strong link between activation of ER stress, increased autophagy induction, and increased SREBP activity leading to lipid overload in hepatocytes [69], although a mechanism remains to be determined. One group of investigators has demonstrated the capability of SREBP-2 to directly upregulate the expression of autophagy essential proteins [70], giving significance to a previous finding that cholesterol depletion leads to autophagy induction in multiple cell lines [71]. Additionally, knockdown of SREBP-2 decreased LC3 association with LDs in hepatocytes [70]. Although SREBPs are not a current forefront of proposed activators of autophagy, it is probable that in times of cellular lipid depletion, LDs are processed for more essential cellular requirements, and this pathway can be activated through ER stress-induced activation of SREBPs. Although these investigations have not been completed in adipocytes, our laboratory has found that in addition to HIV PIs inducing ER-stress and autophagy in adipocytes,
5. ER Stress and Inflammation

Obesity and resulting metabolic diseases such as insulin resistance are now known to be strongly associated with chronic inflammation, a substantial risk factor for further complications, most notably atherosclerosis. Increased plasma concentrations of IL-6 and TNF-α have been repeatedly noted in obese individuals [72–74]. Investigations into mechanisms underlying obesity and diabetes have demonstrated that inflammation in AT can detrimentally alter human physiology.

With increasing overload, adipocytes begin to hypertrophy. Cells become stressed from the actual expansion and from exceeding an adequate oxygen diffusion distance in tissue [75, 76]. Adipocytes then signal with a release of proinflammatory IL-6 and TNF-α cytokines, which activate resident macrophages as well as induce infiltration of circulating macrophages. Stressed adipocytes are subsequently engulfed, resulting in the formation of characteristic crown-like structures.

During this process, released IL-6 and TNF-α from stressed adipocytes and activated macrophages may inhibit adipogenesis [77]. In fact, TNF-α alone is enough to inhibit induction of PPARγ and C/EBPα [78]. Even more, the induction of inflammation can also lead to insulin resistance in AT, already well known and continuously investigated [79–82]. Taken together, the ability to store excess energy in AT is drastically decreased with the decrease of mature adipocytes and the death of cells.

Even more, ER stress has been shown to be activated at times of overnutrition [8]. In adipocytes, ER stress can be activated due to the need of LD synthesis, enzyme production, and conversion of energy to TG at times of overnutrition. Importantly, ER stress has repeatedly been shown to induce the cellular inflammatory cascade through the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) pathway, and JNK has been shown to be upregulated in AT of obese individuals [83, 84]. Additionally, ER stress may trigger the adipocyte inflammatory cascade through PERK activating IKB kinase β (IKKβ) when cells are stimulated with free fatty acids [85]. This pathway is also known to be a heavy regulator of inflammatory cytokine release and, together with JNK activation, would lead to the proinflammatory state seen in AT in metabolic disease states.

Proinflammatory profile at times of overnutrition is not unique to AT, but occurs throughout the body. However, AT is unique in that it is solely responsible for the subsequent decrease of adiponectin secretion. Adiponectin is an adipocyte-specific anti-inflammatory cytokine that negatively correlates with cardiovascular disease and fatty liver disease [86–88], with a decrease of secretion in overexpanded or stressed tissue [89]. It has been found that adiponectin can alleviate ER stress [90]. Zhou et al. have shown that ER stress initiation is sufficient to decrease adiponectin release. In animal models, they demonstrated that stabilization of adiponectin protein can decrease obesity-induced ER stress in AT [90]. In vitro, induction of autophagy could alleviate ER stress responses and subsequently stabilize adiponectin secretions [91]. These are promising findings, and more studies are needed to determine if upregulation of autophagy could ultimately lead to therapeutic options for metabolic diseases (Figure 2).

6. Future Directions

We have provided ample references demonstrating that ER stress can induce lipid metabolism dysregulation in adipocytes. Such an assertion is not only important for interested molecular biologists, but for clinicians as well. It has been shown that fat depots of obese patients have increased ER stress [84, 92, 93]. What is more, there may be a link between ER stress upregulation, the inflammatory state of this tissue, and insulin resistance [84, 92, 94, 95].

The cycle of overnutrition, ER stress, and AT pathology is complex. With the information provided here and our own findings, we support the hypothesis that inhibiting ER stress activation may be therapeutically beneficial in the treatment of metabolic diseases. Chaperones, which enhance ER-protein-folding capacity, have shown potential in the laboratory.

Two chaperones already FDA approved have been studied in hepatocytes, adipocytes, and β-cells for their ability to relieve ER-stress-induced dysfunctions, namely, 4-phenylbutyric acid (PBA) and taurine-conjugated ursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA). Both were shown to relieve insulin resistance in adipocytes at times of ER stress [96, 97]. In addition, they were able to decrease JNK and IKKβ activity when cells were stimulated with ER stress inducers, including free fatty acids [85, 96]. In vivo, PBA and TUDCA were able to relieve ER stress activation in obese mice [96]. However, further studies are needed to confirm these beneficial effects and elaborate on the extent that chaperone treatment may aid in nutrition overload-induced ER stress and downstream alterations.
Figure 2: ER-stress-induced inflammation in adipocytes and macrophages contributes to atherosclerosis and fatty liver diseases.

Inhibiting ER stress activation may be the key to an approach for metabolic syndrome therapy. However, more questions remain in this field. Namely, the role of all parts of the UPR in adipocyte lipid metabolism needs to be uncovered, and the mechanism intertwining ER stress and autophagy needs to be further elucidated. Understanding these missing components will allow not only further understanding of key lipid pathways in a central metabolic cell type, but also help determine the best approach that can be utilized for clinical metabolic dysfunctions in patients with altered AT physiology.

**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>Acetyl-CoA carboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add1</td>
<td>Adipocyte determination and differentiation 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>Adipose tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATF</td>
<td>Activating transcription factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>C/EBP homologous protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>CTP phosphocholine cytidylyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIF2α</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>Endoplasmic reticulum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAS</td>
<td>Fatty acid synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA</td>
<td>Free fatty acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insig</td>
<td>Insulin-induced gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMG-CoAR</td>
<td>3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKKβ</td>
<td>IKB kinase β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRE1</td>
<td>Inositol requiring enzyme 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS1</td>
<td>Insulin response substrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNK</td>
<td>cJun N-terminal kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC3</td>
<td>Microtubule associated light chain protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>Lipid droplet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBA</td>
<td>Protein-1 namely 4-phenylbutyric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERK</td>
<td>PKR-like eukaryotic initiation factor 2α kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAP</td>
<td>SREBP cleavage activating protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SREBP</td>
<td>Sterol regulatory element binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUDCA</td>
<td>Taurine-conjugated ursodeoxycholic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>Triglyceride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPR</td>
<td>Unfolded protein response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XBP1</td>
<td>X-box binding protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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